Marrying SPR excitation and metal-support interactions

Citation for published version (APA):

Geonmonond, R. S., Quiroz, J., Rocha, G. F. S. R., Oropeza, F. E., Rangel, C. J., Rodrigues, T. S., Hofmann, J. P., Hensen, E. J. M., Ando, R. A., & Camargo, P. H. C. (2018). Marrying SPR excitation and metal-support interactions: Unravelling the contribution of active surface species in plasmonic catalysis. *Nanoscale*, 10(18), 8560-8568. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8nr00934a>

DOI:

[10.1039/c8nr00934a](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8nr00934a)

Document status and date:

Published: 14/05/2018

Document Version:

Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Nanoscale

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: R. D. S. Geonmonond, J. Quiroz, G. D. F. S. R. Rocha, F. E. Oropeza, C. D. J. Rangel, T. S. Rodrigues, J. P. P. Hofmann, E. J.M. Hensen, R. A. Ando and P. Camargo, *Nanoscale*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [author guidelines](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our [author and reviewer resource centre](#), still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Marrying SPR Excitation and Metal-Support Interactions: Unraveling the

View Article Online
DOI:10.1039/C8NR00934A

Contribution of Active Surface Species in Plasmonic Catalysis

Rafael S. Geonmonond^a, Jhon Quiroz^a, Guilherme F. S. R. Rocha^a, Freddy E. Oropeza^b, Clara J. Rangel^a, Thenner S. Rodrigues^c, Jan P. Hofmann^b, Emiel J. M. Hensen^b, Rômulo A. Ando^a,
and Pedro H. C. Camargo^{a*}

^a*Departamento de Química Fundamental, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo
Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 748, 05508-000, São Paulo-SP, Brazil*

^b*Laboratory of Inorganic Materials Chemistry, Department of Chemical Engineering and
Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The
Netherlands*

^c*Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, IPEN-CNEN, 05508-000, São Paulo-SP, Brazil*

**Corresponding author. E-mail: camargo@iq.usp.br*

ABSTRACT

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A

Plasmonic catalysis takes advantage of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) excitation to drive or accelerate chemical transformations. In addition to the plasmonic component, the control over metal-support interactions in these catalysts is expected to strongly influence the performances. For example, CeO₂ has been widely employed towards oxidation reactions due to its oxygen mobility and storage properties, which allow for the formation of Ce³⁺ sites and adsorbed oxygen species from metal-support interactions. It is anticipated that these species may be activated by the SPR excitation and contribute to the catalytic activity of the material. Thus, a clear understanding of the role played by the SPR-mediated activation of surface oxide species at the metal-support interface is needed in order to take advantage of this phenomenon. Herein, we describe and quantify the contribution from active surface oxide species at the metal-support interface (relative to O₂ from air) over the activities in green SPR-mediated oxidation reactions. We employed CeO₂ decorated with Au NPs (Au/CeO₂) as a model plasmonic catalyst and the oxidation of p-aminothiophenol (PATP) and aniline as proof-of-concept transformations. We compared the results with SiO₂ decorated with Au NPs (Au/SiO₂), in which the formation of surface oxide species at the metal-support interface is not expected. We found that the SPR-mediated activation of surface oxide species at the metal-support interface in Au/CeO₂ played pivotal role to the detected activities, being even higher than the contribution coming from the activation of O₂ from air.

INTRODUCTION

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A

Plasmonic catalysis takes advantage of the localized surface plasmon resonance (SPR) excitation to accelerate or mediate chemical reactions.^{1–3} Recently, this field has attracted much attention due to the possibility of harvesting solar light as an energy input to drive a range of chemical transformations.^{4–11} This can be accomplished, for example, by the utilization of metal nanoparticles as catalysts possessing strong SPR in the visible or near-infrared range, which include gold (Au), silver (Ag), and copper (Cu) nanoparticles (NPs).^{12–14} Hence, plasmonic catalysis is expected to contribute towards the development of green (or greener) catalysis, which remain challenging in terms of both activity and selectivity.¹⁵ Among green transformations, light-assisted oxidations using air or molecular oxygen (O₂) as the oxidant represents an interesting example, as it has been shown that the SPR excited hot electrons can efficiently activate molecular oxygen.^{16–19}

In addition to the metal nanoparticles or active phase, it is well-established that optimizing metal-support interactions is an efficient strategy to the improvement of performances in nanocatalysis.^{20,21} Among the several supports, CeO₂ has been widely employed in oxidation reactions due to its oxygen mobility and storage properties, which can be further enhanced at the metal-support interface due to metal-support interactions.²² In this case, the formation of Ce³⁺ as well as adsorbed oxygen species (O²⁻ or O⁻) have been described. In the context of plasmonic catalysis, these species may be activated by the SPR excitation as a result of metal-support interactions and contribute to the optimization of catalytic activities towards oxidation reactions.^{23,24}

In order to take advantage of this phenomenon, a clear understanding on the role played by the SPR-mediated activation of surface oxide species at the metal-support interface

relative to the contribution/activation of O₂ from air is required. In this paper, we describe a relatively simple approach that enabled us to systematically isolate and quantify the contribution from surface oxide species at the metal-support interface (relative to O₂ from air) over the activities in green SPR-mediated oxidation reactions. We employed CeO₂ decorated with Au NPs (Au/CeO₂) as a model plasmonic material and the oxidation of *p*-aminothiophenol (PATP) and aniline as proof-of-concept transformations. We performed a series of control experiments and compared the results with SiO₂ decorated with Au NPs (Au/SiO₂), in which the formation of active surface oxide species at the metal-support interface is not expected. We found that the SPR-mediated activation of surface oxide species at the metal-support interface in Au/CeO₂ played a major role to the detected activities, being even higher than the contribution coming from the activation of O₂ from air.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrum, and the histogram of size distribution for the Au NPs employed for the synthesis of Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials are shown in Figure S1A-C. The Au NPs were 22 nm ± 3 nm in diameter, displayed spherical shape, relatively monodisperse sizes, and a well-defined surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band centered at 525 nm assigned to the dipolar SPR mode.²⁵ Au NPs were incorporated onto CeO₂ and SiO₂ in order to produce Au/oxide solid catalysts. This not only facilitates applications in SPR-mediated catalysis both in gas or liquid phase, but also opens the possibility to enable further improvements in activity via metal-support interactions, as we describe below. For example, the deposition of Au NPs over CeO₂ surface improved the performance of Au/CeO₂ catalyst over the CO oxidation reaction at low temperatures.²⁶

Figure 1A and B shows SEM and TEM images of the obtained Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials, respectively. The images show that for both samples a homogeneous distribution of Au NPs over the oxide surfaces without significant agglomeration or changes in shape and size was obtained using the preparation method described below. Figure S2 shows the histograms of size distribution of Au NPs in both Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials. It can be observed that the Au NPs had similar sizes on both supports, corresponding to 21.8 ± 3.1 and 21.9 ± 3.2 nm in diameter for Au/SiO₂ and Au/CeO₂, respectively. Additionally, the Au weight percentage (wt%) as determined by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) was to 4.9 for Au/CeO₂ and 4.2 for Au/SiO₂, which indicates our incipient wetness impregnation method enabled the deposition of Au NPs in high yields and without loss of morphology.

The Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials (and CeO₂ and SiO₂ the supports) were analyzed by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS) and X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) as shown in Figures 1C and D, respectively. The diffuse reflectance spectra for Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ (solid and dashed red traces, respectively) indicate the appearance of a broad band at 500-550 nm assigned to the Au SPR excitation, which resembles the spectra obtained for Au NPs as shown in Figure S1. This signal was not present in the individual CeO₂ and SiO₂ supports (solid and dashed black traces, respectively). XRD patterns (Figure 1D) showed that CeO₂ displayed a cubic Fm-3m structure (ICSD collection code 28753)²⁷ and SiO₂ presented the hexagonal P₃₂₂₁ structure (ICSD collection code 071396)²⁸ (black traces). The XRD data indicates that no significant crystalline impurities were present in the materials. While the peak associated to (111) reflection of *fcc* Au nanospheres in 38.5° was clearly detected in Au/SiO₂, its relative intensity was much smaller in Au/CeO₂. The relative low intensity of the SiO₂ XRD pattern facilitate the observation of the reflection associated to *fcc* Au, namely (111) at 38.5, (200) at 44.2, (220) at 64.4 and (311) at 77.3. The high intensity of the CeO₂ XRD pattern, associated

with the larger atomic number of Ce, obscures most of the reflection of the Au NPs in the XRD pattern of the Au/CeO₂ sample. However, the Au (111) can be clearly detected and we can confirm the FWHM of the peak is similar to the Au (111) reflection in the Au/SiO₂ sample (Figure S3). It is important to note that the Au loading and particle size distribution were similar in both samples as shown by FAAS and SEM/TEM.

It is well-established that the presence of Au NPs contribute to the reduction of surface CeO₂ layers, favoring the O₂ mobility and the presence of oxygen species at the interface as a result of metal-support interactions.³⁰ In order to probe this effect, we performed temperature-programmed reduction with hydrogen (H₂-TPR) experiments for both CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ materials as shown in Figure 2A (black and red traces, respectively). The H₂-TPR profile of CeO₂ was characterized by the presence of two reduction peaks: the peak at temperatures between 500-650 °C associated to the reduction of non-stoichiometric oxides of composition Ce_yO_x at the surface³¹ and the peak centered at 940 °C is ascribed to the reduction of CeO₂ to Ce₂O₃.^{32,33} In Au/CeO₂, it can be observed that the presence of Au lowered the temperature for the reduction of Ce_yO_x oxides at the surface (this peak shifted from 620 to 410°C). This agrees with a significant metal-support interaction due to Au deposition.³¹ Interestingly, the H₂-TPR profile for SiO₂ is characterized by a single peak assigned to the bulk SiO₂ reduction (SiO₂ does not display the same surface oxygen mobility properties as compared to CeO₂, Figure S4A). In both materials, no peaks related to the reduction of Au were observed (as expected for Au⁰).

The strong metal-support interactions and oxygen vacancy properties in CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ were further characterized by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 2B, black and red traces, respectively). It is noteworthy that oxygen vacancies are structural defects that can adsorb O₂ molecules and are intimately related to oxygen mobility and storage capacity³⁴. The Raman

spectra for Au/CeO₂ and CeO₂ presented similar profiles in terms of band intensity and position. The strong band centered at 467 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the symmetric stretching vibration mode of oxygen atoms around the Ce⁴⁺ ions.^{35,36} In addition, the Raman mode near 625 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the presence of oxygen vacancies, can be observed in the Au/CeO₂ material.^{36,37} Moreover, it is well known that the presence of Ce³⁺ is correlated with the generation of oxygen vacancies in order to maintain the electrostatic balance of the lattice.³⁸ The Ce 3d core levels XPS spectra show peak positions characteristic of CeO₂³⁹ with the presence of Ce³⁺ in both CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ samples (Figure S5A). Moreover, the photoemission spectrum of Au/CeO₂ in the Au 4f region (Figure S5B) showed two intense photoelectron peaks with maximum at binding energy (BE) values of 83.8 e and 87.4 eV ascribed to the Au 4f_{7/2} and 4f_{5/2} doublet, respectively. These BE values are characteristics of Au species in the metallic state,⁴⁰ in agreement with the XRD and H₂-TPR.

Figure 2C and D depict the XPS spectra for the O1s region for CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ samples, respectively. The signal was deconvoluted into three components located at 530, 531 and 533 eV (Table S1). These are assigned to lattice oxygen (O_L), oxygen vacancies or surface oxygen ions (O_S), and adsorbed water (O_W), respectively.⁴¹ It can be observed that, for both samples, the signal from O_L had the highest intensity, contributing with 68% and 53% of the signal detected for CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂, respectively. In Au/CeO₂, the decrease of the O_L contribution was directly associated with an increase of the O_S contribution. In fact, it increased from 29% in CeO₂ to 46% in Au/CeO₂. The O_S/O_L ratio (Table S1), also confirms that the O_S/O_L ratio was higher for Au/CeO₂ sample. These results suggest that more surface adsorbed oxygen species (O²⁻ or O⁻), or oxygen vacancies and defects have been created on the cerium oxide after the Au NPs addition as a result of metal-support interactions in this

system. The XPS spectra for the O1s and Au4f core-level regions of SiO₂ and Au/SiO₂ are shown in Figure S4C and D.

After the morphological, electronic, and chemical characterization of the obtained Au/CeO₂ material, we turned our attention to the investigation of the SPR-mediated catalytic activities. We were particularly interested in probing and isolating the effect of the activated oxygen species at the metal-support interface over the plasmonic catalytic activities. In Au/CeO₂ materials, reactive O₂ species that arise from metal-support interactions can be present as superoxo (O₂⁻), peroxo (O₂²⁻), or dissociated (2x O²⁻) forms, which contribute to the detected superficial oxygen signals detected by XPS.⁴² Thus, it is highly anticipated that these species are expected to play a key role in oxidation reactions promoted by heterogeneous catalysts, in photocatalysis and/or SPR-mediated catalysis.

In order to address this challenge, our approach consisted on probing the plasmonic catalytic activity employing a transformation that relies on the activation of O₂ via SPR excitation. Specifically, this can be achieved by: *i*) comparing the performances of Au/CeO₂ (in which the SPR excitation can activate oxygen species from both metal-support interactions and from O₂ atmosphere) relative to Au/SiO₂ (in which SPR excitation can activate only atmospheric O₂ due the absence of active oxygen species originating from metal-support interactions) as depicted Figure 3; and *ii*) probing the performances of Au/CeO₂ in the absence of O₂ atmosphere.

We started by employing the SPR-mediated oxidation of *p*-aminothiophenol (PATP) to *p,p'*-dimercaptoazobenzene (DMAB) by activated O₂ as a model reaction according to the following equation:

In this transformation, SPR excitation in Au NPs can lead to the formation of hot electrons, i.e., electrons that occupy energy states above the Fermi level during plasmon oscillation.^{43,44} Under appropriate conditions, these hot electrons can trigger charge transfer processes at the metal-molecule interface and thus mediate the oxidation or reduction transformations.^{45,46} In the context of the SPR-mediated PATP oxidation, hot electrons produced from SPR-excited Au NPs can be transferred to adsorbed O₂ molecules (from air), which are activated to ²O₂⁻ ions, which subsequently contribute to the DMAB formation at the metal surface.^{47,48,49,50}

The laser-power-dependent spectra employing 632.8 nm as the excitation wavelength for Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials that had been functionalized with PATP are shown in Figures 4A and B, respectively. The spectra contain two sets of bands: (i) the signals at 1081, 1142, 1390, 1433 and 1575 cm⁻¹ that can be assigned to the A_g modes of DMAB; and (ii) the signals at 1081, 1489 and 1593 cm⁻¹ that can be assigned to the A₁ modes of PATP.⁴⁸ The PATP conversion can be monitored from the 1433:1081 cm⁻¹ DMAB:(PATP+DMAB) intensity ratios, which are depicted in Figure 4C. Our results indicated that the PATP conversion for the Au/CeO₂ material was higher than for Au/SiO₂ under all laser powers. For example, it was around 3-fold higher than for Au/SiO₂ material when the laser-power corresponded to 0.9 mW. It is important to note that an increase in PATP conversion as a function of laser-power irradiation was detected. This observation agrees with the SPR-mediated mechanism for DMAB formation.^{49–51} Moreover, no PATP signals were detected when the pure CeO₂ and SiO₂ oxides were employed as substrates.

We also investigate the laser-wavelength-dependence in the PATP conversion. Lower PATP conversions were detected at 785 nm relative to 633 nm (Figure 4D), even though the

laser intensity employed at 785 nm was higher. This is in agreement with the stronger SPR excitation at 633 nm as a result of the better matching between incoming wavelength and SPR excitation positions.⁵²

We would like to propose that the higher performances observed for Au/CeO₂ relative to Au/SiO₂ is associated with the presence of reactive oxygen species at the metal-support interface. These species can be, in addition to atmospheric O₂, be activated by the SPR excitation and thus leading to increased PATP conversions. By using this assumption, it can be observed that the active oxygen species that are present as a result of the metal support interactions contribute with 54, 37, 65, and 68% of the activities towards PATP conversion when the laser power corresponded to 0.01, 0.08, 0.38, and 0.90 mW, for example. Surprisingly, this result demonstrates that, for oxidations promoted by activated O₂, metal-support interactions can not only be put to work towards the optimization of SPR-mediated transformations, but also can play an even more important role towards the detected performances relative to atmospheric O₂. This is especially attractive in the context of the utilization of plasmonics towards green catalytic oxidations under ambient conditions.

It is important to note that the SPR wavelength for the Au/CeO₂ NPs is shifted to 540 nm relative to 520 nm in the Au/SiO₂ sample (Figure 1C). This red shift could improve the resonance between SPR frequency and the wavelength of the excitation source, and thus lead to higher PATP conversion for the Au/CeO₂ sample relative to Au/SiO₂. However, we believe that this effect does not play the major role over the detected activities. We propose that the major contribution for the detected activities comes from the activation of oxygen species from CeO₂. In order to further support this hypothesis, we prepared Au NPs supported on TiO₂ and ZrO₂. These samples display SPR wavelengths that are red-shifted relative to Au/SiO₂ and on similar spectral positions as compared to Au/CeO₂ (Figure S6A). Despite the red-shifted SPR

positions, both Au/TiO₂ and Au/ZrO₂ samples displayed PATP conversions that were similar to Au/SiO₂ and much lower relative to Au/CeO₂ (Figure S6B).

We also probed the catalytic activity of Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ towards the oxidation of aniline driven by visible-light under ambient conditions (room temperature, 1 atm of O₂ or N₂, and employing a 300 W tungsten lamp as the excitation source). Figure 5 shows the conversion (%) for the oxidation of aniline after 12 hours by employing Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ as catalysts under O₂ (red bars). Interestingly, the utilization of both Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ led to the selective oxidation of aniline to azobenzene under visible light illumination as confirmed by gas chromatography. The utilization of Au/CeO₂ nanoparticles led to 35 % of conversion after 12 h under O₂ atmosphere. This conversion (%) was significantly higher relative to Au/SiO₂ nanoparticles (25%). The temperature of the reaction mixture at the end of the reaction corresponded to ~35 °C and no significant conversion was obtained in the absence of any catalyst or in the presence of the CeO₂ and SiO₂ supports. As all catalytic experiments were carried out using the same loading of Au, the differences in conversion (~10 %) between the Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ nanoparticles were here attributed to the activation of oxygen species from the metal-support interface. In these conditions, activation of oxygen species from the metal-support interface contributed with 28.6% to the detected conversion percentage. It is important to note that no conversion was detected in the absence of the Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ (blank reactions). Moreover, experiments without visible-light excitation (dark controls) at 35 °C led to 2.0 and 0.5% conversions for Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂, respectively.

These results also agree with the aniline conversion percentages obtained in the absence of atmospheric O₂ (experiments carried out under N₂, blue bars). As expected, no significant conversion was detected for Au/SiO₂ (3.5 %). On the other hand, Au/CeO₂ displayed

a 12 % conversion (the only contribution of catalysis come from oxygen species arising from metal-support interactions).

In order to confirm that the transfer of SPR-excited hot electrons from Au NPs to CeO₂ can take place, we studied the photocurrent response of pure CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ electrodes in the photoelectrochemical oxygen evolution reaction (electrolyte used was KOH 0.1M, pH 13). The light source used was a white light-emitting diode (LED) lamp with maximum intensity at 565 nm and 0.1W/cm² total output power. The electrodes were prepared by a sol-gel method using the spin-coating technique as detailed in the experimental section, which yielded Au/CeO₂ thin films with Au NPs size distribution and SPR similar to those of the powder samples also prepared in this work. Figure S7A shows the chopped light (light/dark) linear sweep voltammetry of pure CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ electrodes. Whereas CeO₂ electrode remains unresponsive to illumination, the voltammetry scan using the Au/CeO₂ electrode shows a clear anodic photocurrent, which can be assigned to the injection of SPR-excited hot electrons from Au to CeO₂.²³

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated a facile approach for probing and isolating the relative contribution of oxygen species that originate from metal support interactions over the SPR-mediated catalytic activities. We employed Au/CeO₂ as a model catalyst and oxidations mediated by activated O₂ as model transformations. In this case, our approach consisted of comparing the activities of Au/CeO₂ catalysts (SPR excitation can activate oxygen species from both metal-support interactions and from O₂ atmosphere) relative to Au/SiO₂ (SPR excitation can activate only atmospheric O₂ due the absence of active oxygen species originating from

metal-support interactions) and probing the performances of Au/CeO₂ in the absence of O₂ atmosphere. Surprisingly, we found that the activation of oxygen species from the metal-support interface in Au/CeO₂ can lead to substantial improvements in catalytic activity. Moreover, these species can play, under appropriate conditions, a major role over activities relative to the activation of atmospheric O₂, which is very commonly used as an oxidant in the context of green catalytic transformations. These results provide new insights on the various factors governing plasmonic catalytic activities and can inspire the development of novel optimization strategies for the efficient use of plasmonic effects to drive solar-powered catalytic transformations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Instrumentation

Analytical grade hydrogen tetrachloroaurate trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O, 48% Au, Sigma-Aldrich), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, Sigma-Aldrich, $M_w = 55.000 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$), L-ascorbic acid (C₆H₈O₆, ≥ 99.0%, BioXtra, Sigma-Aldrich), *p*-aminothiophenol (HSC₆H₄NH₂, > 97%.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), *p*-nitrophenol (HOC₆H₄NO₂, > 98%, Merck-Schuchardt), ethanol (C₂H₅OH, Vetec), acetone (C₃H₆O, Sigma-Aldrich), aniline (C₆H₅NH₂, Synth), dimethyl sulfoxide (C₂H₆OS, > 99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich), silica (pore size 22nm, 155 m²g⁻¹, CAS number 112926-00-8, Sigma-Aldrich), titanium oxide (pore size 20 nm, > 30 m²g⁻¹, CAS number 13463-67-7, Degussa), cerium oxide (pore size < 50 nm, 30 m²g⁻¹, CAS number 1306-38-3, Sigma-Aldrich), tungsten oxide (pore size < 100 nm, CAS number 1314-35-8, Sigma-Aldrich), and zirconium oxide (pore size < 100 nm, > 25 m²g⁻¹, CAS number 1314-23-4, Sigma-Aldrich) were used without further purification. Deionized water (18.2 MΩcm) was used throughout the experiments.

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a JEOL field emission gun microscope, JSM 6330F, operated at 5 kV. The samples were prepared by drop-casting an aqueous suspension containing the Au nanospheres, Au/oxide hybrids and pure oxides over a commercial silicon wafer, followed by drying under ambient conditions. The average diameter of the Au nanospheres was determined by individually measuring the diameter of 100 nanostructures from the SEM images. The UV-VIS spectra were obtained from aqueous suspensions of the nanostructures with a Shimadzu UV-2600 PC spectrophotometer, using quartz cuvettes (optical path = 1 cm). Diffuse reflectance measurements were conducted in a ISR-2600 Integrating Sphere attachment, from the Au/oxides and oxides solid samples. Raman and SERS spectra were acquired on a Renishaw InVia Raman Microscope equipped with a CCD detector. The laser beam was focused on the sample by using a 50x lens. The experiments were performed under ambient conditions in a back-scattering geometry. The samples were irradiated 632.8 nm (He-Ne, RL633 Renishaw Class 3B) or at 785 nm (Diode Laser, Renishaw) with controlled laser power outputs. All Raman spectra were acquired in a single scan with 10 s accumulation time. Gold content in the catalyst was measured by FAAS analysis, on a Shimadzu AA-6300 spectrophotometer using a Au hollow cathode lamp (Photron). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded in a Rigaku Miniflex diffractometer with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54 \text{ \AA}$) at a 2θ range from 10 to 90°, with a step size of 0.02° and acquisition time of 1° min⁻¹. Temperature-programmed reduction with hydrogen (H₂-TPR) was carried out in a Quantachrome ChemBET-Pulsar instrument equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Typically, 0.05 g of a catalyst was dried with N₂ flow at 125 °C for 1h and then cooled down to room temperature. The TPR profiles were obtained between 50 and 1100 °C in a flow of 10% H₂/N₂, the temperature increasing linearly at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were taken with Thermo Scientific K-Alpha

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A

spectrometer using a 72 W monochromated Al K α source ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV). The X-rays are focused to give a spot size on the sample of 400 μm in diameter. The analyzer is a double focusing 180-degree hemisphere with mean radius of 125 mm. It is run in constant analyzer energy (CAE) mode. The pass energy was set to 200 eV for survey scans and 50 eV for high resolution region scans. All XPS spectra were referenced according to the adventitious C 1s peak (285 eV). All binding energies were referenced to the C 1s core level at 284.8 eV. Fitting of the experimental spectra was carried out using mixed Gaussian/Lorentzian peak shapes employing CasaXPS software. Semiquantitative analysis accounted for a nonlinear Shirley background subtraction.

Synthesis of Au nanospheres (Au NPs)

In a typical synthesis, 6 mL of an aqueous solution containing 35 mg of PVP and 60 mg of ascorbic acid was prepared. This mixture was heated to 90 °C for 10 min with magnetic stirring. Then, 1 mL of 3 mM AuCl $_4^-$ (aq) was added dropwise with magnetic stirring and the reaction allowed to proceed for 3 h. The obtained Au NPs were washed several times with 1.5 mL of water by successive cycles of centrifugation and removal of the supernatant and re-suspended in water for further use.⁵³ This procedure was scaled up by 20 folds for the synthesis of Au/CeO $_2$ and Au/SiO $_2$ materials.

Synthesis of Au/CeO $_2$ and Au/SiO $_2$ materials

The incipient wetness impregnation method was adopted to immobilize the suspension containing the Au NPs obtained in the previous section over the surface of the commercial metal oxides. In a typical procedure, the obtained Au NPs suspension (scaled-up synthesis) was concentrated in 4.5 mL of ethanol (the Au concentration in this suspension

corresponded to 21 mM). This suspension was dropped onto 500 mg of commercial SiO₂ or CeO₂ to obtain 5 wt% in terms of Au. After the addition of *ca.* 0.5 mL of the suspension into the solid (that was placed in a petri dish), the sample was homogenized using a spatula. In order to avoid slurry formation, the solid was heated for 30 min at 120 °C to remove the excess of ethanol. This process was performed until all the suspension was incorporated into the support, and the material was left to dry a furnace kept at 120 °C overnight placed in the petri dish.⁵⁴

Preparation of Raman substrates

The Au/SiO₂ and Au/CeO₂ solids were suspended in 25 μ L of H₂O. 25 μ L of the resulting suspension was drop-cast onto a 1x1 cm Si(001) surface and dried in air. The sample was then immediately used for the Raman measurements. For SERS measurements, 40 μ L of a 1.0 mM ethanolic solution of *p*-aminothiophenol was drop-cast on the corresponding Raman substrates, followed by drying under ambient conditions. All samples were used immediately for SERS measurements after preparation. All spectra were normalized with respect to the Raman band at 1081 cm⁻¹ for the ease of comparison.

SPR-mediated oxidation of aniline

50 mg of Au/CeO₂, Au/SiO₂, CeO₂, or SiO₂ were dispersed in 2 mL of DMSO. In a typical experiment, a mixture of aniline (1 mmol), 66 mg of KOH and 2 mL of the suspension containing the catalysts was transferred to a 15 mL round-bottom flask. After this mixture was purged with O₂ or N₂, it was connected to a balloon filled with O₂ or N₂ (1 atm), respectively. This system was kept under stirring for 12 h at room temperature and irradiated with a 300 W halogen tungsten lamp (OSRAM) mounted at 10 cm from the glass reactor. After the reaction

was completed, the products were centrifuged and analyzed by a GC 2010 Plus Shimadzu instrument equipped with a RTx®-5MS capillary column capillary column. GC conditions: injector 260°C; detector: 110°C; pressure: 100 kPa. Column temperature: 80°C, 1°C/min up to 280°C.

Preparation of Electrodes and Photoelectrochemical Characterization

Sample electrodes were prepared by a spin coating technique. Cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Acros Organics, ≥99.5%) and hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) (Acros Organic, ACS reagent grade) were dissolved in mixture of acetic acid/water 5:2 V/V in a 1:0.05 and 1:0.10 mol ratio to give 4 cm³ of a solution with 1 M total metal concentration, which was then diluted with 2 cm³ 2-methoxy-ethanol. The resulting solution was used to spin-coat fluorine-doped tin oxide glass subtracted at 3000 rpm. Prior to coating, the substrates were cleaned by ultrasound rinsing in acetone and then in ethanol for 10 minutes each. After coating, substrates were placed in a furnace pre-heated to 200 °C. As soon as the coated substrate were in the furnace, it was set to 400 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. After 4 hours, the substrates were quenched to room temperature. One coat-annealing cycle was enough to produce continuous films, whose thickness can be controlled by increasing the number of cycles. However, the study presented in this report was done using one-cycle thin films.²³

The electrolyte was prepared by dissolving 50 mmols potassium hydroxide (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99.0%) and 200 mmol potassium sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99.0%) in 500 mL of distilled water. The sample was placed in a PEC cell with a 3 mm diameter opening. Photoelectrochemical measurement was made using a Zahner Elektrik Impedance Measurement Unit (Model IM6), using a a white light-emitting diode (LED) lamp with maximum intensity at 565 nm and 0.1W/cm² total output power which was calibrated to

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A

closely resemble the AM1.5 global spectrum at 100 mW/cm². For the three-electrode measurements of the CeO₂ and Au-CeO₂ photoanodes, the counter electrode was a Pt wire with excess surface area, and the reference electrode was Ag/AgCl (3M NaCl). Electrochemical potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) (grant numbers 2015/21366-9 and 2015/26308-7). R.S.G. thanks to CAPES for research fellowship. J.Q. thanks São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant number 2016/17866-9. C.J.R thanks the CNPq for the fellowship. P.H.C.C. and R.A.A. thank the CNPq for the research fellowships.

REFERENCES

- 1 S. Linic, U. Aslam, C. Boerigter and M. Morabito, *Nat Mater*, 2015, **14**, 567–576.
- 2 M. L. Brongersma, N. J. Halas and P. Nordlander, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **10**, 25–34.
- 3 C. Boerigter, U. Aslam and S. Linic, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 6108–6115.
- 4 Z. Zhenyi, H. Jindou, F. Yurui, Z. Mingyi, L. Kuichao and D. Bin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606688.
- 5 J. Wen, J. Xie, H. Zhang, A. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Chen and X. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 14031–14042.
- 6 K. Pan-Yong, R. Jing-Run, L. Zhao-Qing, W. Hong-Juan, L. Nan, S. Yu-Zhi, J. Yong-Gang and Q. Shi-Zhang, *Chem. A Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 15360–15368.
- 7 X. Wei, C. Shao, X. Li, N. Lu, K. Wang, Z. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 11034–11043.
- 8 Z. Zhenyi, J. Xiaoyi, L. Benkang, G. Lijiao, L. Na, W. Li, H. Jindou, L. Kuichao and D. Bin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705221.
- 9 K. Pan-Yong, Z. Ping-Xuan, L. Zhao-Qing, L. Jin-Long, W. Hao, L. Nan and M. Tian-Yi, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 6735–6744.
- 10 U. Aslam, S. Chavez and S. Linic, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 1000.
- 11 S. Mukherjee, F. Libisch, N. Large, O. Neumann, L. V. Brown, J. Cheng, J. B. Lassiter, E. A. Carter, P. Nordlander and N. J. Halas, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 240–247.
- 12 P. Christopher, H. Xin and S. Linic, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 467–472.
- 13 A. Marimuthu, J. Zhang and S. Linic, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 1590–1593.
- 14 A. G. M. da Silva, T. S. Rodrigues, V. G. Correia, T. V Alves, R. S. Alves, R. A. Ando, F. R.

- Ornellas, J. Wang, L. H. Andrade and P. H. C. Camargo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 7111–7115. New Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A
- 15 J. Wang, R. A. Ando and P. H. C. Camargo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 6909–6912.
 - 16 D. Ravelli, M. Fagnoni and A. Albini, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 97–113.
 - 17 C. Meng, K. Yang, X. Fu and R. Yuan, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 3760–3766.
 - 18 A. Tanaka, K. Hashimoto and H. Kominami, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14526–14533.
 - 19 B. Li, B. Zhang, S. Nie, L. Shao and L. Hu, *J. Catal.*, 2017, **348**, 256–264.
 - 20 J. Wang, F. J. Trindade, C. B. De Aquino, J. C. Pieretti, S. H. Domingues, R. A. Ando and P. H. C. Camargo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 9889–9894.
 - 21 L. Papa, I. C. De Freitas, R. S. Geonmonond, C. B. De Aquino, J. C. Pieretti, S. H. Domingues, R. A. Ando and P. H. C. Camargo, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 11720–11729.
 - 22 T. Montini, M. Melchionna, M. Monai and P. Fornasiero, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 5987–6041.
 - 23 H. Jia, X. M. Zhu, R. Jiang and J. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 2560–2571.
 - 24 B. Chen, X. Li, R. Zheng, R. Chen and X. Sun, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 13382–13391.
 - 25 V. A. and R. P. and M. F. and O. M. M. and M. A. Iatì, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 2017, **29**, 203002.
 - 26 J. A. Rodriguez, R. Si, J. Evans, W. Xu, J. C. Hanson, J. Tao and Y. Zhu, *Catal. Today*, 2015, **240**, Part, 229–235.
 - 27 L. Pautrot-D'Alençon, P. Barboux and J. P. Boilot, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **39**, 261–267.
 - 28 R. Chelikowsky, N. Troullier and E. King, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1991, **44**, 489–497.
 - 29 T. S. Wu, H. D. Li, Y. W. Chen, S. F. Chen, Y. S. Su, C. H. Chu, C. W. Pao, J. F. Lee, C. H. Lai, H. T. Jeng, S. L. Chang and Y. L. Soo, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 15415.
 - 30 D. Andreeva, I. Ivanov, L. Ilieva, J. W. Sobczak, G. Avdeev and T. Tabakova, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.*, 2007, **333**, 153–160.
 - 31 A. H. M. da Silva, T. S. Rodrigues, A. G. M. da Silva, P. H. C. Camargo, J. F. Gomes and J. M. Assaf, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2017, **52**, 13764–13778.
 - 32 A. G. M. Silva, T. S. Rodrigues, A. Dias, H. V. Fajardo, R. F. Goncalves, M. Godinho and P. A. Robles-Dutenhefner, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 814–821.
 - 33 S. Mandal, K. K. Bando, C. Santra, S. Maity, O. O. James, D. Mehta and B. Chowdhury, *Appl. Catal. A-General*, 2013, **452**, 94–104.
 - 34 J. Li, X. Liu, W. Zhan, Y. Guo, Y. Guo and G. Lu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 897–907.
 - 35 A. Nakajima, A. Yoshihara and M. Ishigame, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 13297–13307.
 - 36 S.-Y. Chen, R.-J. Chen, W. Lee, C.-L. Dong and A. Gloter, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 3274.
 - 37 C. M. Kalamaras, K. Petallidou and A. M. Efstathiou, *Appl. Catal. B.*, 2013, **143**, 225–238.
 - 38 X. Liu, K. Zhou, L. Wang, B. Wang, Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 3140–3141.
 - 39 M. M. Natile, G. Boccaletti and A. Glisenti, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 6272–6286.
 - 40 J.-D. Grunwaldt, C. Kiener, C. Wögerbauer and A. Baiker, *J. Catal.*, 1999, **181**, 223–232.
 - 41 J. Quiroz, J.-M. Giraudon, A. Gervasini, C. Dujardin, C. Lancelot, M. Trentesaux and J.-F. Lamonier, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2260–2269.
 - 42 M. Setvin, U. Aschauer, P. Scheiber, Y.-F. Li, W. Hou, M. Schmid, A. Selloni and U.

- Diebold, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 988–991.
- 43 M. E. Stewart, C. R. Anderton, L. B. Thompson, J. Maria, S. K. Gray, J. A. Rogers and R. G. Nuzzo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 494–521.
- 44 N. Jiang, X. Zhuo and J. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **118**, 3054–3099.
- 45 L. Bin Zhao, M. Zhang, Y. F. Huang, C. T. Williams, D. Y. Wu, B. Ren and Z. Q. Tian, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **5**, 1259–1266.
- 46 J. Chu, P. Miao, X. Han, Y. Du, X. Wang, B. Song and P. Xu, *ChemCatChem*, 2016, **8**, 1819–1824.
- 47 X. Hu, T. Wang, L. Wang and S. Dong, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 6962–6969.
- 48 Y. Fang, Y. Li, H. Xu and M. Sun, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 7737–7746.
- 49 S. Wu, J. Wang, P. Song and L. Xia, *Plasmonics*, 2016, 611–620.
- 50 Y. F. Huang, M. Zhang, L. Bin Zhao, J. M. Feng, D. Y. Wu, B. Ren and Z. Q. Tian, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2353–2357.
- 51 J. L. Wang, R. A. Ando and P. H. C. Camargo, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3815–3819.
- 52 W. Hermoso, T. V. Alves, C. C. S. De Oliveira, E. G. Moriya, F. R. Ornellas and P. H. C. Camargo, *Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **423**, 142–150.
- 53 T. C. Damato, C. C. S. de Oliveira, R. A. Ando and P. H. C. Camargo, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 1642–1649.
- 54 L. Ma, I. Jia, X. Guo and L. Xiang, *Chinese J. Catal.*, 2014, **35**, 108–119.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C8NR00934A

Figure 1. SEM and TEM (insets) images for (A) Au/SiO₂ and (B) Au/CeO₂ materials. The scale bars in the insets correspond to 100 nm. (C) Diffuse reflectance spectra for Au/CeO₂, CeO₂, Au/SiO₂ and SiO₂ (solid red, solid black, dashed red and dashed black lines, respectively). (D) X-ray diffraction patterns for SiO₂, Au/SiO₂, CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ (top to bottom traces, respectively).

Figure 2. (A) Temperature programmed reduction profiles for CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ materials (black and red lines, respectively). (B) Raman spectra of CeO₂ and Au/CeO₂ materials (black and red lines, respectively). All spectra were recorded under 632.8 nm with an exposure time of 20 s. (C and D) Deconvoluted XPS spectra of the O 1s core level for CeO₂ (C) and Au/CeO₂ (D).

Figure 3. Scheme for the SPR-mediated oxidation of PATP to DMAB promoted by activated oxygen under visible light employing Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ as substrates. In Au/CeO₂ (A), the activation of atmospheric oxygen (O₂ black trace) and surface oxygen species (O_s, orange trace) take place and contribute to the PATP to DMAB conversion. In Au/SiO₂ (B), only the activation of atmospheric oxygen takes place.

Figure 4. Laser-power-dependent SERS spectra for (A) Au/CeO₂ and (B) Au/SiO₂ materials that had been functionalized with PATP at 0.01, 0.08, 0.38 and 0.90 mW with an exposure time of 10 s. All spectra were recorded employing 632.8 nm as the excitation wavelength and were normalized with respect to the band at 1081 cm⁻¹. (C and D) Laser-power-dependent (C) and laser-wavelength-dependent (D) oxidation of PATP, expressed as the DMAB:(PATP+DMAB) ratio, for Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ (red and blue lines/bars, respectively).

Figure 5. Oxidation of aniline catalyzed by Au/CeO₂ and Au/SiO₂ materials under O₂ and N₂ atmospheres (red and blue bars, respectively). Conversion % are expressed for 12 h of reaction time. The reaction was carried out under ambient conditions and employing DMSO as the solvent. The Au loading corresponded to 4.2 %wt in all cases.

This paper unravels the contribution from active surface oxide species at the metal-support interface over activities in SPR-mediated oxidation reactions.