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Electronic Structure Analysis of the Diels–Alder Cycloaddition Catalyzed by Alkali-Exchanged Faujasites

Roderigh Y. Rohling, Ionut C. Tranca, Emiel J. M. Hensen, and Evgeny A. Pidko

1. INTRODUCTION

The Diels–Alder cycloaddition (DAC) is a widely used synthetic methodology for constructing new carbon–carbon bonds. It is a pericyclic reaction in which a 1,3-conjugated diene couples with a dienophile possessing a double or a triple bond. The mechanism of the DAC reaction involves a concerted bond formation in the transition state. Depending on the electronic structure of the reactants, this can happen either synchronously or asynchronously if the nascent bonds are of equal or unequal length, respectively. If either of the reactants is highly activated, the reaction can proceed via a two-step mechanism characterized by the formation of an intermediate (I) with one C–C bond formed.

The reaction proceeds through the formation of a transition state in which the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) symmetries need to be conserved, a requirement that led to the formulation of the Woodward–Hoffmann rules. These selection rules aid in assessing whether the reaction is symmetry forbidden (e.g., ethylene–ethylene, [2 + 2] cycloaddition) or allowed (e.g., ethylene–1,3-dibutadiene, [4 + 2] cycloaddition) and allow determination of the final stereochemistry of the product. Furthermore, a proportionality has been proposed between the DAC-activation barrier and the energy and symmetry of the highest-occupied-molecular-orbital (HOMO) and the lowest-unoccupied-molecular-orbital (LUMO) of the reactants. Three mechanisms are distinguished based on these energy levels, being the normal, inverse, and the neutral electron demand mechanisms. A schematic representation of the first two mechanisms is shown in Figure 1a. The normal electron demand mechanism is related to the normal energy gap ($\epsilon_{\text{norm}}$: $|\text{HOMO}_{\text{diene}} - \text{LUMO}_{\text{dienophile}}|$). The inverse electron demand mechanism is related to the inverse energy gap ($\epsilon_{\text{inv}}$: $|\text{LUMO}_{\text{diene}} - \text{HOMO}_{\text{dienophile}}|$). The third is the neutral electron demand mechanism in which $\epsilon_{\text{norm}} = \epsilon_{\text{inv}}$.

Narrowing the energy gap can be achieved through introduction of substituents to the reactants. Such substituents can be either electron-donating groups (EDG) or electron-withdrawing groups (EWG). Addition of an EDG to the diene increases the HOMO diene, while the introduction of electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) lowers the LUMO diene. This can affect the electronic structure of the reactants and thus the reactivity of the DAC reaction.

Supporting Information
an EWG to the dienophile lowers the LUMO_dienophile. An alternative method to accelerate the DAC reaction involves the use of Lewis acid catalysts, which are essentially EWGs.22 Apart from altering the electronic structure of the reactants by substituents or Lewis acids, the DAC reaction can also be catalyzed by confinement. Supramolecular complexes,25,26 enzymes,27–30 and the liquid phase31–35 are some illustrative examples of systems exhibiting confinement-driven catalysis of the DAC reaction. In supramolecular complexes, the specific molecular fit biases one reaction channel leading to high product-selectivity.25,26 Although only relatively few Diels–Alderases are known, enzymatic catalysis27–30 is characterized by both reactant prealignment and electrostatic and/or hydrophobic transition state stabilization. Lastly, liquid phase catalysis, sometimes termed "on-water catalysis,"31–35 is another example of confinement-driven reactivity. In this scenario, reactants experience a hydrophobic effect that pushes the reactants together in an aqueous nanocage accompanied by the subsequent stabilization of the transition state via hydrogen bonding.

Recently, we studied low-silica alkali-exchanged faujasite zeolite catalysts (MY, Si/Al = 2.4; M = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺) in the one-pot Diels–Alder cycloaddition (DAC)/dehydration (D) reaction of 2,5-dimethylfuran (DMF) with ethylene for p-xylene production, Figure 1b.36 These faujasite catalysts contain many accessible Lewis acid sites in the confined hydrophilic space of the faujasite supercage. Although KY proved to be the best catalyst for the overall reaction, RbY possessed the lowest DAC reaction barrier. The latter observation was surprising, because the lowest DAC reaction barrier was not expected for one of the weakest Lewis acids. Furthermore, the DAC-reactivity trend was also found to be insensitive to changes of the substituents when changing DMF to either furan or furandicarboxylic acid.37

It is known that substrate confinement in the micropores of cation-exchanged zeolites gives rise to perturbation of the substrate’s electronic structure, e.g. intermolecular orbital overlap in alkene photo-oxidation chemistry38 or changes in CO IR-stretching vibrations due to dual- and multisite adsorption modes.39 Recently, computational studies using a combination of electronic structure analysis techniques have led to an improved understanding of reactivity and scaling laws on transition metal surfaces,40,41 transition metal oxides,42 and zeolites.43 Among the applied methods were the density-derived electrostatic and chemical (DDEC) method,44–46 the crystal orbital hamilton population (COHP) analysis,47–51 and crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) analysis.52 By complementing the above methods with the topological analysis of the electron density in conformity with the quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM),53–57 we expect to elucidate the origin of catalysis of the DAC reaction by the MY systems.

Herein we report on an in-depth electronic structure analysis of the DAC reaction between DMF and ethylene over alkali-exchanged faujasites using periodic DFT calculations and a variety of electronic structure analysis tools. We establish fundamental understanding on the origin of the DAC reaction barrier trend inversion in low-silica alkali-exchanged faujasite-based catalysts. We do so by studying the conventional isolated site model and a model containing a high density of accessible active sites, Figure 1c and Figure 1d, respectively. The results show that the energy barrier is governed by confinement-induced initial-state (IS) destabilization and the cooperative action of the alkali cations in stabilizing the transition state (TS) via ionic interactions. Among the studied MY catalysts, RbY (Si/Al = 2.4) is found to exhibit an optimal combination of IS destabilization and TS stabilization.

2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

2.1. Reaction Energetics and Model. Similar to our previous works,36,37 we studied the DAC reaction between 2,5-dimethylfuran and ethylene over two types of periodic, rhombohedral faujasite models exchanged with alkali cations (M = Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺). The first model was a high-silica alkali-exchanged faujasite (Si/Al = 47, Si₇Al₉O₃₆M⁺, MFAU)
containing a single isolated site in the faujasite supercage. The second model represented a low-silica alkali-exchanged faujasite (Si/Al = 2.4, Si4Al10O28M6) containing a high density of accessible sites in the faujasite supercage. The placement of the cations has been described elsewhere.36

The periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the Vienna ab-initio simulation package (VASP) were performed with the gradient corrected PBE exchange-correlation functional48–62 and the projected-augmented-wave scheme (PAW) to describe the electron–ion interactions. Long-range dispersive interactions were accounted for by using the DFT-D3 method with Becke-Johnson damping.63,64 The k-point mesh was limited to the gamma point only with a plane-wave basis set cutoff energy of 500 eV. Typically, a root-mean-square (RMS) force convergence criterion of 0.015 eV/Å was employed. Occasionally, some models did not fully reach this strict RMS-force criterion and the convergence criterion was relaxed to 0.035 eV/Å. The forces exceeding the original criterion originated from forces acting on cations confined within the double six-membered rings connecting the sodalite cages, but which were distant from the active site. The relaxed convergence criteria were deemed acceptable because the current zeolite models feature extremely shallow potential energy surfaces. The structural optimization below 0.05 eV/Å usually leads to energy changes below 5 kJ/mol.

The transition state was identified utilizing a two-step procedure. First, a climbing-nudged-elastic band (CNEB) calculation65,66 was performed to estimate the minimum energy pathway (MEP) (5 eV/Å spring constant, maximum length hyperpseudoram image 0.5 Å). The accepted RMS force of the converged CNEB was 0.14 eV/Å or lower. Consecutively, geometry optimization of the identified transition state was continued using the quasi-Newton procedure (max. RMS < 0.015 eV/Å). To confirm the nature of the stationary point, we used the finite displacement method to compute the vibrational frequencies.

2.2. Electronic Structure Analysis. Bond orders, net atomic charges, the electron density, and Laplacian values at the bond critical points (BCPs), as well as the partial density of states (pDOS), crystal orbital Hamiltonian population (COHP), and crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) functions, were investigated (Supporting Information S1.1–S1.3).

2.3. Atomic Net Charges and Bond Critical Point Analysis. The atomic charges were computed according to the methods described by the Austin group51–56 (Supporting Information S1.1). Net atomic charges were obtained by referencing the charges against the ideal valence charge of every atom species. An in-house written script and freely available software67,68 were used to analyze and visualize the topology of the electron density in conformity with the QTAIM theory.53–57 Bond-critical points (BCPs) were assigned to saddle-points along the bond-paths. The Laplacian at a BCP was used to characterize the nature of the pairwise interaction, providing insight into the covalent/ionic character of a bond. A negative value of the Laplacian is indicative of a covalent bond, while a positive Laplacian indicates noncovalent bonds such as an ionic bond, hydrogen bond, or van der Waals interaction.69

2.4. Bond Orders. Bond orders (BO) were analyzed using the Chargemol code. We refer to the literature for extensive derivation of the equations necessary to both compute the bond orders and execute the underlying DDEC6-based charge partitioning.44–46 Briefly, the bond order of an atom pair A (in the unit cell) and j (atoms in both unit cell and periodic images) is described with eq 1:

$$B_{Aj} = CE_{Aj} + \Lambda_{Aj}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $B_{Aj}$ is the bond order between atom A and j, $CE_{Aj}$ is the contact exchange, and $\Lambda_{Aj}$ is the dressed exchange hole delocalization term. The term $CE_{Aj}$ describes the electron exchange between atoms A and j in a material, formulated in eq 2:

$$CE_{Aj} = 2 \oint \frac{\rho_i^\alpha (\vec{r}) \rho_j^\alpha (\vec{r})}{\rho_i^\beta (\vec{r}) \rho_j^\beta (\vec{r})} \rho(\vec{r}) d^3r$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where any $\rho_i^\alpha$ is the average spherical electron density of atom i as a function of the atomic electron distribution and atomic spin magnetization density vector obtained through DDEC6-based partitioning of the electron density. The term $\rho_i^\alpha$ is the sum of all $\rho_i^\alpha$ found in the material (unit cell + periodic images). Note that this equation deals with the dressed exchange hole, which is an adjusted (either more contracted or more diffuse) exchange hole to obtain more accurate bond orders. The second term in eq 1 is the dressed exchange hole delocalization term, defined according to eq 3:

$$\Lambda_{Aj} = \chi_{Aj}^{\text{coord.net}} \chi_{Aj}^{\text{pairwise}} + \chi_{Aj}^{\text{con.}} \leq CE_{Aj}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $\chi_{Aj}^{\text{coord.net}}$ accounts for coordination number effects and $\chi_{Aj}^{\text{pairwise}}$ for pairwise interactions, and $\chi_{Aj}^{\text{con.}}$ is a constraint on the density-derived localization index, $B_{Aj}$. The latter is a matrix that equals the total number of the dressed exchange electrons in the material (unit cell + periodic images). These terms are constraints and scaling relationships to keep the bond orders well-behaved.

2.5. Crystal Orbital Hamilton Population. The pDOS provides information on the electron density distribution as a function of energy. The crystal orbital Hamiltonian population is related to it and allows partitioning of the electron density distribution into bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding interaction domains (Supporting Information S1.3.).47–51

The $-\text{COHP}_j(E)$ is defined in eq 4 as

$$-\text{COHP}_j(E) = H_j \sum_n \delta (E - E_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $H_j$ represents the Hamiltonian matrix element between atomic orbitals $\phi_i$ and $\phi_j$, and $c_i$ and $c_j$ are the coefficients of these atomic orbitals in the molecular orbital $\psi_n$ ($\psi_n = \sum_i c_i \phi_i$). A positive value for $-\text{COHP}_j(E)$ symbolizes a bonding electronic interaction between the atomic orbitals i and j, while a negative value describes an antibonding interaction. A value of zero is associated with a nonbonding interaction. The integrated value of $-\text{COHP}_j(E)$, ICOHP, can be considered to be a measure for the bond strength. This formulation provides a good approximation of the bond energy as long as the repulsive energy of the nuclei is canceled by the double-counted electrostatic interactions.10

2.6. Crystal Orbital Overlap Population Analysis. The crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) of a bond is defined according to Hoffmann52 as

$$\text{COOP}_{ij}(E) = S J \sum_n \delta (E - E_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$
where $S_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle$ is the overlap of atomic orbitals $\phi_i$ and $\phi_j$. The values of COOP$_{ij}(E)$ also quantify the bonding or antibonding character of the orbital interactions, but the electron density is now weighted by the atomic orbital overlap $S_{ij}$ instead of the bond energy overlap $H_{ij}$. As a consequence, COOP$_{ij}(E)$ cannot quantitatively analyze the contribution of the bonds to the total energy like the $-\text{COHP}_{ij}(E)$ does. A quantitative evaluation of the bond strengths can nevertheless be obtained from the values of the integrated COOP (in unit of electrons).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Reaction Energies. All geometries of the zeolite models, reaction intermediates, and transition states involved in the DAC reaction between DMF and C$_2$H$_4$ were adopted from our previous study. A schematic representation of the reaction energy diagram is shown in Figure 2a. The dependency of the DAC activation energy ($E_{act}$) and the change in reaction energy ($E_{react}$) in the different models is given in Figure 2b. Changes to the reactant adsorption energy ($E_{ads}$) and $E_{act}$ in MY are shown in Figure S1.

The coupling reaction starts from the adsorbed states 1/ MFAU or 1/MY (e.g., DMF + C$_2$H$_4$ + MY → 1/MY) for the single- and multiple cation-exchanged faujasite models, respectively. In both models, DMF adsorbs in a $\eta^1$-fashion to the exchangeable SII cation. In MFAU, ethylene is physisorbed and interacting with the zeolite matrix via dispersive interactions. In MY, ethylene is $\eta^2$-coordinated to a neighboring SII cation. The DAC reaction yields the bicyclic intermediate 2 via a cyclic synchronous concerted transition state (TS1, $\Delta d = d(C_1\cdots C_6) - d(C_1\cdots C_5) < 0.04 \text{ Å}$).

Previous work showed a decrease in adsorption energy with a concomitant decrease in Lewis acidity of the cations in MFAU. Following adsorption, the reaction proceeds with ethylene approaching adsorbed DMF. The reaction energy decreases from $-89 \text{ kJ/mol}$ in LiFAU to $-20 \text{ kJ/mol}$ in CsFAU. As qualitatively expected for single Lewis acid catalysis, $E_{act}$ increases with decreasing Lewis acidity from 64 $\text{ kJ/mol}$ in LiFAU to 94 $\text{ kJ/mol}$ in CsFAU, attributed to Cs$^+$ being the weakest Lewis acid among the evaluated alkali cations. $E_{act}$ in KFAU deviates from the expected trend of increasing activation energy with decreasing Lewis acidity, explained elsewhere.

In the MY models, the reaction cycle starts with coadsorption of the reactants. The adsorption is generally weaker for the weaker Lewis acids. Note that the $E_{ads}$ of the individual compounds generally add up to the energy of the coadsorbed state 1/MY. This is, however, not the case in RbY ($E_{1/RbY} = -88 \text{ kJ/mol}$ vs $E_{ads, sum} = E_{ads, DMF} + E_{ads, ethylene} = -111 \text{ kJ/mol}$). With increasing cation radius, the interatomic C$_2$···C$_5$ and C$_1$···C$_6$ distances gradually decrease from ca. 5.6 to 3.5 Å for LiY to RbY, respectively. The size of the Cs$^+$ cations causes DMF and ethylene to be 3.8 Å apart. In MY systems, the trend in $E_{act}$ is inverted as compared to the MFAU systems. The highest barriers are now found for the strongest Lewis acids (Li$^+$, Na$^+$; $E_{act} = 105$ and 107 $\text{ kJ/mol}$, respectively) and the lowest barrier for one of the weakest Lewis acids (Rb$^+$, $E_{act} = 62 \text{ kJ/mol}$).

The changes to the reaction energies are investigated by correlating the change in $E_{act}$ with the change in $E_{react}$ for MFAU- and MY-based models, Figure 2b. We find a qualitative agreement between $E_{act}$ and $E_{react}$ for both models. Although not conclusive, this might hint at a resemblance of the TS to the FS. However, reaction energies alone are not sufficient to conclusively support this statement.

To conclude our study on the reaction energies, we investigated possible (co)adsorption effects on the activation barrier height in the MY models. The obtained trend shows that the activation energy does not correlate well with the (cumulative) adsorption energy (Figure S1). While we find the DMF adsorption energy to decrease with ca. 65 $\text{ kJ/mol}$ from LiY to KY, the activation energy only decreases with about 10 $\text{ kJ/mol}$. Furthermore, the trend in $E_{act}$ shows a minimum for RbY and sharply increases for CsY. If the activation barrier would be governed by the adsorption energy, one would also expect a low barrier for CsY.

In summary, the computed reaction energies indicate that formation of the TS is made easier by IS-destabilization in RbY as compared to the other modeled MY catalysts. However, the qualitative relation between $E_{react}$ and $E_{act}$ rule IS-destabilization out to be the only factor.

3.2. Alkali Cation Influence on the Energy Gaps. Next, we investigated a possible relation between changing activation barrier and Lewis acid catalysis by the various alkali cations. To that end, we computed the pDOS for every initial state in MFAU and MY. We also computed the degree of orbital overlap of the cations with the furanic oxygen atom (O$_{DMF}$) and DMF carbon backbone.

The pDOS of DMF, ethylene, and the five accessible cations is presented in Figure 3. Note that we have omitted the contributions of the hydrogen 1s-orbitals. These orbitals only...
increase the intensity of the bands and do not give rise to new bands. The values of $\epsilon_{\text{norm}}$, $\epsilon_{\text{inv}}$, and $E_{\text{act}}$ relevant to the pDOS of the cation in the panel are displayed too. Examples of COOP plots are shown in Figure S2 (NaY and RbY). These are representative examples for all other MY and illustrate the type of orbital interactions.

Inspection of Figure 3 shows that $\epsilon_{\text{norm}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{inv}}$ in MFAU vary only little for the different cations. Meanwhile, the activation energy increases with decreasing Lewis acidity. For instance, $\epsilon_{\text{norm}}$ ($\epsilon_{\text{inv}}$) is 4.7 (5.1) eV in LiFAU with an activation barrier of 64 kJ/mol, while those in CsFAU are 4.7 (5.1) eV and $E_{\text{act}}$ is 94 kJ/mol, respectively. The peak positions in Figure 3 show that there are no alkali s-orbital contributions below the Fermi-level. We note that the alkali cation bands seem to overlap with those of ethylene, but the cation and ethylene are too spatially separated to interact.

The pDOS of the MY models shows no qualitative trend with the energy gaps for this type of system either. For instance, $\epsilon_{\text{norm}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{inv}}$ in KY and RbY are similar, but the activation energies differ with 34 kJ/mol. The most significant band overlap between the alkali cation and DMF is found for NaY and RbY. In the former the LUMO$_{\text{DMF}}$ interacts with the LUMO+1 of Na+. In the latter, LUMO$_{\text{DMF}}$ interacts with the LUMO of Rb+. However, the ICOOP-analysis yields values of $-0.61$ and $-0.41$, respectively, indicating net antibonding orbital interaction between the cations and O$_{\text{DMF}}$. Furthermore, the interaction between the cation and the carbon backbone of the DMF furan ring is also antibonding with ICOOP values of $-0.05$ and $-0.12$ in NaY and RbY, respectively. For reference, ICOOP of C−C bonds reach $+0.36$; see also Table 1. From the data presented here we infer that changes to the DAC reaction barrier cannot be
Table 1. Integrated COHP and COOP Values for Selected Interatomic Interactions

| Table 1. Integrated COHP and COOP Values for Selected Interatomic Interactions |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ICOHP (ICOOP) | ICOHP (ICOOP) | ICOHP (ICOOP) | ICOHP (ICOOP) |
| O\textsubscript{H}--SII | O\textsubscript{H}--SIII | O\textsubscript{M}--M | M--C\textsubscript{H} |
| 1/LiY | 1.07 (0.01) | 2.51 (0.10) | 2.58 | 1.19 (0.13) |
| TS\textsubscript{1}/LiY | 0.60 (0.01) | 2.83 (0.12) | 2.89 | 1.10 (0.10) |
| 2/LiY | 0.06 (0.02) | 3.36 (0.17) | 3.42 | 1.06 (0.09) |
| 1/NaY | 0.03 (0.00) | 0.57 (0.03) | 0.61 | 0.27 (0.05) |
| TS\textsubscript{1}/NaY | 0.07 (0.00) | 0.63 (0.03) | 0.70 | 0.27 (0.04) |
| 2/NaY | 0.14 (0.01) | 0.73 (0.03) | 0.87 | 0.29 (0.05) |
| 1/KY | 0.14 (0.01) | 0.31 (0.01) | 0.45 | 0.26 (0.11) |
| TS\textsubscript{1}/KY | 0.20 (0.00) | 0.33 (0.01) | 0.53 | 0.24 (0.10) |
| 2/KY | 0.28 (0.02) | 0.38 (0.01) | 0.67 | 0.26 (0.10) |
| 1/RbY | 0.17 (0.00) | 0.24 (0.01) | 0.41 | 0.25 (0.12) |
| TS\textsubscript{1}/RbY | 0.19 (0.00) | 0.27 (0.01) | 0.46 | 0.21 (0.10) |
| 2/RbY | 0.27 (0.01) | 0.31 (0.01) | 0.58 | 0.22 (0.09) |
| 1/CsY | 0.11 (0.01) | 0.16 (0.01) | 0.27 | 0.17 (0.10) |
| TS\textsubscript{1}/CsY | 0.14 (0.01) | 0.17 (0.00) | 0.32 | 0.16 (0.09) |
| 2/CsY | 0.20 (0.01) | 0.21 (0.01) | 0.41 | 0.17 (0.06) |

| ratio | ICOHP (ICOOP) | ICOHP (ICOOP) |
| A/B | CI--C6 | C4--C5 |
| 0.00 (0.00) | 0.00 (0.00) |

The ICOOP values are shown in-between brackets. Positive ICOOP values indicate net bonding interactions whereas negative ICOOP values indicate net anti-bonding interactions.

ascribed to Lewis acid catalysis via interactions between the cations s-orbitals and reactant FMOs.

3.3. Electron Density Analysis. Because our periodic MY models are chemically the most representative models as compared to the MFAU isolated site models, we focus on analyzing the MY systems in greater detail. KY and RbY are systems of primary interest, although they will sometimes be referenced to other MY systems. KY is chosen, as we have shown that it is the most active catalyst among a set of alkali-exchanged FAU zeolites.\textsuperscript{30} RbY is chosen, as it is the most confined system, illustrated by the shortest C\textsubscript{1}···C\textsubscript{6}/C\textsubscript{4}···C\textsubscript{5} distances of the IS. Any confinement-driven interaction or feature that is lacking in RbY is assumed not to be found in any other MY system studied in this work.

Activated Reactant Complex. To analyze the intermolecular interactions in the IS between DMF and ethylene, we determined the atomic net charges and the properties of the electron density. Such properties are the Laplacian and the electron density at the bond critical points. The DMF and ethylene net molecular charges are reported in Figure 4 and Tables S1. These results were complemented by the bond-order analysis, performed to study the evolution of the C\textsubscript{1}···C\textsubscript{6}/C\textsubscript{4}···C\textsubscript{5} bonds over the course of the reaction, Figure 5.

The net molecular charges in Figure 4 are obtained by summing all atomic net charges belonging to the atoms part of the molecule. Note that when referring to ethylene in the TS or FS, we actually refer to the two methylene moieties originating from ethylene. Inspection of Figure 4 shows that the molecular net charges are typically low (|\Delta q| < 0.1). Furthermore, the net charge of ethylene typically cancels that of DMF in the TS and FS. A minor imbalance is found in TS1/RbY, amounting to \( -0.04 \) e\textsuperscript{−}. This net charge is considered insignificant and is believed to arise from asymmetric interactions with the framework. Furthermore, if charge transfer occurs to the zeolite matrix at all, it is considered too small to account for the large DAC reaction barrier reduction.

The electron density and the Laplacian values at the BCPs were compared for 1/KY and 1/RbY. The BCP-analysis indicates that there is no difference between 1/KY and 1/RbY. That is, both systems lack BCPs between the C1···C6 and C4···C5 atom pairs in the IS. Only upon formation of either TS1/KY or TS1/RbY, C1···C6 and C4···C5 BCPs are observed with electron densities of 0.46 e\textsuperscript{−}/Bohr\textsuperscript{3}, and Laplacians ranging from 0.64 to 0.69. To put this in perspective, a C1···C6 or C4···C5 bond in both 2/KY and

Figure 4. Evolution of the intramolecular bond orders in the DAC reaction between DMF and ethylene over MY. The final state is omitted. Note that the bond orders in the IS are 1 order of magnitude smaller.

Figure 4. DMF and ethylene net charges. Note that “ethylene” in the TS and FS refers to the two methylene moieties initially belonging to ethylene.
2/RbY has an electron density of 1.57 e\(^{-}/\text{Bohr}^3\) with a Laplacian of ca. \(-12.7\).

The BO-analysis (Figure 5) yielded only significant bond orders for 1/KY, 1/RbY, and 1/CsY for the C1···C6 and C4···C5 atom pairs, albeit small. Values are zero for LiY and NaY and reach a maximum of 0.014 for RbY. Formation of TS1 results in the appearance of partial bonds: the BO of the C1···C6 pair gradually increases from 0.2 to 0.229 (LiY to RbY) while the bond order of (C1···C6)\(_{\text{CsY}}\) is 0.225. The BO of the C4···C5 atom pair increases from 0.214 to 0.235 (LiY to RbY) with the bond order of (C4···C5)\(_{\text{CsY}}\) being 0.23. The error in our work is believed to be 0.01. The appearance of a trend in

---

Figure 6. Topological analysis of the electron density and molecular basins of selected cations and reactants. Atom colors are red = O, beige = Si, light or dark purple = alkali cation. Yellow basin = DMF, gray basin = ethylene, light blue basin = cation at SIII site, light green basin = cation at SII site. The white dashed line is meant to guide the eye.
the BO of the IS- and TS-BO in Figure 5 is consistent with the increasing cation radius, noting that ethylene in 1/CsY is located at a different position.

In summary, the DAC reaction occurs in a charge-neutral fashion with a bidirectional electron flow, in line with earlier findings using isolated site models. Additionally, we do not believe that the cations induce significant changes to the intermolecular charge transfer. The Laplacians indicate slight interactions between DMF and C2H4 in the IS. This is supported by the BO-analysis. These results qualitatively connect with our earlier hypothesis on IS destabilization.

**Zeolite–Reactant Interactions.** To understand the exact role of the exchangeable cations in the zeolite, we studied the interaction of the cations at the SII and SIII sites with the furanic oxygen atom (ODMF) in greater depth. We refer to the cation–furanic oxygen interactions as ODMF−SII and ODMF−SIII, respectively. The SII cation can also interact with the π-system of the DMF carbon backbone. This consists of the four carbon atoms in the furanic five-membered ring, grouped into a term we refer to as CDMF. We refer to the cation–carbon backbone interaction as the SII−CDMF interaction. We exclude the methyl side groups. BCPs of the aforementioned interactions have been analyzed (Figure 6, Table S2, and Figure S3) and were complemented by the results of the COHP-analysis (Table 1).

In 1/LiY and 1/NaY, a BCP for the ODMF−SII interaction is absent. Additionally, BO-values are only 0.001 in LiY and NaY. This indicates a lack of ODMF−SII interaction. In 1/KY, the BO is 0.034, but no BCP was detected. We attribute this to the magnitude of the electron density being below our analysis threshold, whereas no threshold is set for the BO-analysis.

In contrast, the BOs of ODMF−SII in 1/RbY and 1/CsY are 0.057 and 0.04, respectively. In addition, BCPs are found with electron densities of 0.101 e−/bohr³ and 0.064 e−/bohr³ for 1/RbY and 1/CsY, respectively. The Laplacians are 1.617 and 0.917, respectively.

An ODMF−SIII interaction is always present in 1/MY. This is no surprise, as the cation at the SIII site is the most accessible cation. Yet, BOs are generally low, varying between 0.097 and 0.061 in 1/LiY and 1/CsY, respectively. Upon reaching the transition state, the ODMF−SIII BO typically increases with a ∆BO of ca. 0.015−0.02 in all MY. The electron densities at the BCPs in the IS of 1/LiY and 1/CsY are 0.164 and 0.042 e−/bohr³, respectively. These BCPs have Laplacians of 3.677 to 0.534, respectively.

The positive values of the Laplacians and the relatively low electron density at the BCPs are indicative of ionic interactions between the cations and the furanic oxygen atom. This is in line with the antibonding orbital interactions found earlier.

We performed the COHP-analysis to quantify the interaction strengths. We note that the ICOHP should be interpreted with care, because no direct comparison between different systems is possible. For instance, the ODMF−SIII interaction strength cannot be plotted as a function of the cation. The studied systems have different total energies and very different chemical compositions so that the reference is not the same. Different models can only be compared by looking at ratios between the different components within every system.

**Table 1** lists the ICOHP and ICOOP results for each state (IS, TS, FS) and for each alkali cation. The ratio between the ΣICOHP(ODMF−M) and ICOOP(M−CDMF) is of interest. The cation–oxygen interactions are found to be dominant. This is most clear in 2/LiY and 2/NaY, for which the cumulative oxygen−cation interaction is found to be 3.24 and 2.95 times stronger than the CDMF−M interaction, respectively. The same ratios range from 1.55 to 1.71 in KY, RbY, and CsY. Thus ODMF−M electrostatic interactions dominate the overall interaction between the reactants and the alkali-exchanged faujasite catalyst.

### 3.4. Topological Analysis of the Electron Density

The selected results of the topological analyses of the electron density for the initial and transition states formed with LiY, KY, and RbY are shown in Figure 6. The more extensive data including the respective isosurfaces are included in Supporting Information, Figure S3. Atomic basins are visualized by the dashed yellow lines. The in-plane bond-paths are referred to as bond-path type I and are depicted as dashed blue lines. BCPs are shown with red dots. A dashed green line is referred to as bond-path type II and represents an out-of-plane bond-path between the SII cation and a carbon atom of the DMF methyl side group. Green dots represent ring-critical points. The bond-path between the SII-cation and one of the C-atoms of either the ethylene double bond or DMF C2/C3 bond is actually out-of-plane. Minor fluctuations in the electron density are believed to be responsible for lacking the second bond-path between the SII-cation and the other C-atom. This is supported by the bond-path showing a tendency to bifurcate in the 3D-visualization (data not shown). Thus, we envisage that the bond-path could potentially have been drawn between the SII-cation and the other C-atom of the aforementioned bonds as well (e.g., ethylene coordination to SII is highly symmetric). We interpreted this as an in-plane cation−π interaction and consequently projected the bond-path onto the plane.

The red numbers are hypervector lengths (Å) between an atom and the BCP. Their lengths are summarized in **Table S3**. The molecular basins have been plotted by summing the atomic basins present in either of the reactants. Thus, the yellow and gray volumes represent DMF and ethylene, respectively. The light blue and light green volumes represent the SII and SIII cations, respectively.

The projections show that ethylene and DMF already interact relatively significant in 1/KY and 1/RbY as compared to 1/LiY. We also note the flat surface (white dashed line) near the SII cation to which ethylene was initially adsorbed in TS1/KY and TS1/RbY. This is completely absent in the case of LiY. Upon comparing every pair of hypervectors that belong to the same bond-path, we find that the length becomes increasingly similar upon increasing alkali cation radius. This is most pronounced in the final state, to a lesser degree in the transition state, and least in the initial state. We ascribe this to the increasing cation radius. Analysis of 1/RbY and TS1/RbY in Figure 6 illustrates the practically equal total hypervector lengths between SII−ODMF and SIII−ODMF. Additionally, the changes in hypervector length are smaller for RbY. That is, hypervectors in 1 → TS1 and TS1 → 2 change with 0.03 (0.1) and 0.06 (0.04) Å for SII−ODMF (SIII−ODMF). In the Cs−exchanged Y zeolite, changes in TS1 → 2 are 0.05 and 0.15 Å for SII−ODMF and SIII−ODMF respectively.

To conclude, we measured the SII−ODMF and SIII−ODMF distances present in the obtained geometries (Table 2). Reflecting these on the M−O distances found in alkali oxides, we find that SII−ODMF and SIII−ODMF in TS1/RbY mimic the alkali oxide M−O distances best.
4. CONCLUSION

We have performed a periodic density functional theory study on the Diels–Alder Cycloaddition between DMF and ethylene over alkali-exchanged faujasites. Two models were studied; one resembled the isolated site model and one model contained a high density of accessible active sites.

The origin of the DAC-reactivity trend inversion in MY as compared to MFAU has been investigated with a wide variety of electronic structure analysis tools. We computed the atomic charges and performed the topological analysis of the electron density in conformity with the quantum theory of atoms in molecules, obtained bond orders using the density-derived electrostatic and chemical method (DDEC6), and investigated pairwise interatomic interactions with the crystal orbital population (COHP) and crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) analysis.

The results show confinement-driven reactivity characterized by initial-state destabilization upon increasing cation size, most pronounced in RbY. In all studied systems, multisite cooperativity stabilizes the transition state via ionic interactions. Cation–reactant orbital interactions are insignificant and are of net antibonding nature in the evaluated MY models. Changes to the geometry of the reactive complex are smallest in RbY. The furan oxygen–cation interatomic distances are most symmetric and closely resemble that of rubidium oxide. The combination of these effects is the cause of the DAC-reactivity trend inversion, with the lowest barrier found for RbY. These results illustrate the importance of confinement-driven reactivity and multisite cooperativity in alkali-exchanged zeolite catalysis.
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Table 2. Interatomic Distances (Å) in the TS As Measured for MY Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LiY</th>
<th>NaY</th>
<th>KI</th>
<th>RbY</th>
<th>CsY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d(SII···O)</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(SII···O)</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆d</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(O···M)</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Data adopted from ref 74.”
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