Localisation of mineralised tissue in a complex spinner flask environment correlates with predicted wall shear stress level localisation
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Abstract

Spinner flask bioreactors have often been employed for bone tissue engineering. However, the reasons for their success in facilitating bone growth remain inconclusive. It was hypothesised that engineered bone tissue formation can be attributed to mechanical stimuli, which can be predicted in the tissue engineered construct. To test the hypothesis and draw conclusions as to how mechanical stimulation affects cell behaviour, a multi-disciplinary approach using cell culture experiments and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to simulate the complex flow within the spinner flask and scaffold was employed. Micro-computed tomography and histology showed that statically cultured human bone marrow derived stromal cells on silk fibroin scaffolds did not form extracellular matrix (ECM) or deposit minerals. However, constructs cultured at 60 rpm resulted in ECM formation and mineralisation, mainly at the bottom of the scaffold (bottom: 78 ± 7%, middle: 17 ± 5%, top: 5 ± 2% of total mineralised volume). Culturing at 300 rpm led to a more homogeneously distributed ECM (bottom: 40 ± 14%, middle: 33 ± 1%, top: 27 ± 14% of total mineralised volume). These observations were in agreement (Pearson correlation coefficient: 97%) with the computational simulations that predicted maximal scaffold mineralisation, based on wall shear stress stimulation, in the bottom at 60 rpm and in the main body at 300 rpm. Such combinations of CFD modelling and experimentation could advance our knowledge of the mechanical stimuli that cells experience in vitro and link them to biological responses.
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generate fluid wall shear stress (WSS) on cells seeded within the scaffold pores (Zhao et al., 2015). In vivo, osteocytes react to WSS and translate mechanical stimuli into biochemical responses that affect bone remodelling (Klein-Nulend et al., 2012; Lanyon, 1993). In vitro studies demonstrate that osteoblasts are also sensitive to fluid-induced WSS. Fluid-induced WSS not only induces osteoblast proliferation and differentiation but also stimulates bone formation (Kapur et al., 2003; Norvell et al., 2004). WSS is capable of stimulating human MSCs (hMSCs) in vitro towards an osteoblastic phenotype in the absence of chemical induction (Yourek et al., 2010).

Among the different types of bioreactors, spinner flasks are often chosen due to their simplicity in utilisation. ALP activity and early osteogenic markers are significantly upregulated under dynamic conditions (30 rpm) in hMSCs, cultured for 3 weeks on PLGA scaffolds (Stiehler et al., 2009). Another long-term study with human dental pulp stem cells on silk fibroin scaffolds in spinner flask bioreactors shows an enhanced mineral deposition under dynamic conditions (120 rpm) as opposed to static culture after 47 d. Specifically, 0.2 ± 0.1 bone volume fraction % (BV/TV%) being measured under static conditions, while 4.9 ± 1.6 BV/TV% observed under dynamic conditions (Woloszyk et al., 2014).

Although simple in utilisation, the spinner flask bioreactor has a major disadvantage of the complex generated fluid flow within the bioreactor system and within the complex scaffold geometry. Therefore, the mechanical stimuli that cells receive within a scaffold are unknown. This makes it difficult to draw a conclusion on how mechanical stimuli modulate and ultimately affect cellular processes in vitro. Imitating mechanical environmental cues that cells experience in vivo, in a precise and controlled manner, could greatly improve and optimise cell and tissue responses in vitro (Lacroix et al., 2009). Controlling the mechanical environment within in vitro cell cultures would also lead to a greater reproducibility of experimental outcomes (Kirkpatrick et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2004).

In the current study, the working hypothesis was that the location of mineralised extracellular matrix (ECM), formed by cells within porous silk fibroin scaffolds, was dependent on and could be predicted by the mechanical stimulation applied to the cells in a spinner flask bioreactor. To test this, a multi-disciplinary approach was employed. According to previously defined mechano-regulation theories (Olivares et al., 2009; Vetsch et al., 2017) for mineralisation in vitro, the locations of mineralisation within the scaffold are predicted based on the flow-induced WSS in the scaffold pores. To compute the WSS, a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model was developed for the spinner flask bioreactor system and an idealised scaffold geometry. Corresponding experiments were carried out using hMSCs seeded on silk fibroin scaffolds cultured in spinner flasks under both static and dynamic conditions.

The experimentally obtained mineralised ECM distribution was correlated with the results of the CFD model. Such a model, capable of accurately determining the distribution of the (mineralised) ECM, could increase our understanding of which mechanical stimuli are sensed by cells and translated into biochemical reactions.

Materials and Methods

Computational fluid dynamics model

To quantify the mechanical stimulation generated within the scaffold and predict the area for osteogenesis and mineralisation, a CFD model was developed based on the spinner flask bioreactor (Fig. 1a). To save computational cost, a scaffold with idealised geometry, representing a regular 3D lattice structure which had a similar porosity (Φ) (Hofmann et al., 2007) and pore size (d) as the applied silk fibroin scaffold (i.e. Φ = 90 % and d = 275 µm), was used (Fig. 1b). A cubic porous unit was chosen based on the shape of NaCl crystals used as porogen for the silk fibroin scaffold. The density (ρ) and dynamic viscosity (µ) of the culture medium were 1000 kg/m³ and 1.45 mPa·s, respectively (Olivares et al., 2009). To determine the flow type, the Reynolds number (Re) of the flow in the spinner flask bioreactor was calculated according to Hörmann et al. (2011):

\[
Re = \frac{n \cdot D^2 \cdot \rho}{\mu}
\]
where, \( n \) and \( D \) were the stirrer rotational speed (rotations/s) and the length (m) of the magnetic stir bar, respectively.

Two mechanical stimulation magnitudes were applied (60 and 300 rpm), which resulted in \( Re \) numbers of 65 and 325, respectively, in the spinner region. The average \( Re \) number in the scaffold region, which is the region of interest in our study, was 31 and 125 for the 60 and 300 rpm flow respectively. According to Hörmann et al. (2011), the flow in the scaffold region in the 60 rpm case is laminar, while for the 300 rpm case it is in the transition from laminar to turbulent. Menter (2009) successfully demonstrates the capability of a shear stress transport (SST) turbulence model to compute the drag force (shear stress) at the boundary layers under transition flow. Therefore, a (SST) turbulence model was used for the transition flow.

The fluid domain was meshed with tetrahedron elements using a patch-conforming algorithm, which generated 654,800 tetrahedral elements. The surface mesh of the stirrer and scaffold were refined with element lengths of 10-40 \( \mu \)m and 10-150 \( \mu \)m, respectively, which were controlled by the local curvature. The mesh was subdivided in curved regions until the individual elements spanned the angle between two neighbouring elements of 75-24\(^\circ\). To calculate the \( Re \) numbers in the scaffold region, the model was solved using the finite volume method (FVM) under steady state, using the commercial FVM codes (CFX, ANSYS Inc., US). Convergence was assumed when the root-mean-square of the residuals of the mass and the momentum was < 10\(^{-4}\). The fluid was considered Newtonian, such that the shear stress tensor \( (\tau) \) on the scaffold surfaces \( (\Gamma) \) could be calculated as:

\[
\tau_{ij} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)_{x_i \in \Gamma}
\]

(2)

where, \( v_i \) is the fluid velocity in the direction of \( i \), \( x_i \) is the \( j \)th spatial coordinate and \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity (\( \mu = 1.45 \) mPa\( s \)).

By applying previously reported WSS thresholds for osteogenesis (0.11-10 mPa) and mineralisation of ECM (> 0.55 mPa) (Olivares et al., 2009; Vetsch et al., 2017), the area for osteogenesis and mineralisation, with low and high stimulation could be predicted from the output of the CFD model.

Materials

Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) and antibiotic/antimycotic (Anti-Anti) were obtained from Life Technologies (Bleiswijk, the Netherlands). Methanol was from Merck (Schiphol-Rijk, the Netherlands). Trypsin was from Lonza (Breda, the Netherlands). Foetal bovine serum (FBS) was from PAA laboratories (FBS Gold, Cölbe, Germany). Sodium chloride (NaCl), hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP), sodium carbonate (Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)), lithium bromide (LiBr), dexamethasone, ascorbic acid-2-phosphate and \( \beta \)-glycerophosphate were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands). Silkworm cocoons were purchased from Tajima Shoji Co., LTD. (Yokohama, Japan).

Scaffolds

Silk fibroin scaffolds were produced as previously described (Meinel et al., 2005; Nazarov et al., 2004). Briefly, *Bombyx mori* L. silkworm cocoons were degummed by boiling in 0.2 M Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\), twice for 1 h. Dried silk was dissolved in 9 M LiBr and dialysed against ultra-pure water (UPW) for 36 h using SnakeSkin Dialysis Tubing (molecular weight cut-off: 3.5 kDa; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Breda, the Netherlands). Dialysed silk solution was lyophilised (Freezone 2.5, Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) for 4 d and dissolved in HFIP, resulting in a 17 % (w/v) solution. 1 mL silk-HFIP solution was added to 2.5 g NaCl with a granule size between 250-300 \( \mu \)m in a Teflon container and allowed to air dry for 4 d. Silk-salt blocks were immersed in 90 % methanol in UPW for 30 min to induce \( \beta \)-sheet formation (Tsukada et al., 1994). NaCl was extracted in UPW for 2 d. Scaffolds were cut into disks of 3 mm height, punched with a 5 mm diameter biopsy punch and autoclaved in PBS at 121 \(^\circ\)C for 20 min.

Cell culture

hMSC isolation and characterisation from human bone marrow (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) was performed as previously described (Hofmann et al., 2007). Pre-wetted scaffolds were seeded with 1 million cells each in 20 \( \mu \)L control medium (DMEM, 10 % FBS, 1 % Anti-Anti) and incubated for 90 min at 37 \(^\circ\)C. The constructs \( (n = 4) \) were transferred to custom-made spinner flask bioreactors. Each bioreactor contained a magnetic stir bar and was placed on a magnetic stirrer (RTv5, IKA, Germany) in an incubator (37 \(^\circ\)C, 5 % CO\(_2\)) except for media changes and the weekly imaging. Each bioreactor was filled with 5 mL osteogenic medium (control medium, 50 \( \mu \)g/mL L-ascorbic-acid-2-phosphate, 100 nM dexamethasone, 10 mM \( \beta \)-glycerophosphate) and medium was changed 3 times a week for 4 weeks. To assess the cell location in the scaffold at the beginning of the culture, 4 constructs were sacrificed after the seeding process. The constructs were fixed for further analysis by a haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) histological staining.

Micro-computed tomography imaging (\( \mu \)CT)

\( \mu \)CT measurements were performed on a \( \mu \)CT100 imaging system (Scanco Medical, Brütisellen, Switzerland) after 4 weeks of culture. Scanning was performed at an isotropic nominal resolution of 17.2 \( \mu \)m, energy level was set to 45 kVP, intensity to 200 \( \mu \)A, 300 ms integration time and two-fold frame averaging. A constrained Gaussian filter was applied to reduce part of the noise. Filter support was set to 1.0 and filter width sigma to 0.8 voxel. Filtered grayscale
images were segmented at a global threshold of 22% of the maximal grayscale value. Unconnected objects smaller than 50 voxels were removed and neglected for further analysis. Quantitative morphometry was performed to assess mineralised ECM volume of the entire construct as well as the top, middle and bottom part of the construct using direct microstructural bone analysis as previously described for human bone biopsies (Hildebrand et al., 1999; van Lenthe et al., 2007).

Histology

Constructs were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (24 h at 4°C), dehydrated in graded ethanol solutions and embedded in paraffin, bisected through the centre, cut into 6 µm thick sections and mounted on poly-L-lysine coated microscope slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Breda, the Netherlands). Sections were stained with alizarin red to identify mineralisation, picrosirius red to identify collagen, alcan blue to identify glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and H&E for cell location. Figures show representative images of all the samples assessed.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 4). R version 3.3.3 was used for the evaluation of statistically significant differences. Under the assumption of normally distributed data, analysis of variance was performed followed by post hoc assessment using the Bonferroni method. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant at a level of p < 0.05. Correlation of the average of the experimental data with the predicted value was calculated with the Pearson correlation coefficient.

Results

Different spinner flask stirring rates resulted in distinct mechanical stimuli in porous scaffolds

The highest fluid velocity and WSS were predicted at the peripheral regions of the scaffold, particularly at the bottom of the scaffold at 300 rpm (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The model predicted more mineralised ECM at 300 than at 60 rpm. At 60 rpm, mineralisation was predicted mainly at the very bottom of the scaffold (98.1% of total mineralised volume) and some peripheral regions. Middle and top part were predicted to have 1.6% and 0.4% of the total mineralised volume, respectively. Cells in the upper regions of the scaffold received stimuli that were too low to induce mineralised matrix deposition. At 300 rpm, hMSCs in most of the scaffold volume received stimuli that triggered osteogenesis and induced mineralisation (bottom: 33.4%, middle: 36.9%, top: 29.6% of total mineralised volume). Too high and too low stimuli were only found at the very bottom and top part of the scaffold, respectively.

Computational simulation predicted mineralised extracellular matrix distribution

Histological staining was performed to visualise both mineralised and non-mineralised ECM and how it was distributed in the scaffold. The results suggested...
that even though the cells were seeded on top of the scaffold, they either attached directly or migrated through the complete scaffold volume in all groups (Fig. 5). Statically cultured constructs showed a slight deposition of collagen and GAGs, and almost no mineralisation (Fig. 5a,b,c). The borders of the construct showed an increased alignment of collagen and GAGs, as cells in these regions experienced a 2D surface rather than a 3D surface found in the scaffold. Despite the size of the constructs, which was much larger than the 200 μm diffusion limit, there was no observable difference in matrix deposition between the centre of the scaffold and the border. Constructs cultured at 60 rpm showed deposition of collagen and its mineralisation in the pores on the lower side of the scaffold (Fig. 5d,f). GAGs were mainly limited to the bottom part of the scaffold (Fig. 5e). Mineralisation was in agreement with the μCT data, which showed mineralisation mainly at the bottom of the scaffold at 60 rpm. At 300 rpm, regions with

---

**Fig. 3.** Prediction of regions within the silk-fibroin scaffold receiving different mechanical stimuli within a spinner flask bioreactor. Bioreactor was set at a rotational speed of either 60 or 300 rpm. Corresponding wall shear stresses are grouped in too low/high stimuli that do not result in osteogenesis, stimuli that lead to osteogenesis without mineralisation and stimuli that result in osteogenesis with mineralisation of extracellular matrix.
high mineralisation, collagen and GAG deposition (Fig. 5g,h,i) were observed throughout the scaffold volume, with larger and more prominent structures in the centre of the scaffold. These findings were in agreement with the μCT results, which showed mineralisation throughout the whole scaffold volume at 300 rpm.

Cells migrated through the scaffold during culture
Histological staining was performed to visualise the cells present in the scaffold after seeding and after 4 weeks of static or dynamic culture. After seeding, hMSCs could be found predominantly in the top region of the scaffold (Fig. 6a,b,c), which can be attributed to the static seeding technique. The cells
Fig. 5. Histological staining of human mesenchymal stromal cells on silk-fibroin scaffolds. Cultured statically (a,b,c) or dynamically at either 60 rpm (d,e,f) or 300 rpm (g,h,i) for 4 weeks in spinner flask bioreactors. Histological sections were stained for collagen (picrosirius red; a,d,g), GAGs (alcian blue; b,e,h) and minerals (alizarin red; c,f,i). The upper side of the scaffold is on the top of the picture. Low levels of collagen and GAGs were formed under static conditions. Dynamic conditions at 60 rpm and 300 rpm lead to collagen and GAG deposition at the bottom or throughout the scaffold, respectively. While no mineralisation occurred in the static group, mineral deposition was visible at 60 rpm and 300 rpm at the bottom or throughout the whole scaffold, respectively. Scale bar: 500 µm.
formed clusters inside the scaffold pores and formed few attachment points to the scaffold structure. After 4 weeks of culture, cells could be found attached to the scaffold structure throughout the constructs (Fig. 6d,e,f). These results suggested that after being seeded on top of the scaffold, the cells migrated through the complete scaffold volume in all groups. Constructs cultured at 300 rpm showed more open structures, with rounded cells in between the scaffold, while statically cultured constructs and constructs cultured at 60 rpm showed more elongated cells throughout the whole scaffold volume.

**Discussion**

The success of spinner flask bioreactors in BTE experiments is attributed to the creation of convective flow and the production of hydrodynamic forces that increase mass transport into the pores of the scaffold (Griffon et al., 2011; Sikavitsas et al., 2002). Due to the complex flow generated within the bioreactor and the scaffold pores, the precise mechanical stimulation (i.e., WSS) acting on cells within a scaffold has not yet been investigated. The maximal mineralisation, based on WSS that correlates to the experimental observations is predicted. A CFD approach is employed to quantify the resultant mechanical stimulation (i.e., WSS) within an idealised scaffold, having a similar porosity and average pore size to the silk fibroin scaffold used in the current study. hMSCs were seeded on silk fibroin scaffolds and cultured in osteogenic medium in spinner flask bioreactors, either statically or dynamically. For the dynamic conditions, stirring rates of 60 or 300 rpm were chosen so that differences in mineralised ECM formation, as predicted by the model, could be detected. A previous study indicates that WSS larger than 0.55 mPa are able to induce the deposition of mineralised ECM within a silk fibroin scaffold (Vetsch et al., 2017). According
to the model for the current study, only the bottom volume of the scaffold would be exposed to a WSS exceeding 0.55 mPa at a stirring rate of 60 rpm. The experimental results confirmed that ECM production and mineralisation was, indeed, mainly at the bottom of the scaffold. For a stirring rate of 300 rpm, the computational model predicted that most of the scaffold volume should show mineralised ECM. This prediction was also supported by the experimental observation. Therefore, the location of mineralised ECM deposition within the silk fibroin scaffold could be associated directly with regions of high WSS, as predicted in the simulation, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 97%.

Without WSS simulations, no conclusion can be drawn concerning the effects of mechanical stimulation on cellular response. Previous studies, using spinner flask bioreactors for BTE, lack WSS simulations and probably are not intended to understand the effect of certain mechanical loads. Meinel et al. (2004) report the deposition of mineral within the peripheral region, at a stirring rate of 50 rpm. Goldstein et al. (2001) show increased calcium deposition at the scaffold’s surface, using a spinner flask but without mentioning the stirring rate. Sucosky et al. (2004) introduce one of the first simulations, describing the flow field in a spinner flask bioreactor, without including the properties of the scaffold. A CFD approach is combined with experimental particle image velocimetry to assess the validity of the results. The prediction of velocity-components and maximum WSS level differ from the results by 20% and 16%, respectively. Despite those differences between computational and experimental outcomes, the results are very encouraging as an initial tool to investigate the effects of culture medium convection. However, experimental verification using tissue engineered constructs is missing. Later, Bueno et al. (2005) perform simulations using a spinner flask and a wavy-walled bioreactor. Fluid flow inside the bioreactors is modelled using a turbulence model to generate velocity flow fields, according to Sucosky et al. (2004). The average WSS applied to constructs in the spinner flask is simulated to be twice as high as in the wavy-walled bioreactor, while showing enhanced axial mixing. The authors predict that the lower WSS should enhance aggregation of cartilaginous cells. After 28 d of culture, chondrocyte proliferation and matrix deposition are enhanced. Although the level of WSS is not related to the spatial distribution of ECM deposition in the scaffold, this study confirms the positive effects of the wavy-walled bioreactor for cartilage formation. To our knowledge, so far no one has simulated WSS in a scaffold within spinner flasks in order to predict cellular responses.

No mineralisation of the ECM was observed under static conditions. This was contradictory to other studies, which show mineralisation produced by hMSCs in osteogenic medium on silk fibroin scaffolds under static conditions (Hagenmüller et al., 2007; Sommer et al., 2016). Also, no GAGs and collagen were formed under static conditions. The lack of ECM formation and subsequent mineralisation, found in the current study, might have been due to variations in FBS used for cell culture or the cell donor. Differences in serum composition can lead to statistically significant differences of protein expression in human umbilical-vein endothelial cells (Bryan et al., 2011). There is also clear evidence for the influence of FBS types on mineralisation on silk fibroin scaffolds, both with and without cells seeded on them (Vetsch et al., 2015). Other FBS types or batches might show different mineralisation amounts and patterns on silk fibroin scaffolds. This is important to consider in future experiments and simulations. Models of bone development in vitro might have to be adjusted for each study, to account for such variations.

In addition to predicting the location of mineralised matrix, the computational model predicted that at 60 rpm and 300 rpm, WSS at the upper and very top region, respectively, might be too low to induce mineralised ECM. Such low WSS, up to 0.39 mPa, are reported to induce proliferation in perfusion bioreactor experiments with similar silk fibroin scaffolds and hMSCs (Vetsch et al., 2017). In future studies, proliferation should be investigated in the different scaffold regions of constructs cultured at 60 rpm and 300 rpm. For larger constructs, a spinner flask bioreactor would most likely provide an even more inhomogeneous WSS distribution (data not shown). Higher stirring rates would be necessary to ensure mechanical stimulation of cells in the upper scaffold volume. However, higher WSS would most likely reduce mineralisation in the scaffold bottom region due to too high mechanical stimulation, which might negatively affect the cells. McCoy et al. (2012), demonstrate that a mean WSS of 17.6 mPa causes 20% of cells to detach from a collagen-GAG scaffold. Another study shows that WSS larger than 60 mPa could result in cell death (Olivares et al., 2009). Correlating to the current CFD results, at a stirring rate of 300 rpm, the cells at the periphery of scaffold bottom region were likely to be detached or dead due to the high WSS (data not shown). However, ECM was deposited at the bottom of the scaffold, which implies that cells must have attached or migrated there during the culture period.

In dynamically cultured constructs, stirring might facilitate diffusion of extracellular matrix and signalling proteins from regions with greater osteogenic differentiation to regions with less osteogenic differentiation (Heino et al., 2004). However, facilitated diffusion of secreted extracellular matrix and signalling proteins probably played only a minor role in the experimental set up used in the current study. In constructs cultured at 60 rpm, secreted proteins from the lower osteogenically differentiated cells should have enhanced osteogenic differentiation in the upper part if diffusion was an influential parameter. In the 60 rpm group localised osteogenic differentiation was only detected in the
lower part of the scaffold, suggesting that either there was no convection of signalling proteins or that the secreted proteins were not able to induce sufficient osteogenic differentiation. In the 300 rpm group all cells were mechanically stimulated which led to osteogenic differentiation throughout the whole construct, regardless of convection.

CFD is a useful tool to compute WSS in scaffold structures. A variety of simulation techniques are reported, from simple analytical to very complex computational models including, for example, μCT based scaffold structures (Goldstein et al., 2001; Jungreuthmayer et al., 2009; Maes et al., 2012). One of the limitations of the CFD model applied here is idealising and simplifying the scaffold geometry to save computational costs. The CFD model used in the current study was based on an idealised scaffold geometry, which had a similar porosity and average pore diameter to the silk fibroin scaffolds used in the study. Furthermore, four scaffolds were placed in the bioreactor, while the CFD model was based on only one scaffold. Even though an idealised scaffold geometry results in very low computational costs, compared to simulations of real scaffold geometries, it can cause a variation in the resultant WSS (Campos Marin and Lacroix, 2015). Such a simplification is likely to affect the flow velocity, which consequently might result in a variation of the WSS within the scaffolds. However, most scaffolds (proteins, polymers, gels etc.) when immersed in cell culture medium are not detectable using μCT scans and do not show comparable scaffold geometries in a dry and wet state. As a result, the real irregular scaffold geometry cannot be obtained for simulations and needs to be approximated (Porter et al., 2005; Zermatten et al., 2014). Scaffolds with a more regular scaffold geometry, manufactured using 3D printing, could facilitate computational simulation for BTE in the future (Egan et al., 2017). It should also be noted that the mechanical environment within the scaffold pores changes over time due to cell migration, cell proliferation and ECM deposition – this leads to dynamic changes in permeability. Therefore, knowing the scaffold structure at the beginning of the cell culture would only provide very limited information. While the initial cell seeding density is known to play an important role in bone tissue engineering (Vo et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2013), there are few reports of investigations into the relation between cell proliferation, migration and differentiation in 3D constructs. For example, Vetsch et al. (2017) show that low flow rates tend to induce cell proliferation and reduce osteogenic differentiation despite osteogenic medium being used, while high flow rates tend to induce osteogenesis. μCT imaging alone cannot be used to visualise cell content and non-mineralised ECM growth longitudinally. Non-invasive monitoring techniques that follow tissue development over time would be invaluable to understanding the tissue regeneration process.

Conclusion

The current study showed that i) mechanical stimulation (i.e. WSS) could be generated and quantified within a porous scaffold in a spinner flask bioreactor; ii) mineralisation could be attributed to mechanical stimulation in a range of 0.55-10 mPa; iii) the model used could predict the location of mineralisation ECM and iv) hMSCs needed mechanical stimulation to differentiate along the osteogenic lineage and produce as well as mineralise ECM. CFD models could help determining optimal stimulation conditions for mineralisation in future bone TE experiments, to guide and understand bone development in vitro. More detailed models employing precise scaffold structures and taking tissue growth over time into account will be needed to understand which mechanical stimuli single cells experience in a 3D environment in vitro. Through the combination of CFD models with cell experiments, knowledge of the mechanical stimuli that cells experience can be linked to biological responses.
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Discussion with reviewers

Howard Winet: Do you expect flow velocity profiles over attached cells to be the same at both oscillatory Re values?

Authors’ response: A steady state analysis was used in our CFD model, due to the constant rotational speed of the stirring bar in the experiment. Therefore, no oscillatory Re number is involved in our CFD model. According to Fig. 2, the flow velocity profile over the attached cells will not be the same at two different Re numbers. At a stirring rate of 300 rpm, fluid flowing from the scaffold top towards the bottom was more distinct due to vortex, as compared to 60 rpm. Thus, it was likely to influence the flow profile over the attached cells.

Yang Zhu: The simulation model generally matched the experimental results. However, other contributors need to be ruled out or analysed in order to strengthen the major conclusion of this study.

Authors’ response: We agree with the reviewer that stimulated bone cells secrete paracrine factors which can regulate osteogenic differentiation and could diffuse throughout the scaffold by increased mass transport through stirring. Also, increased nutrient transport rather than mechanical stimulation might increase osteogenic differentiation. However, in constructs cultured at 60 rpm localised osteogenic differentiation was detected in only the lower part of the scaffold, which suggested that either there was no convection of signalling proteins or that the secreted proteins were not able to induce sufficient osteogenic differentiation. In the 300 rpm group all cells were mechanically stimulated which resulted in osteogenic differentiation throughout the whole construct, regardless of convection.

Editor’s note: The Scientific Editor responsible for this paper was Stephen Ferguson.