Spin-wave analysis of pseudo-one-dimensional antiferromagnet CsMnCl₃•2H₂O

Citation for published version (APA):

De Jonge, W. J. M., Kopinga, K., & Swüste, C. H. W. (1976). Spin-wave analysis of pseudo-one-dimensional antiferromagnet CsMnCl₃•2H₂O. *Physical Review B*, 14(5), 2137-2141.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.2137>

DOI:

[10.1103/PhysRevB.14.2137](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.14.2137)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1976

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Spin-wave analysis of pseudo-one-dimensional antiferromagnet $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$

W. J. M. de Jonge, K. Kopinga, and C. H. W. Swüste

Department of Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

(Received 22 March 1976)

The low-temperature specific heat, sublattice magnetization, zero-point spin reduction, and ground-state energy of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ have been confronted with a spin-wave calculation, which was based upon the particular magnetic structure of this compound. In this numerical calculation the effect of small interchain interactions and a temperature-dependent anisotropy gap have been included. A good agreement with the experimental heat capacity was obtained for an intrachain interaction $J/k = -3.0$ K and a ratio of the inter- to intrachain interaction $|J'/J| = 8 \times 10^{-3}$. These values compare favorably with the results from other studies. The predicted sublattice magnetization, including the zero-point spin reduction of 19%, is in good agreement with the experimental evidence. The calculated ground-state energy corresponds with the value obtained by direct integration of the experimental magnetic heat capacity. It was concluded that unrenormalized spin-wave theory offers a fair description of the magnetic behavior of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ up to $\sim 0.6 T_N$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cesium manganese trichloride dihydrate ($\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) can be considered as a fair representation of a linear-chain Heisenberg antiferromagnet. The one-dimensional behavior, especially at higher temperatures, has been reported in a large number of publications. They include susceptibility and magnetization,^{1,2} magnetic specific heat,³ and electron-spin-resonance⁴ results. The reported values for the intra- and interchain interactions indicate that the system can be characterized by $J_1/k = -3.3 \pm 0.3$ K and $(J_2 + J_3)/J_1 \approx 10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$. The small interchain interactions J_2 and J_3 give rise to a three-dimensional ordered antiferromagnetic state below $T_N = 4.89$ K with a magnetic space group $P_{21}c'ca'$.⁵ Inelastic-neutron-scattering experiments⁶ by Skalyo *et al.* showed that also in this ordered state the spin-wave dispersion relation strongly reflected the pseudo-one-dimensionality of the system. Recently Nishihara *et al.*⁷ reported that the proton spin-lattice relaxation time in $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ could be satisfactorily explained on the basis of a detailed calculation of the spin-wave dispersion relation taking into account the influence of the interchain interactions. The influence of these (small) interchain interactions may be anticipated to be important in the ordered state because in the (nonphysical) limit of the (ordered) purely one-dimensional chain the density of states diverges in the origin of $\mathbf{k}$ space. In view of this it seemed worthwhile to analyze the other thermodynamic quantities as well. In this article we will focus our attention on the specific heat, sublattice magnetization, spin-reduction and ground-state energy. In a recent article Iwashita and Uryû⁸ performed a similar analysis of the sus-

ceptibilities and the temperature dependence of the sublattice magnetization.

II. THEORY

Since the magnetic structure of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is well established^{5,6} the calculation of the spin-wave spectrum is rather simple if only nearest-neighbor interactions are taken into account. The magnetic structure is shown in Fig. 1. The intrachain interaction along the a axis is denoted by J_1 ; J_2 and J_3 represent the interchain interactions. It is evident that all interactions are antiferromagnetic and all neighboring spins belong to different sublattices. The system is therefore described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -2 \sum_{lm} J_{lm} \vec{S}_l \cdot \vec{S}_m - g \mu_B H_A \left(\sum_l S_l^z - \sum_m S_m^z \right). \quad (1)$$

FIG. 1. Spin array of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the ordered state.

The anisotropy field H_A arises from — relatively small — dipolar and crystal-field effects and the indices l, m run over the “+” and “−” sublattice, respectively. Within the Holstein-Primakoff⁹ formalism the Hamiltonian can be written in terms of creation and annihilation operators. If all terms which are of higher order than quadratic in these operators are omitted, the conventional diagonalization procedure yields^{10, 11}

$$E_{n,p} = NS(S+1) \sum_h J(\vec{r}_h) - g\mu_B H_A N(S + \frac{1}{2}) + \sum_k (n + \frac{1}{2})\epsilon(\vec{k}) + \sum_k (p + \frac{1}{2})\epsilon(\vec{k}),$$

$$n, p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

In this expression $\vec{r}_h = \vec{r}_l - \vec{r}_m$,

$$\epsilon(\vec{k}) = \{\epsilon_m^2 - [b(\vec{k})]^2\}^{1/2},$$

$$\epsilon_m = -2S \sum_h J(\vec{r}_h) + g\mu_B H_A, \quad (3)$$

$$b(\vec{k}) = -2S \sum_h J(\vec{r}_h) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_h}.$$

ϵ_m corresponds to the maximum energy of the spin-wave spectrum, which is twofold degenerate in zero applied field. If the slight zig-zag of the chains along the a direction is ignored, we obtain

$$\sum_h J(\vec{r}_h) = 2(J_1 + J_2 + J_3), \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_h J(\vec{r}_h) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_h} = 2(J_1 \cos \frac{1}{2} k_a a + J_2 \cos \frac{1}{2} k_c c + J_3 \cos k_b b).$$

The density function $N(\epsilon)$ may now be calculated for a given set of exchange constants according to the procedure described by Nishihara *et al.*⁷ In Fig. 2 some representative results are plotted. ϵ_0 denotes the minimum energy of the magnon spectrum, corresponding to $\vec{k} = 0$. As anticipated before, the low-energy part of the spectrum appears to be very sensitive to the ratio $|J_2/J_1|$. It seems likely that these low-dimensional characteristics will also be reflected by the thermodynamic properties at low temperatures.

The magnetic specific heat is related to the normalized spin-wave spectrum by

$$C_M(T) = R \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon_m} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{kT} \right)^2 e^{\epsilon/kT} (e^{\epsilon/kT} - 1)^{-2} N(\epsilon) d\epsilon. \quad (5)$$

The integral may be evaluated numerically. In the actual calculation, one should note that from neutron-diffraction experiments⁶ the energy gap ϵ_0 has been found to be temperature dependent. The observed temperature dependence could be described by assuming a renormalization of ϵ_0 proportional to the sublattice magnetization. In the calculations in this paper, the observed variation

FIG. 2. Magnon density of states $N(\epsilon)$ vs ϵ calculated for $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ for different sets of exchange constants. The curve marked 1d denotes the purely one-dimensional limit ($J_2 = J_3 = 0$). The dashed curve indicates the small- k approximation for a representative set of exchange constants.

of the energy gap has been taken into account explicitly by adapting the value of H_A .

The magnetic ground-state energy E_g may be obtained by considering Eq. (2) for $T = 0$, which yields

$$E_g = 2NS(S+1)(J_1 + J_2 + J_3) - g\mu_B H_A N(S + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon_m} \epsilon N(\epsilon) d\epsilon, \quad (6)$$

if the density function is normalized to 1. Since it has been shown¹⁰ that the inclusion of fourth-order terms in the spin-wave Hamiltonian produces an increase of only 0.5% in E_g for a $S = \frac{5}{2}$ antiferromagnetic linear-chain model, Eq. (6) will very likely offer a good estimate of the actual ground-state energy of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

The sublattice magnetization M_s may be found rather directly by differentiating the energy (2) with respect to its conjugate thermodynamic variable. This variable is the “staggered” field H_{st} , which points along the preferred direction of spin alignment, being positive at the “+” lattice sites and negative at the “−” lattice sites. As can easily be seen, H_{st} enters in the Hamiltonian (1) in the same way as H_A , and hence it may be added to H_A in the final solution (2) and (3). In zero applied field the result is

$$M_s = \frac{1}{2} N g \mu_B \left(S + \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon_m} \frac{\epsilon_m N(\epsilon)}{2\epsilon} d\epsilon - \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon_m} \frac{\epsilon_m N(\epsilon)}{\epsilon (e^{\epsilon/kT} - 1)} d\epsilon \right), \quad (7)$$

which is, in fact, equivalent to the expression given by Kubo.¹⁰

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The magnetic heat capacity has already been reported in a previous publication.³ The data at low

temperatures are presented in detail in Fig. 3 by open circles. They are obtained by subtracting the inferred lattice contribution from the experimental data. Because in this temperature region the lattice heat capacity amounts to less than 6% of the total specific heat, the result will reflect the magnetic contribution rather accurately. The drawn curve corresponds to the best fit of the spin-wave prediction (5) to the data. Since the theoretical behavior at these temperatures was found to depend on $|J_2 + J_3|$ rather than on J_2 and J_3 separately, and several experimental studies indicate that $|J_3| \ll |J_2|$, the problem has been simplified by putting J_3 equal to zero. The result obtained from the set of exchange constants reported by Iwashita and Uryū⁸ from their fit of the spin-wave prediction to the low-temperature susceptibility is represented by a dashed curve. For comparison the predictions from some purely one-dimensional models are also given. The curve marked "1d_{sw}" represents the estimate from linear spin-wave theory given by Kubo¹⁰ for $J/k = -3.0$ K, the curve marked "1d_{num}" corresponds to the low-temperature be-

FIG. 3. Magnetic heat capacity of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at low temperatures. The open circles correspond to the experimental data corrected for the lattice contribution. The drawn curve denotes the best fit of the spin-wave prediction to the experimental results. The result obtained from the set of interactions reported in Ref. 8 is represented by a dashed curve. The curve marked 1d_{sw} reflects the prediction from purely one-dimensional spin-wave theory. The result of a numerical calculation of the heat capacity of an infinite linear chain (Ref. 12) is represented by 1d_{num}.

havior of a $S = \frac{5}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic linear-chain system, inferred from recent numerical calculations.¹² As might have been expected, these curves are systematically too high. Three-dimensional spin-wave theory, however, is found to give a fair description of the data up till $\sim 0.6T_N$. The intra- and interchain interactions, which gave the best over-all fit with the experimental results are

$$J_1/k = -3.0 \text{ K}, \quad |J_2/J_1| = 8 \times 10^{-3}, \quad J_3 \approx 0,$$

and they compare favorably with the values cited in literature.

Inspection of Fig. 3 shows that the agreement between theory and experiment gets worse at the lowest temperatures, although one would expect a spin-wave analysis to be most accurate in this region. This systematic deviation partially arises from the high-temperature tail of the nuclear Schottky anomaly of the Mn^{++} ions caused by the hyperfine coupling $\vec{S} \cdot \vec{A} \cdot \vec{I}$ in the magnetically ordered state. Assuming $|A|/k = 0.012$ K, a value which can be considered as representative for Mn^{++} ions in an octahedral environment,¹³ we arrive at a nuclear contribution to the specific heat of about 0.02 J/mol K at 1 K, which accounts for $\sim 30\%$ of the observed deviation. The remaining discrepancy may presumably be removed by the introduction of small nonuniaxial terms in the anisotropy. Susceptibility experiments² have indicated the existence of such terms.

Substitution of H_A and the set of exchange constants given above in the expression for the ground-state energy E_g (8) yields $E_g = -357$ J/mol. If we assert that the dominant contribution to E_g will arise from the large intrachain interaction, this value may be confronted with the rigorous bounds given by Anderson¹⁴ for $z=2$ and $J/k = -3.0$ K. The result is $-312 > E_g > -374$ J/mol, which is consistent with the value calculated above. Of course, the ground-state energy may be calculated directly from the experimental data by integrating the C_M -vs- T curve. If such an integration is performed for the magnetic heat capacity given in Ref. 3 for $J/k = -3.0$ K, we obtain $E_g = -361$ J/mol, which is in excellent agreement with the prediction from linear spin-wave theory.

The behavior of the sublattice magnetization M_{subl} has been determined from NMR measurements on the hydrogen nuclei. From the variation of the proton absorption frequency as a function of temperature the *relative* behavior of M_{subl} may be found. In order to obtain an estimate of the *absolute* value of M_{subl} , the observed local fields at the proton sites can be compared with the calculated internal fields originating from the magnetic dipole moments on the Mn^{++} ions. Whether such a dipole sum really reflects the actual local magnet-

ic fields at the proton sites depends on a number of conditions, which have already been pointed out¹⁵ and will therefore be summarized only briefly. First, the direction of the magnetic dipole moments (or M_{subl}) has to be known exactly. In $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ this is given by symmetry as the b axis. Second, the hydrogen positions should be known with a sufficient degree of accuracy. Furthermore, the hyperfine interaction of the hydrogen nuclei with the Mn^{2+} spins should be small compared to the dipolar interaction, a condition which is reasonably met in this kind of Mn^{2+} compound.¹⁵ If we compare the calculated dipole sums at the proton sites, corresponding to the magnetic space group $P_{21}c'ca'$, with the experimentally determined internal fields, a magnetic moment of $4.0 \mu_B$ on the Mn^{2+} ions is required to fit the experimental fields extrapolated to $T = 0$. Given the small uncertainty of both the hydrogen positions and the hyperfine contribution, we conclude that a zero-point spin reduction of $(20 \pm 4)\%$ is present. The corresponding temperature dependence of the sublattice magnetization is given by open circles in Fig. 4. The dashed curve in this figure is obtained from Eq. (7) by substitution of the values for the exchange interactions $J_1/k = -3.0 \text{ K}$, $|J_2/J_1| = 8 \times 10^{-3}$, $J_3 = 0$ found from the analysis of the heat capacity. The prediction resulting from the exchange constants reported by Iwashita and Uryu⁸ almost coincides with this curve, and has not been shown separately. The drawn curve corresponds to the best fit of (7) to the experimental data, given

FIG. 4. Sublattice magnetization of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The open circles denote the experimental behavior deduced from proton-NMR measurements. The dashed curve represents the spin-wave prediction for the set of exchange constants inferred from the heat-capacity measurements as well as the prediction resulting from the set of exchange constants reported in Ref. 8. The drawn curve is obtained by a small readjustment of the interchain interaction.

a fixed value of J_1 and the observed temperature dependence of ϵ_0 . The value of $|J_2/J_1|$ resulting from this fit is somewhat smaller than the value obtained from the low-temperature specific heat. The calculated spin reduction, however, is in excellent agreement with the experimental evidence.

In view of the results of the present analysis of both heat capacity and sublattice magnetization as well as the interpretation of the susceptibility in the ordered state,⁸ we would like to conclude that linear spin-wave theory offers a fair description of the magnetic behavior of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in the ordered state. Unlike the purely three-dimensional case, where considerable renormalization effects occur as T_N is approached, the validity range of the linear spin-wave approximation in this pseudo one-dimensional case extends up to $0.6 T_N$. The only renormalization effect that has been considered in the present treatment is the observed temperature dependence of the energy gap ϵ_0 . The fact that no other renormalization effects have been taken into account does not seriously impair the description of the thermodynamic properties, as can be seen as follows. First, for spin waves propagating in the direction of the chains, Skalyo *et al.*⁶ have shown that energy renormalization at the zone boundary is only detectable far above T_N , and hence ϵ_m may safely be considered as being constant in the temperature region below T_N . Moreover, the calculation of the magnetic properties at these temperatures involves mainly the density of states for low values of ϵ . For spin waves propagating perpendicular to the chain direction, an energy renormalization of 10% was observed at the zone boundary. This would give rise to a small shift of the bump in the low-energy part of the spin-wave spectrum. As can be seen from Fig. 2, however, such a shift may — to a certain extent — be compensated by a readjustment of the value of $(J_2 + J_3)/J_1$. This probably explains the slightly different sets of exchange constants used to describe the behavior of the various magnetic properties. Since these differences are not very significant, we are tempted to conclude that linear spin-wave theory may provide very realistic estimates for both the intra- and interchain interaction in pseudo-one-dimensional magnetic systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professor P. van der Leeden for his stimulating interest and critical reading of the manuscript. Special thanks are due to Dr. H. Nishihara who put his numerical results at our disposal from which, adapted to our own purpose, we borrowed freely. We are much indebted to J. M. A. Roos for his help in collecting the data.

Note added in proof. When this article was in preparation it came to our attention that Iwashita and Uryû also performed a spin-wave analysis of the specific heat of $\text{CsMnCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Their

treatment is based on a Hamiltonian including single-ion anisotropy terms. The results of this analysis are in agreement with the present one.

-
- ¹T. Smith and S. A. Friedberg, Phys. Rev. 176, 660 (1968).
²H. Kobayashi, I. Tsujikawa, and S. A. Friedberg, J. Low Temp. Phys. 10, 621 (1973).
³K. Kopinga, T. de Neef, and W. J. M. de Jonge, Phys. Rev. B 11, 2364 (1975).
⁴M. J. Hennessy, C. D. McElwee, and P. M. Richards, Phys. Rev. B 7, 930 (1973).
⁵R. D. Spence, W. J. M. de Jonge, and K. V. S. Rama Rao, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 4694 (1969).
⁶J. Skalyo, G. Shirane, S. A. Friedberg, and H. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. B 2, 1310 (1970); 2, 4632 (1970).
⁷H. Nishihara, W. J. M. de Jonge, and T. de Neef, Phys. Rev. B 12, 5325 (1975).
⁸T. Iwashita and N. Uryû, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 39, 1226 (1975).
⁹T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940).
¹⁰R. Kubo, Phys. Rev. 87, 568 (1952).
¹¹F. Keffer, *Handbuch der Physik*, edited by S. Flügge (Springer, Berlin, 1966), Vol. 18/2.
¹²W. J. M. de Jonge, C. H. W. Swüste, K. Kopinga, and K. Takeda, Phys. Rev. B 12, 5858 (1975); T. de Neef (unpublished).
¹³A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electronic Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1970); A. R. Miedema, R. F. Wielinga, and W. J. Huiskamp, Physica 31, 835 (1965).
¹⁴P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 83, 1260 (1951).
¹⁵W. J. M. de Jonge and C. H. W. Swüste, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 4981 (1974).