Monitoring the respiratory rate of preterm infants using an ultrathin film sensor embedded in the bedding

Citation for published version (APA):

Joshi, R., Bierling, B., Feijs, L., van Pul, C., & Andriessen, P. (2019). Monitoring the respiratory rate of preterm infants using an ultrathin film sensor embedded in the bedding: a comparative feasibility study. *Physiological Measurement*, 40(4), [045003]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ab1595>

DOI:

[10.1088/1361-6579/ab1595](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ab1595)

Document status and date:

Published: 26/04/2019

Document Version:

Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Monitoring the respiratory rate of preterm infants using an ultrathin film sensor embedded in the bedding: a comparative feasibility study

To cite this article before publication: Rohan Joshi *et al* 2019 *Physiol. Meas.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ab1595>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2018 Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

**Monitoring the respiratory rate of preterm infants using an ultrathin film sensor
embedded in the bedding: a comparative feasibility study**

Rohan Joshi^{1,2,3}, Bart Bierling¹, Loe Feijs¹, Carola van Pul^{3,4}, Peter Andriessen⁵

¹ Department of Industrial Design, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

² Department of Family Care Solutions, Philips Research, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

³ Department of Clinical Physics, Máxima Medical Centre Veldhoven, The Netherlands

⁴ Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

⁵ Department of Neonatology, Máxima Medical Centre, Veldhoven, The Netherlands

Abstract:

Objective: To determine the feasibility of unobtrusively monitoring the respiratory rate (RR) in preterm infants by using a film-like pressure sensor placed between the mattress and the bedding.

Approach: The RR was simultaneously measured by processing the chest impedance (CI) and the ballistographic (BSG) signal acquired from the pressure sensor in 10 preterm infants of varying body weight. Nearly 27 hours of data were analyzed from these infants while in different body positions including both spontaneously breathing infants and those receiving non-invasive respiratory support.

Main results: The RR acquired from the BSG signal (RR-BSG) was significantly correlated ($r = 0.74$) to the RR derived from the CI (RR-CI) with narrow 95% limits of agreement (10 breaths/min). A subanalysis of epochs most and least affected by infant movement yielded comparable results.

Significance: Irrespective of body weight or infant position, unobtrusively monitoring the RR of preterm infants is feasible using film-like pressure sensors.

Introduction

Respiratory instability is common in preterm infants owing to surfactant deficiency, poor respiratory drive and increased compliance of the chest wall ^{1,2}. In neonatal intensive care units (NICU), monitoring the respiratory rate (RR) is, therefore, an important part of routine patient monitoring. While multiple techniques for monitoring respiration are available, the modality of choice is largely determined by the reliability of monitoring, ease of use and whether it is deemed comfortable for infants over longitudinal measurements that may last several weeks ^{1,3}.

The most accurate measures of RR are obtained via airflow sensors, but these are poorly tolerated in spontaneously breathing infants, especially over long periods ⁴. Another method for monitoring respiration is based on inductance plethysmography, although in infants it is not used beyond research settings and sleep laboratories. Its use in NICUs over long periods is uncommon owing to friction and the increased physical effort required to breathe against the resistance of the inductance bands that need to be secured around the abdomen and the thorax ⁵. De facto, across NICUs worldwide, the most common modality for monitoring respiration is based on the chest impedance (CI) signal acquired through the ECG electrodes ⁴. While CI measurements are commonplace, long-term use of ECG electrodes causes discomfort, skin irritation, and potential scarring, especially in extremely preterm infants where skin integrity is poor, and skin breakdown can easily occur ⁶. Moreover, the RR acquired via CI (RR-CI) is often unreliable as exemplified by a study where the 95% limits of agreement of RR-CI compared to visual counts was 20 breaths per minute (brpm) ⁷.

Alternative modalities for monitoring respiration such as those based on acquiring the RR through diaphragmatic electromyography (dEMG), motion sensors (accelerometers) and laser Doppler vibrometry (LDV) have also been tested in clinical studies ⁷⁻¹⁰. These studies used dEMG, motion sensors, and LDV in supine infants and had 95% limits of agreement of approximately 15 brpm compared to CI, visual count and ventilator-based measurements respectively. Like the CI, the dEMG and motion-sensors are obtrusive since they require the attachment of sensors to the skin. While the LDV-based approach offers the

1
2
3 opportunity for truly unobtrusive monitoring, it remains unclear whether using LDV for monitoring the RR
4 will be motion-robust ¹¹. Furthermore, since the LDV-device is placed outside the incubator, it may be
5 impractical for use in incubators that are covered, or with infants supported through positioning materials
6 and covered with blankets.
7
8
9

10
11
12 An alternative approach for non-obtrusively monitoring respiration is using pressure sensors, in the form
13 of films and mats that can be placed underneath the mattress or the bedding. Nizami et al. have demonstrated
14 the feasibility of reliably acquiring the RR using a pressure sensitive mat, even in light-weight infants, by
15 using a neonatal simulator weighing 900g ¹². In this paper, we describe a comparative clinical study in
16 preterm infants and demonstrate the possibility of non-obtrusively monitoring respiration using an ultrathin
17 and flexible film-like pressure sensor. This study extends our previous work where we used the same sensor
18 for accurately monitoring infant motion with implications for use in monitoring sepsis, sleep-wake cycles,
19 apneas, and seizures ¹³.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Materials and Methods**

33
34 This study was conducted in the level III NICU of Máxima Medical Center, Veldhoven, the Netherlands
35 between May-June, 2017. Based on a safety evaluation, and in accordance with the Dutch law on medical
36 research with humans, the medical ethical committee provided a waiver (registered as N16.068) for this
37 observational study. Clinically stable preterm infants of varying body weight were enrolled after written
38 informed consent was obtained from the parents. Exclusion criteria were congenital abnormalities and the
39 use of invasive mechanical ventilation. The study was of an observational nature, and routine nursing care
40 including the repositioning of infants took place as usual. All infants were recorded for 6-8 hours following
41 which two sessions of one hour or longer, generally a few hours apart, were selected for analysis. When
42 possible, these sessions were selected with infants lying in different positions to determine whether the
43 system under consideration was sensitive to positioning.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Data acquisition

Based on routine patient monitoring, the CI waveform (62.5 Hz), oxygen saturation (SpO₂, 1 Hz) and the heart rate (HR, 1 Hz) were acquired from patient monitors (Philips IntelliVue MX 800, Boeblingen, Germany) via a data warehouse (DWH, IIC iX, Data Warehouse Connect, Philips Medical Systems, Andover, MA).

An electromechanical film sensor (L-series EMFi, Emfit, Kuopio, Finland) of dimensions 580 mm × 300 mm × 0.4 mm served as the pressure sensor in this study. This EMFi sensor is shown in Appendix A. The soft and flexible nature of the sensor, as well as the fact that it is electrically passive, makes it well suited for use in the NICU where safety concerns are paramount. The sensor was placed between the mattress and the bedding while infants lay on the bedding, often supported by positioning material (SnuggleUp, Philips, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) and possibly covered by a blanket. Additional technical specifications and further details of the sensor are provided in a previous publication ¹³. Briefly, the sensor is sensitive to mechanical movement in the direction vertical to its surface and thereby to the body movement and respiratory motion of the infant. A custom-made signal acquisition system was used to acquire the ballistographic (BSG) signal from this sensor at a sampling frequency of 250Hz. This BSG signal is reflective of all infant motion including respiratory movement (RR-BSG) which in turn can be separated from body movement since the latter typically occurs at frequencies lower than that of respiration ¹³.

Signal Processing

The BSG waveform and the data from the patient monitor were obtained in a synchronized manner as the internal clock of the custom-made signal acquisition device was synchronized to the time on the patient monitors, before each recording.

Based on findings in previous research, both the BSG and CI signals were band-pass filtered (0.45-1.45 Hz) to preferentially capture the respiratory waveforms (11). Next, the peaks and troughs of the filtered CI and BSG waveforms, corresponding to inhalation and exhalation, were algorithmically detected to calculate the breathing rate.

Statistical analysis

The average RR was calculated over one-minute epochs at 30-s intervals for both the CI and BSG waveforms. Measures of RR for all the one-minute epochs served as independent observations. Comparative analysis of the acquired RR was performed by estimating Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) while the Bland-Altman plot was used to assess the mean difference (MD) and the limits of agreement (LOA; $MD \pm 1.96 SD$) between the RR-BSG and the RR-CI. Throughout the analysis, we used the RR-CI as the clinical reference standard. We also calculated the percentage of total time when the RR-BSG was within 10% of the RR-CI. Since large errors in the MD of RR are particularly undesirable, a metric that accentuates large errors in the RR should also be used for comparing the RR-BSG with the RR-CI. Therefore, we also calculated the root mean square error (RMSE) for different respiratory frequencies to identify whether the error rate is dependent on the RR.

Results

Ten infants characterized by gestational age of 28.1 ± 2.7 weeks were included in the study from whom nearly 27 hours of data were acquired. The postmenstrual age and weight of infants on the day of the measurements were 31.4 ± 2.3 weeks and 1422 ± 402 g respectively (Table 1). About respiratory support, six infants were on CPAP, two were on high flow oxygen delivered through a nasal cannula, and two were spontaneously breathing room air. The mean duration of each recording was $81 (\pm 4)$ min. Of the 20 recorded sessions, 15 recordings were acquired while infants were in the lateral position (seven left lateral

+ eight right lateral) whereas three and two recordings respectively were acquired from infants in the prone and supine positions. For 18 of the 20 sessions, infants were supported by positioning materials. Also, for 17 sessions infants were at least partially covered with a blanket.

The entire dataset, consisting of 3182 epochs of one-minute duration was used for analysis. RR-BSG was significantly correlated to RR-CI ($r = 0.74$, $p = 0$) while the Bland-Altman plot showed a mean difference in RR of -0.3 brpm with 95% LOA between -10 and 9.8 brpm (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the MD and the 95% LOA for each of the 20 recordings. In summary, irrespective of the nature of the respiratory support or the position of the infant, respiratory waveforms could be acquired from the BSG signal. Additionally, based on the epochs, for 80% of the time, the RR-BSG was within 10% of the RR-CI.

Figure 2 shows that across different frequency bands, the RMSE of the RR estimated from the BSG waveform was within seven brpm of RR-CI. Figure 3 depicts the respiratory waveforms acquired from the BSG and CI when both provided a comparable RR – both waveforms resemble one another. Figure 4 depicts exemplary BSG and CI waveforms for periods with discrepancies in the RR acquired from these modalities. While figure 4A depicts an epoch where RR-BSG is higher than RR-CI, Figure 4B indicates an epoch where RR-BSG is lower than RR-CI.

Based on a previously developed algorithm for quantifying movement using the BSG signal, we identified the 25% most and the 25% least movement-affected epochs from all 20 recorded sessions¹³. This stratification of epochs was used to generate the Bland-Altman plots, which are depicted in Appendix B. The results indicate that the MD (-1.3 versus 0.06 breaths/min) and 95% LOA (-12 to 9.3 versus -10 to 10 breaths per min) for RR are comparable in both cases.

Discussion

This paper describes the first clinical study showcasing that a pressure sensor placed underneath the bedding of preterm infants can yield reliable respiratory waveforms in the NICU environment. Specifically, the RR-BSG compares favorably with the RR derived from CI – the current clinical standard. The BSG-based

approach appears to be a promising solution for monitoring the RR for infants across a range of bodyweight and irrespective of body position, for both, infants breathing without respiratory support as well as for those receiving non-invasive respiratory support. Further, the RMSE is both small and comparable across different frequency ranges, indicating the absence of bias in estimating the RR for the typical breathing frequencies of preterm infants. Reassuringly, upon evaluating the RR-BSG for epochs most and least affected by infant motion, the 95% LOA were found to be comparable, indicating that the BSG-based modality for monitoring respiration is not unduly affected by infant-motion.

This BSG-based approach for monitoring respiration is an attractive alternative to the use of wired adhesive electrodes needed in the case of CI, dEMG, and accelerometer-based modalities. This approach entirely avoids the discomfort, skin irritation and potential scarring due to long-term use of adhesive electrodes on the fragile skin of preterm infants⁶. In conjunction with pulse oximetry, which also provides HR, the use of BSG opens up the possibility of avoiding ECG electrodes for routine cardiorespiratory monitoring, at least in those infants where the ECG waveform does not add direct clinical value. Additionally, in many units, owing to the fragility of the epidermis, especially in infants less than 26 weeks PMA, ECG electrodes are not used, and thus RR is not monitored. The use of BSG can enable the monitoring of RR in this very vulnerable patient population. Further, unlike modalities where the adhesion of electrodes is required, sensor disconnections cannot occur while using the modality described in this work, thereby increasing monitoring coverage and reducing technical alarms, an important issue in the NICU^{14,15}.

Another advantage of using BSG as opposed to CI for monitoring respiration is that CI may experience interference from the phasic flow of blood through the heart, especially during low HR, while the BSG-based approach described herein does not¹⁶. By virtue of the mechanical design, the BSG-based modality is largely free from cardiac interference since forces generated by the heart are mainly along the longitudinal direction while the BSG sensor is sensitive to forces only in the vertical direction. Further, based on observations we noted that the respiration waveform acquired from the BSG signal was more stable and less prone to sudden increases and decreases – likely because, unlike BSG, the CI waveform is affected by

the quality of electrode contact. In particular, distortion of the BSG-waveform due to amplitude saturation (clipping) did not occur – a problem that is unfortunately common with the CI-waveform. In general, superior signal characteristics, especially concerning the stationarity of the signal augers well for any subsequent signal processing algorithms that may use the respiratory waveform. Further, as can be noted from Figure 3, the amplitude of the BSG signal is time-varying. The amplitude variation is potentially a reflection of the respiratory effort and work of breathing which, if ascertained, is of clinical interest for titrating the level of respiratory support, including weaning of ventilation. Thus, the BSG signal may possibly offer clinically relevant information beyond the respiratory rate although this would require further investigation.

Occasionally, as shown in Figure 4A, the RR-BSG was higher than the RR-CI. This may be, for instance, due to infant motion leading to variations in the quality of electrode contact, thereby affecting the input impedance and the resulting CI waveform. Similarly, as shown in Figure 4B, sometimes the RR-BSG is lower than RR-CI – this is because the respiration peak detection algorithm did not detect the small fluctuations in the BSG waveform as separate breaths. However, upon visual inspection, it was not possible to discern whether the small fluctuations in the respiratory waveform constitute separate breaths or not. For instance, these smaller fluctuations might be cardiac artifacts or respiration interrupted by suck-swallow events¹⁷. A superior reference standard such as nasal flowmeters will be needed to identify the cause behind these variations.

The strengths of this study are that repeated and continuous measurements were acquired from infants of varying body weight in different positions – an important element of the experimental design since the weight and position of the infant can affect the mechanical forces of respiration and thus the quality of the BSG signal. The results of the study indicate that BSG signals of reliable quality can be acquired in different body positions and even from light-weight infants. Owing to the observational nature of the current study, the use of positioning materials and blankets, which can dampen the BSG signal, was allowed. Finally, we did not exclude periods of nurse intervention or periods when infants were crying or fidgeting, excluding

which would likely improve performance. Retaining these periods ensured that the two RR-monitoring modalities were compared in the most naturalistic and real-world scenario possible.

The BSG-based modality for monitoring the RR attained a 95% LOA of ± 10 brpm compared to RR-CI based on continuous measurements acquired from infants in varying body positions during which time nursing procedures were not restricted. In contrast, a study using dEMG for monitoring RR in supine infants, in the absence of nursing procedures, achieved a 95% LOA of approximately ± 15 brpm which improved to ± 10 brpm when considering only stable epochs⁸.

This study is limited by the fact that only a modest number of non-invasively ventilated infants were included. Also, similar to other studies, the RR-BSG was compared to RR-CI which, while the clinical standard, is often inaccurate⁸. However, the results of the current study indicate the non-inferiority of the BSG-based approach for monitoring respiration compared to CI and provide sufficient data to motivate future studies with nasal pneumotachometers and chest inductance plethysmography as reference standards. Based on the data from this study, future studies with adequate sample size and power calculation can also look into the effects of body weight and body position on the MD and LOA between RR-BSG and the RR acquired from a gold reference standard. Finally, in the current study, we did not evaluate the ability of the BSG-based modality for identifying apnea, an important issue that can be explored in the future.

In conclusion, this study shows that non-obtrusively acquiring the respiratory rate of preterm infants using film-like pressure sensors placed underneath the bedding is feasible and that the RR acquired using BSG is similar to that obtained from CI. In the NICU setting, using the BSG-based approach can reduce chronic stress in infants owing to the long-term use of adhesive electrodes. The non-obtrusive nature of BSG modality also lends itself to use in lower acuity and home-monitoring settings.

Conflict of Interest

No financial assistance was received in support of this study. Rohan Joshi is employed by Philips Research. The other authors report no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was performed within the framework of e/MTIC.

References

1. Di Fiore, J. M., Poets, C. F., Gauda, E., Martin, R. J. & Macfarlane, P. Cardiorespiratory events in preterm infants: Etiology and monitoring technologies. *J. Perinatol.* **36**, 165–171 (2016).
2. Bancalari, E. & Claure, N. Respiratory Instability and Hypoxemia Episodes in Preterm Infants. *Am. J. Perinatol.* **35**, 534–536 (2018).
3. Al-Khalidi, F. Q., Saatchi, R., Burke, D., Elphick, H. & Tan, S. Respiration rate monitoring methods: A review. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **46**, 523–529 (2011).
4. Fiore, J. M. Di. Neonatal cardiorespiratory monitoring techniques. *Semin. Neonatol.* (2004).
5. Pesin, J., Faingersh, A., Waisman, D. & Landesberg, A. Highly sensitive monitoring of chest wall dynamics and acoustics provides diverse valuable information for evaluating ventilation and diagnosing pneumothorax. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **116**, 1632–1640 (2014).
6. Sardesai, S. R., Kornacka, M. K., Walas, W. & Ramanathan, R. Iatrogenic skin injury in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J. Matern. Neonatal Med.* **24**, 197–203 (2011).
7. Kohn, S. *et al.* Monitoring the respiratory rate by miniature motion sensors in premature infants: A comparative study. *J. Perinatol.* **36**, 116–120 (2016).
8. Kraaijenga, J. V., Hutten, G. J., De Jongh, F. H. & Van Kaam, A. H. Transcutaneous

- electromyography of the diaphragm: A cardio-respiratory monitor for preterm infants. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **50**, 889–895 (2015).
9. Scalise, L., Ercoli, I., Marchionni, P. & Tomasini, E. P. Measurement of respiration rate in preterm infants by laser Doppler vibrometry. *MeMeA 2011 - 2011 IEEE Int. Symp. Med. Meas. Appl. Proc.* 0–4 (2011).
10. Marchionni, P., Scalise, L., Ercoli, I. & Tomasini, E. P. An optical measurement method for the simultaneous assessment of respiration and heart rates in preterm infants. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **84**, (2013).
11. Werth, J. *et al.* Unobtrusive sleep state measurements in preterm infants – A review. *Sleep Med. Rev.* **32**, 109–122 (2017).
12. Nizami, S. *et al.* Measuring Uncertainty During Respiratory Rate Estimation Using Pressure-Sensitive Mats. *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.* **67**, 1535–1542 (2018).
13. Joshi, R. *et al.* A Ballistographic Approach for Continuous and Non- Obtrusive Monitoring of Movement in Neonates Journal: *IEEE J. Transl. Eng. Heal. Med.* **PP**, 1–30 (2018).
14. Joshi, R. *et al.* Pattern discovery in critical alarms originating from neonates under intensive care. *Physiol. Meas.* **37**, 564–579 (2016).
15. Joshi, R., Van De Mortel, H., Feijs, L., Andriessen, P. & Van Pul, C. The heuristics of nurse responsiveness to critical patient monitor and ventilator alarms in a private room neonatal intensive care unit. *PLoS One* (2017).
16. Lee, H. *et al.* A new algorithm for detecting central apnea in neonates. *Physiol. Meas.* **33**, 1–17 (2012).
17. Tarrant, S. C., Ellis, R. E., Flack, F. C. & Selley, W. G. Comparative review of techniques for recording respiratory events at rest and during deglutition. *Dysphagia* **12**, 24–38 (1997).

Figure 1. (A.) The correlation between the RR-BSG and the RR-CI. The black line shows the best line of fit ($r = 0.74$) while the dotted lines show the 95% LOA. *RMSE* and *n* refer to the root mean square error and the total number of observations. **(B.)** Bland-Altman plots comparing the difference in RR between BSG and CI with RR-CI. The black line indicates the mean difference while the dotted lines indicate the 95% LOA. The *solid square*, *circle*, and the *pentagram* are representative of epochs where the RR-BSG was equal to, higher than, and lower than RR-CI respectively.

Figure 2. The root mean square error (RMSE) of RR-BSG versus RR-CI for six different respiratory frequencies. In addition to the Bland-Altman plot, the RMSE is needed to study bias because the presence of even some outliers in a crowded Bland-Altman plot may mistakenly suggest a frequency dependence where none exists.

Figure 3. A representative 30s epoch of the BSG and the CI waveforms with algorithmically detected peaks and troughs (circles) where both RR-BSG and RR-CI were approximately equal to 76 bpm (solid square Figure 1).

Figure 4. (A.) The BSG-based RR (70 brpm) is higher than RR-CI (58 brpm) possibly because of an unstable CI waveform (circle, Figure 1). (B.) The BSG-based RR (42 brpm) is lower than RR-CI (70 brpm) because the algorithm does not detect small fluctuations in the BSG waveform as separate breaths (pentagram, Figure 1).

Table 1. Patient characteristics on the day of measurement as well as the agreement between RR-BSG and RR-CI for different sessions, as obtained from individual Bland-Altman plots with RR-CI serving as the reference.

Infant	PMA (weeks +days)	Weight (gram)	Session	Position	Respiratory support	No. of one-min epochs	MD (min ⁻¹)	95% LOA (min ⁻¹)
Infant 1	30+3	1350	Session 1	Left lateral	CPAP	152	2.80	6.72
			Session 2	Right lateral	CPAP	160	0.22	5.92
Infant 2	35+5	2250	Session 1	Prone	CPAP	155	2.57	8.42
			Session 2	Supine	CPAP	166	-1.49	6.88
Infant 3	29+1	1165	Session 1	Right lateral	CPAP	158	-4.4	13.04
			Session 2	Prone	CPAP	158	-7.48	14.63
Infant 4	33	1570	Session 1	Right lateral	CPAP	158	0.06	5.81
			Session 2	Left lateral	CPAP	158	-1.8	7.76
Infant 5	29+3	1140	Session 1	Left lateral	CPAP	158	-1.93	8.74
			Session 2	Right lateral	CPAP	158	0.18	6.43
Infant 6	27+5	700	Session 1	Right lateral	CPAP	158	0.35	11.85
			Session 2	Prone	CPAP	158	-0.15	12.76
Infant 7	33+3	1400	Session 1	Right lateral	High flow	191	3.17	8.81
			Session 2	Left lateral	High flow	158	2.33	9.18
Infant 8	32+1	1675	Session 1	Supine	High flow	148	-1.35	7.82
			Session 2	Left lateral	High flow	158	-0.17	9.21
Infant 9	31+4	1450	Session 1	Left lateral	None	156	-2.31	6.59
			Session 2	Right lateral	None	158	0.22	6.90
Infant 10	31+3	1520	Session 1	Right lateral	None	158	1.46	6.14
			Session 2	Left lateral	None	158	0.79	6.21

Abbreviations: PMA- Postmenstrual age; CPAP- Continuous positive airway pressure; MD- Mean difference; LOA- Limits of agreement.

Appendix A

The EMFi electromechanical film sensor used in the study. The dimensions of the sensor were 580 mm × 290 mm × 0.4 mm. The sensor was placed underneath the bedding of the infant, and a custom-made signal acquisition device was used to acquire the resulting BSG signal. This BSG signal was processed to obtain the respiratory waveform from which the RR was derived.

Appendix B

Bland-Altman plots corresponding to 789 and 803 epochs of one-min duration that were most (**A.**) and least (**B.**) affected by infant movement. The 95% LOA of the RR remain comparable, indicating that the BSG-based modality for monitoring respiration is not unduly affected by motion artifacts.