The brazing of Si₃N₄ with Ni-Cr-Si alloys

Citation for published version (APA):

Ceccone, G., Nicholas, M. G., Peteves, S. D., Kodentsov, A., Kivilahti, J., & Loo, van, F. J. J. (1995). The brazing of Si₃N₄ with Ni-Cr-Si alloys. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 15, 563-572.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0955-2219\(95\)00015-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0955-2219(95)00015-M)

DOI:

[10.1016/0955-2219\(95\)00015-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0955-2219(95)00015-M)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1995

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

The Brazing of Si_3N_4 with Ni–Cr–Si Alloys

G. Ceccone,^a M. G. Nicholas,^a S. D. Peteves,^a A. A. Kodentsov,^b J. K. Kivilahti^b
& F. J. J. van Loo^b

^aInstitute for Advanced Materials, CEC, Petten, The Netherlands

^bSolid State Chemistry and Materials Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

(Received 29 July 1994; revised version received 30 October 1994; accepted 10 January 1995)

Abstract

The use of commercially available and laboratory made Ni–Si–Cr brazes for wetting and bonding Si_3N_4 has been explored. The microstructure and chemistry of brazed joints has been characterized by techniques such as optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, electron probe micro-analysis and X-ray diffraction. Si_3N_4 was wetted well by all the braze alloys at 1150–1200°C, but the joint quality obtained was poor because of the formation of brittle phases and solid solutions.

1 Introduction

The increasing interest in the application of structural ceramics such as Si_3N_4 and SiC is due to their high-temperature strength and creep resistance, excellent wear, corrosion and thermal shock resistance. However, reliable joining technologies are needed if ceramic inserts are to be used to exploit these properties or complex components are to be made from simple ceramic bodies.

Brazing is an attractive technique for joining ceramic–ceramic and, particularly, ceramic–metal systems. However to profit from the high temperature mechanical properties of ceramics, high temperature brazes have to be employed and several studies^{1–3} have suggested Ni-based alloys as suitable brazes for joining Si_3N_4 and SiC.

This paper describes an evaluation of the potential usefulness of some Ni–Cr–Si brazes for joining Si_3N_4 , employing a material science approach based where possible on phase stability and thermodynamic factors.

2 Background

Brazing is a versatile and cost-effective technique for joining both similar and dissimilar material systems. However, many of the brazes used for

joining metal workpieces do not wet technologically important ceramics and hence a sub-class of brazes, active metal brazes, have been developed for the joining of ceramics. The distinctive feature of these active metal brazes is that they react to change the surface chemistry and wettability of the ceramics.

Commercially available active metal brazes are generally based on the Ag–Cu–(In, Pd)–Ti and Cu–Al–Si–Ti systems and can be used for applications that do not require service temperatures higher than 400°C.^{4–7} Si_3N_4 can be joined with these brazes and important applications have been found in the automobile industries. However, many of the proposed future uses of Si_3N_4 involve service temperatures in excess of 700°C. The selection of a high temperature active metal braze involves two tasks: (a) choice of a solvent and, (b), identification of a reactive component. The first task requires consideration of metallurgical and technological factors; the solvent must be sufficiently refractory and chemically inert with respect to the workpieces and the environment so that the joined structures can withstand service conditions such as high temperature oxidizing atmospheres. The development of brazes for high temperature metals such as super alloys can provide some guidance for solvent selection but the second task is often more difficult to accomplish. Identifying a suitable reactive component requires information about what type of products will be formed by the specific workpiece/braze combinations during the joining process. Equilibrium thermodynamics can provide insight about the chemistry of the products, but not about their formation kinetics or properties such as wettability or strength. Thus experimentation is almost always necessary to identify the optimum brazing materials and process conditions.

Several studies of the active metal brazing of engineering ceramics aimed to increase the service temperatures have been reported. In particular, Au–Ni–Ti and Pd–Ni–Ti alloys have been used to

join Si_3N_4 and SiC ceramics.⁸ The results obtained indicate that a major problem is the enhanced chemical reaction between the ceramic and the braze.

The present work focused on the utility of Ni–Cr–Si brazes. These are based on the Ni–21%Si eutectic* which melts at 1143°C and were chosen for several reasons: their commercial availability, the expectation that the presence of Si will reduce the dissolution of the Si_3N_4 ,⁹ the successful use of Ni–Cr alloys to diffusion bond Si_3N_4 ,^{10,11} their potentially good high temperature mechanical properties, the ability of Cr to form bonding layers of CrN by reacting with Si_3N_4 ,¹⁰ and the good oxidation resistance of Ni–Cr alloys. However, a problem to be faced when using Si as a temperature depressant for Ni brazes is the brittleness of the joints caused by the formation of silicides if the Si content exceeds about 10%.

Thermodynamic modelling can be a useful design tool in predicting the behaviour of the ceramic-braze systems and predictions for the SiC/Ni–Cr–Si braze systems are in good agreement with the experimental results.^{1,2} Unfortunately Si_3N_4 /Ni–Cr–Si systems are more complicated because the reaction processes are strongly influenced by the N_2 local partial pressure.^{3,10,11} Furthermore, to understand the behaviour of Si_3N_4 /Ni–Cr–Si systems requires a thorough knowledge of the Ni–Cr–Si–N phase diagram. This is lacking but some data, cited below, are available for the Ni–Cr–Si and Ni–Cr–N systems. Furthermore, a few (Ni–Cr–Si)/ N_2 nitridation experiments were conducted as a precursor to this brazing study, as discussed later.

Some experimental data for Ni and Cr rich Ni–Cr–Si alloys have been published^{12–14} and Ansara *et al.*¹³ have assessed the data for Si lean alloys. The Ansara isotherms at 1027 and 1127°C are reproduced in Fig. 1. An obvious deficiency of this evaluation is that even the isotherm for 1127°C does not contain a liquid field, yet the commercial BNi-5, Ni–19Cr–18Si braze has a solidus of 1078°C. Hence, it became clear early on during this study that a more sound knowledge of this system was necessary if braze microstructures were to be interpreted, so a parallel programme^{15,16} was initiated to derive a full Ni–Cr–Si diagram and reference to its results will be made in the Discussion.

The stability diagram of nitride phases in various Ni–Cr alloys at 950, 1000 and 1125°C as a function of N_2 fugacity (partial pressure) is given in Fig. 2. More details concerning the thermodynamic calculations and experimental verification of this diagram can be found elsewhere.¹⁷ With

Fig. 1. Calculated partial isothermal section for the Ni–Cr–Si system at 1127°C and 1027°C (after Ref. 13). The insert displays the compositions of the braze alloys used in this study: BNi-5 is shown as a solid circle and the laboratory made alloys as hollow circles.

decreasing temperature down to 1000°C a ternary phase, π , is stable in the Ni–Cr–N system. Nitridation of Ni alloyed with at least 28%Cr produced a π phase, $\text{Cr}_{10}\text{Ni}_7\text{N}_3$ ¹⁷ or $\text{Cr}_{13}\text{Ni}_7\text{N}_4$,¹⁸ at 1000–1125°C which thermodynamic analyses demonstrated to be in equilibrium with the FCC Ni solid solution and Cr_2N at modest nitrogen activities.¹⁹

*Unless otherwise specified, composition at% are given.

Fig. 2. Calculated stability diagrams of nitride phases in various Ni–Cr alloys as a function of nitrogen fugacity: (a) at 1125°C, (b) at 1000°C, and (c) at 950°C.

3 Experimental

The ceramic used in this work was a commercial hot-pressed Si_3N_4 containing 1.8% Al_2O_3 and 5.1% Y_2O_3 as sintering aids. It was obtained from Cera-dyne Inc., Costa Mesa, CA, USA, as a plate from which were cut samples measuring $7 \times 15 \times 3$ mm. The faces to be bonded were polished, using a $1 \mu\text{m}$ diamond paste, to a final average roughness (R_a) of about $0.3 \mu\text{m}$. The braze alloys used were $90 \mu\text{m}$ thick foils of a commercial BNi-5, obtained from Wesgo Inc., Belmont, CA, USA, and $500 \mu\text{m}$ thick foils of laboratory produced alloys. Their compositions are indicated in Fig. 1 and summarised in Table 1. Table 1 also includes their liquidus temperatures, which were determined by differential thermal analysis and ranged from 1135°C for BNi-5 to 1400°C for one of the laboratory alloys.

The ceramic pieces and the braze alloy foils

were ultrasonically cleaned in methanol, rinsed in water and dried using an air blast immediately before being assembled as a sandwich and placed in the brazing furnace. The brazing experiments were performed under vacuum of 6×10^{-4} Pa (1 Pa = 1

Table 1. Composition of the alloys used for wetting and brazing experiments

Alloy Designation	Liquidus (°C)	Composition (at%)		
		Ni	Cr	Si
BNi-5	1135	Bal.	18.9	18.4
Wesgo				
N-21	1150	Bal.	6	22
Lab. Made				
N-4	1350	Bal.	5	10
Lab. Made				
N-5	1345	Bal.	10	10
Lab. Made				
N-35	1400	Bal.	10	5
Lab. Made				

$\times 10^{-5}$ bar) using temperatures of 1150 or 1200°C, times of 0.08–1 h and heating and cooling rates of 10°C/min. In accord with recommended practice for the BNi-5 alloy²⁰, each brazing cycle included a 1 h sub-solidus dwell at 1050°C during the cooling stage in an attempt to homogenize the seam microstructure. A slight uniaxial force of about 0.5 MPa was applied during brazing to maintain alignment of the workpieces.

Before commencing the main series of brazing experiments, the wetting behaviour of the brazes in vacuum at 1200°C was assessed. The alloy foils were placed on polished and cleaned Si_3N_4 substrates and heated at 10°C/min to 1200°C in a vacuum chamber evacuated to a pressure of less than 5×10^{-4} Pa. The samples were kept for 30 min at temperature and then cooled to room temperature at 10°C/min.

After being used in the wetting or brazing experiments, the samples were cross-sectioned, polished with diamond paste and finished with a 0.3 μm alumina slurry. The contact angles of wetting samples and the interfacial microstructures of brazed samples was characterized by optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. Electron probe microanalysis, (EPMA), and X-ray diffraction, (XRD), techniques were used to characterise the micro-chemistry of braze seams. The Vickers hardness of phases within the seams were derived using a 50 g load applied by Zeiss MHT-4 equipment.

Nitridation of the Ni–Cr–Si alloys under total gas pressures up to 100 bars, took place in a sintering furnace (KCE, Germany). Temperature control was carried out with an optical pyrometer within $\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ accuracy. All experiments were carried out in pure nitrogen ($\text{O}_2 \leq 10$ ppm; $\text{H}_2\text{O} \leq 10$ ppm). After nitridation under these conditions, formation of oxides was not detected at the surface of the samples. The surface of the alloys were examined after nitriding by XRD analysis with a cylindrical texture camera using nickel-filtered Cu–K α radiation.

4 Results and Interpretation

4.1 Wetting

The contact angles derived from the 1200°C wetting studies are presented in Fig. 3. The commercial BNi-5 braze wetted well with an angle of 10°, while the laboratory produced braze alloys wetted even better with contact angles of virtually zero.

Also included in the figure are some contact angles reported for other Ni braze alloys.^{9,21,22} It can be seen that not all Ni brazes wet, the contact angle for BNi-2 (Ni–13B–8Si–7Cr–3Fe) being

Fig. 3. Wetting behaviour of Ni–Cr–Si brazing alloys on Si_3N_4 substrates at 1200°C (filled circles). The composition of the alloys is given in Table 1. Also included are literature data for BNi-5 (open squares) Ref. 9, for Ni–17P–Cr brazes (open triangles) Ref. 21 and for BNi-2, a Ni–13B–8Si–7Cr–3Fe braze at 1150°C (open circles) Ref. 22.

120°,²² but our observation of excellent wetting by BNi-5 confirms a literature report.⁹ Using the criterion of a maximum contact angle of 30° for effective wetting of a ceramic suggested by Moorhead and Keating,²³ it can be seen that all the Ni–Cr–Si brazes used in this study are adequate but some of the other compositions are unsuitable.

4.2 BNi-5 microstructure

Comparison of the quoted Ni–19Cr–18Si composition of BNi-5 with the phase stability diagrams for both 1027 and 1127°C, Fig. 1, reveals that it lies within the solid–solid Ni_5Si_2 –FCC Ni two phase field, yet very close to the boundary of the solid–solid–solid Ni_5Si_2 –FCC Ni– $\text{Cr}_3\text{Ni}_5\text{Si}_2$ three phase field. It was surprising, therefore, that the microstructure of the as received BNi-5 braze, shown in Fig. 4, contained three phases. These were: (1) a matrix labelled M with a hardness of HV463, (2) a substantial amount of a hard irregular phase labelled A, and (3) a small amount of a hard angular phase labelled B.

Fig. 4. Scanning electron micrographs of as-received BNi-5 microstructures.

Table 2. Phases identified in BNi-5 foils and braze seams. (Compositions are given in at%). The stoichiometry of the Q-phase was found to be $\text{Ni}_{15}\text{Cr}_{21}\text{Si}_8\text{N}_6$

Condition	Phase M	Phase A	Phase B
As -received foil	Ni s.s. Ni-24Cr-15Si	Ni_5Si_2 Ni-26Si-10Cr	Q-phase Ni-45Cr-15Si-3.5N
Melted foil, 1150°C, 15 min	Ni s.s. Ni-24Cr-12Si	Ni_5Si_2 Ni-27Si-7Cr	Q-phase Ni-51Cr-15Si-3.5N
Braze seam, 1150°C, 15 min	Ni s.s. Ni-24Cr-12Si	Ni_5Si_2 Ni-28Si-2.8Cr	Q-phase Cr-29.6Ni- 14.9Si-7.3N
Braze seam, 1200°C, 15 min	Ni_5Si_2 Ni-27Si-8.4Cr	Ni_2Si Ni-32Si-3.5Cr	Q-phase Cr-29Ni- 14.2Si-12.2N
Braze seam, 1200°C, 30 min	Ni_5Si_2 Ni-27Si-8.2Cr	Ni_2Si Ni-32Si-4.3Cr	Q-phase Cr-28.2Ni-13.5Si-13.9N

The compositions of the phases in this and other cross-sections are summarised in Table 2. Comparison of these compositions with the Ansara diagrams shown in Fig. 1 identifies the Ni-24Cr-15Si Matrix, **M** as a Cr and Si saturated FCC Ni and the hard irregular Ni-26Si-10Cr phase **A** as a Cr enriched Ni_5Si_2 . However, assigning an identity to the Ni-51Cr-15Si-3.5N composition of the angular phase **B** is difficult. The angular phase contains nitrogen and hence cannot be identified validly as any of the ternary compounds shown in the Ansara diagrams. Even if the N content is ignored, the normalised composition is not in accord with any of the phases shown in Fig. 1 but lies within the two phase field between $\text{Cr}_3\text{Ni}_5\text{Si}_2$ and the $\text{Cr}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{Si}$, or σ , phase. Therefore, it was decided somewhat arbitrarily for the moment, (it will be justified later on), to regard the angular phase (**B**) as a quaternary compound, Q.

The microstructure of the BNi-5 foil was little changed by subjecting it to a simulated brazing cycle by melting in an Al_2O_3 crucible and holding at 1150°C for 15 min, Table 2. The microstructure contained three phases; 53% of the Ni-based solid solution matrix phase, 40% of Ni_5Si_2 and 7% of the quaternary Q-phase. However, major changes occurred when the alloy was subjected to braze cycles in contact with Si_3N_4 .

4.3 Si_3N_4 /BNi-5 interactions

The good wetting behaviour of BNi-5 ensured that brazed sandwiches were formed successfully at both 1150 and 1200°C. The wetting was so good that the braze also spread over the sides of the ceramic pieces, but the structures of the joints were poor. Optical microscopy revealed that there were voids within the brazed seam and that both the seam and the ceramic-metal interfaces were cracked, presumably due to stresses caused by the mismatch between the $9.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ thermal expansion coefficient of the braze,⁹ and the $3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ of Si_3N_4 .

After brazing at 1200°C (Fig. 5) it was found that the Vickers hardness of the braze matrix phase had been increased to about HV 1100 (Table 3), and that the composition of the braze seam had been changed (Table 2).

The matrix became depleted in Cr but Fig. 6 shows that extending the brazing cycle caused the

(a)

(b)

Fig. 5. Microstructure of BNi-5 joints (a) brazed at 1200°C for 15 min, (b) brazed 1200°C for 30 min.

Table 3. Hardness of the matrix phase in Ni–Cr–Si alloys before and after use in various experiments

Alloy or System	Experiment	Hardness (Hv 50gr)
BNi-5	None	463.5 ± 17.4
Si ₃ N ₄ /BNi-5	Brazing at 1200°C	1090.3 ± 40.7
Si ₃ N ₄ /N-21	Brazing at 1200°C	1109.0 ± 34.0
Si ₃ N ₄ /N-35	Wetting at 1200°C	1061.5 ± 67.8
Si ₃ N ₄ /N-4	Wetting at 1200°C	1102.3 ± 31.6

Si content of the braze seam to increase progressively as more Si rich silicides were formed. With increasing the brazing time, the Ni₅Si₂ phase identified in Fig. 4 as A was converted to Ni₂Si, Fig. 7, and became very abundant. Finally, the EPMA studies showed that quite large amounts of a complex Ni–Cr–Si–N phase was formed, the N content of which increased with the brazing temperature and time. By comparison with the microstructure of the as received filler metal this phase was identified as the quaternary Q-phase.

The large amount of Si found in the braze seam clearly demonstrates that Si₃N₄ reacts with the liquid filler metal during the brazing process. The conclusion is reinforced by the fact that decreasing the brazing time to 5 min, still caused the conversion of the matrix to a brittle silicide. Rapid reaction of the ceramic with the molten braze is reported also by Hadian and his co-workers,⁹ who used the BNi-5 alloy for brazing Si₃N₄ to Mo at 1200°C. They measured a smaller increase in the Si content of the braze than it was observed in our study, but this difference may be explained by the higher partial pressure of nitrogen in their experiments (~8 Pa) compared to ours (~8 × 10⁻⁶ Pa), which leads to a lower chemical potential of silicon in Si₃N₄ and, therefore, to lower driving force for diffusion of silicon.

In some final experiments, the configuration of the joints was changed by the introduction of a

Fig. 6. Concentration of Si in the braze seam of Si₃N₄/BNi-5 joints plotted as a function of the brazing time at 1200°C. Each point is the average of 5 measurements at different 5 × 5 μm² locations.

Fig. 7. X-ray diffraction spectrum of a fracture surface of a Si₃N₄/BNi-5 joint brazed at 1200°C for 30 min.

500 μm thick foil of Ni-22Cr, Fig. 8. In this case, δ-Ni₂Si was not found within the braze seam after bonding at 1200°C for 30 min. However, there was an extensive formation of the Q-phase within a matrix of Ni-based solid solution and Ni₅Si₃. In places, the Q-phase was formed as spherical particles, that suggested it had grown in the molten matrix. Another reaction product worth noting was a layer of ‘CrN’ at the braze–ceramic interface that was observed exclusively in the central region of the joint.

Although the brazing temperature suggested for the commercial BNi-5 alloy ranges between 1150 and 1216°C,²⁴ the present results demonstrate that a temperature of 1200°C is too high if it is to be used to join Si₃N₄. Hence, further brazing experiments at lower temperatures were performed. The

Fig. 8. Microstructure of the Si₃N₄/BNi-5/Ni-22Cr interface (1200°C, 15 min).

Fig. 9. Scanning electron micrographs of a Si_3N_4 /BNi-5 joint brazed at 1150°C for 15 min.

microstructure of a cross-section of a Si_3N_4 /BNi-5 joint brazed at 1150°C for 15 min, shown in Fig. 9, is not significantly different to that produced at 1200°C , Fig. 5. Once more, three phases are present in the brazing seam. Quantitative electron probe micro-analysis of the braze seam, summarised in Table 2, showed that the Ni solid solution matrix was still present in the seam even though large amounts of Ni_5Si_2 had been formed; the amount of Cr dissolved in the Ni_5Si_2 was decreased from 8 to about 3%, and the angular Q-phase was even more deficient in nitrogen.

4.4 Interactions of Si_3N_4 with other Ni–Cr–Si brazes

The cross-section of a Si_3N_4 /N-21 alloy (Ni–6Cr–22Si) joint bonded at 1200°C for 15 min, shown in Fig. 10(a), has features similar to those observed in Si_3N_4 /BNi-5 joints. Once again, the results indicate a brazing temperature of 1200°C is too high because of excessive ingress of Si. This conclusion is supported by results obtained using the other Ni–Cr–Si laboratory alloys, N-4 (Ni–5Cr–10Si), N-5 (Ni–10Cr–10Si) and N-35 (Ni–10Cr–5Si). Melting occurred even though the liquidus temperatures of the alloys are 1350 – 1400°C , Table 1. The melting was associated with a matrix hardness increase, as shown in Table 3, and the formation of the brittle quaternary, Q-phase.

A significantly different microstructure was produced when the laboratory alloy N-21 (Ni–6Cr–22Si) was used to braze at 1150°C for 15 min, as illustrated in Figure 10(b). In this case a reaction layer with an average thickness $2.4 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$ was formed at the ceramic/braze interface. A quantitative composition cannot be quoted for

(a)

(b)

Fig. 10. Cross-sections of Si_3N_4 /N-21 joints brazed at, (a) 1200°C for 15 min, (b) 1150°C for 15 min.

this reaction layer because of spatial resolution limitation of the electron probe micro-analysis technique, but from the optical appearance and morphology as well as from qualitative EDX elemental maps we may conclude that this layer is the quaternary Q-phase.

EPMA analysis of the braze seam revealed that the matrix was Ni_5Si_2 and that the angular inclusions shown in Fig. 10 had similar composition with the phases identified as Q in the other joint microstructures. Finally, cracks and voids were present in the seam, so the microstructure was unacceptable as in the case of the Si_3N_4 /BNi-5 joints.

4.5 Nitridation of Ni–Cr–Si alloys

In general, as in the case of the Ni–Cr–N system, see Fig. 2, the activity of N_2 largely determines the stability of various phases in the Ni–Cr–Si–N system. Nitridation of Ni–15Cr–10Si alloy at 1125°C under 1 bar N_2 pressure or higher, produced a surface layer and internal precipitates of the

Fig. 11. The formation of the quaternary phase, Q, ($\text{Ni}_{15}\text{Cr}_{21}\text{Si}_8\text{N}_6$) after nitriding Ni-15Cr-10Si alloy at 1125°C under 1 bar of N_2 (C = Metal-gas interface).

quaternary phase Q, Fig. 11. Careful EPMA analysis of equilibrated samples pointed out to a $\text{Ni}_{15}\text{Cr}_{21}\text{Si}_8\text{N}_6$ stoichiometry for the Q-phase. Additional nitriding experiments of different Ni-Cr-Si alloys (Ni-(20–35%Cr)-(2–5% Si)) have shown that the Q-phase exists as an equilibrium phase in the quaternary Ni-Cr-Si-N system over the temperature range of 1000–1250°C.

5 Discussion

It is clear that Si_3N_4 interacts strongly with Ni-Cr-Si brazes. Thus all the brazes wetted well at 1200°C, and the behaviour of the laboratory-made brazes (N-4, N-5 and N-35) is evidence of a melting temperature depression caused by the ingress of Si from the ceramic. Vigorous work-piece/braze interactions are often associated with good wetting, and all the brazes used in this study wetted very well. The least wetting braze was the commercial BNi-5 alloy which also has the highest Cr content. However, the correlation between Cr content and wetting behaviour is unclear because, for instance, the Ni-Cr-P brazes with the highest Cr contents also formed the lowest contact angles with Si_3N_4 .²¹

While braze/ceramic interactions promote wetting, the resultant microstructures are excessively brittle and none of the Ni-Cr-Si brazes used in this study can be considered satisfactory joining materials for Si_3N_4 , not even the commercially developed BNi-5.

Upon brazing at 1200°C the liquid filler metal reacts with the ceramic leading to an ingress of Si and nitrogen into the braze. Since the melting point of the Q-phase is higher than 1250°C, as determined by the nitridation experiments, the

interaction of the nitrogen with the liquid Ni-Cr-Si filler metal is as follows:

where L' is the remnant Cr-depleted liquid filler metal. The second eutectic isotherm in the binary Ni-Si system ($\text{L}' \rightleftharpoons \text{Ni}_5\text{Si}_2 + \delta\text{-Ni}_2\text{Si}$) lies at 1215°C.²⁵ Chromium that is still present in the liquid may depress the temperature of this eutectic. However, the significant ingress of silicon from Si_3N_4 into the molten braze leads to the formation, inside of liquid, of precipitates of $\delta\text{-Ni}_2\text{Si}$ (or its high temperature modification $\theta\text{-Ni}_2\text{Si}$) having a higher liquidus temperature.²⁶ Then, these precipitates grow through the liquid. Such a mechanism seems to be confirmed by the resultant morphology of the reaction zone after brazing at 1200°C for 15–30 minutes (Fig. 5(a), (b)).

At 1150°C the interactions between the ceramic and the braze are less severe. Still the liquid filler metal is enriched with Si and depleted from Cr. Besides the formation of the quaternary Q-phase, excess of Si within the molten filler metal result in the precipitation of Ni_5Si_2 at the brazing temperature rather than upon cooling.

In order to decide whether any other Ni-Cr-Si braze might be suitable, it is necessary to understand the reaction paths followed by the ceramic/braze systems, especially the formation of the nitride phases inside the braze seam. For instance the formation of a continuous layer of CrN at the interface is desirable^{11,15} because this could impede the ingress of Si, whereas the formation of the brittle Q-phase in the seam deteriorates the joint quality. Quantitative understanding of the reaction paths cannot be achieved because of the lack of phase equilibrium data for the Cr-Ni-Si-N system but some qualitative guidance can be obtained from considering the recent Ni-Cr-Si phase diagram study in parallel with this brazing work.^{15,16}

The ineffectiveness of the Si contents of the brazes in inhibiting further detrimental ingress of Si from the ceramic is further illuminated by these studies. Figure 12 displays two experimentally determined isothermal sections for 1050 and 1125°C that should be relevant to phase structures of molten and solidified brazes. Comparison of this new information with the assessments for Si lean alloys shown in Fig. 1 reveals both similarities and differences which impinge on our observations. There is agreement about the phase structure of the laboratory made alloys: N-4, (Ni-5Cr-10Si), N-5, (Ni-10Cr-10Si) and N-35, (Ni-10Cr-5Si), lie within the Ni solid solution and N-21, (Ni-6Cr-22Si), lies within the two phase field between Ni_5Si_2 and the FCC or $\gamma\text{-Ni}$ solid solution.

Fig. 12. Experimentally determined isothermal sections for the Ni–Cr–Si system at 1125°C and 1050°C, after Ref. 16.

Similarly, there is agreement that any σ -phase present in the as-received BNi-5 will have been a non-equilibrium or a quaternary phase.

In contrast, the isothermal sections due to Ansara *et al.*,¹³ shown in Fig. 1 predict that the commercial BNi-5, Ni–18Cr–19Si, lies on the edge of a solid–solid two phase field between FCC Ni and Ni_5Si_2 . This is not confirmed by the new isotherms shown in Fig. 12. In these, the BNi-5 composition lies close to the edge of the L_2 liquid field at 1125°C and presumably will lie on the actual edge of an expanded L_2 liquid field at the braze liquidus of 1135°C. Even more informatively, the new diagram for 1050°C casts light on the microstructure of the solidified braze seams produced by the equilibrium of the brazes and the

dissociating ceramic. Ingress of Si will cause the Ni solid solution braze matrix to be replaced progressively by silicides and we have observed the formation of Ni_5Si_2 and Ni_2Si .

The same considerations apply to the interaction of solid Ni–Cr alloys during the diffusion bonding of Si_3N_4 , but the approach to equilibrium will be much slower. The diffusion coefficient of Si in Ni–22Cr at 1200°C is $4.9 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$, probably 10 000 times slower than in the molten braze because the self diffusion coefficient for molten Ni lies in the range 10^{-8} – $10^{-9} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$.²⁷

A substantial displacement Cr is caused by the conversion of the Ni–24Cr–12Si matrix of the braze to Ni_5Si_2 and Ni_2Si containing only 8% of Cr. The ingress of Si from the ceramic that causes this displacement of Cr is accompanied also by a release of nitrogen and our experimental results show the displacement to result in a formation of a Cr rich nitride, $\text{Ni}_{15}\text{Cr}_{21}\text{Si}_8\text{N}_6$, which can be in equilibrium with the Ni–solid solution and Ni_5Si_2 or Ni_2Si . The presence of $\text{Ni}_{15}\text{Cr}_{21}\text{Si}_8\text{N}_6$, therefore provides proof of one logical step in the progress of a reaction path in the uncharted Ni–Cr–Si–N system created when a Ni–Cr–Si alloy is used to braze Si_3N_4 .

The 1050°C isotherm in Fig. 12 shows that one or more of the Cr–35Ni–25Si (T), Cr–30Ni–10Si (σ) or Ni–30Cr–20Si (π') phases will be in equilibrium with γNi braze matrices and that the T and π' phases will be in equilibrium with Ni_5Si_2 matrices. Experimental measurements of the Cr enriched angular Q-phase in solidified BNi-5 seams with both γNi and silicide matrices yielded compositions of approximately Ni–42Cr–16Si–12N, intermediate in metal stoichiometry between that of the T and σ phases. The σ -phase is not in equilibrium with the Ni_5Si_2 present in all the solidified braze seams, but there are tie lines between the T-phase and both γNi and Ni_5Si_2 . Thus the designation of the angular Q-phase in BNi-5 braze seams, and possibly the reaction product layer formed by N-21, as a N modified T phase is a logical, albeit tentative, outcome of the Ni–Cr–Si phase diagram studies.

It is clear that the prospects of improving the joint characteristics by varying fabrication conditions such as time and temperature are bleak. Diffusion barriers will need to be applied to the ceramic surfaces to prevent Si and nitrogen ingress when using Ni–Cr–Si braze alloys.

6 Conclusions

Ni–Cr–Si braze alloys wet and adhere to Si_3N_4 and in some cases spreading is observed. Despite

the large range of brazing conditions explored, the joint quality obtained was very poor. Unbonded areas, cracks and voids were observed in almost all the samples.

Contact between the molten Ni–Cr–Si brazes and Si₃N₄ caused ingress of Si and nitrogen from the ceramic. In the case of the commercial BNi-5 alloy, the relatively ductile γ Ni matrix of the braze was converted to brittle Ni₃Si₂ or Ni₂Si. Other changes caused by the ingress of Si were the formation of a Ni–Cr–Si–N compound as inclusions or a reaction product layer.

The difficulties encountered when using the Ni–Cr–Si alloys are related to fundamental materials science effects rather than inappropriate choice of brazing conditions. None of the Ni–Cr–Si brazes can offer direct resistance to the embrittling ingress of Si and nitrogen from the ceramic.

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was carried out within the Commission Research and Development Programme. It was also partially supported by the Innovative Programme for Technical Ceramics from the Dutch Finance Ministry (Grant # 91.B230).

References

- McDermid, J. R. & Drew, R. A. L. *J. Am. Cer. Soc.*, **74**(8) (1991) 1855–60.
- McDermid, J. R., Pugh, M. D. & Drew, R. A. L. *Metall. Trans.*, **20A** (1989) 1803–10.
- Mehan, R. L., Jackson, M. R., McConnell, M. D. & Lewis, N., *J. Mat. Sci.*, **18**(2) (1983) 508–14.
- Foley, A. G. & Andrews, D. J., In *Proc. 2nd European Colloquium on Design Ceramic Interfaces*, Petten (NL), 1992, ed. S. D. Peteves, Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg, EUR 15306 EN, 1993, p. 411–27.
- Iwamoto, N., Kamay, M., Fujii, K. & Sato, N., *ibid.*, p.441–51.
- Mizuhara, H., *Brazing alloy of copper, silver, titanium, aluminium*, US Patent 5,087,416, February 1992.
- Santella, M. L., *Advan. Ceram. Mat.*, **3**(5) (1988) 457–62.
- Lugscheider, E., Boretius, M. & Tillmann, W., *DKG* **68** No1/2 (1991) 14–22.
- Hadian, A. M., McDermid, J. R. & Drew, A. L., *Ceram. Trans.*, **19** (1991) 221–7.
- Peteves, S. D., Moulart, M. & Nicholas, M. G., *Metall. Trans. A*, **23A** (1992) 1773–81.
- Moretto, P., Moulart, M., Glaude, P., Frampton, P., Ceccone, G. & Peteves, S. D. In *Proc. 2nd European Colloquium on Design Ceramic Interfaces*, Petten (NL), (1992), ed. S. D. Peteves, Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg, EUR 15306 EN, 1993 p. 519–538.
- Lugscheider, E., Knotek, O. & Klöhn, K., *Thermochim. Acta*, **29**(2) (1979) 323.
- Ansara, I., Chart, T. G., Chevalier, P. Y., Hack, K., McHugh, G., Rand, M. H. & Spencer, P. J., Report No EUR 9657/1/EN, (1985), Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg, and references therein.
- Gupta, K. P., Rajan, N. S. & Beck, P. A., *Trans. TMS-AIME*, **218** (1969) 617.
- Kodentsov, A. A., Kivilahti, J. K., van Loo, F. J. J. & Peteves, S. D., *Ceram. Trans.*, **35** (1993) 13 516.
- Kodentsov, A., Kivilahti, J. K., van Loo, F. J. J. & Peteves, S. D., to be published.
- Kodentsov, A. A., Gulpen, J. M., Kivilahti, J. V. & van Loo, J. F., to be published.
- Ono, N., Kajihara, M. & Kikuchi, M., *Metall. Trans.* **23A** (1992) 1389.
- Frisk, K., Ph. D. Thesis, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, (1990).
- Lugscheider, E., Knotek, O & Klöhn, K., *Weld. J., Weld. Res. Suppl.*, **57** (1978) 319s.
- Nicholas, M. G. & Peteves, S. D., In *Proc. of 4th International Symposium on Ceramic Materials and Components for Engines*, eds. R. Carlsson, T. Johansson & L. Kahlman, Elsevier Applied Science, London, 1992, p. 332.
- Holmström, M., Ljungberg, L., Warren, A. & Warren, R., In *Proc. of 4th International Symposium on Ceramic Materials and Components for Engines*, eds R. Carlsson, T. Johansson & L. Kahlman, Elsevier Applied Science, London, 1992, p. 364–71.
- Moorhead, A. J. & Keating, H., Report No ORNL-6262, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, March 1986.
- Metal Handbook, 9th ed, (ASM), Ohio, 6 1983, 1014–21.
- Massalski, T. B., *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams*, (ASM), Ohio, 1986 p. 842 & 1756.
- Nash, P. & Nash, A., *Bull. All. Ph. Diagr.*, **8**(1) 1987 6–13.
- Iida, T. & Guthrie, R. I. L., *The Physical Properties of Liquid Metals*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988, p. 217.