A model reference and sensitivity model-based self-learning fuzzy logic controller as a solution for control of nonlinear servo systems

Citation for published version (APA):

Kovacic, Z., Bogdan, S., & Balenovic, M. (1999). A model reference and sensitivity model-based self-learning fuzzy logic controller as a solution for control of nonlinear servo systems. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 14(4), 1479-1484. <https://doi.org/10.1109/60.815093>

DOI:

[10.1109/60.815093](https://doi.org/10.1109/60.815093)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1999

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

A Model Reference & Sensitivity Model-based Self-learning Fuzzy Logic Controller as a Solution for Control of Nonlinear Servo Systems

Z. Kovačić, Member, IEEE, S. Bogdan, Student Member, IEEE, M. Balenović
University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
Unska 3, 10000 Zagreb, CROATIA

Abstract: In this paper, design, simulation and experimental verification of a self-learning fuzzy logic controller (SLFLC) suitable for control of nonlinear servo systems are described. The SLFLC contains a learning algorithm that utilizes a second-order reference model and a sensitivity model related to the fuzzy controller parameters. The effectiveness of the proposed controller has been tested in the position control loops of two chopper-fed dc servo systems, first by simulation in the presence of a backlash nonlinearity, then by experiment in the presence of a gravity-dependent shaft load and fairly high static friction. The simulation and experimental results have proved that the SLFLC provides desired closed-loop behavior and eliminates a steady-state position error.

Keywords: Fuzzy Systems, Fuzzy Control, Sensitivity Model, Self-Learning Fuzzy Logic Controller, Nonlinear Servo System

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-organizing fuzzy logic controllers have been successfully applied to various control processes such as a pH-neutralization, inverted pendulum, robot drives, induction motor and many others [1-5]. Since Mamdani [1], many researchers attempted to improve the performance of self-organizing mechanisms and establish a more systematic method for designing and tuning the fuzzy controller. Some of them used neural nets and some of them used a reference model [6-10].

The SLFLC which utilizes a sensitivity model and a 2nd-order reference model has been effectively introduced for control of a nonlinear control system [10]. Sensitivity functions as a measure of influence of a particular variable or parameter on the focused variable are used for planning of intervention in the system. But this presumes a differentiable character of a function describing a dependence of two variables (or variables and parameters). There is a class of fuzzy controllers widely used in practical applications, usually called the singleton fuzzy controllers, which can be organized to assume an analytical and differentiable form [10].

The paper presents a design, simulation and experimental verification of an SLFLC that is organized by means of a model reference-based and a sensitivity model-based learning mechanism.

PE-1378-EC-0-2-1998 A paper recommended and approved by the IEEE Electric Machinery Committee of the IEEE Power Engineering Society for publication in the IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion. Manuscript submitted August 27, 1997; made available for printing February 18, 1998.

The paper is organized in the following way. First a brief description of the structure of a nonlinear positioning (astatic) fuzzy control system is given. Then the synthesis of a self-learning fuzzy controller is explained. Further we show simulation results obtained in the position control loop of a chopper-fed dc servo system affected by a backlash nonlinearity. Then we show experimental results obtained in the position control loop of a chopper-fed dc servo system affected by a static friction and dynamic gravitation-dependent load variations.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTROL PROBLEM

Let us consider control of an unknown time-varying nonlinear astatic high-order SISO control process described as follows:

$$y(t) = f[y^{(n)}(t), y^{(n-1)}(t), \dots, y'(t), u^{(m)}(t), u^{(m-1)}(t), \dots, u'(t), u(t), t] + d(t) \quad (1)$$

where $d(t)$ denotes a measurement noise.

The fuzzy controller used in the SLFLC scheme is a singleton fuzzy controller. How much will the j -th fuzzy control rule contribute to the defuzzified control output depends on a degree of contribution described with the fuzzy basis function [10]:

$$\phi_j[e(k), dy(k)] = \frac{\mu_j[e(k), dy(k)]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i[e(k), dy(k)]}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, r \quad (2)$$

where: $e(k) = u(k) - y(k)$ - system error, $dy(k) = y(k) - y(k-1)$ - change of system output, p - number of fuzzy subsets of $e(k)$, q - number of fuzzy subsets of $dy(k)$, $r = pq$ - number of rules, μ_i - membership function of a fuzzy implication.

The SLFLC (Fig. 1) contains a nonintegral (PD) fuzzy controller to be organized and a proportional (P) controller:

$$u(k) = \Gamma[e(k), dy(k), \lambda] + k_p * e(k) = \sum_{i=1}^r A_i * \phi_i[e(k), dy(k)] + k_p * e(k) \quad (3)$$

where: A_i - centroid of the fuzzy controller output subset activated by the i -th fuzzy rule, ϕ_i - fuzzy basis function of the i -th fuzzy rule, k_p - proportional gain coefficient, λ - fuzzy controller parameter vector.

The controller parameter vector λ contains the following parameters: output subset centroid A_i , input subset centroid of e and dy , c_i^e and c_i^{dy} , and widths of input subsets of e and dy , w_i^e and w_i^{dy} .

Regarding a determination of desired closed-loop dynamic behavior, a second-order reference model is used:

$$y_M(k) = a_{M1}^* y_M(k-1) + a_{M2}^* y_M(k-2) + b_{M1}^* u(k-1) + b_{M2}^* u(k-2) \quad (4)$$

The synthesis of the SLFLC has a goal to find a set of control rules which would enforce a control system to have a minimal reference model tracking error:

Fig. 1. The structure of the SLFLC scheme.

$$e_M(k) = y_M(k) - y(k) \quad (5)$$

A sensitivity model of a fuzzy controlled system can be built only if fuzzification and defuzzification operations have a form that allows a fuzzy input-output mapping function to assume an analytical and differentiable form. In this sense, an inference engine will utilize a product operator:

$$\mu_j[e(k), dy(k)] = \mu_j^e[e(k)] * \mu_j^{dy}[dy(k)], \quad (6)$$

$$j=1,2,\dots,r \quad l=1,2,\dots,p \quad k=1,2,\dots,q$$

Following the principle of differentiability, membership functions have a form

$$\mu_k^e(x) = e^{-\frac{(x-c_k^e)^2}{w_k^e}}, \quad \mu_l^{dy}(x) = e^{-\frac{(x-c_l^{dy})^2}{w_l^{dy}}} \quad (7)$$

where c_k^j is a centroid of a membership function μ_k^j , and w_k^j is a width of a membership function μ_k^j .

III. DESIGN OF A SELF-LEARNING FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER

A total differential of the system output $y(k)$ w.r.t. small variations of controller parameters ($k_p = \text{const.}$) is determined by

$$\Delta y(k) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial y(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} \Delta \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{\lambda_i} \Delta \lambda_i \quad (8)$$

where η_{λ_i} are sensitivity functions related to parameters of the fuzzy controller:

$$\eta_{\lambda_i}(k) = \frac{\partial y(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial y(k)}{\partial u(k)} * \frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} = G_{sm} \frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} \quad (9)$$

A sensitivity function of the controller output w.r.t. controller parameter variations has the following form

$$\frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \{ \Gamma[e(k), dy(k), \lambda] \} \quad (10)$$

Insertion of (10) into (9) and further in (8) yields:

$$\Delta y(k) \approx \sum_{i=1}^N G_{sm} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \{ \Gamma[e(k), dy(k), \lambda] \} \right\} \Delta \lambda_i \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) can be used for assessment of controller parameter variations that would provide a given change of the system output. The given change of the system output $\Delta y(k)$ coincides in the model reference control concept with a tracking error e_M . In the proposed concept, system parameter variations will be compensated only by modifying the centroid of the fuzzy output

sets A_i (input membership functions remain predefined). Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial u(k)}{\partial A_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \{ \Gamma[e(k), dy(k), A] \} \quad (12)$$

From (2) and (3), it follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} \{ \Gamma[e(k), dy(k), A] \} = \phi_j[e(k), dy(k)] = \frac{\mu_j[e(k), dy(k)]}{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i[e(k), dy(k)]} \quad (13)$$

Accordingly, equation (8) assumes a form:

$$\eta_{\lambda_i}(k) \approx G_{sm} \{ \phi_j[e(k), dy(k)] \} \quad (14)$$

It may be seen that transient behavior of all sensitivity functions depends on the dynamic characteristics of the control process, $G_{sm} = \partial y(k) / \partial u(k)$. Since the exact model of the control process (1) is unknown, a reference model (4) could be assumed for a reasonable process approximation.

If the reference model (4) is representing the process approximation G_{sm} , then sensitivity functions have a form (for simplicity, $\phi_i[e(k), dy(k)]$ is replaced by $\phi_i(k)$):

$$\eta_{\lambda_i}(k) = a_{M1}^* \eta_{\lambda_i}(k-1) + a_{M2}^* \eta_{\lambda_i}(k-2) + b_{M1}^* \phi_i(k-1) + b_{M2}^* \phi_i(k-2) \quad (15)$$

Let us make the following assumptions: the static part of a control process is inherently stable, the boundary values (limits) of the system output $y(k)$ and the tracking error $e_M(k)$ are known, the reference input $u_r(k)$ is imposed as a sequence of alternating step changes. In this case, a learning algorithm has a form

$$\Delta A_j^k = f_i \{ e_M^k(k), \eta_{\lambda_i}^k(k), \eta_{\lambda_j}^k(k), \Delta A_i^k \} \quad (16)$$

$$i=1, \dots, r, \quad i \neq j$$

where k denotes a current learning iteration (i.e. a current run of the system). The meaning of this is that centroids A_j are changed only once during each run of the system. A new run of the system starts with a new change of $u_r(k)$. It must be noted, that approximate equality in (11) is intentionally replaced by normal equality in (16).

The sensitivity function equation (15) holds for every sampling interval, while the modification of the centroid A_i is executed only once in the learning iteration. Therefore, it is necessary to pick the moment when influence of a particular controller parameter is the highest, and that is in the maximum of the corresponding sensitivity function.

$$\beta_j^k = \eta_{\lambda_j}^k(v_j^k) = \max \{ \eta_{\lambda_j}^k(k) \} \quad (17)$$

On insertion of (17) into (16), the learning law assumes a form

$$\Delta A_j^k = f_i \{ e_M^k(k), \eta_{\lambda_i}^k(k), \beta_{\lambda_j}^k(k), \Delta A_i^k \} \quad (18)$$

$$i=1, \dots, r, \quad i \neq j$$

The speed of learning depends on dynamic characteristics of the sensitivity functions generated during the system output transient response. During a learning interval, it may happen that some sensitivity function reaches a maximum value lower than a predetermined threshold value δ . This means that the corresponding centroid has a negligible influence on the process behavior, and so remains unchanged.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed SLFLC has been tested by computer simulation in case of controlling the position loop of a laboratory dc servo drive ES 130 (Feedback Inc.) affected by the impact of a backlash nonlinearity. The rated parameter values are: $K_m=175$ rad/Vs (amplifier & motor gain), $T_m=160$ ms (electromechanical time constant), $N=16$ (gear ratio), $K_d=28.65$ V/rad (feedback gain), $k_p=0.015$ V/V (P gain). The width of a backlash is set to $\pm 3^\circ$.

The parameters of the 2nd-order reference model are determined according to the selected performance indices: overshoot in response, $\sigma_m=1.52\%$, and a time of maximum $t_m=0.53$ s, which yields (sampling interval $T_s=0.01$ s):

$$y_M(k) = 1.8429y_M(k-1) - 0.8521y_M(k-2) + 0.0047u(k-1) + 0.0045u(k-2) \quad (19)$$

The model (19) is also used as a part of the sensitivity model (15). Five linguistic subsets with the corresponding membership functions (7) have been defined for both fuzzy controller inputs (normalized universes of discourse E and DY): LN ($c=-1$, $w=0.227$), MN ($c=-0.4$, $w=0.048$), Z ($c=0$, $w=0.01$), MP ($c=0.4$, $w=0.048$), LP ($c=1$, $w=0.227$).

The proposed fuzzy control method has been tested in case of a series of step changes of the reference input equal to $\Delta\theta_i=\pm 30^\circ$. Fig. 2a shows the reference model and the measured system output responses obtained after first three runs of the system. The negative effect of a backlash in the measured system output is clearly seen. Figs. 2b and 2c show the tracking error and the controller output responses, respectively.

Fig. 2. Start of learning: the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

In the first run, a dynamic behavior is determined only by the proportional controller and the tracking error exceeds 30% of the imposed change of the reference input.

Figs. 3a, 3b and 3c show the same group of responses obtained after thirteen learning iterations (positive runs) of the system. The system follows the reference model very closely and the steady-state tracking error is kept below 5%, thus proving a convergence of the learning process. In addition, the controller output (Fig. 3c) has a very acceptable nonoscillatory form. The steady-state error is kept within $\pm 1\%$ which is a significant achievement, since the dead-zone of the backlash would normally cause a static error within $\pm 10\%$.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed SLFLC has been tested by a series of experiments in case of controlling the nonlinear position loop of a chopper-fed dc servo drive (Fig. 4). During experiments, the distribution of membership functions (7) has remained the same.

Fig. 4. Experimental setup of a dc servo drive.

Fig. 3. End of learning: the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

The position loop is affected by the impact of a static friction and nonlinear gravitation-dependent shaft load ($T_L = T_{L0} \sin \theta$) as shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The block structure of the nonlinear control process is shown in Fig. 7. The rated parameter values are: $k_p = 0.015$ V/V (P gain), $K_f = 1/45$ °/rad (feedback gain), $K = 0.191$ Nm/A, $K_a = 0.106$ V/A (armature gain), $J_T = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ (no load), $J_T = 1.27 \times 10^{-3}$ (max. load), $N = 4$ (gear ratio). The length of a load bar is 27cm, and weight of the weight is 0.22 kg.

The parameters of the 2nd-order reference model are determined according to the selected performance indices: overshoot in response, $\sigma_m = 1.52\%$, and time of maximum $t_m = 0.6$ s and 0.4 s. The reference model is also used for description of the sensitivity model G_{sm} . Five linguistic subsets have been defined for both fuzzy controller inputs (universes of discourse E and DY): LN, MN, Z, MP, LP. A linear distribution of the corresponding membership functions (7) has been selected.

Fig. 5. A friction torque.

Fig. 6. A nonlinear shaft load.

Fig. 7. The structure of a nonlinear positioning control loop.

The proposed selflearning fuzzy control method has been experimentally tested for a series of step changes of the reference input equal to $\Delta\theta = \pm 20^\circ$ in two intentionally selected operating points, $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = -90^\circ$, which correspond to the extremal magnitudes of a position dependent load torque T_L .

Fig. 8a shows the reference model ($t_m = 0.6$ s) and the measured position responses obtained after two runs of the system (one in each direction) under full load weight ($T_{L0} = \max$) conditions in the operating point $\theta_0 = 0^\circ$. Effects of friction and nonlinear time-varying load reflect in different dynamics for each direction and in the presence of a steady state error. Figs. 8b and 8c show the tracking error and the controller output responses, respectively. In the first run, a dynamic behavior is determined only by the proportional controller (Fig. 1) and the tracking error exceeds 30% of the imposed change of the reference input.

Fig. 8. Start of learning ($\theta_0=0^\circ$): the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

Fig. 10. Start of learning ($\theta_0=-90^\circ$): the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

Fig. 9. End of learning: the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

Fig. 11. End of learning: the reference model and the system output responses (a), the tracking error responses (b) and the self-learning fuzzy controller output responses (c).

Figs. 9a, 9b and 9c show the same group of responses obtained after completion of learning (after twelve positive runs of the system). Now the system follows the reference model very closely and the maximum tracking error value is kept below 5%, thus proving a fast convergence of the learning process. In addition, the controller output (Fig. 9c) has a very acceptable nonoscillatory form and the steady-state system error is kept at zero as required.

Figs. 10a, 10b and 10c show the same group of responses obtained after first two runs of the system under full load weight conditions in the operating point $\theta_0 = -90^\circ$. This is the most difficult starting operating point which results in the highest steady state error (over 150% due to the presence of active load which cannot be compensated only by means of a P controller).

Figs. 11a, 11b and 11c show the same group of responses obtained after completion of learning (slightly longer, i.e. after fourteen iterations). The system follows the reference model very closely and the maximum tracking error value is kept below 5%, while the steady-state system error is kept at zero as required. The controller output (Fig. 11c) has retained a very acceptable nonoscillatory form.

More experiments with changed reference model parameters ($t_m = 0.4s$) indicated that the choice of reference model parameters does not influence much the convergence of the learning process. We also tried to improve performance by adding an integral term in parallel to the P controller and SLFLC to raise the steady state accuracy, but responses obtained in the presence of the integral term did not differ much from those obtained without it. Namely, the integral term was activated conditionally (i.e. only if it was necessary) after major part of the learning process was completed.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents a selflearning fuzzy logic control (SLFLC) scheme suitable for control of nonlinear astatic systems. A learning law is based on the use of a reference model and a sensitivity model built w.r.t. to the fuzzy controller parameters. Simulation and experimental results obtained in the nonlinear position control loop of a dc servo motor drive have confirmed a fast convergence of learning and effective control without steady state errors and with very close following of the reference model dynamics.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was performed within the framework of the research project entitled "Fast Self-Organizing Fuzzy Control of Nonlinear High-Order Systems" which was supported by a grant from the Foundation for Promotion of Advanced Automation Technology c/o FANUC Ltd.

VIII. REFERENCES

1. T.J. Procyk and E.H. Mamdani, "A linguistic Self-Organizing Process Controller", *Automatica*, vol. 15, pp. 15-30, 1979.
2. T. Jespersen, "Selforganizing Fuzzy Logic Control of a pII-neutralization Process", Rapport no. 8102, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, March 1981.

3. S. Shao, "Fuzzy Self-Organizing Controller and its Application for Dynamic Processes", *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol. 26, pp. 151-164, 1988.
4. K. Sugiyama, "Rule-based Self-organizing Controller", *Fuzzy Computing (M.M. Gupta and T. Jamakawa - Editors)*, Elsevier, pp. 341-353, 1988.
5. Y.N. Lee, T.W. Kim, I.H. Suh and K.G. Kim, "Design of a Self-Organizing Fuzzy Plus PD Controller Using the Look-Up Tables", in *Proceedings of the 1992 IEEE/RSJ Intl. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 775-781, Raleigh, 1992.
6. H.R. Berenji and P. Khedkar, "Learning and Tuning Fuzzy Logic Controllers through Reinforcements", *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 3, Sep. 1992.
7. R. Jang, "Self-learning fuzzy controllers based on temporal back propagation", *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks*, vol. 3, pp. 714-723, Sep. 1992.
8. Z. Kovačić, S. Bogdan, P. Čmošija, "Design and Stability of Self-Organizing Fuzzy Control of High-Order Systems", *Proc. of the 10th IEEE ISIC*, Monterey, pp. 389-394, 1995.
9. P.J. King, K.J. Burnham and D.J.G. James, "A Model Reference Self-Organizing Fuzzy Logic Controller", *Control and Computers*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 1995.
10. S. Bogdan, Z. Kovačić, "On the Design of Self-Learning Fuzzy Controllers for Nonlinear Control Systems by Using a Reference Model and a Sensitivity Model", *Proceedings of the 4th IEEE Mediterranean Symposium on Control & Automation*, pp. 799-804, Chania (Crete), 1996.

VIII. BIOGRAPHIES

Zdenko Kovačić (1958) received his Ph.D. in 1993, M.S.E.E. in 1987 and B.S.E.E. in 1981, at University of Zagreb, Croatia. He is currently an assistant professor at The Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb. His areas of interest are intelligent, adaptive and optimal control systems, control of power converters, electrical drives and robots. During 1990-1991, he spent one year working as a visitor researcher in the Motion Control Laboratory of prof. dr. Krishnan Ramu at the Bradley Department of Electrical Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA. Currently, he works on development and implementation of self-learning and adaptive fuzzy control algorithms applied to the electrical motor drives and robots. He has been an author and coauthor of numerous papers published in journals and presented at the national and international conferences.

Stjepan Bogdan (1965) received his M.S.E.E. in 1993 and B.S.E.E. in 1990, at University of Zagreb, Croatia. He was elected as a young researcher and research assistant in 1991 and 1993, respectively. His areas of interest are adaptive and time optimal control, control of electrical drives, fuzzy control, sensitivity analysis, neural networks, discrete event systems. He worked on development and implementation of various time optimal and adaptive control algorithms. The theme of his Ph.D. thesis is design and implementation of selflearning fuzzy logic controllers applied to industrial processes and power converters. He received a Fulbright scholarship for the year 1996/97 and worked as a visitor researcher in the Automation & Robotics Research Institute, University of Texas, Arlington, USA with the research group of prof. dr. Frank L. Lewis. He has been a coauthor of numerous papers published in journals and presented at the national and international conferences.

Mario Balenović (1973) received his B.S.F.E. in 1997, at University of Zagreb, Croatia. His exam records were among the highest and he received the "Josip Lončar" best student award from the Faculty Senate for outstanding achievements. He is currently enrolled in the Ph.D. program at the University of Eindhoven, Netherlands. His areas of interest are intelligent control systems applied to industrial processes, power converters and automotive objects.