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Coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering performed on expanding thermal arc plasmas

R. F. G. Meulenbroeks, R. A. H. Engeln, J. A. M. van der Mullen, and D. C. Schram
Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Physics, P. O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
(Received 1 November 1995)

The expanding plasma emanating from a thermal arc plasma source that can be used for deposition of thin films is studied using laser spectroscopic techniques. The argon-hydrogen plasma is characterized by very fast recombination that cannot be explained by atomic processes. To explore this phenomenon, which has been related to wall association of hydrogen atoms and recirculation, CARS (coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering) is performed on (argon-hydrogen plasmas. The periphery of the plasma appears to be rich in hydrogen molecules, in accordance with the recirculation model. No highly rovibrationally excited states are detected in the periphery, in spite of the spectrometer’s very good sensitivity (0.1 Pa H\(_2\) at 300 K). For the plasma, rotational and vibrational temperatures as well as absolute H\(_2\) densities are measured. A simple model for the observed (non-Boltzmann) rotational populations is developed.

PACS number(s): 52.25.-b

I. INTRODUCTION

Expanding thermal arc plasmas are used in a variety of applications, which include the deposition of diamond, diamondlike carbon, amorphous hydrogenated carbon, and amorphous hydrogenated silicon thin films [1]. When the thermal arc is operated either on pure hydrogen or on an argon-hydrogen mixture, it can be used as a particle source for hydrogen radicals or hydrogen positive and negative ions [2,3]. Fundamental research on expanding plasmas focuses on the study of argon-hydrogen mixtures. The principle parameters that have to be determined are particle densities (electrons, neutrals, and ions) and temperatures. To this end, active and passive spectroscopic techniques are employed. The results are interpreted by comparison with numerical models.

In this paper, we will summarize some of the properties of the argon-hydrogen expanding thermal arc plasma and describe in more detail the measurement of rovibrationally excited H\(_2\) molecules by CARS (coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering) spectroscopy in and around the expanding plasma jet.

II. EXPERIMENT AND DIAGNOSTICS

The expanding thermal arc plasma has been described elsewhere [4,5] and we will only give a brief description here. A subatmospheric (0.2–0.6 bar) plasma from a thermal arc (at high electron density) expands into a vacuum vessel, creating a plasma jet. The expansion direction defines the \(z\) axis, with the origin at the expansion nozzle. Hydrogen can be added to the argon plasma in two ways: either by burning the arc on an argon-hydrogen mixture ("arc injection"), or by burning the arc on pure argon, and flushing hydrogen directly into the vessel ("vessel injection"). Basic plasma conditions are as follows: arc current \(I_{\text{arc}}=45\) A, arc voltage \(V_{\text{arc}}=50–100\) V, total flow rate \(=3.5\) SLM (standard liters per minute), and background pressure \(p_{\text{back}}=40–133\) Pa. The thermal arc is movable within a vacuum vessel without significantly changing the plasma. This greatly facilitates the axial and radial scanning of the plasma without moving the diagnostics.

Three diagnostics are applied to the plasma jet.

(i) **Optical emission spectroscopy (OES).** Passive spectroscopy in order to determine the excited level populations of hydrogen and argon. The setup is a fairly standard, calibrated, mirror-scanning system, described in detail in [5].

(ii) **Thomson-Rayleigh scattering.** A frequency doubled Nd:YAG (yttrium aluminum garnet) laser is used for this diagnostic. Photons are scattered off free and bound electrons in the plasma and detection of the scattered (Doppler-shifted) radiation gives direct, local, and accurate information about electron and neutral densities and electron temperatures. The diagnostic has been described elsewhere [4]. A few important results will be discussed below.

(iii) **Coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering.** In order to detect rovibrationally excited H\(_2\), a CARS diagnostic has been realized; it will be described below.

In order to get an impression of the processes that are important in the expanding jet, it is appropriate to discuss some of the basic properties (cf. [5–7]). The main characteristic of the argon-hydrogen jet is its anomalously fast recombination, as compared to the purely atomic argon case. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the electron density decreases by an order of magnitude, even for tiny admixtures of H\(_2\). This enormous ionization loss has been attributed to a combination of wall association and recirculation inside the vacuum vessel. In this process, hydrogen atoms associate to molecules at the vessel walls and are transported towards the plasma beam again by recirculation. For low hydrogen seed fractions, where the dominant ion is argon, the following reactions can occur:

\[
\text{Ar}^+ + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{ArH}^+ + \text{H},
\]

followed by

\[
\text{ArH}^+ + e \rightarrow \text{Ar} + \text{H}^*,
\]

during which excited H atoms are formed. In the case of "arc injection," the H\(_2\) molecules necessary for these reactions do not all originate from the arc (where a high degree of disso-
Absolute emission spectroscopy on the argon and hydrogen atomic systems shows that all the argon emission disappears for seed fractions above 7 vol.%. As argon emission is due only to three particle recombination (low $T_e$, around 0.2 eV), this means that the arc does not produce any argon ions for these seed fractions. The dominant ion therefore probably is $H^+$ for seed fractions above 7 vol.% \cite{3,5,7}.

Figure 1 actually represents the close investigation of the recirculation effect by a set of Thomson scattering experiments: a comparison is made between a pure argon jet, a 2% hydrogen-in-argon jet, and a pure argon jet with 2 vol.% argon flushed directly into the vacuum vessel. The pure argon and “vessel injection” cases can be seen to clearly coincide up to $z=100$, i.e., the deviation starts after the shock region. The hydrogen-argon “arc injection” case shows a similar behavior, but has lower densities right from the start. The decrease after $z=100$ is remarkably similar for the “arc injection” and “vessel injection” cases. Eventually, a calculation shows that equal amounts of hydrogen are needed to explain the ionization loss after $z=100$. The ionization loss after the shock is thus thought to be totally determined by the background gas and the molecules present there. In order to scrutinize this phenomenon of very fast recombination through a molecular channel, a CARS diagnostic has been realized for the state-selective detection of hydrogen molecules.

### III. CARS SPECTROSCOPY

#### A. Theory

The CARS process and signal generation have been described in a number of review articles \cite{8,9} and we shall only give a brief account here. CARS is a coherent analog of Raman scattering with the advantage that the signal is created as a coherent beam, thus greatly enhancing the sensitivity. This is the main reason why CARS was chosen as a diagnostic for our low pressure hydrogen-containing plasma. CARS has been chosen instead of other methods, such as resonance enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) or VUV absorption, because its relatively easy in situ applicability in our case.

The CARS signal (at frequency $\omega_0=2\omega_1-\omega_2$) is generated through the third-order nonlinear susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}$ of the probed molecule. The anti-Stokes power ($P_3$) depends on the power of the pump ($P_1$ at $\omega_1$) and Stokes ($P_2$ at $\omega_2$) lasers as follows:

$$P_3=K|\chi^{(3)}|^2P_1^2P_2,$$

where $K$ is a proportionality constant. The $\chi^{(3)}$ consists of a resonant and a nonresonant part: $\chi^{(3)}=\chi^{(3)}_{\text{res}}+\chi^{(3)}_{\text{NR}}$. The resonant part is greatly enhanced when a Raman resonance is probed, i.e., when $\omega_1-\omega_2=\omega_{e,J}$, where $\omega_{e,J}$ is the frequency of a particular Raman transition. The resonant third-order susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}_{\text{res}}$ associated with a certain isolated $Q$ branch transition can be written as

$$\chi^{(3)}_{\text{res}}=K'N[\rho_{e,J}-\rho_{e,J+1}](v+1)\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\omega_1-\omega_2).$$

In this last equation, $K'$ is a proportionality constant, $N$ is the total number of molecules, $\rho$ stands for the probability of occupation of a certain rovibrational state, $d\sigma/d\Omega$ is the (0-1) spontaneous Raman scattering cross section, and $(v+1)$ reflects the growth of this cross section with increasing vibrational quantum number. The form function $h(\omega_1-\omega_2)$ reflects the line shape. In our Doppler-limited regime, this function can be written as \cite{10}

$$h(\omega_1-\omega_2)=\frac{1}{u\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(v/\omega)^2} \frac{dv}{\nu}.$$
states can thus be calculated. We shall return to this later when we describe the measurements procedure.

B. The CARS spectrometer

The experiment is depicted in Figs. 2 and 3. An injection seeded Nd:YAG laser (Quantam Ray GCR230, 300 mJ/pulse at 532 nm, 50 Hz, single longitudinal mode) is used for the \( \omega_1 \) beam (bandwidth 0.005 cm\(^{-1} \)); it also pumps a Quanta Ray PDL3 dye laser (bandwidth around 0.07 cm\(^{-1} \)). The dye liquid is a mixture of DCM and LDS698 (exciton) solved in methyl alcohol in a mass ratio of 3:1; it delivers conversion in the region of 660–700 nm. Eventually, the used laser output powers are around 10–20 mJ/pulse for the green and around 0.5 mJ for the red. The \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) beams are in a crossed beam arrangement (BOXCARS), and the detection volume has dimensions of about 20\( \times \)0.18 mm. A small part (10\%) of the beams is split off after the CARS lens (\( f = 1 \) m) by a (\( \lambda/20 \)) flat quartz plate and led through a reference cell (1 m length) containing 7 bars of argon. The nonresonant CARS signal created here is used as a reference to cancel out shot-to-shot variations in beam overlap and laser power, as well as variations in the dye laser output at different wavelengths. Extreme care has been taken to make the reference and main branches as identical as possible, especially up to the position of CARS signal generation. The correct pressure dependence (intensity proportional to the square of the pressure) has been verified in the reference cell and vacuum vessel.

After collimation by a second lens, the blue anti-Stokes signals are separated from the green pump beam by filtering. Both main and reference CARS signals are guided through identical 1 m Zeinner-Turner monochromators (Hilger-Engis Monospek 1000) for separation of signal from residual green light. This filtering is very effective: no signal is obtained if either the green or the red beam is dumped before the CARS lens (\( L_1 \)). Signals are detected using fast photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu R1355, cooled to \(-25^\circ \text{C}\)), using a tapered bleeder resistor network in order to insure good pulse linearity. When the CARS signal is very strong (e.g., in the case of a \( v=0,J=1 \) line), a set of calibrated neutral density (ND) filters is used to attenuate the signal. A fast, multichannel, gated ADC (LeCroy 2249A) simultaneously integrates the main and reference PM tube outputs during a 150 ns time interval, gated by the \( Q \)-switch synchronization signal generated by the Nd:YAG laser unit.

The stepping of the dye laser and the monochromators, as well as the processing and storage of the ADC outputs, is controlled by a 486 PC. A measurement is performed by scanning the dye laser in steps of 0.015 cm\(^{-1} \) over the different \( \text{H}_2 \) \( Q \) branch resonances, taking 50 or 100 measurements at each point. Both monochromators are set to the correct position for detection of the generated anti-Stokes signal. The main signal is immediately (pulse-by-pulse) divided by the reference signal and stored for further processing. The program automatically skips the intervals between Raman resonances, since these are relatively far apart in hydrogen.

For a better grasp of what is passing inside the vacuum vessel (which is not easily accessible in our case), a CCD camera system is installed on which an attenuated second reflection (after lens \( L_1 \)) of pump and dye lasers is focused. With appropriate filtering, a black-and-white picture can be obtained that is representative of the situation in the main CARS generation volume, showing the beam overlap. This greatly facilitates the alignment of the setup and makes possible a check of the alignment while measuring.

C. Tests and measurement procedures

Before turning to measurements, the spectrometer has been carefully tested and calibrated, focusing on aspects such
as linearity (of the detection system, especially the PM tubes and the ADC), spatial resolution, saturation effects, and detection limits. The linearity of the detection system is checked using calibrated ND filters and proves to be excellent (with a few %).

Spatial resolution is measured in the reference branch. After removal of the argon cell a 2 mm quartz plate on a mounting is placed in the focal point of the laser beams. The signal now detected in the reference branch is due mainly to nonresonant CARS generated in the quartz. By moving the thin quartz plate through the detection volume, a measurement of the detection volume is basically performed. The result is shown in Fig. 4. With a beam separation of 35 mm at the CARS lens (leading to an angle between the BOX-CARS beams of 1°), a spatial resolution of approximately 20–30 mm is achieved in the longitudinal direction. In the plane perpendicular to the laser beams, the resolution is determined by the waist of the focus, around 180 μm.

The phenomenon of saturation in CARS is particularly important as it limits the laser powers that can be applied without significantly changing the population distribution in the plasma. This phenomenon has been studied extensively by Pélat et al. [11]. The process that causes redistribution among the probed states is stimulated Raman scattering (SRS [8]). To estimate the importance of this effect, one has to measure the power dependence of the signal strength, in order to check the validity of Eq. (3). This has been done using beam splitters in the first part of the green beam (i.e., just after the 70/30 beam splitter in Fig. 3). Measuring the power dependence is very cumbersome, as the removal of a beam splitter makes a realignment necessary. Nevertheless, the results are reproducible and Fig. 5 shows the surface of the measured $v=0, J=1$ peak (designating the transition by the lower level) vs $P_1^2P_2$. Although we are able to measure only a few points, the saturation behavior is very clear for the higher laser powers.

Using a computer code by Pélat et al. at ONERA, a simulation has been performed for the saturation behavior. The procedure is completely analogous to the one described for N$\_2$ in [11] and will not be fully discussed here. Using molecular data for H$\_2$ and dye and pump powers in the vessel, a fit could be made to the points in Fig. 5 (i.e., for $v=0, J=1$), assuming a nine-mode dye laser ($\approx$0.07 cm$^{-1}$). Of course, the actual power density in the vessel is not known, because the actual beam waist can only be accurately calculated for the case of a perfect Gaussian laser beam. In the present case this is not possible, as the PDL3 dye laser delivers no Gaussian profile, whereas the injection seeded Nd:YAG laser has a reasonably Gaussian profile (>90% far field). The procedure therefore relies on adjusting the laser power densities, varying the value of the beam waist, to fit the model calculations to the experimental values. With a (reasonable) beam waist of around 180 μm, a good fit has been obtained, shown in Fig. 5. In principle, this makes possible the correction of saturated data (as the dependence of the saturation on the $v$ quantum number is known [11]), but in practice we prefer to stay in the unsaturated region with pump and dye powers. However, some uncertainty is always present as it is very difficult to check on saturation behavior of higher excited levels. Nevertheless, we shall neglect the effects of saturation in the following.

In order to test the response of the entire system, so-called “argon-argon” measurements are performed before and after each measurement session. Here, the vessel is filled with 60 mbars of argon and a measurement of the nonresonant CARS signal on argon performed scanning the entire H$\_2$ ($v=0–4$) range, i.e., Raman shifts in the range 4162–3000 cm$^{-1}$. Since reference and main branches should show the same behavior now, their ratio should remain constant—all uncertainties concerning beam overlap, dye laser output, etc. should be symmetrized. This has been checked to be the case within 10% for every measurement.

A calibration has to be performed in order to obtain absolute values for the densities. Room temperature H$\_2$ at a pressure of 70 Pa in the vessel is used for calibration: four levels are used, even though one would in principle suffice. Calibrations are also performed before and after each measurement session.

IV. CARS RESULTS

A. Measurement and processing

A typical measurement is shown in Fig. 6. It shows the
distinct Raman resonances for \( v = 0, v = 1, \) and \( v = 2 \) levels. In full \( \text{H}_2 \), measurements could be taken up to \( v = 2, J = 5 \). The values of the attenuating ND filters are indicated. The measurements take place by determining the surface under each line and correlating these surfaces to those in the \( \text{H}_2 \) calibration, using Eqs. (3) and (4). Corrections are made for the \((v,J)\) dependence of the Raman cross section using data from Long [13] and others [14,15]. In \( \text{H}_2 \), we can use the isolated line approximation. In this manner, absolute density differences are obtained that have to be converted to densities using certain assumptions. In our case, we assumed the density of the level above the one that can just be measured to be negligible, so we assume the following for \( v = 2 \) levels: \( n(v = 2, J) - n(v = 3, J) \approx n(v = 2, J) \). The error is probably not larger than a few percent under our conditions. For lower levels, we follow Eq. (4):

\[
n(v = i, J) = n_{\text{measured}}(v = i, J) + n(v = i + 1, J).
\]

In the end, absolute densities can be obtained with a total accuracy of 10%. The detection limit of the system is around 0.1 Pa \( \text{H}_2 \) (300 K); during the measurements, densities per statistical weight as low as \( 2 \times 10^{17} \, \text{m}^{-3} \) have been measured for \( v = 2 \). Boltzmann plots of these measurements will be shown later on.

**B. Results for argon-hydrogen mixtures**

The first aim of the CARS measurements is to scrutinize the phenomenon of very fast recombination. Therefore CARS has been performed on 10 and 50 vol.% \( \text{H}_2 \) in \( \text{Ar} \) mixtures (vessel and arc injection, \( I_{\text{arc}} = 55 \, \text{A}, \) total flow 3.5 SLM, background pressure 133 Pa). In all cases the view is confirmed that the background gas contains lots of relatively cold (\( T_{\text{rot}} = 400 \, \text{K}, T_{\text{vib}} = 1100 \, \text{K} \)) hydrogen molecules. In fact, the relative partial hydrogen pressure in the periphery of the plasma appears to be equal to the seed fraction: an \( x \) vol.% seed fraction results in a partial hydrogen pressure that is equal to \( x \% \) of the total background pressure. This holds for both vessel and arc injection. No highly excited vibrational states were detected in the periphery of the plasma, within the detection limits. Other \( \text{H}_2 \) measurements in \( \text{Ar} \) are to be presented in detail in a separate letter [16].

Figure 7 gives the results for the 50 vol.% \( \text{H}_2 \) in argon plasma. In these plots, the rotational temperatures are taken to be the temperatures in the \( v = 1 \) state, as the \( v = 0 \) population suffers from some contributions by the cold background gas. This is the case only for a 133 Pa background pressure, as the beam diameter in this case is of the same order as the spatial resolution along the laser beams (i.e., a few cm). Therefore, the radial dependencies should only be viewed upon as an indication. For the same reason, the vibrational temperatures are calculated using the \( J = 5 \) states; (c) total density profiles. The radial profiles are taken at axial positions \( z = 10, 20, 40, 60, \) and 100 mm.

**FIG. 6.** A typical measured CARS spectrum in a full \( \text{H}_2 \) plasma. \((z = 150 \, \text{mm})\). The values \( nd \) of the attenuating ND filters are indicated (the attenuation equals \( 10^4 \)).

**FIG. 7.** CARS measurements on a 50 vol.% \( \text{H}_2 \) in \( \text{Ar} \) plasma (arc injection). (a) Rotational temperatures in the \( v = 1 \) state; (b) vibrational temperatures calculated using the \( J = 5 \) states; (c) total density profiles. The radial profiles are taken at axial positions \( z = 10, 20, 40, 60, \) and 100 mm.
the \( v = 1 \) data) is assigned to the total density, the calculated pressures in the plasma jet would be too high. However, the partial pressure in the periphery (where there are no special demands on spatial resolution) appears to be equal to 50% of the background pressure (i.e., 69 Pa ± 10%), which is equal to the seed fraction of \( \text{H}_2 \) in Ar. Note that this is not a trivial result, as the arc plasma is characterized by a high dissociation degree.

In the following, the background pressures are lower (\(< 40 \text{ Pa}\)), and in these cases the spatial resolution along the laser beams appears to be adequate, at least for distances from the nozzle larger than 20 mm or so.

C. Results for pure hydrogen plasmas

CARS measurements have also been performed on plasmas in pure hydrogen, both with and without a magnetic field to confine the plasma. The plasma conditions are as follows: no magnetic field: \( I_{\text{arc}} = 37.5 \text{ A, flow: 3.5 SLM, } P_{\text{back}} = 40 \text{ Pa} \); with magnetic field: \( I_{\text{arc}} = 37.5 \text{ A, flow: 2 SLM, } P_{\text{back}} = 20 \text{ Pa} \), \( B \) field: 1 kG. The \( B \) field can be considered homogeneous over the entire length of the plasma. In the latter case, the electrons are magnetized, whereas the ions are not. The magnetized plasma has been studied in view of the possible high density of negative ions there [17]. As negative ions may be formed by the well-known dissociative attachment reaction

\[
\text{H}_2^{(v,J)} + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H}^-, \tag{7}
\]

where highly excited \( \text{H}_2 \) is necessary [19] to make the reaction efficient, studying the \( \text{H}_2^{(v,J)} \) population is essential.

The results are shown in Fig. 8 for the nonmagnetized plasma, and in Fig. 9 for the magnetized case. Shown are typical Boltzmann plots for the \( v = 0 \) to \( v = 2 \) states, and axial dependencies for the major parameters, \( T_{\text{rot}}, T_{\text{elm}}, p_r, \) and \( n_{\text{rot}} \). \( T_{\text{rot}} \) has been taken from the \( V = 1 \) data. The rotational temperatures are equal for \( v = 0, \) \( v = 1, \) and \( v = 2, \) as can be seen from the Boltzmann plot in Fig. 8(b), at \( z = 150 \text{ mm} \). \( T_{\text{elm}} \) has been calculated using the \( J = 3 \) or (whenever possible) the \( J = 5 \) states.

It may be useful to ponder a little on the spatial resolution and the reasons we have to believe that it is sufficient in these lower pressure cases (i.e., the reason why we can believe the \( v = 0 \) rotational distributions to be virtually undisturbed by the periphery gas). In the argon-hydrogen measurements under (B), the \( v = 0, \) \( J = 0 \) to 3 levels always show a rotational temperature that is unrealistically low, around 400 K, in clear disagreement with \( T_{\text{rot}} \) in \( v = 1 \) (Fig. 7). The \( T_{\text{rot}} \) of 400 K corresponds to the temperature measured in the peripheral gas. So in this case, the spatial resolution along the laser beams is inadequate: some cold background gas is measured along with the hot plasma. In the lower pressure case, however, the \( v = 0, \) \( J = 0 \) to 5 \( T_{\text{rot}} \)’s are in good agreement with the \( v = 1 \) and \( v = 2 \) data, at least for downstream distances larger than 20 mm. Therefore, we assume the rotational distributions in Figs. 8 and 9 to be “real,” and that they contain no artifacts.

From Figs. 8 and 9, it is worthwhile to note that, even per statistical weight, the population in a high rotational level of \( v = 0 \) can be as large as the population in a lower rotational level in \( v = 1 \), at the same energy. With the advantage of a larger statistical weight, more energy can be carried by rotations than by vibrations in our plasma. This is an important observation. It distinguishes these expanding plasmas from many other plasma sources, where the rotational temperature is usually very low compared to the vibrational temperature (e.g., [10]). In the latter cases, research therefore often focuses on the vibrational population distribution. The measurements in this section can serve as an example for a situation where the rotational population is very important. In this respect, our situation is somewhat similar to combustion research [18].

It follows from the pressure plots in Figs. 8 and 9 that the dissociation degree in the plasma jet must be minimum, as the measured \( \text{H}_2 \) pressures account for the total background pressure. Note that high pressures are measured near the nozzle. This could be an artifact: we can expect to measure some background gas there (the beam diameter is small) and still ascribe a high \( (v = 1) \) rotational temperature to the total measured \( \text{H}_2 \) density at these positions. This would lead to an overestimation of the pressure.

The fact that the measured dissociation degree in the plasma is so low is very surprising, as power input measurements show that the arc must have a dissociation degree of around 70% for an arc current of 37.5 A [20]. This has been more or less confirmed by depolarization Rayleigh scattering measurements very close to the arc [2]. A further indication follows from the analysis of the rotational populations, measured by CARS, in the following section. It is our opinion that only an effective wall-association process in combination with a recirculation flow can explain these results [5, 7, 16].

Furthermore, no great dissimilarities are obvious from a comparison between Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. Indeed, the hydrogen molecular population hardly seems to be influenced by the fact that a totally different plasma is present in the magnetized case. Especially the absence of highly excited vibrational states in the magnetized case makes less probable the occurrence of mechanism (7) as a main \( \text{H}^+ \) production channel. If \( \text{H}^- \) is present in large amounts, a different mechanism might be necessary, namely, the formation through Rydberg or highly (electronically) excited \( \text{H}_2 \), as proposed by Garssadden et al. in [21].

V. ROTATIONAL RELAXATION MODEL

An attempt has been made to explain the non-Boltzmann rotational distributions in Fig. 8 by considering hot \( \text{H}_2 \) gas (i.e., the 30% that is not dissociated) flowing out of the arc, relaxing to an infinitely large, cold \( \text{H}_2 \) background. During the relaxation by rotational-translational inelastic collisions (\( R-T \) collisions), higher states relax more slowly. The rate for a given \( \Delta J = L \) can be expressed in the rate for relaxation for \( L \to 0 \), as has been established by DePristo et al. [22]:

\[
k(J_i \rightarrow J_f) = g_J \exp \left( \frac{E_{J_i} - E_{J_f}}{kT_{\text{gas}}} \right) \times \sum_L \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_i & L & J_f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) g_L|A_L^{(2)}|^2 k(L \to 0), \tag{8}
\]

where \( J_i \) and \( J_f \) are the initial and final \( J \) values, \( J \rightarrow L \) is the largest of the two. The exponential follows from micror-
eversibility, the $3j$ symbol expresses the recoupling of $J_i$ with $L$ to form $J_f$. $g$ denotes the statistical weight, and $A_L^{J_f}$ denotes an "adiabaticity" factor, defined by

$$A_L^{J_f} = \frac{1 + \tau_f^2/6}{1 + \tau_i^2/6},$$

where $\tau_f = 2\pi T_c / T_J$, with $T_c$ the collision time and $T_J$ the characteristic time of rotation for a given $J$. The adiabaticity factor accounts for the effectiveness of momentum exchange for given collision and rotation times. It is close to 1 for our conditions.

The above gives the framework for a very simple model to explain the rotational distributions. For a flux of 3.5 SLM (i.e., $1.4\times 10^{21}$ s$^{-1}$), a 70% dissociation degree, an estimated velocity of $5\times 10^3$ m/s (see below), and a beam diameter at $z = 20$ mm of 15 mm, we obtain a concentration of approximately $5\times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$ at $z = 20$ mm. The total H$_2$ density at that position (measured by CARS, Fig. 8) is around $2.8\times 10^{21}$ m$^{-3}$. In the model, we use a hot density of $5\times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, and a cold H$_2$ density of $2.3\times 10^{21}$ m$^{-3}$. A set of simple differential equations is constructed for the first 14 levels in the $v = 0$ manifold. The system looks as follows:

FIG. 8. CARS results for a full hydrogen plasma: Boltzmann plots for different axial positions (a)–(d), and axial dependencies of the axial position is denoted by $z$, $E$ is the energy, and $n/g$ represents the density per statistical weight.
FIG. 9. CARS results for the magnetized hydrogen plasma beam. Boltzmann plots for different axial positions (a)–(d), and axial dependencies for total density $n$ (e), total partial H$_2$ pressure $p$ (f), $T_{\text{rot}}$ (g), and $T_{\text{vib}}$ (h).

\[
\frac{dn_0}{dz} = N(n_2k_{2,0} - n_0k_{0,2}),
\]

\[
\frac{dn_1}{dz} = N(n_3k_{3,0} - n_1k_{1,3}),
\]

\[
\frac{dn_i}{dz} = N(n_{i+2}k_{i+2,j} + n_{i-2}k_{i-2,j} - n_i[k_{i,i+2} + k_{i,i-2}])
\]

(2 \leq i \leq 12),

where $k_{i,j}$ denotes a rate [calculated using (8)], $N$ is the density of collision partners (i.e., the cold H$_2$), and $n_i$ are the densities for each rotational level. We only consider $\Delta J = 2$ collisions, because of nuclear spin conservation for H$_2$ (ortho and para H$_2$ conservation). Larger (even) $\Delta J$ steps are
neglected [23]. The speed of the jet is represented by \( u \), which has been cast in the following (assumed) form:

\[
u(z) = u_0 \exp(-z/l),
\]

(11)

where \( u_0 \) is the speed at the origin (the nozzle), taken to be \( 7 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s} \) (calculated using pressure and flow data from a similar experiment) and \( l \) is a characteristic length, taken to be 70 mm. The expanding jet collides with the background gas (at 600 K) with velocity \( u(z) \), and both the thermal energy and the translational energy are taken into consideration in the calculation of the rate constant \( k(2 \rightarrow 0) \) at a certain energy. The cross sections for \( 2 \rightarrow 0 \) at temperatures in the range 500–4000 K are calculated using data from Green [24]. A second-order polynomial fit delivers for this temperature range:

\[
k_{2\rightarrow0}(T) = -1.55 \times 10^{-18} + 2.65 \times 10^{-21}T + 5.5 \times 10^{-25}T^2,
\]

(12)

where \( T \) is the collision energy in K.

The system is solved using MAPLE [25] with a Boltzmann distribution at 3000 K (which seems to be a reasonable value for hot molecules leaving the arc) as a \( z = 0 \) boundary condition.

Figure 10 shows the results for the model, together with the measurements in a pure hydrogen plasma (no magnetic field) at two different positions. In using one model population to describe the populations at two positions, we implicitly assume that the increase in area of the primary beam (hot population) is balanced by a decrease in velocity, because the hot and cold model populations remain the same. The total density at the two \( z \) positions is about equal (Fig. 8). In spite of the many assumptions, the model appears to describe the measurements very well. Because CARS “sees” both the hot and cold populations, the temperature in the lower part of the rotational manifold seems rather low, whereas the tail is hot. As the tail slowly relaxes, the total distribution becomes more and more Boltzmann-like.

The dissociation degree of 70% inside the arc is a necessary condition to obtain a good agreement. To illustrate this, a few runs with the program have been performed using a very low dissociation degree (10%) in the arc. In this case, the majority of the arc output flux consists of molecules with a temperature of 3000 K, relaxing to a background gas at 600 K (just as in the above). Using the same speed profile and the same expressions for the rate constants, results are obtained that deviate significantly from the experimental findings (Fig. 10). Apparently, the large amount of hot molecules does not relax quickly enough in this case. This is a further indication that the arc does dissociate to a large extent, even though the model is probably not accurate enough to distinguish between a 70 or 80% arc dissociation degree.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The expanding argon-hydrogen plasma jet is characterized by an anomalously fast recombination that can be explained by molecular channels involving hydrogen molecules. This is clearly indicated by the Thomson scattering measurements. CARS measurements show that large amounts of hydrogen molecules are indeed present in the periphery of the plasma, even though they appear to be rather cool. The partial \( \text{H}_2 \) pressure in the periphery of the jet equals the seed fraction of \( \text{H}_2 \), whether it be injected in the arc or in the vessel. A recirculation pattern in the vacuum vessel is thought to be responsible for the transport of (wall-associated) molecules into the plasma.

The measurements on pure hydrogen confirm the older depolarization Rayleigh scattering [2] and active probe [26] measurements, as all show a marginal dissociation degree in the vessel (i.e., the hydrogen pressure is equal to the background pressure within the experimental errors). An exact comparison between the two methods is difficult, as depolarization Rayleigh scattering is a crossed-beam experiment with a very high spatial resolution, whereas CARS has a poorer resolution in the longitudinal direction. The low dissociation degree in the vessel, in combination with the high dissociation degree in the arc, must be caused by a wall-association process being dominant.
The axial dependencies of $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $T_{\text{vib}}$ show no stationary shock front, which is quite evident in pure argon and diluted Ar-H$_2$ mixtures [4,5].

For the expanding pure hydrogen plasma, only states up to $v = 2$ can be detected. De Graaf et al. [3] assume a total $v = 4$ density of about 10$^{19}$ m$^{-3}$ in order to explain the anomalous recombination in a very similar pure hydrogen expanding plasma. The highly excited molecules were thought to originate from the vessel walls [27]. The hot molecules are necessary to make the reaction

$$\text{H}_2^{+} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ + \text{H}$$

(13)

eXothermic (the energy deficit is around 2 eV). The formed H$_3^+$ can either react with H$_2$ in order to form H$_4^+$ or with an electron in a very fast dissociative recombination reaction. The formed H$_3^+$ can also dissociatively recombine, so either process will lead to a very effective ionization loss, once the H$_2^+$ is formed.

The CARS measurements in this paper, however, clearly show the absence of large amounts of highly excited molecules around the plasma (where a $T_{\text{vib}}$ of about 1100 K is observed). At the measured $T_{\text{vib}}$’s in the plasma, a $v = 4$ density for the free expanding pure hydrogen jet can be estimated to be around $5 \times 10^{18}$ m$^{-3}$ for axial positions between $z = 40$ and 150 mm. This discrepancy of more than two orders of magnitude is not well understood, but a different mechanism may have to be found in order to explain the observed ionization loss. Examples could be the expansion speed of the H$^+$ leaving the arc (translational energy), a pronounced importance of highly excited rotational states (e.g., a plateau in the rotational population below the detectivity of the CARS setup), or a combination of the mentioned possibilities of energy input (translational, rotational, and vibrational energy).

A similar problem arises for the supposedly H$^-$ rich magnetized hydrogen plasma: in this case, even higher excitation is necessary to make reaction (7) effective. But again, only low $T_{\text{vib}}$’s are observed (Fig. 9), which lead to estimated $v = 4$ densities of a few times 10$^{16}$ m$^{-3}$. A strong plateau in the rotational population (just below the detection limit of the CARS setup) could be of influence here. If the presence of high densities of negative ions can be positively verified, however, it is clear that the standard “dissociative attachment involving vibrationally excited molecules” explanation cannot account for the production of negative ions.

The simple rate model of a two-population H$_2$ gas seems to be able to describe the observed rotational distributions rather well for the freely expanding hydrogen jet. In this view, hot arc H$_2$ at 3000 K (representing the 30% fraction that is not dissociated) expands into the vessel and relaxes to cold (600 K) hydrogen gas. The latter is supposed to constitute an infinite reservoir of cool gas. The model shows that the assumption of a high dissociation degree in the arc is necessary in order to explain the rotational state distribution.
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