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The reaction between atomic nitrogen and H₂ has been studied in order to elucidate the mechanism of NH₃ formation on Rh(111). Atomic nitrogen layers of 0.1 monolayer (ML) coverage were obtained by adsorbing NO at 120 K and selectively removing the atomic oxygen from dissociated NO by reaction with H₂ at 375 K. The rate of NH₃ formation is first order in the atomic nitrogen coverage and linearly proportional to the H₂ pressure below 5 × 10⁻⁷ mbar. Static secondary ion mass spectrometry (SSIMS) indicates that N and NH₂ are the predominant reaction intermediates, while small amounts of NH₃ are also detected. The NH₂ surface coverage increases with increasing H₂ pressure. The presence of NH₂ is also indicated by the appearance of a reaction-limited H₂ desorption state in temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) spectra. The hydrogenation of NH₃ to NH₃ is expected to be the rate-determining step in the NH₂ formation. From the temperature dependence of the NH₂ formation rate an effective activation energy of 40 kJ/mol was determined, which could be translated into an activation energy of 76 kJ/mol for the hydrogenation from NH₂ to NH₃.

Introduction

The reduction of NO₃ on rhodium is one of the key reactions that occurs in the automotive exhaust gas converter. Although the greater part of the NO is reduced by reaction with CO, a substantial part is reduced by hydrogen, which is present in exhaust gas and is moreover formed on the surface of the metal particles by the decomposition of hydrocarbons. NO reduction by H₂ may yield three different N-containing products, viz. N₂, N₂O, and NH₃, of which the last two are undesirable from an environmental point of view.

Kinetic studies of the NO + H₂ reaction have been performed on Pt foil, Rh foil, Pt/Rh single crystals, Pt/Rh/Al₃O₃, and Rh/Al₂O₃. These studies have shown that the reactivity of atomic nitrogen, which is formed by the dissociation of NO, plays a key role in the selectivity issue of the NO + H₂ reaction. Whereas reactions such as the NO dissociation and recombin-ation of atomic nitrogen to N₂ have been studied extensively, the microscopic mechanisms of NO and NH₃ formation are still unknown. NH₃ formation is commonly described by the stepwise hydrogenation of atomic nitrogen. Indeed, many reports on NH and NH₂ species exist. On Rh(100) and Pt/Rh(100), evidence was found by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) for an NH intermediate that was reversibly formed when a c(2 × 2)-N adlayer was exposed to H₂. Zemlyanov et al. observed an NH intermediate during the NO + H₂ reaction on Pt(100) by EELS. Prasad and Gland explained the formation of diimide-dimethylammonium during the decomposi-tion of NH₃ and N₂H₄ on Rh foil by the coupling of NH species on the surface. NH₂ intermediates were also observed in NH₃ and N₂H₄ decomposition studies on Ni, Pt, Rh, Ru, and Ru. Recently, the NO + H₂ reaction regained interest in the context of chemical waves and oscillations that develop under specific reaction conditions on Rh single-crystal planes. Cholach et al. concluded that the moving wave front, as observed in field emission microscopy (FEM), represents the hydrogenation of the atomic nitrogen layer followed by the decomposition and/or dissociation of NH₃ species into N₂.

The purpose of this paper is to reveal the mechanism of NH₃ formation on Rh(111). Since the details of the NH₃ formation in the NO + H₂ reaction are concealed by simultaneously running reactions such as NO dissociation, N₂, and H₂O formation, we have chosen to study the NH₃ formation starting from a well-defined atomic nitrogen layer. Since N₂ does not dissociate on Rh(111), an alternative route has to be employed to deposit atomic nitrogen on the surface. The literature reports a number of methods for the preparation of atomic nitrogen layers. Belton et al. prepared N ads layers by dissociation of NO with an electron beam and a subsequent removal of O ads by reaction with CO. Bugyi et al. used a discharge tube to atomize nitrogen before adsorption. Another alternative to preparing N ads layers is exposure of the surface to NH₃ at temperatures above ~400 K. All the above-mentioned preparation methods have the disadvantage that it is difficult to deposit a well-defined amount of atomic nitrogen. Since we want to derive the rate of ammonia formation indirectly from the decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage, it is essential to know the initial N ads coverage accurately. For this reason, we have prepared atomic nitrogen layers by adsorbing NO at low temperature and removing the O atoms selectively by reaction with H₂ at temperatures where N atoms are not yet hydrogenated or desorbed as N₂. Finally, secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), applied under reaction conditions, reveals that NH₂ ads is the dominant NH₂ species on the surface during the N hydrogenation.

Experimental Section

Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) and SIMS ex-periments were done in a stainless steel ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) system pumped with a 360 L/s turbomolecular pump and a water-cooled titanium sublimation pump. The base pressure was typically around 5 × 10⁻¹¹ mbar, and mass spectra of the residual gas indicated the presence of mainly H₂, CO, and CO₂. The system is equipped with a Leybold SSM 200 quadrupole...
mass spectrometer for TPD and SIMS and a Leybold EA 10 hemispherical energy analyzer for Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) and $\Delta E$ measurements. Both analyzers are interfaced with a PC for data storage.

SIMS measurements were carried out in the static (low-damage) mode. Typically, we used a defocused 5 keV primary beam with a current density of $10^{-8} \text{ nA/cm}^2$. To average eventual anisotropies in the secondary ion emission process, we applied a target bias of $+45 \text{ V}$ and an extractor voltage of $-300 \text{ V}$ on the entrance lens of the quadrupole system.

The UHV system contained a rhodium crystal that was cut in the [111] orientation within 0.5° and polished according to standard procedures. The temperature was measured by a chromel–alumel thermocouple spot-welded on the back of the crystal. The standard cleaning procedure consisted of an argon sputter treatment ($900 \text{ K}, 1.5 \text{ keV}, 5 \mu \text{A/cm}^2$) followed by annealing in $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mbar} \text{ O}_2 (900–1100 \text{ K})$ and a final annealing treatment in vacuum at $1420 \text{ K}$. The gases, NO (Messer Griesheim, 99.5%) and H$_2$ (Messer Griesheim, 99.995%), were used without further treatment. Exposures are reported in langmuirs (1 langmuir $= 1.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar}\cdot\text{s}$), and coverages are expressed with respect to the number of Rh surface atoms (1 monolayer (ML) $=1.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$).

Atomic nitrogen layers with a coverage of 0.10 ML were obtained by adsorbing 0.25 langmuir NO at 120 K and selectively removing the atomic oxygen at 375 K by reaction with $2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mbar} \text{ hydrogen during } 160 \text{ s}$. The atomic nitrogen layers were exposed to H$_2$ at various pressures and temperatures. The amount of nitrogen remaining after the hydrogenation experiment was determined by TPD. Although the surface also contained NH$_3$ intermediates, N$_2$ was the only nitrogen-containing desorption product observed. We mention here that the experiments were only possible with an excellent background pressure ($p < 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mbar}$), where CO adsorption during the reaction procedure can be prevented.

Results

Preparation of Atomic Nitrogen Layers on Rh(111). For all the experiments we started from an atomic nitrogen layer with a coverage of 0.10 ML (±3%). Figure 1 illustrates the procedure for preparing atomic nitrogen with SIMS spectra of the Rh(111) surface after NO adsorption at 120 K, heating to 375 K to dissociate the NO, and after reaction with hydrogen at 375 K to remove the oxygen.

The presence of molecularly adsorbed NO at 120 K is indicated in the SIMS spectrum by the appearance of the Rh$_2$NO$^+$ cluster ion at $m/e = 236$. Heating to 375 K results in complete dissociation of the adsorbed NO molecules. This is evidenced by the appearance of the Rh$_2$N$^+$ and Rh$_2$O$^+$ cluster ions (at $m/e = 220$ and $m/e = 222$), which are representative for atomic N and O, respectively, and by the disappearance of the Rh$_2$NO$^+$ cluster ion. The removal of atomic oxygen by reaction with hydrogen is clearly illustrated by the disappearance of the Rh$_2$O$^+$ peak. Although removing the oxygen results in a large decrease of the SIMS intensities, the presence of atomic nitrogen remains clearly visible by the Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak at $m/e = 220$. Temperature-programmed desorption confirms that the hydrogen treatment to remove the oxygen does not result in a decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage, since the N$_2$ TPD peak areas before and after the H$_2$ reaction are equal. The removal of the atomic oxygen is also illustrated by the N$_2$ desorption behavior. As Figure 1 shows, removal of atomic oxygen results in a shift of the N$_2$ desorption spectrum to higher temperature, attributed to the disappearance of repulsive interactions between oxygen and nitrogen atoms on the surface.

Hydrogenation of Atomic Nitrogen at Constant Temperature and H$_2$ Pressure. In this section we show how the coverage of an atomic nitrogen layer decreases when it is exposed to a constant H$_2$ pressure at a fixed temperature. The decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage was determined by comparing the N$_2$ TPD area after a hydrogenation experiment with the N$_2$ TPD area of the initial atomic nitrogen layer.

As we will show in the discussion section, hydrogen adsorption is readily at equilibrium under our reaction conditions. We have restricted the upper temperature limit to 400 K in order to prevent N$_2$ formation and desorption. We found that up to 400 K the atomic nitrogen coverage remained unchanged when the crystal was kept isothermally in vacuum for several minutes. Under these conditions the rate of ammonia formation equals the decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage and can be written as

$$r_{\text{NH}_3} = -d\theta_N/dt = k_{\text{eff}} \theta_N^n \theta_H^m = k'_{\text{eff}} \theta_N^n$$  (1)

The decrease of the nitrogen coverage with time is determined by the $n$th-order dependence of the ammonia formation rate on the nitrogen coverage. Figure 2 shows the decrease of the nitrogen coverage with time at $T = 375 \text{ K}$ and $p_{\text{H}_2} = 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}$ and at $T = 400 \text{ K}$ and $p_{\text{H}_2} = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}$. Although the nitrogen coverage continues to decrease below 0.04 ML, the data are not shown in Figure 2, since the relative error in the remaining N$_{\text{ads}}$ coverage determination by TPD becomes too large.

The decreasing slope of the $\theta_N$ coverage versus time curve indicates a positive order $n$ of the ammonia formation rate in the nitrogen coverage. If the order $n$ is assumed to be unity, integration of eq 1 yields

$$\ln[\theta_N(t)/\theta_N(0)] = -k'_{\text{eff}} t$$  (2)

where $\theta_N(t)$ and $\theta_N(0)$ are the nitrogen coverages after and before reaction, respectively. The inset of Figure 3 confirms that a linear relation is obtained if the logarithm of the coverage ratio is plotted versus time. This indicates that the ammonia formation rate is proportional to the nitrogen coverage.
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**Figure 2.** Decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage with time due to reaction with H2 at constant temperature and pressure. The inset shows that a linear relation is obtained when the ratio of the initial to remaining atomic nitrogen coverage is plotted versus the time, indicating that the hydrogenation rate is first order in the atomic nitrogen coverage.
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**Figure 3.** Influence of the H2 pressure on the hydrogenation rate, indicated by plotting the ln(θN(t)/θN(0)) after 160 s of reaction versus the H2 pressure. Initially, the hydrogenation rate is linearly proportional to the H2 pressure, but the dependence levels off above ∼5 × 10⁻⁷ mbar H2.

**Dependence of the NH3 Formation Rate on the H2 Pressure.** The H2 pressure dependence of the NH3 formation rate can give information on the rate-determining step in the subsequent hydrogenation of atomic nitrogen to NH3.

Under the applied reaction conditions, the hydrogen coverage is expected to be small (θH ≪ 1), and therefore, it is proportional to the square root of the H2 pressure. In this case the following general dependence is expected:

\[
\ln[\theta_N(t)/\theta_N(0)] = -k_{\text{cat}}^\text{NH} \theta_H^{m_t} = -k_{\text{cat}}^\text{NH} p_{H2}^{m_t/2} t
\]

The pressure dependence of the hydrogenation rate was investigated by keeping the reaction time constant at 160 s and varying the H2 pressure in the range between 2 × 10⁻⁸ and 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar. Figure 3 shows a plot of the logarithm of the ratio of the remaining and initial Nads coverage versus the hydrogen pressure at 375 K. The curve shows that for pressures below 5 × 10⁻⁷ mbar, the dependence is close to linear whereas the dependence levels off in the pressure range from 5 × 10⁻⁷ to 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar. A similar experiment at 400 K showed a similar H2 pressure dependence.

Based on these results only, assignment of the rate-determining step is not possible. However, we definitely conclude that the first hydrogenation step is not rate limiting. In that case, the H2 pressure dependence would be at most a square root dependence. Figure 3, however, shows a linear dependence for H2 pressures below 5 × 10⁻⁷ mbar.

**Identification of NH3 Reaction Intermediates by SIMS.**

For elucidating the hydrogenation mechanism of atomic nitrogen to NH3, the identification of surface intermediates is of great significance. In previous studies, SIMS has successfully been applied to identify NHx-like intermediates on the surface. This section presents the SIMS results of the Rh(111) surface during N hydrogenation. The collection time for a SIMS spectrum was 15 s, which is about 10% of the time scale of a typical hydrogenation experiment. Spectra were taken after 20 s of reaction to be sure that equilibrium was reached between the NHx intermediates and to compare different reaction conditions with similar nitrogen coverages.
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**Figure 4.** SIMS spectra of the Rh(111) surface during a hydrogenation experiment showing the presence of H (RhH⁺ 207 amu), N (RhN⁺ 220 amu), NH2 (Rh2NH2⁺ 222 amu), and NH3 (RhNH3⁺ 120 amu) as reaction intermediates. Peak assignments were verified by using D2 instead of H2. The spectrum was taken after 20 s of reaction, the H2 pressure was 5 × 10⁻⁷ mbar, and T = 375 K.

...
NH$_3$ species on the surface. We have therefore assumed that the Rh$_2$NH$_3^+$/Rh$^+$ peak ratio reflects at least qualitatively the coverage ratio of NH$_2$ and N on the surface. The intensity of the Rh$_2^+$ peak was not used as a reference, since it was not fully resolved from the Rh$_2$H$^+$ peak.

Figure 5 shows the H$_2$ pressure dependence of the Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/Rh$^+$ and Rh(NH$_2$)$_x^+$/RhN$^+$ peak intensity ratios at a constant temperature of 375 K. The SIMS spectra were taken after 20 s of reaction. The Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/RhN$^+$ peak intensity ratio increases in the H$_2$ pressure regime between $1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar but becomes constant at higher H$_2$ pressures. The Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/Rh$^+$ peak intensity ratio increases over the whole pressure regime. Thus, NH$_3$ is the predominant NH species during the hydrogenation of atomic nitrogen while small amounts of NH$_3$ are present as well. The coverage of both NH$_2$ and NH$_3$ increases with increasing H$_2$ pressure, but for NH$_2$ the dependence levels off to a constant at a pressure of about $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar.

We have also investigated the influence of the temperature on the presence of the intermediates on the surface. Figure 6 shows the dependence of the Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/Rh$^+$ and Rh(NH$_2$)$_x^+$/RhN$^+$ peak intensity ratios on temperature at a constant H$_2$ pressure of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar and also after 20 s of reaction. The Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/Rh$^+$ peak ratio increases somewhat up to temperatures of 365 K, whereafter it decreases rapidly. Except for the measurement at 325 K, the Rh(NH$_3$)$_x^+$/RhN$^+$ peak ratio remains more or less constant over the entire temperature range.

Evidence for NH$_3$ Intermediates from TPD. In the literature, much of the evidence for the existence of NH$_3$ intermediates is based on the appearance of a reaction-limited H$_2$ desorption state.\textsuperscript{23,39,40} To make the comparison to our results, we have frozen the intermediates present under reaction conditions by rapid cooling (4 K/s) under H$_2$ atmosphere to 275 K, after which the system was evacuated for 2 min and a TPD experiment was performed. Figure 7 shows the H$_2$ and N$_2$ TPD spectra obtained by freezing the reaction at 350 K and $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar H$_2$ after 20 s. The H$_2$ desorption spectrum clearly shows two desorption states. The low-temperature desorption state with a peak maximum at 330 K represents the common second-order desorption-limited state. The H$_2$ desorption state with a peak maximum around 415 K corresponds to a reaction-limited state, which is attributed to the decomposition of NH$_3$ intermediates.

The only nitrogen-containing product that was observed during TPD was N$_2$, whereas no NH$_3$ and N$_2$H$_2$ could be detected. Furthermore, it appeared that all NH had decomposed before N$_2$ desorption started at around 500 K. Figure 7 also shows a SIMS spectrum of the surface before TPD was performed, which indicates the presence of N, NH$_2$, and NH$_3$ on the surface.

The ratio of the atomic nitrogen coverage to the amount of hydrogen desorbing in the reaction-limited desorption state at 415 K is of interest because it can give additional information about the composition of the NH$_3$ intermediate. Comparison of the N$_2$ and H$_2$ TPD peak areas and correcting for differences in ionization probabilities (S$_{H2}$/S$_{N2}$ = 0.45) yields an overall N:H ratio of 1:1.1 for the NH$_3$ intermediates. A different way to determine the N:H ratio is by relating the N$_2$ and H$_2$ TPD areas to the NO and H$_2$ uptake curves. In this way, the atomic nitrogen coverage was estimated to be 0.10 ML and the amount of hydrogen desorbing from the reaction-limited state was 0.11 ML, which results in the same overall N:H ratio of 1:1.1 for the NH$_3$ intermediates.

Note that the H:N ratio of 1.1 reflects the overall composition of the surface after 20 s of hydrogenation at 350 K, excluding the atomic hydrogen, and has no bearing on the composition of the NH$_3$ species themselves.

Dependence of the NH$_3$ Formation Rate on Temperature. To determine the effective Arrhenius parameters, we investi-
gated the rate of NH$_3$ formation in the temperature range 325-400 K. In these experiments the H$_2$ pressure was kept constant at 1 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ mbar. Figure 8 shows the logarithm of the hydrogenation rate versus the reciprocal temperature. The slope of the curve corresponds to an effective activation energy of 40 kJ/mol, while the effective pre-exponential equals 10$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

We use the terms effective activation energy and pre-exponential, since several equilibrium and rate constants may be involved depending on the rate-limiting step. At least the hydrogen adsorption equilibrium has to be incorporated, since the H$_2$ pressure is kept constant while the temperature changes, which results in varying hydrogen coverages.

**Discussion**

**Mechanism and Kinetic Description of NH$_3$ Formation on Rh(111).** With respect to the kinetic mechanism of the stepwise hydrogenation of atomic nitrogen to NH$_3$, the following experimental results are pertinent. (1) The rate of ammonia formation is linearly proportional to the hydrogen pressure below 5 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ mbar H$_2$. (2) SIMS spectra indicate that N and NH$_2$ are the predominant surface species under reaction conditions, whereas NH$_3$ and possibly NH are present only in very small amounts. (3) The reaction-limited H$_2$ desorption state, emanating from NH$_x$ decomposition, indicates the presence of signifi-
significant amounts of NH₃ on the surface under reaction conditions; the average H:N ratio in the NH₂ intermediates is 1:1:1.

Concurrently, these results point to the hydrogenation of NH₂ as the rate-determining step. We therefore propose the following sequence of steps with the associated equilibrium constants:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2(g) + 2^* & \rightleftharpoons 2\text{H}_{\text{ads}} \quad K_1 = \theta_H^2/(\theta_*^2)p_{\text{H}_2} \\
\text{N}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} & \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_{\text{ads}} + ^* \quad K_2 = (\theta_{\text{NH}}\theta_*)/(\theta_*\theta_H) \\
\text{NH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} & \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2,\text{ads} + ^* \quad K_3 = (\theta_{\text{NH}_2}\theta_*)/(\theta_{\text{NH}}\theta_H) \\
\text{NH}_2,\text{ads} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} & \rightarrow \text{NH}_3,\text{ads} + ^* \quad r_{\text{NH}_3} = k_\text{NH}_2\theta_H \\
\text{NH}_3,\text{ads} & \rightarrow \text{NH}_3(g) + ^* \quad r_{\text{NH}_3} = k_\text{NH}_3
\end{align*}
\]

We will justify and discuss this kinetic mechanism in the following. Under our experimental conditions, i.e., \(2 \times 10^{-8} < p_{\text{H}_2} < 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar}\) and \(325 < T < 400 \text{ K}\), both the rate of hydrogen adsorption and desorption are fast compared to the NH₃ formation rate. Furthermore, hydrogen adsorption is sufficiently fast to supply hydrogen for the conversion of atomic nitrogen into NH species. We therefore conclude that H₂ adsorption rapidly reaches equilibrium (on the order of seconds).

Although initially all the nitrogen on the surface is present as N_{ads}, exposure to hydrogen results in the conversion of part of the atomic nitrogen into NH species. It is difficult to determine the exact time scale upon which equilibrium between the NH intermediate is reached. However, the rapid buildup of the NH intermediate and the absence of an induction period in the time dependent hydrogenation experiments indicate that equilibrium conditions apply for the major part of the time scale of the hydrogenation experiments. In the final step, NH₃ readsoption can be neglected, since the NH₃ production rate is slow compared to the pumping speed of the vacuum system, resulting in a negligible NH₃ background pressure.

Under the assumption that equilibrium conditions apply for both H₂ adsorption and the NH intermediates up to NH₂, the following kinetic expression can be derived for the decrease of the nitrogen coverage with time (which is equal to the NH₃ formation rate):

\[
\frac{d\theta_{\text{N}_{\text{tot}}}(t)}{dt} = -k_4\theta_{\text{NH}_2}(t)\theta_H
\]

\[
\frac{d\theta_{\text{N}_{\text{tot}}}(t)}{dt} = -k_4\theta_{\text{N}_{\text{tot}}}(t)
+ \frac{K_2K_3(K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2)}{1 + K_2(K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2)^{1/2} + K_3(K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2)^{1/2}}(K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2)^{1/2}
\]

The number of empty sites available for hydrogen adsorption equals

\[
\theta_* = 1 - \theta_H - \sum \theta_{\text{NH}_x}
\]

For the derivation of eq 5 we have made the assumption that \(\theta_* \approx 1 - \theta_H\). This has the advantage that two independent factors are obtained for the H₂ pressure dependence of the hydrogen adsorption equilibrium and the equilibria of the NH intermediates (last and second factor in eq 5, respectively). The choice of the number of empty sites available for hydrogen adsorption is quite arbitrary anyway, so we have assumed it to be unity in accordance with the situation on the empty surface.

In fact the number of empty sites increases during the hydrogenation experiment because of the decrease of the nitrogen coverage. However, the decrease of the atomic nitrogen coverage in a typical hydrogenation experiment was on the order of 0.05 ML, and therefore, the increase of the number of empty sites is relatively small. Hence, eq 5 should be valid under the conditions employed in this work.

H₂ Pressure Dependence of the NH₃ Formation Rate. As the second factor in eq 5 indicates, the order in the H₂ pressure of the NH₃ coverage can vary between 0 and 1. As stated previously, the hydrogen coverage is small under our reaction conditions and therefore proportional to \(p_{\text{H}_2}^{1/2}\). This can easily be seen from the last factor in eq 5, which represents the hydrogen coverage and reduces to \((K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2)^{1/2}\) if \(K_\text{p}_\text{H}_2 \ll 1\).

In consequence of this, the order of the NH₃ formation rate in the H₂ pressure can vary between 1/2 and 3/2 as extremes.

Figure 5 shows that the NH₂ coverage increases with increasing H₂ pressures below \(\sim 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}\), whereas the NH₂ coverage becomes constant at higher H₂ pressures. From a kinetic point of view, the observed NH₂ dependence of the NH₃ coverage and the NH₃ formation rate is consistent. At pressures below \(5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}\) both the NH₂ and the hydrogen coverage depend on the H₂ pressure, resulting in an overall first-order dependence of the NH₃ formation rate on the H₂ pressure. Above \(5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}\) H₂, the NH₃ coverage becomes constant and the H₂ pressure dependence of the NH₃ formation rate is determined solely by the pressure dependence of the hydrogen coverage (\(\sim p_{\text{H}_2}^{1/2}\)).

Presence of NH₂ Intermediates on the Surface under Reaction Conditions. The SIMS results of the surface under reaction conditions indicate that N and NH₂ are the predominant nitrogen surface species. Drechsler et al.,35 using SIMS, have demonstrated that NH is the main surface species during the NH₃ decomposition on Fe. We may therefore conclude that the absence of NH in our SIMS spectra is not caused by a poor sensitivity but is due to a low surface coverage of NH. NH₂ is also detected but only in very small amounts. A previous study on the adsorption of NH₃ on Rh(111)\(^{36}\) indicated that an NH₃ coverage as small as 0.01 ML resulted in a SIMS Rh(NH₃)\(^+/\)Rh\(^+\) peak intensity ratio as large as \(\sim 7\). In the present case the SIMS Rh(NH₃)\(^+/\)Rh\(^+\) peak intensity ratio does not exceed a value of 0.25 (see Figure 5), which points to a negligibly low coverage. In fact the NH₃ steady-state coverage is determined by the ratio of NH₃ production to the desorption rate:

\[
\text{NH}_2,\text{ads} + \text{H}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3,\text{ads} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3,\text{gas}
\]
Under steady-state conditions the rate of NH$_3$ formation is equal to the rate at which the nitrogen coverage decreases. As Figure 2 shows, a typical value for the decrease of the nitrogen coverage is 0.0002 ML/s. For the NH$_3$ desorption rate an activation energy of 81.5 kJ/mol was found if a pre-exponential factor of $10^{13}$ was assumed. By use of these values, an NH$_3$ steady-state coverage of $4 \times 10^{-6}$ ML is calculated at 375 K. Since this coverage is very small, we must be conscious about the role that surface defects might play. If NH$_3$ is for instance adsorbed more strongly to defect sites, the coverage might become significantly higher.

Equation 6 predicts that the NH$_3$ steady-state coverage increases if the NH$_3$ formation rate increases. This is in line with the results in Figure 5, which shows that the NH$_3$ steady-state coverage for a given temperature increases with increasing H$_2$ pressure.

Since no reference is available, it is difficult to give a precise estimate of the NH$_2$:N surface coverage ratio on the basis of the SIMS Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak intensity ratio. Quantification is complicated, since the relative SIMS sensitivities for N and NH$_2$, and the fragmentation of the Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$ cluster ion to Rh$^+$, are unknown. Nevertheless, it is remarkable that the SIMS Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak intensity ratio becomes independent of the H$_2$ pressure above $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar at 375 K (see Figure 5, and note the logarithmic pressure scale) and is also independent of the temperature at a constant H$_2$ pressure of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar (see Figures 6). In all cases the Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak intensity ratio saturated at a value of $\sim 0.43$. This might indicate that not all nitrogen is accessible to hydrogen. Yamada et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that hydrogen exposure to a c(2\times2)-N adlayer on Rh(100) only resulted in NH$_3$ formation at the edges of nitrogen islands. From our results we have no direct evidence for island formation, but it could explain why the NH$_2$ coverage saturates while the Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ ratio remains small.

In the literature most of the information concerning the stability of NH$_2$ intermediates stems from decomposition experiments. Bassignana et al.\textsuperscript{20} showed that on Ni(110) NH$_2$ is the predominant intermediate formed during thermal NH$_3$ decomposition at 350 K. An activation energy of 20 kcal/mol was reported for NH$_3$ decomposition into N or NH. Also, Rausher et al.\textsuperscript{24} reported NH$_2$ as a stable intermediate on Ru(001) between 280 and 300 K during N$_2$H$_4$ decomposition. The NH$_2$ intermediate was found to decompose into NH at higher temperatures. On Rh(111), Wagner and Schmidt\textsuperscript{22} reported a reaction-limited H$_2$ desorption peak at 430 K when studying the reactions of oxygen with NH$_2$ and N$_2$H$_4$. This reaction-limited H$_2$ desorption peak seems identical with the one we observed during TPD of the Rh(111) surface containing the NH$_2$ intermediates formed during the hydrogenation of N$_{ad}$ (see Figure 7). However, Wagner and Schmidt attributed the H$_2$ formation to decomposition of NH rather than to NH$_2$. This latter assignment has been made in a previous investigation by the same authors Wagner and Schmidt,\textsuperscript{41} where they investigated the decomposition of H$_2$NCHO, D$_2$NCHO, N$_2$H$_4$, and NH$_3$ on Rh(111). Decomposition of D$_2$NCHO showed that the reaction-limited H$_2$ (D$_2$) peak at 430 K stemmed from decomposition of the amino NH$_2$ (ND$_2$) group. Similar to our findings, they determined an overall N:H ratio of 1:1.08 when comparing the N$_2$ and reaction-limited H$_2$ (D$_2$) desorption peak areas. From this result they concluded that decomposing NH$_2$ species was NH. A reaction-limited NH$_3$ desorption state was also observed in these experiments, which was explained by hydrogenation of NH$_2$. It should be noted that their results could of course also be explained by assuming that the surface contained N and NH$_2$ in a 1:1 ratio.

Kinetic Parameters of NH$_3$ Formation. Figure 7 shows that at a H$_2$ pressure of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar the Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak ratio is almost independent of the temperature and equal to the saturation value. If we assume that the Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$/Rh$_2$N$^+$ peak ratio is a measure of the NH$_2$ coverage, the latter is also temperature independent. This greatly simplifies the interpretation of the measured activation energy, since the temperature dependence of the NH$_2$ equilibrium is not incorporated. In this case, the effective rate constant that is measured equals the product of the elementary rate constant for the reaction from NH$_2$ to NH and the square root of the H$_2$ adsorption equilibrium constant, $k_{eff} = k_{1/2}$ (see eq. 5). Under these assumptions, the activation energy for the reaction of NH$_2$ to NH$_3$ equals

$$E_{act,NH_2\rightarrow NH_3} = E_{act,eff} + \frac{1}{2}E_{des,H_2} = 40 + 36 = 76\ [kJ/mol]$$

The only activation energy reported in the literature on NH$_3$ formation stems from Hirano et al.\textsuperscript{5} They found an effective activation energy of 55 kJ/mol for NH$_3$ formation by the reaction of NO + H$_2$ on a Pt$_{0.25}$−Rh$_{0.75}$(100) single crystal. Comparison with our value is difficult, since it is not clear which reaction constants contribute to the effective activation energy. Shustorovich and Bell\textsuperscript{10} have studied the synthesis and decomposition of NH$_3$ on transition metal surfaces by a bond-order-conservation-Morse-potential analysis and concluded that the first hydrogenation step, i.e., the reaction from N to NH, is rate limiting in NH$_3$ formation on Pt. Furthermore, they concluded that both NH$_2$ and NH$_3$ are more stable surface intermediates than NH and that NH$_3$ desorption is favored above NH$_3$ decomposition. Although the calculations are performed for Pt(111), the discrepancies with our findings and those of other authors are striking. First, it contradicts the H$_2$ pressure dependence we observed for the NH$_3$ formation rate on Rh(111), and second, it cannot explain the buildup of significant amounts of NH$_2$ intermediates, either during NH$_3$ decomposition or NH$_3$ formation.

Conclusions

Atomic nitrogen layers with well-determined coverage can be prepared by adsorbing NO at low temperature followed by thermal dissociation and selective removal of the atomic oxygen by reaction with hydrogen. When the atomic nitrogen layer is exposed to H$_2$ at constant temperature and pressure, the rate at which the atomic nitrogen coverage decreases appears to be first order in the atomic nitrogen coverage. The rate of NH$_3$ formation is first order in the H$_2$ pressure between $1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar, but the order decreases between $5 \times 10^{-7}$ and $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar. SIMS spectra of the surface under reaction conditions indicate, by the appearance of Rh$_2$N$^+$ and Rh$_2$NH$_2^+$ peaks at $m/e = 220$ and 222, respectively, that N and NH$_2$ are the predominant surface intermediates. Small amounts of NH$_3$ could be monitored on the surface by the appearance of the RhNH$_2^+$ cluster ion in the SIMS spectra. The NH$_2$ coverage increased with increasing H$_2$ pressure between $1 \times 10^{-8}$ and $5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar at 375 K. In the pressure range between $5 \times 10^{-7}$ and $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar the NH$_2$ coverage became constant. At a pressure of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar H$_2$, the NH$_2$ steady-state
coverage was independent of the temperature. The presence of NH₂ species was also evidenced by the appearance of a reaction-limited H₂ desorption state at 415 K attributed to decomposition of NH₃.

From the temperature dependence of the NH₃ formation rate, an effective pre-exponential and activation energy of 10² s⁻¹ and 40 kJ/mol were calculated. The experimental results can best be explained by assuming that the third hydrogenation step, i.e., the hydrogenation from NH₂ to NH₃, is rate limiting. The activation energy of this step is 76 kJ/mol.
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