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Introduction
During the last decade, various articles have appeared in professional and scientific journals regarding production control in process industries. The vast majority have focused on the typical characteristics of process industries production control vis-à-vis the more traditional approaches of production control for discrete manufacturing systems. In this body of literature, two schools of thought can be distinguished. The first advocates the applicability of traditional MRP (manufacturing requirements planning) concepts and systems in process industries[1-3]. The researchers and practitioners in this school concentrate on the specific characteristics that may occur in process industries and try to find solutions to be able to implement MRP. The second school stresses the differences between discrete and process manufacturers and comes with new or adapted techniques and concepts for production control in these situations[4]. Very seldom is the variety of production systems within process industries discussed. Some articles do address the problem of variety (or the opportunities this offers), but the consequences for production control are not worked out in more detail.

In this article, we will present a simple, though useful typology of process industries, which recognizes two extreme production systems on a continuum. The typology is in line with the APICS (American Production and Inventory Control Society) definitions on process/flow and batch/mix[5]. APICS defines batch/mix as:

A process business which primarily schedules short production runs of products.

Process/flow is defined as:

A manufacturer who produces with minimal interruptions in any one production run or between production runs of products which exhibit process characteristics such as liquids, fibres, powders, gases.

It will appear that these definitions are very useful in characterizing the manufacturing systems in view of the requirements for production control. Most research so far has been focused on the process/flow systems; and what is...
being done on batch/mix systems mainly presents detailed scheduling/programming approaches. Additional research to obtain a production control framework for batch/mix businesses is therefore required.

After presenting the characteristics of process industries as recognized in the literature and organizing these characteristics for each of the two extremes, we will address the differences in production control in more detail. In the last section of the article we will draw some conclusions.

**Literature on Process Industries**

The general characteristics of process industries are well represented in the APICS definition:

Process industries are businesses that add value to materials by mixing, separating, forming, or chemical reactions. Processes may be either continuous or batch and generally require rigid process control and high capital investment[6].

The definition indicates that the type of manufacturing process performed is one of the most important characteristics. Mixing, separating, forming and chemical reactions are operations that are usually performed on non-discrete products and materials. These processes can only be performed efficiently using large installations, which tend to be very expensive. If large quantities are demanded, this justifies continuous production (thus higher investment). If demand is low, the investment into a large installation is not worthwhile, and batchwise production is used. Also, these processes are difficult to control which often results in typical symptoms as variable yield and returning flows of material.

In the literature, many characteristics are mentioned as being “typical” of process industries. Though these characteristics can be found in process industries, they are not general, in a sense that virtually all process industries are characterized by these issues. On the other hand, they are discriminating in that they will predominantly be found in process industries and not in discrete industries. In this section, we will provide an overview of these characteristics. Production scheduling in process industries is often complicated by a variable yield, due to the nature of the process, even if it is statistically under control[7]. In process/flow businesses, the yield can change as a function of processing decisions[8]. Burt and Kraemer[9] present two ways to deal with variable yield in a production control system: (1) use a mean yield in the bill of materials (BOM) and (2) create a safety stock of raw materials which have the most variable yield. In a later paper however, Burt[10] states that variable yield should be controlled by creating safety time instead of safety stock.

Process industries often obtain their raw materials from mining or agricultural industries. These raw materials have natural variations in quality. For example, crude oils from different oil fields have different sulphur contents and different proportions of naphtha, distillates, and fuel oils. Oil refinery designs, production plans and operating schedules must account for this variability[4]. Another aspect of materials variability associated with natural raw materials, is that the yield or potency is usually not known or measured.
until the process is started[11]. The variability in raw materials quality often
determines which products will be produced[12]. Kochalka[1] advises to plan at
the average quality or yield of the raw materials. If you get a different quality, it
may mean reorder and recycle. This can result in shortages, but if the safety
stocks are established giving consideration to the frequency of these
occurrences, the stock-out impact can be minimized.

Variations in raw material quality often lead to variations in bills of material
(recipes)[13]. For example, variations in the moisture contents, acidity, colour,
viscosity or concentration of active ingredient in raw materials may cause
variations in the ingredient proportions required to make finished product
quality specifications[4]. Another factor which causes variations in bills of
material is the price of alternative ingredients[4]. For example, a pet food may
have specifications for the minimum amount of proteins, carbohydrates and
fats per pound of pet food; however, the proportions of various ingredients may
be varied depending on their current price and availability. In process
industries, intermediate products are quality-measured and the results can
dictate formula-sensitive processing steps requiring varying, not fixed,
“quantities per...” and alternative or additive compounds. Seasonal
considerations, the availability of raw materials, or even the unique vessel, tank
or line availability can govern the best recipe (BOM) for production[14].

Process industries often initiate their flows with only a few raw materials and
subsequently process a variety of blending and resplitting operations[14]. In
other words, many products are produced from a few kinds of raw material,
compared to the usual bill in discrete manufacturing in which end items contain
many different components[12]. Figure 1 exhibits the differences between
process and discrete manufacturers.

The divergence in the product flow sometimes is not voluntary because by-
products are being produced at certain processes[15]. It is important to
structure the appropriate BOM to recognize the yield of by-products. These
items in the BOM may be included by giving them a “negative quantity per”,
equal to the standard amount of the by-product yield[1,11]. When the
requirements are exploded, these items will show as negative, or in other words,
as an inventory gain. Duncan[2] developed a by-product BOM because the
“negative quantity per” can cause “netting” being confused with “planning”
and because it can cause the shop floor control system to expect a negative receipt of the output into stores. The by-product BOM connects processes as well as components and every process can have numerous outputs independent of the number of inputs. Duncan defines a task-item which is a process. Every task-item (process) gets input from processed items (the components) or from other task-items. The output of a task-item is one or more processed items (components). The features of the by-product BOM are that the bill can handle multiple outputs and multiple inputs, and that many levels of the bill can be tied together through the process task-items.

A common problem is the unit of measure ("quantity per"). The manufacturing BOM shows component quantities per batch of parent (e.g. litres) and the product BOM, as used for forecasting etc., shows component quantities per unit of parent (e.g. bottles)[16]. This problem can be solved by finding a common denominator[1]. Furthermore, the per unit BOM needs to accommodate many decimal places because of the unit of measure relationship in the BOM between stocking units. For example, the active ingredient of a pain-killing tablet is stock in kilograms, but the standard tablet contains 0.00325 kg (325 milligrams)[11]. Rice and Norback[12] use matrix data structures to solve the unit of measure problem. They build matrices of the production schedule and the product structure, with which they can allocate the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Literature</th>
<th>Example of industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable yield</td>
<td>Sepheri et al.[7]</td>
<td>Chemical industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haglund et al.[8]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burt and Kraemer[9]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burt[10]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable quality</td>
<td>Taylor et al.[4]</td>
<td>Oil forest products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rice and Norback[12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kochalka[1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable quantity/availability</td>
<td>Cokins[14]</td>
<td>Coffee, agricultural industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable recipe</td>
<td>Taylor et al.[4]</td>
<td>Oil (animal) food industry, paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rutten[13]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price of raw materials</td>
<td>Taylor et al.[4]</td>
<td>Agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divergent BOM/by-products</td>
<td>Cokins[14]</td>
<td>Beef cutting, forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rice and Norback[12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duncan[2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit of measure/batch problem</td>
<td>Appoo[16]</td>
<td>Fine chemicals, drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kochalka[1]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May[11]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rice and Norback[12]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nelson[3]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Characteristics Mentioned in the Literature
costs of capacities and materials to the products. Another effect of batch production in process industries, is that usually the total batch must be scrapped when the quality is poor. In discrete batch manufacturing a portion of the total batch might be rejected, but it is unlikely that the entire batch would be rejected[3].

The characteristics found in the literature, are summarized in Table I. As mentioned above, a lot of these typical characteristics have been tackled in terms of data registration. However, in order to address the control problem, process industries will be characterized from a different point of view. This will be clarified in the development of a typology in the next section.

**Typology**

Samuel Taylor and his research group published an innovative series of articles in the first half of the 1980s on production control in process industries. In one of their first articles[4], they discuss a typology of industries in general into which they fit all kinds of process industries. The two dimensions they use are: degree of product differentiation and material flow complexity. The degree of product differentiation refers to the marketing environment of the business; the material flow complexity refers to the way the production process is organized. Taylor already notes that some fabrication (i.e. discrete) industries tend towards the flow shop/commodity type, while some process industry groups (e.g. speciality chemicals) are in the centre of the matrix. So both process and discrete manufacturers are spread over the matrix.

As appears from Figure 2, which depicts this typology, these two axes are in fact one: the more an industry appears to be a job shop, the more its products are customer specific. Therefore, we propose to only use one axis with two extremes: job shop/custom specific and flow shop/commodity. Only process industries will be included in this typology. Industries producing discrete products are excluded. Products are not discrete if individual items are
indistinguishable from each other (like oil, chemicals) or if the products are simple and produced in very large quantities such that it does not make sense to distinguish them individually (like glass bottles, aluminum cans). This characterization refers to a single-phase process. In case of a multi-phase production system, it is obvious that this refers to the most important step in the production process (which creates the majority of the added value). Our typology is presented in Figure 3.

The APICS process industry definition already discriminates these two types of process industries, stating “... Processes may be either continuous or batch...”. We use the names and definitions provided by the APICS Process Industry Thesaurus[5]. As mentioned above, batch/mix is defined as:

A process business which primarily schedules short production runs of products. Process/flow is defined as:

A manufacturer who produces with minimal interruptions in any one production run or between production runs of products which exhibit process characteristics such as liquids, fibres, powders, gases.

The discriminating characteristics of each type are presented in Table II.

In process/flow businesses, the lead time is mainly determined by the cycle time, i.e. the time between two consecutive runs of the same product. The actual processing time per unit is very small, but due to the high change-over times and the high production speed, the production orders are large. The number of different products is not only limited, but there is also relatively little variety between the products. Little variety, low product complexity and the small number of production steps cause all products to have the same routing. Since the total market demand for the relatively small number of products is high, investments in specialized single-purpose equipment are economically justifiable. The use of single-purpose equipment simplifies the determination of available capacity: usually the installations are used continuously (round-the-clock production). The added value in general is quite low. Since the production speed is very high, the material costs usually account for 60-70 per cent of the cost price. The characteristics of process/flow businesses are summarized in the left-hand column of Table II.

In batch/mix businesses, on the other hand, the number of process steps is larger and the level of product complexity is higher[17]. In fine chemicals
production, for instance, sometimes more than ten different production steps can be distinguished. Since the large variety of products requires the use of the same – general type – of equipment, routings are much more complex. In some cases, even the process configurations are adapted: series of installations are rebuilt and reconnected to make a certain type of process possible (retrofitting). Consequently, lead times are longer and the work in process is higher; intermediate storage is more common than in process/flow businesses. Additionally, it is very difficult to make a good estimate of the available capacity. Lot sizes are predominantly determined by the technical batch size requirement instead of the changeover times. As a result of the increased product complexity compared to process/flow businesses, the share of raw materials in the cost price is lower than in process/flow businesses and the added value is higher. The characteristics of batch/mix businesses are summarized in the right-hand column of Table II.

The production control structure to be used in process industries is dependent upon the position of the business on the axis in Figure 3. In the next section, we will discuss the typical production control aspects for each of the extremes on the axis.

### Production and Inventory Control

In the planning, scheduling and control literature, an explicit distinction between the process/flow environments and the batch/mix production systems has not been made. The concepts and approaches offered, however, each focus on one of the two extremes. In this section, we will classify the planning, scheduling and control literature which is relevant to process industries. We will first discuss the process/flow businesses, and then the batch/mix industries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process/flow businesses are characterized by</th>
<th>Batch/mix businesses are characterized by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● High production speed, short throughput time</td>
<td>● Long lead time, much work in process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Clear determination of capacity, one routing for all products, no volume flexibility</td>
<td>● Capacity is not well-defined (different configurations, complex routings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Low product complexity</td>
<td>● More complex products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Low added value</td>
<td>● High added value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Strong impact of changeover times</td>
<td>● Less impact of changeover times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Small number of production steps</td>
<td>● Large number of production/ process steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Limited number of products</td>
<td>● Large number of products</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Production and Inventory Control in Process/Flow Businesses

The research applicable to process/flow industries, may be classified into the following categories:

- general production control concepts and structures;
- scheduling approaches and heuristics;
- integrated production control and scheduling approaches.

The APICS process industry work groups have focused on the development of a general production control concept. A production control concept is the description of and relations between all decision functions regarding the management of materials flow and capacity resources. The APICS process industries “planning system framework” is presented in Bolander et al.[18]. The framework strongly resembles the MRP II framework with a more dominant position for the resource requirements planning and production scheduling functions. The framework does not present an integrated approach as far as techniques go, but it is assumed that each decision function can be equipped with readily available or newly developed techniques. The interaction between the different techniques is established using a detailed flow of information between the various decision functions.

Scheduling approaches have been developed around the single machine multiproduct lot-sizing and scheduling problem. A vast body of literature has paid attention to this problem, especially the deterministic problem (Economic Lot Scheduling Problem) (ELSP). An excellent overview of the ELSP is presented by Elmaghraby[19]. Later, the problem with stochastic demand has been analysed. The first researchers to study this problem in detail were Leachman and Gascon[20]. In an original paper they investigate the applicability of deterministic models in stochastic situations, and present a heuristic to deal better with the uncertainty.

The well-known Massachusetts Institute of Technology hierarchical production planning systems, integrating a control concept and detailed scheduling decisions[21], have been applied in process industries and single-stage systems as well[22]. Hax and Meal use the aggregation of products to families, and from families to types, to make more aggregate decisions on a longer-term horizon. In this way, the planning and scheduling is more detailed if the horizon is shorter, and more aggregate if the horizon is longer. Since their approach is general and not restricted to process industries, they do not discuss issues like high change-over times, as a dominant control parameter.

This dominance of the long cycle times as an important parameter is the principle of the conceptual aggregation model developed at Eindhoven University of Technology[23]. This approach has been worked out in more detail by Fransoo[24,25]. In this model, the cycle times are not only determined by considering cost, but also by considering capacity consequences. This leads to a two-tiered model, in which at the higher level the cycle times are determined, and at the lower level the actual on-line scheduling takes place.
Production and Inventory Control in Batch/Mix Businesses

The planning scheduling and control literature in the batch/mix area of research is differently oriented. This is mainly caused by the fact that this research has been developed in the chemical engineering area, while the process/flow production control literature has developed in the operations research and management science area.

Since chemical engineers do not limit themselves to the operational planning, scheduling and control of the system, but also include process design and process control, these aspects are sometimes integrated into the planning and scheduling issues.

We can classify the literature according to the decision functions that are addressed:

1. design of the production system (grass roots);
2. redesign of the production system (retrofitting);
3. planning/scheduling of the production system (off-line);
4. control of the production system (on-line scheduling);
5. process control.

In this article, we focus on the third and fourth decision functions mentioned above. An excellent overview of the state of the art in grass roots design and retrofitting can be found in Reklaitis[26]. In the batch/mix literature, a clear distinction is made between off-line scheduling and online scheduling[27]. Off-line scheduling is the creation of a predetermined schedule, assuming deterministic demand and production. Online scheduling is the continuous adaptation of the off-line schedule, reacting to changes in demand and production. This distinction is similar to the distinction in deterministic and stochastic scheduling rules in the single-machine multi-product problems discussed above. However, the emphasis placed on the processing of information is much higher in the batch/mix literature[26,28]. This is probably due to the higher complexity as compared to process/flow businesses.

The exchange of information between different control levels, including process control, is illustrated in Cott and Macchietto[29]. Also the integration of off-line scheduling and process design is discussed in the literature. Usually, in the design process, some assumptions about demand are made, and simultaneously to the design, an off-line schedule is created[30]. Sometimes, the possibility to physically partially reorganize the plant equipment still exists. One could think of combining different reactors by pipes in various ways. If this limited equipment design is combined to a scheduling problem, this is called a retrofitting problem[31].

From the above description, it is clear that in the batch/mix problem, there are more degrees of freedom, and also that there are more interrelationships between production units and material flows. Both production flow complexity and material complexity are high. The high number of production steps, the presence of intermediate storage, and the divergent materials flow enables
postponing the scheduling decision until the latest possible moment, i.e. when a
decision needs to be taken in which unit a specific batch is going to be produced.
This approach is a flexible scheduling approach, and should be designed in
such a way that each decision leaves maximum flexibility to the following
scheduling decisions. This seems a promising avenue for further research and
deserves increased attention in Production and Operations Management (POM)
research.

Conclusions
The objective of this article was to present a reference model or typology for
research in production planning and scheduling in process industries. The
typology was supported by classifying illustratively a number of papers
according to this typology. It was concluded that a clear distinction needs to be
made between the research in process/flow and in batch/mix businesses. In
process/flow industries, the concept of Leachman and Gascon[20] has proven to
be of considerable value for uncapacitated problems. The concept of
Fransoo[25] could be used as a basic model for capacitated situations. These
concepts can be worked out in detail for company-specific situations. Especially
the distinction between make-to-stock and make-to-order companies may lead
to different varieties of the respective concepts. A concept for process/flow
industries in make-to-order situations using the same basic ideas as
Fransoo[25] can be found in Bertrand, et al.[23]. In batch/mix industries,
detailed scheduling and design procedures have been developed by chemical
engineers. A more general framework for this situation, involving flexible
scheduling procedures within a decision framework, is however lacking and
should receive increased research attention. The excellent work done by the
chemical engineering research community should however be incorporated in
this model.
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