Short-term frequency scaling of clear-sky and wet amplitude scintillation

Citation for published version (APA):

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/1996

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 23. May. 2024
Short-term frequency scaling of clear-sky and wet amplitude scintillation

S.I.E. Touw
M.H.A.J. Herben

Indexing terms: Amplitude scintillation, Frequency scaling, Radiowave propagation, Uplink power control

Abstract: For the design of ULPC systems that can compensate for different types of fading, the short-term frequency scaling factor of all types of fading is needed. Attention is focused on the short-term frequency scaling factor of clear-sky amplitude scintillation and amplitude scintillation occurring with rain attenuation simultaneously, often referred to as wet amplitude scintillation. It is shown that these factors are strongly variable: they depend on various meteorological parameters and on the aperture illumination efficiency of the receiving antenna. In addition, it is shown that amplitude scintillation can only be compensated partly by means of ULPC, owing to the limited correlation of amplitude scintillation measured on two radiowaves with different carrier frequencies propagating along the same path simultaneously. Furthermore, a new procedure is presented to separate rain attenuation and amplitude scintillation.

1 Introduction

Electromagnetic waves with frequencies above 10GHz and which propagate through a turbulent atmosphere exhibit rapid random fluctuations of amplitude and phase, known as scintillation. The analysis of these turbulence-induced signal scintillations is important for both the remote sensing of the propagation medium and the design of radio communication systems.

Tropospheric amplitude scintillation can be observed during rain as well as under clear weather conditions. Clear-sky amplitude scintillation manifests itself as rapid random fluctuations around the mean signal level. If rain is present on the propagation path, the receiver will see fast amplitude fluctuations superimposed on the slow variations caused by rain attenuation. This type of amplitude scintillation is often referred to as wet scintillation.

In heavy rainfall climates, the attenuation caused by rain is often too severe to be accounted for by a fixed margin in the link budget. The availability of such rain attenuation-limited satellite communication systems can be upgraded by the application of uplink power control (ULPC). An ULPC system adjusts the power transmitted from an Earth station to the satellite adaptively, according to the actual propagation conditions. The amount of extra power needed to compensate for the attenuation experienced on the uplink is obtained from measurement of the attenuation at the down-link frequency, which is generally lower than the up-link frequency, and applying a frequency scaling algorithm. It is expected that ULPC systems can compensate partly for amplitude scintillation as well.

For the design of ULPC systems the short-term frequency scaling factor of both rain attenuation and amplitude scintillation is required. These factors differ from the long-term frequency scaling factors, which are intended to scale the statistics of a propagation effect. In this paper the attention is focused on the analysis of the short-term frequency scaling factor of clear-sky and wet amplitude scintillation, using the measurements of the 12.5/20/30GHz beacon signals of the Olympus satellite (referred to as B0, B1 and B2, respectively), performed at the ground station of the Eindhoven University of Technology (EUT), which is equipped with a triple-frequency 5.5m Cassegrain antenna system. Furthermore, the theory of clear-sky amplitude scintillation, as published by Haddon and Vilar [1] is verified, and its validity with respect to wet scintillation is discussed.

2 Theory of clear-sky amplitude scintillation

2.1 Short-term frequency scaling factor

The short-term frequency scaling factor of amplitude scintillation is defined as the ratio of the standard deviations of amplitude scintillation experienced by two radiowaves with different carrier frequencies, propagating along the same path simultaneously, measured during a time interval within which the turbulence can be modelled as a stationary random process. Tatarksi2 [2] derived an equation for the variance of amplitude scintillation, assuming that the radio signal is received by a hypothetical point receiver. From Tatarksi2's equation it can be concluded that, in this case, the short-term frequency scaling factor only depends on the ratio of the frequencies in question and the power $n$ with which the turbulence spectrum falls off.

Haddon and Vilar [1] derived an equation for the variance $\sigma_x^2$ [dB²] of the log-amplitude fluctuations $x$ [dB] owing to turbulence, taking into account the smoothing effect of an antenna aperture. Their
equation leads to the following expression for the short-term frequency scaling factor:

\[
\frac{\sigma_x(k_2)}{\sigma_x(k_1)} = \left( \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right)^{(6-n)/4} \frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)}
\]

(1)

where \( k \) is the wavenumber, and \( g(x) \) is an antenna averaging function which depends on \( k, n, h, \) the height of the turbulent layer \( h, \) the elevation angle to the satellite \( \theta, \) the effective Earth radius \( R_e, \) the antenna diameter \( D, \) and the aperture illumination efficiency of the antenna \( \eta \) [1]. Unfortunately, the last three parameters are difficult to measure. Therefore the CCIR [3] recommends to assume \( h = 1000m \) and \( n = 11/3. \) Further, Haddon and Vilar [1] proposed to choose the aperture illumination efficiency such that the effective aperture diameter of the antenna is 75% of the physical diameter, so \( \eta = 0.75. \) It should be noted that \( \eta \) is not the antenna efficiency, as suggested by CCIR, but the aperture illumination efficiency, which can be substantially larger than the antenna efficiency.

The frequency scaling factor resulting from eqn. 1, after substitution of the values of \( h \) and \( n \) as recommended by the CCIR, is meant for scaling statistical functions of amplitude scintillation and is called the long-term frequency scaling factor. It cannot be used for the prediction of the short-term frequency scaling factor of amplitude scintillation, because the meteorological parameters \( h \) and \( n \) are time-dependent owing to the nonstationary character of turbulence.

### 2.2 Power spectral density (PSD) function

Tatarskii [2] showed that, in the case of reception with a point receiver, the PSD function of amplitude scintillation exhibits two distinct regions: a low-frequency region within which the function is flat and a high-frequency region within which the function falls off as \( f^{-n}. \) Thus the shape of the spectrum is given by two asymptotes, namely one for the low frequency region, \( W_X^L(\omega, 0) \) [dB^2/Hz], and another for the high-frequency region, \( W_X^H(\omega, 0). \) These two asymptotes intercept at a cut-off frequency \( \omega_c, \) which is proportional to the cross path wind velocity \( v. \) In this section both the frequency \( f \) and the angular frequency \( \omega = 2\pi f \) are used to conform our equations to those published by Haddon and Vilar [1].

Haddon and Vilar [1] derived equations for the PSD function of amplitude scintillation in case of reception with an antenna with a nonzero aperture diameter. They showed that, owing to aperture smoothing, the flat part of the spectrum \( (\omega < \omega_c) \) is lowered with respect to the point receiver case and that the spectrum falls off exponentially in the high-frequency region \( (\omega > \omega_c) \). Knowing that the PSD function is a continuous function and assuming that the spectrum falls off as \( f^{-n} \) in the frequency interval \( [\omega_c', \omega_c], \) an additional equation for the asymptote in the intermediate frequency region can be derived [4]. Hence, in case of reception with a large reflector antenna, the PSD function of amplitude scintillation exhibits three distinct regions. The asymptotes in the lower-frequency region \( W_X^L(\omega, D), \) the intermediate-frequency region \( W_X^I(\omega, D) \) and the high-frequency region \( W_X^H(\omega, D) \) are given by [1, 4]:

\[
W_X^L(\omega, D) = W_X^\infty(\omega, 0) |b(x)|^2 \quad \text{for} \quad \omega < \omega_c'
\]

(2a)

\[
W_X^L(\omega, D) = W_X^\infty(\omega, 0) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \exp(-1) \quad \text{for} \quad \omega_c' < \omega < \omega_c
\]

(2b)

\[
W_X^H(\omega, D) = W_X^\infty(\omega, 0) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{\omega_c^2}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad \omega > \omega_c
\]

(2c)

where \( \Gamma \) is the gamma function and \( b(x) \) is an antenna averaging function which depends on \( k, n, h, \theta, R_e, D \) and \( \eta \) [1]. It can be shown that the asymptotes in the low- and intermediate-frequency region intercept at a frequency \( \omega_c' \) [4]:

\[
\omega_c' = \left[ \frac{\exp(-1)}{|b(x)|^2} \right]^{1/(n-1)} \omega_c
\]

(3)

### 3 Separation of rain attenuation and amplitude scintillation

Fig. 1 shows the temporal PSD function of an event with concurrent rain attenuation and amplitude scintillation measured on the \( 30 \)GHz beacon signal of the Olympus satellite at the EUT ground station on 8th August 1992. This PSD function, which is estimated using standard data analysis procedures [5], reveals three distinct regions. In the first region, extending from zero to a frequency \( f_{ab}, \) the function shows a steep decrease. The second region, ranging from \( f_{ab} \) to \( f_{ch}, \) is approximately flat, and in the third region, that is above \( f_{ch}, \) the PSD function falls off again. Thus, above \( f_{ab}, \) the function resembles that of clear-sky amplitude scintillation. Below \( f_{ch}, \) however, the PSD function shows an enhancement, owing to the slowly varying rain attenuation.

The cut-off frequency \( f_{ab} \) is determined by the dynamic characteristics of rain attenuation and the intensity of amplitude scintillation. Therefore the cut-off frequency varies from one event to another. Furthermore, the cut-off frequency increases with increasing carrier frequency because the frequency dependence of rain attenuation is much stronger than the frequency dependence of amplitude scintillation.

To analyse the wet amplitude scintillation independently from the rain attenuation, these two phenomena should be separated, achievable by means of low-pass filtering. The low-pass filter should have an adjustable cut-off frequency and should be easy to implement.

Karasawa and Matsudo [6] used a moving average filtering technique to separate rain attenuation and amplitude scintillation from events measured on the 11 and 14GHz beacon signals of the Intelsat-V satellite.
However, analysis of events measured on the beacon signals of the Olympus satellite showed that the performance of this low-pass filtering procedure is not satisfactory [4]. The relatively high sidelobes and the wide transmission bandwidth of the mainlobe of the moving average filter lead to insufficient suppression of the higher-frequency components.

What is needed is a filter with ideal frequency domain characteristics, that is a unity gain and a linear-phase characteristic in the passband and a zero gain within the stopband. Such an ideal filter is not causal and therefore not physically realisable. Mathematically, however, ideal filtering can be performed in the frequency domain by calculating the discrete Fourier components of the original data using an N-point FFT algorithm, setting the unwanted components to zero and transform the resulting components back to the time domain. For the event presented in Fig. 1, the components corresponding to the frequency interval [0; f] are set to zero, such that high-pass filtering is performed and the output of the ideal filter contains solely the wet amplitude scintillation. Then, the filter output is subtracted from the original signal such that the rain attenuation signal is obtained. The performance of this filtering procedure appears to be excellent [4].

4 Analysis of short-term frequency scaling

Table 1 gives the short-term frequency scaling factors and the corresponding calculated values of $n$ and $h$. It can be seen that the factors vary greatly from event to event. This is due to the variability of the meteorological parameters $n$ and $h$.

![Table 1: Short-term frequency scaling factors of clear-sky amplitude scintillations measured on 12.5/20/30GHz beacon signals at EUT ground station](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>$B2/B0$</th>
<th>$B1/B0$</th>
<th>$B2/B1$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$h$ (m)</th>
<th>$C_n^2$/$C_{n1}^2$</th>
<th>$v$ (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/01/92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3750</td>
<td>1.02e-12</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/92</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>4.66e-13</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/05/92</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.11e-12</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/05/92</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.67e-11</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/05/92</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>7.24e-12</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 shows the frequency scaling factors as a function of the height of the turbulent layer $h$, for $n = 11/3$, based on eqn. 1. The aperture illumination efficiency is chosen $\eta = 0.75$, as proposed by Haddon and Vilar [1]. It can be observed from Fig. 2 that the frequency scaling factor increases with increasing ratio of carrier frequencies for all values of the height of the turbulent layer. Changing the value of $n$ results in a vertical shift of the curves: the frequency scaling factors increase with increasing $n$. The relative position of the curves, however, remains unchanged. The behaviour of the measured frequency scaling factors given in Table 1, however, differ from the results shown in Fig. 2: the frequency scaling factor of the 30 and 20GHz beacon signals is larger than the frequency scaling factor of the 20 and 12.5GHz beacon signals. This discrepancy between the measured frequency scaling factors and the theoretical ones is caused by the assumption that the aperture illumination efficiency is equal for all carrier frequencies namely $\eta = 0.75$. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 where the frequency scaling factors are given as a function of the height of the turbulent layer using the aperture illumination efficiencies estimated from the measured rain patterns of the 5.5m Cassegrain antenna in the quasi-azimuth plane, namely $\eta_{B0} = 0.92$, $\eta_{B1} = 0.78$ and $\eta_{B2} = 0.44$. Fig. 3 clearly shows that in this case the relative positions of the theoretical frequency scaling factor curves correspond with the measured ones. Therefore it can be concluded that it is very important to know the exact aperture illumination efficiencies for the calculation of the short-term frequency scaling factors.

Assuming that the estimated values of the aperture illumination efficiencies are correct, the measured frequency scaling factors can be used to calculate $n$ and $h$. Using eqn. 1 leads to a set of three equations, of which only two equations are independent, with two unknown variables. The calculated values of $n$ and $h$ are given in Table 1 as well. It can be seen that the resulting values are physically acceptable [7].

A similar analysis is performed on the wet scintillation signals measured at the EUT ground station. The short-term frequency scaling factors and the corresponding calculated values of $n$ and $h$ are given in...
Table 2. Short-term frequency scaling factors of wet amplitude scintillations measured on 12.5/20/30GHz beacon signals at EUT ground station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>B2/B0</th>
<th>B1/B0</th>
<th>B2/B1</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>h(m)</th>
<th>$C_n^2$($m^{-3/2}$)</th>
<th>v(m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17/09/91</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1.25e-12</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/09/91</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.61e-12</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/09/91</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.15e-12</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09/91</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.25e-12</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/07/92</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3.96e-12</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Spectral analysis

The PSD functions of amplitude scintillation events are estimated using standard data analysis procedures [5]. To verify the theory of the PSD function, the values of $C_n^2$, $n$ and $h$ are needed. Since the values of $n$ and $h$ are already determined from the frequency scaling factors, the refractive index structure parameter $C_n^2$ can be calculated from the measured variance at a single frequency [2]. Then, a value of the cross path wind velocity $v$ can be selected such that the theoretical PSD function of amplitude scintillation on one beacon signal fits the corresponding measured PSD function. Subsequently, the resulting parameters can be used to check whether the measured PSD functions of amplitude scintillation on the other two beacon signals satisfy their theoretical PSD functions as well. Figs. 4–6 show the PSD functions of amplitude scintillations measured on the three beacon signals at the EUT ground station on 13th June 1992, and the corresponding theoretical PSD functions found from eqn. 2. It can be concluded that the theoretical PSD functions fit the measured ones very well, except at the highest frequency components, where the measured functions show an enhancement with respect to the theoretical ones owing to thermal noise which has a flat PSD function. At these high-frequency components the multiplicative scintillation noise becomes smaller than the additive thermal noise. All clear-sky amplitude scintillation events listed in Table 1 and all wet amplitude scintillation events listed in Table 2 give similar results. The corresponding values of $C_n^2$ and $v$ are given in Tables 1 and 2. It can be observed that these values are physically acceptable as well [7]. For the first two events listed in Table 2, the values of $C_n^2$ and $v$ could not be determined accurately because the cut-off frequency $\omega_c$ fell outside the range of the bandwidth within which the PSD function could be calculated. Furthermore, it can be concluded that wet amplitude scintillation can be described with the same theory as clear-sky amplitude scintillation, which indicates that wet amplitude scintillation is also mainly caused by turbulence.

6 Compensation of amplitude scintillation by means of ULPC

The performance of an ULPC system with respect to compensation of amplitude scintillation depends on the degree of correlation between amplitude scintillation measured on the two carrier frequencies in question: if they are highly correlated, it is possible to predict the behaviour of the amplitude scintillation at one frequency from measurement at the other so that the transmit power can be adjusted accordingly. Information about the degree of correlation between the amplitude scintillations measured on any pair of beacon
Fig. 7 Coherence function of amplitude scintillations measured on 20 and 30GHz beacon signals of Olympus satellite at EUT ground station.

Table 4: Coherence of wet amplitude scintillations measured on 12.5/20/30 GHz beacon signals at EUT ground station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beacon signals</th>
<th>Coherence</th>
<th>Coherence bandwidth (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2/B0</td>
<td>0.61-0.65</td>
<td>0.31-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1/B0</td>
<td>0.74-0.81</td>
<td>0.31-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2/B1</td>
<td>0.74-0.81</td>
<td>0.38-0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generally, the measured coherence bandwidth of the wet amplitude scintillation events are larger than those of the clear-sky amplitude scintillation events. The coherence bandwidth is determined by the cut-off frequencies \( \omega_i \) and \( \omega_i' \) of the corresponding power spectral density functions, which are proportional to the cross-path wind velocity. Since rain is often accompanied by a strong wind, the measured coherence bandwidth of wet amplitude scintillation will be larger than that of clear-sky amplitude scintillation.

It can be concluded that amplitude scintillations experienced by two radiowaves with different carrier frequencies and which propagate along the same path simultaneously are well, but not perfectly, correlated. Thus, the behaviour of amplitude scintillation on one frequency cannot be predicted exactly from measurement at the other and therefore amplitude scintillation can be compensated only partly by means of uplink power control (ULPC).

7 Conclusions

The short-term frequency scaling factor of amplitude scintillation is highly variable owing to the strong non-stationary character of turbulence. It depends on various meteorological parameters and on the antenna efficiency, which are both difficult to determine. Therefore an average value of the frequency scaling factor has to be used for the compensation of amplitude scintillation by means of ULPC.

The variance and the PSD function of amplitude scintillation on a radio signal received with an antenna having a nonzero aperture diameter are well described by the equations published by Haddon and Vilar [1]. It must be noted, however, that it is very important to know the exact value of the aperture illumination efficiency. The assumption that the effective antenna diameter is 75% of the physical diameter, as proposed by Haddon and Vilar, leads to incorrect results for the events measured at the EUT ground station.

It is shown that wet amplitude scintillation is mainly caused by turbulence and can be described by the same theory as clear-sky amplitude scintillation.

The amplitude scintillations experienced by two radiowaves operating at different carrier frequencies and propagating along the same path simultaneously, are not perfectly correlated and therefore amplitude scintillation can be compensated only partly by means of ULPC.
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