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ON THE PRINCIPAL STATE METHOD FOR RUNLENGTH LIMITED SEQUENCES.

Tjalling Tjalkens
Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O.Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

Abstract.
We present a detailed result on Franaszek’s principal state method for the generation of runlength constrained codes. We show that, whenever the constraints \( d \) and \( k \) satisfy \( k \geq 2d + 1 \), the set of “principal states” is \( \mathbb{E}_0 \cup \mathbb{E}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathbb{E}_{k-1} \). Thus there is no need for Franaszek’s search algorithm anymore. The counting technique used to obtain this result also shows that “state independent decoding” can be achieved using not more than three codewords per message and it allows us to compare the principal state method with other practical schemes originating from the work of Tang and Bahl and also allows us to use an efficient enumerative coding implementation of the encoder and decoder.

Introduction.
Shannon [4] considers the \((d,k)\)-constrained channel, where the only possible binary sequences that can be transmitted over the channel are those containing runs of zeros of length \( d \), \ldots , \( d \leq k \). These channels can be described by a state model where each state is indexed by the length of the current run of zeros. Shannon defines the capacity of this channel as the limit as \( n \to \infty \) of the logarithm of the size of the set of all sequences of length \( n \) satisfying the \((d,k)\)-constraint divided by \( n \).

A runlength constrained code, \((d,k)\)-constrained code, is a binary encoding of information such that in the code sequence successive ones are separated by at least \( d \) zeros and at most \( k \) zeros and thus is well suited for use on a \((d,k)\)-constrained channel.

We shall consider runlength codes for these purposes. Valid codewords follow a possible path in this state model, starting at the state where the previous codeword ended. So, a code for this state model contains several codeword sets, each containing a variable number of words, where the selected set depends on the previous codeword and is such that the concatenation of that codeword with any word in the set is permissible. Since we consider fixed length codes the size of the code is determined by the smallest set belonging to some state in the model.

Fracanakz [3] noted that if we take a subset of all states in the model and require the codewords to start and end in states of this subset then an optimum subset exists. This subset is known as the set of principal states and Franaszek described an algorithm to search for these principal states.

Another approach, presented by several authors, [1, 5, 6], is to use a single set \( S \) of codewords that satisfy the \((d,k)\)-constraint internally. A special state sequence is put in between two codewords such that the \((d,k)\)-constraint remains satisfied between codewords.

The principal state method is an optimal code for systems that can be described in the state model framework, and thus it is at least as efficient as any of the glue methods, since the glue methods can also be described in the state model framework.

The principal states.
We start with the definition of the building blocks or basic sets \( U(m) \) for the codeword sets given the \((d,k)\)-constraint, containing all sequences that start and end with a “one” and satisfy the \((d,k)\)-constraint internally. Let \( U(m) \) denote the size of \( U(m) \).

In the following we shall repeatedly use the shorthand notation \( [a;b] \Delta \{a,a+1,\ldots,b\} \). Let \( S \subset [0;k] \) denote the set of permitted channel states, (not necessarily the set of principal states). Consider the sets \( V_S(n;j) \) containing all sequences starting with a run of \( r \) zeros and ending in a run of \( r \) zeros and satisfying the \((d,k)\)-constraint internally. Note that \( V_S(n;j) \) can be described using the basic sets as

\[
V_S(n;j) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \{0^i\} \times \left(U(n-i-j) \times \{0^j\}\right),
\]

where \( U \times V \) indicates the set containing all concatenations of the sequences \( \mathbb{E} \times V \) with any sequence \( V \in V \).

With these sets we can make Franaszek’s state dependent codeword sets \( W_S(n;j) \), i.e., the set of possible codewords of length \( n \) starting in state \( i \in \mathbb{S} \) and ending in any state \( j \in \mathbb{S} \). We have

\[
W_S(n;i) = \bigcup_{\max(0,d-i) \leq \min(n,k-i)} V_S(n;j).
\]

Now we can formulate our goal and the result:
Given \( n, k \), and \( d \), (with the restriction \( n \geq k \geq 2d + 1 \)), find the set \( S^* \subset [0;k] \) such that:

\[
W_{S^*}(n,i) \supseteq \max_{S \subset [0;k]} \min_{j \in \mathbb{S}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} U(n-d-i-j).
\]

Message mapping for state independent decoding
Partition the \( W_{S^*} \) messages into sets \( M_j \), of sizes \( M_j \), where \( i = 0,1,\ldots,k \). Let \( r \) be the number of trailing zeros in the previous codeword. We distinguish between the following cases:

\[
d = 1 \text{ and } r = 0: \text{The messages in the set } M_0 \text{ are assigned to the set } W_{S^*}(n;i+1).
\]

\[
d = 1 \text{ and } r \geq 1: \text{The set } M_0 \text{ is assigned to } M_1 \text{ and } M_1 \ldots M_{k-r} \text{ are assigned to } W_{S^*}(n;0).
\]

\[
d > 1 \text{ and } r < d: \text{For all } i = 0,1,\ldots,k - d - r \text{ we assign the set } M_i \text{ to the codewords from } W_{S^*}(n;i + d - i) \text{ respectively. For } i = k - d - r + 1,\ldots,k \text{ we assign to the set } M_k \text{ the codewords from } W_{S^*}(n;i + 2d - k + 1) \text{ respectively.}
\]

\[
d > 1 \text{ and } r \geq d: \text{The sets } M_0 \cup M_1 \cup \ldots M_{k-r} \text{ are assigned to } V_{S^*}(n;0) \text{ and } V_{S^*}(n;1) \text{ in that order.}
\]

So, it is easy to see that every message is encoded into one of two or three different codewords, depending on \( r \).

Enumerative coding.
We shall briefly indicate the application of the well-known enumerative coding technique [2] to the generation of the \((d,k)\)-constrained sequences.

First we determine the message subset \( M_i \) of the message \( m \) that we want to transmit. Then, with the rules of the previous section we determine the set \( V_{S^*}(n;j) \) and the relative index \( i(g);V_{S^*}(n;j) \) of our message in the set. Finally we use the enumerative reconstruction to produce the codeword \( g^* \in V_{S^*}(n;j) \) from its index.

Let the codeword \( g^* \) be given as \( g^* = 0^*10^*1.. \). The \( 0^* \) indicates that we will not need the (source) encoding algorithm, which is instructive to see how the index can be computed recursively as

\[
i(g^*);V_{S^*}(n;j) = (i(g^*);V_{S^*}(n-1;j) + a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } 0 \\leq p \leq n.
\]

Reconstructing \( g^* \) involves producing the \( a_0,\ldots,a_{k-1} \) and they can be found recursively by the corresponding enumerative decoding algorithm.
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