Low-frequency noise in polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors

Citation for published version (APA):

Markus, H. A. W., & Kleinpenning, T. G. M. (1995). Low-frequency noise in polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors. *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, 42, 720-727. <https://doi.org/10.1109/16.372077>

DOI:

[10.1109/16.372077](https://doi.org/10.1109/16.372077)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1995

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Low-Frequency Noise in Polysilicon Emitter Bipolar Transistors

H. A. W. Markus and T. G. M. Kleinpenning

Abstract—The low-frequency noise in polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors is investigated. Transistors with various geometries and various properties of the oxide layer at the monosilicon polysilicon interface are studied. The main $1/f$ noise source proved to be located in the oxide layer. This source causes both $1/f$ noise in the base current S_{I_b} and $1/f$ noise in the emitter series resistance S_{r_e} . The magnitude of the $1/f$ noise source depends on the properties of the oxide layer. The $1/f$ noise is ascribed to barrier height fluctuations of the oxide layer resulting in transparency fluctuations for both minority and majority carriers in the emitter, giving rise to S_{I_b} and S_{r_e} , respectively. It is also shown that a low transparency of the oxide layer also reduces the contribution of mobility fluctuations to S_{I_b} .

I. INTRODUCTION

IN most recent papers the $1/f$ noise in bipolar junction transistors (BJT) with a conventional emitter is mainly discussed in terms of mobility fluctuations. The $1/f$ noise in BJTs has been interpreted in terms of two $1/f$ noise current generators: S_{I_b} between emitter and base, and S_{I_c} between emitter and collector. In practical cases the base-collector junction is reverse biased, so that the leakage base-collector current is small and its noise negligible. Recently, Kleinpenning [1] derived formulas for the low-frequency noise in BJTs incorporating the noise sources present in the parasitic series resistances.

Several authors have studied the low-frequency noise in polysilicon emitter BJTs [1]–[6]. The low-frequency noise always consists of white shot noise and $1/f$ noise. Sometimes burst noise is observed. Kleinpenning [1] found that the $1/f$ noise was located in the base current S_{I_b} and that its spectral density was proportional to the base current I_B . He interpreted the $1/f$ noise in terms of mobility fluctuations. Pong-Fei Lu [2] also found the $1/f$ noise to be located in the base current, but he found $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$. Pawlikiewicz *et al.* [3] found $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$ at higher currents and $S_{I_b} \sim I_B$ at lower currents. Siabi-Shahrivar *et al.* [4] and Mounib *et al.* [5] showed that the $1/f$ noise is strongly related to the surface treatment prior to polysilicon deposition. Wai Shing Lau *et al.* [6] found $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$. They suggested that transparency fluctuations of the oxide layer, present at the monosilicon-polysilicon interface, or two-step tunnelling via traps in the oxide is responsible for the observed $1/f$ noise. However, they did not give a detailed quantitative analysis.

Manuscript received May 5, 1994; revised November 29, 1994. The review of this paper was arranged by Associate Editor J. R. Hauser.
The authors are with the Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Electrical Engineering, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
IEEE Log Number 9409065.

This paper will deal with the location of the main $1/f$ noise source in polysilicon emitter BJTs with an oxide layer at the monosilicon-polysilicon interface. A model based on transparency fluctuations of the oxide layer, that can describe this noise source, will be presented. By means of this model, it will be shown that the contribution of the mobility fluctuations to S_{I_b} is overrun by transparency fluctuations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Devices

The transistors are made by Philips Electronics. Transistors from 7 wafers were studied. On each wafer a set of transistors with various geometries is present. The length of the emitter (perpendicular to the base current) is in the range of $0.8 \mu\text{m} < L < 100 \mu\text{m}$, and its width (parallel to the base current) in the range of $0.3 \mu\text{m} < W < 100 \mu\text{m}$. For transistors from four wafers a HF dip is used to produce a native oxide layer at the polysilicon-monosilicon interface with an estimated thickness in the range of 10–15 Å. This native layer was removed from the other three wafers by a HF/H₂O vapor etch in a cluster tool and, subsequently, a new layer was thermally grown with different oxidation times. The average thicknesses of the oxide layers given by the manufacturer are 3.8 Å, 7.5 Å and 8 Å, respectively. The emitter drive-in is a furnace anneal at 1173 K during 30 minutes. The top-doping of the emitter of all transistors is estimated to be 10^{20} cm^{-3} . The junction depth is in the range of 57–71 nm. Only n-p-n type transistors made with this process were available.

B. I-V Characteristics

Fig. 1 shows a typical I-V curve of the base and collector current versus V_{BE} at $V_{CB} = 3 \text{ V}$. The ideality factor for the base and collector current is 1. The current gain β collapses for high currents, which is ascribed to high injection in the base. Current crowding is negligible in the studied current range.

With the help of white noise measurements in common-collector configuration [1], we found the values of $4kT(r_e + r_b)$. From the deviation of the exponential behaviour of the I-V characteristics, we found $I_E r_e + I_b r_b$. Hence, the values of the emitter series resistance r_e and the internal base resistance r_b can be determined. The values found for r_b agree well with the calculated values of the sum of the base sheet resistance given by the manufacturer, and the resistance between base contacts and emitter edge. At high currents the base sheet resistance can become bias dependent due to current crowding and high

Fig. 1. The I-V curves of a polysilicon emitter bipolar transistor with an emitter area of $0.3 \times 48 \mu\text{m}^2$. The grown oxide-layer thickness is 8 Å. $V_{CB} = 3 \text{ V}$.

injection. However, an important part of the base resistance is formed by the resistance between the base contacts and the emitter edge. As a result we expect the base resistance to be only weakly bias dependent in the studied current range. Our experimentally obtained I-V characteristic can be interpreted satisfactorily with a constant base resistance. The value of r_e depends on the emitter geometry and the oxide thickness, so it is obvious that the tunnel resistance of the oxide layer is an important part of the total emitter resistance. The experimentally observed product of the emitter series resistance times the emitter area, $r_e A_E$, is in the range of $40 \Omega\mu\text{m}^2 - 160 \Omega\mu\text{m}^2$. In the studied current range the voltage across the oxide layer ($< 100 \text{ mV}$ in the studied current range) was much smaller than the effective barrier height (0.6 V), so the part of the emitter series resistance due to tunneling is assumed to be ohmic.

C. Noise Measurements

We made the noise measurements by putting the transistor in a circuit as shown in Fig. 2. The internal series resistances are given by r_e , r_b , and r_c respectively. Three external metal film resistors R_B , R_C , and R_E can be inserted which only have Nyquist noise ($4kTR$). According to Kleinpenning [1], the $1/f$ voltage noise across R_E and R_C can be written as

$$(Z/R_E)^2 S_{V_E} = [r_\pi - \beta(r_b + R_B)]^2 S_{I_b} + [r_\pi + r_b + R_B]^2 S_{I_c} + (\beta + 1)^2 [I_B^2 S_{r_b} + I_E^2 S_{r_e}] \quad (1)$$

$$(Z/R_C)^2 S_{V_C} = \beta^2 [r_b + R_B + r_e + R_E]^2 S_{I_b} + [r_\pi + r_b + R_B + r_e + R_E]^2 S_{I_c} + \beta^2 [I_B^2 S_{r_b} + I_E^2 S_{r_e}] \quad (2)$$

with

$$Z = r_b + R_B + r_\pi + (\beta + 1)(r_e + R_E) \quad (3)$$

$$r_\pi = dV_{be}/dI_B \quad (4)$$

$$\beta = dI_C/dI_B = g_{mc} \cdot r_\pi \quad (5)$$

Fig. 2. AC noise measurement circuit.

Here, g_{mc} represents the collector transconductance, β the current amplification factor, and r_π the junction differential resistance of the intrinsic transistor. V_{be} is the voltage drop across the emitter-base junction. S_{I_b} and S_{I_c} represent the $1/f$ current noise spectral density at constant V_{be} in the base and collector currents, respectively. The series resistances r_e and r_b can have $1/f$ resistance noise. Their spectral densities are represented by S_{r_e} and S_{r_b} , respectively. The Early effect was ignored in the derivation of (1) and (2). This is permissible since I_C was found to be almost independent of V_{CB} at $V_{CB} > 1 \text{ V}$.

In order to locate and characterize the $1/f$ noise sources, we carried out our measurements in both a common-emitter (C-E) configuration and a common-collector (C-C) configuration at various biasing conditions.

In the C-C configuration we took $R_E \gg r_e, r_b, r_\pi, R_B$, so that $Z/R_E = \beta + 1$, and $R_C = 0$. Thus, (1) simplifies to

$$g_{me}^2 S_{V_E} = [1 - g_{mc}(r_b + R_B)]^2 S_{I_b} + [1 + (r_b + R_B)/r_\pi]^2 \cdot S_{I_c} + g_{me}^2 [I_B^2 S_{r_b} + I_E^2 S_{r_e}] \quad (6)$$

Here, $g_{me} = (\beta + 1)/r_\pi = dI_E/dV_{be}$ is the emitter transconductance of the intrinsic transistor.

In the C-E configuration we put $R_E = 0$, $R_B \gg r_b, r_\pi, (\beta + 1)r_e$, and $R_C = 100 \Omega$, so that $Z = R_B$. Then, (2) reduces to

$$S_{V_C}/R_C^2 = \beta^2 S_{I_b} + S_{I_c} + (\beta/R_B)^2 [I_B^2 S_{r_b} + I_E^2 S_{r_e}] \quad (7)$$

The noise measurements were performed in the current ranges $0.1 \mu\text{A} \leq I_B \leq 100 \mu\text{A}$ and $10 \mu\text{A} \leq I_C \leq 10 \text{ mA}$. In these current ranges the input resistance $r_\pi = kT/qI_B$ varies from 250Ω to $250 \text{ k}\Omega$, the collector transconductance $g_{mc} = qI_C/kT$ from $4 \cdot 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1}$ to $0.4 \Omega^{-1}$, and the emitter transconductance $g_{me} = g_{mc} + 1/r_\pi$ from $4 \cdot 10^{-4} \Omega^{-1}$ to $0.4 \Omega^{-1}$. These parameters, being the parameters of the transistor without series resistances, are different from those of the complete transistor that are defined by dV_{BE}/dI_B , dI_C/dV_{BE} and dI_E/dV_{BE} , respectively, with $V_{BE} = V_{be} + I_B r_b + I_E r_e$.

Spectral noise densities were measured from 1 Hz to 100 kHz. All devices showed $1/f$ noise. The $1/f$ spectral density was observed over at least one decade of frequency, but depending on emitter geometry and current reaching up to 5 decades of frequency. Using (6) and (7), we can determine the location of the dominant noise sources. In (6) both S_{I_b} and S_{I_c} have a prefactor of 1 at low currents, where $g_{mc}(r_b + R_B) \ll 1$ and $(r_b + R_B)/r_\pi \ll 1$. However in (7) the contribution of S_{I_b} only is amplified by a factor β^2 . In (6) the noise contribution

Fig. 3. S_{I_b} versus I_B for transistors with 7.5 Å grown oxide layer and various emitter areas.

of the series resistances S_{r_b} and S_{r_e} is amplified by a factor β^2 , whereas in (7) it is only amplified by $(\beta/R_B)^2$. Hence, by measuring both in the C-E and C-C configuration at various values of V_{cb} and R_B , one can decide whether S_{I_b} or S_{I_c} , or the contribution of the series resistances is dominant. Our measurements revealed that S_{I_b} and S_{r_e} are dominant.

In Fig. 3 some typical plots are presented of the spectral density of the base current $1/f$ noise S_{I_b} at 1 Hz versus I_B . The results presented were obtained from transistors with a grown oxide layer of 7.5 Å and various emitter areas. One can observe that $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$. Only the transistors with a large emitter area show a deviation from this square law at low currents.

In Fig. 4 the relative noise S_{I_b}/I_B^2 from the same devices is plotted versus the emitter area A_E . One can observe that $S_{I_b}/I_B^2 \sim A_E^{-1}$.

In Fig. 5 the relative noise S_{I_b}/I_B^2 from the transistors with grown oxide layers and an emitter area of $8.8 \times 48 \mu\text{m}^2$ is plotted versus the oxide thickness. One can observe a clear influence of the oxide layer thickness on the $1/f$ base current noise.

The contribution of resistance fluctuations of the parasitic emitter and base resistance can be measured in the C-C configuration. By taking $R_B = 0$ we can approximate expression (6) for high currents, where $I_C \gg kT/q r_b$, by

$$S_{V_E} \approx r_b^2 S_{I_b} + I_E^2 S_{r_e} + I_B^2 S_{r_b}. \quad (8)$$

For transistors with a small emitter area, the S_{V_E} measured was up to 3 orders of magnitude higher than $r_b^2 S_{I_b}$. Here, the values of S_{I_b} were used that were measured in the C-E configuration. So, at high currents S_{V_E} is determined either by base resistance fluctuations or by emitter resistance fluctuations. In Section IV we will discuss this in more detail.

Fig. 4. S_{I_b}/I_B^2 versus A_E of all transistors with 7.5 Å grown oxide layer.

Fig. 5. S_{I_b}/I_B^2 versus the oxide thickness for transistors with grown oxide layers of 3.8 Å, 7.5 Å and 8 Å and an emitter area of $8.8 \times 48 \mu\text{m}^2$.

III. THEORETICAL MODEL

A. General Remarks

Suppose one finds $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^k$ experimentally. If the current density, J_B , is homogeneously distributed over the emitter area and the noise sources are also homogeneously distributed over the emitter area and are spatially uncorrelated, then one can derive the following spectral noise density of J_B [7]:

$$S_{J_B} \sim J_B^k = (I_B/A_E)^k$$

which leads to

$$S_{I_B} \sim A_E S_{J_B} = I_B^k \cdot A_E^{1-k}. \quad (9)$$

We found $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$ experimentally (see Fig. 3). As a result (9) predicts $S_{I_b}/I_B^2 \sim A_E^{-1}$ which agrees well with our experiments (see Fig. 4). This is a strong indication for homogeneously distributed $1/f$ noise sources.

In conventional p-n junctions the $1/f$ noise can be interpreted in terms of mobility fluctuations. The mobility fluctuation model [8] predicts a linear relationship between S_{I_b} and I_B . However, we found $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$. As a consequence, our results cannot be explained in terms of mobility fluctuations

only. A new model for $S_{I_b} \sim I_B^2$ will be presented in Section III-B.

In Fig. 3 we observe deviations from the square law for the larger emitter areas at small currents. In this region S_{I_b} seems to be proportional to I_B , so that mobility fluctuations might be the dominant noise source here. In Section III.D, a relation will be derived for the contribution of mobility fluctuations to S_{I_b} , taking into account the influence of the oxide layer at the polysilicon-monosilicon interface.

A linear relation between S_{I_b} and I_B can also be found when the ideal base current dominates the base current and the non-ideal base current (with ideality factor 2) dominates the $1/f$ noise, provided that the $1/f$ noise is proportional to the non-ideal base current squared. Such a quadratic dependence is not predicted by the mobility fluctuation model.

B. Transparency Fluctuation Model

One of the $1/f$ noise model predicting $S_I \sim I^2$ is the tunnel diode model proposed by Kleinpenning [9], [10]. He assumed that the Nyquist noise of the insulator layer modulates the barrier height and hence the tunneling probability, or transparency, of carriers. He derived the following relation for the relative noise in the transparency, that is valid for a biasing voltage much lower than the effective barrier height.

$$\frac{S_t}{t^2} = \frac{2mqkTL^2 Re(Z)}{3V_0 \hbar^2} \quad \text{with} \quad Re(Z) = \frac{\tan \delta}{2\pi f C}. \quad (10)$$

Here, t is the transparency of the oxide layer, m the effective mass of the carrier, T the temperature, L the thickness of the oxide layer, V_0 the barrier height, C the capacitance of the oxide layer, $Re(Z)$ the real part of the impedance of the layer, and $\tan \delta$ the loss factor of the oxide.

Fluctuations of the barrier height of the oxide at the polysilicon-monosilicon interface results in fluctuations of the transparency of the barrier for the holes injected in the emitter, and so in base current fluctuations.

The following calculation yields the relation between the relative noise in the transparency, given by (10), and the relative noise in the short circuit base current. In Fig. 6 a schematic plot is given of the emitter structure of a polysilicon emitter, where we can distinguish the monocrystalline layer, the oxide layer, the polysilicon layer, and a metal contact. The minority carrier concentration in the monosilicon and polysilicon layer is also depicted. Here, we neglect carrier recombination in the monocrystalline and polycrystalline layer. This is allowed provided that $W_m^2/2D_m \ll \tau_m$ and $W_p^2/2D_p \ll \tau_p$, with τ_m and τ_p being the hole lifetime in the monosilicon and polysilicon layer, respectively, and provided that $s_{oxp} \gg W_m/\tau_m$, $s_m \gg W_m/\tau_m$, and $s_m \gg W_p/\tau_p$, with s_{oxp} and s_m being the hole recombination velocity of the oxide layer and the metal, respectively. Furthermore, we assume a uniform doping within the emitter. The current can then be calculated from the following equations

$$\text{monosilicon layer:} \quad I = -qA_E D_m dp(x)/dx \quad (11)$$

$$\text{polysilicon layer:} \quad I = -qA_E D_p dp(x)/dx \quad (12)$$

$$\text{metal contact:} \quad I = qA_E s_m [p(x_3) - p_0]. \quad (13)$$

Fig. 6. Schematic picture of the polysilicon emitter with the hole concentration $p(x)$.

Here, D_m is the diffusivity of holes in the monosilicon layer, D_p the diffusivity of holes in the polysilicon layer, and p_0 the equilibrium hole concentration at $x = x_3$. The minority carrier tunneling current through the oxide barrier is given by the following relation [11]

$$I = qA_E \sqrt{\frac{kT}{2\pi m_p^*}} t_p [p(x_2) - p(x_1)e^{-qV/kT}]. \quad (14)$$

Here, m_p^* is the effective mass of holes in the oxide, t_p is the tunneling probability or transparency for holes and V the voltage across the barrier.

For intermediate emitter currents where $V \ll kT/q$, (14) can be approximated by

$$I = qA_E s_{oxp} [p(x_1) - p(x_2)]. \quad (15)$$

Here, s_{oxp} is the oxide recombination velocity of holes given by

$$s_{oxp} = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{2\pi m_p^*}} t_p. \quad (16)$$

Solving (11)–(13) and (15) for I yields

$$I = \frac{qA[p(0) - p_0]}{\frac{1}{s_{oxp}} + \frac{1}{s_m} + \frac{W_m}{D_m} + \frac{W_p}{D_p}} \quad \text{with} \quad p(0) = \frac{n_i^2}{N_E} e^{qV_{be}/kT}. \quad (17)$$

Here, n_i is the intrinsic carrier concentration in the emitter, and N_E the emitter dopant concentration.

Now, we can calculate the contribution of the fluctuations in the oxide recombination velocity to the current fluctuations in the base current. Since, $\Delta I_b = (dI/ds_{oxp})\Delta s_{oxp}$, we find

$$\frac{S_{I_b}}{I_b^2} = \left(\frac{d \ln I}{d \ln s_{oxp}} \right)^2 \frac{S_{s_{oxp}}}{s_{s_{oxp}}^2} = \left[1 + s_{oxp} \left(\frac{1}{s_m} + \frac{W_m}{D_m} + \frac{W_p}{D_p} \right) \right]^{-2} \frac{S_{s_{oxp}}}{s_{s_{oxp}}^2}. \quad (18)$$

From (10) and (16) it follows that

$$\frac{S_{s_{oxp}}}{s_{s_{oxp}}^2} = \frac{S_{t_p}}{t_p^2} = \frac{2m_p^* qkTL^2 Re(Z)}{3V_0 \hbar^2}. \quad (19)$$

Barrier height fluctuations of the oxide layer also modulate the tunneling probability, or transparency t_n , for the majority carriers and hence generates $1/f$ noise in the emitter series resistance. For the barrier in the ohmic region, we have

$$S_{r_{ox}}/r_{ox}^2 = S_{t_n}/t_n^2 \approx S_{r_e}/r_e^2 \quad (20)$$

provided that the emitter resistance r_e is determined by the tunnel resistance r_{ox} .

C. Two-Step Tunneling

In Section III.B we ascribed the $1/f$ noise to fluctuations in the barrier height of the oxide. Another explanation for the $1/f$ noise generated in the oxide layer is proposed by Kumar *et al.* [12]. They propose that two-step tunneling via traps in the oxide is responsible for the $1/f$ noise. They assume that the oxide contains electrically chargeable states which communicate with interface states by tunneling and have time constants dependent on the depth of the traps in oxide. Very specific requirements with respect to the trap distribution have to be met in order to obtain a $1/f$ spectrum. The current dependence is $S_I \sim I^2$. Application of this theory also yields reasonable results. Both theories predict a different dependence on the oxide layer thickness. However, the range of oxide layer thicknesses of our transistors is too small to distinguish between both theories.

D. Mobility Fluctuations

In the appendix the contribution of mobility fluctuations to S_{I_b} is calculated to be

$$S_I(f) = \frac{\frac{\alpha_m q I}{D_m f} \ln \left[\frac{p(0)}{p(x_1)} \right] + \frac{\alpha_p q I}{D_p f} \ln \left[\frac{p(x_2)}{p(x_3)} \right]}{\left(\frac{W_m}{D_m} + \frac{W_p}{D_p} + \frac{1}{s_{ox}} + \frac{1}{s_m} \right)^2} \quad (21)$$

Here, α_m and α_p are the Hooge parameters of the monosilicon and polysilicon, respectively.

From the expressions (11)–(13) and (15), we can find the ratios $p(0)/p(x_1)$ and $p(x_3)/p(x_2)$ for $qV_{be} \gg kT$ being

$$\frac{p(0)}{p(x_1)} = 1 + \frac{W_m}{D_m} \left(\frac{1}{s_{oxp}} + \frac{1}{s_m} + \frac{W_p}{D_p} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p(x_3)}{p(x_2)} \approx 1 + \frac{s_m W_p}{D_p} \quad (22)$$

IV. DISCUSSION OF NOISE RESULTS

With the aid of (21) and (22) we are able to calculate the contribution of mobility fluctuations. For highly doped (10^{20} cm^{-3}) silicon the diffusivity of holes is around $1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. The surface recombination velocity at the metal contact is in the order of 10^5 cm/s . The hole recombination velocity at the oxide layer depends on its thickness. For a thickness of $7.5 \text{ \AA}$ – $8 \text{ \AA}$ we take [13] $s_{oxp} \approx 3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$ and for $3.8 \text{ \AA}$ we take $s_{oxp} \approx 8 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$. We use $W_m \approx W_p \approx 0.1 \mu\text{m}$.

With $\alpha_m = \alpha_p = \alpha$, at $f = 1 \text{ Hz}$ we obtain $S_{I_b} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-11} \alpha I_B$ for the total mobility fluctuation contribution. If we ascribe the deviations from the square law at low currents

Fig. 7. Simulation of the base current density J_B at $V_{be} = 0.6 \text{ V}$ versus the oxide recombination velocity s_{oxp} .

in Fig. 3 to mobility fluctuations, we obtain α values in the range of 10^{-8} to 10^{-7} . These values are quite low. With the values of s_{oxp} used here, the conditions $s_{oxp} \gg W_m/\tau_m$, and $s_{oxp} \gg W_p/\tau_{up}$, that were used in the derivation of (17) and (21), are not entirely met. However, a numerical simulation of the base current density, J_B , as a function of the oxide recombination velocity s_{oxp} using a reasonable doping profile, given in Fig. 7, proved that recombination of holes in the emitter becomes the dominant current contribution for $s_{oxp} < 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$. Hence, if no great accuracy is required, application of (17) and (21) is permissible for $s_{oxp} > 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$ and thus for oxide layers with a thickness of less than $13 \text{ \AA}$ [13].

From (17) it is obvious that the oxide recombination velocity s_{oxp} is the current limiting factor, provided that $s_{oxp} \ll s_m, D_m/W_m, D_p/W_p$. In that case the influence of the mobility on the base current is weak. As a result, the $1/f$ noise caused by fluctuations in the mobility, which is found in the base current, is reduced. The contribution of transparency fluctuations can be calculated with (18). Using the data previously mentioned, we find $S_{I_b}/I_B^2 \approx 0.3 \cdot S_{s_{oxp}}/s_{oxp}^2 = 0.3 \cdot S_{t_p}/t_p^2$ for $7.5 \text{ \AA}$ – $8 \text{ \AA}$ oxide layer thickness and $S_{I_b}/I_B^2 \approx 0.1 \cdot S_{t_p}/t_p^2$ for $3.8 \text{ \AA}$ thickness. With (10) we can calculate the loss factor $\tan \delta$ using $V_{0h} = 1.1 \text{ V}$, and $m_p^* = 0.42m_0$, where m_0 is the electron rest mass. The capacitance of the oxide layer is given by

$$C = \epsilon A_E/L.$$

By applying this to the experimental data at high currents, presented in Fig. 5, we obtain values for $\tan \delta$. We find $\tan \delta$ to be 4 for the transistors with $3.8 \text{ \AA}$ oxide layer, and 0.6 for transistors with $7.5 \text{ \AA}$ and $8 \text{ \AA}$ oxide layer. These values are rather high compared to the values reported in literature, that are of the order of 10^{-4} for quartz. However, the latter data are taken from bulk oxide material, whereas the oxide layers in our transistors only have thicknesses of a few atom layers.

Moreover, the thickness and structure of the layer may not be completely uniform over the emitter area and the layer may even be interrupted at some spots, causing difficulty in the evaluation of (10).

In the derivation of (10) image force was neglected. However, the image force reduces the effective barrier height significantly for very thin oxide layers, causing an increase in the $1/f$ noise. Thus, neglecting the image force leads to an overestimate of the $\tan \delta$.

In Section II.C we mentioned that the $1/f$ noise, measured in the C-C configuration at high currents, was either ascribed to base resistance fluctuations or emitter resistance fluctuations. The contribution of emitter resistance fluctuations is claimed to be dominant for two reasons.

- 1) With only base resistance fluctuations we find the Hooge $1/f$ noise parameter α to be in the range of 10^{-2} to 10^{-1} , which is quite high.
- 2) S_{V_E} depends on the oxide layer thickness at the polysilicon-monosilicon interface. S_{r_b} is independent of the oxide thickness.

The emitter series resistance consists of three parts, a part located in the monosilicon (r_m), in the oxide layer (r_{ox}), and in the polysilicon layer (r_p), thus

$$r_e = r_m + r_{ox} + r_p. \quad (23)$$

The same holds for the $1/f$ noise.

$$S_{r_e} = S_{r_m} + S_{r_{ox}} + S_{r_p}. \quad (24)$$

The contribution of the $1/f$ noise stemming from the monosilicon region was calculated using the Hooge relation [14].

$$S_{r_m} = \alpha r_m^2 / f N_m. \quad (25)$$

Here, N_m is the total number of majority carriers in the monosilicon region.

De Graaff *et al.* [15] studied the $1/f$ noise in polysilicon resistors with a dopant concentration in the range of 10^{15} cm^{-3} to 10^{20} cm^{-3} . Their theoretical model has been improved by Luo *et al.* [16]. They derived the following relation for the $1/f$ noise generated in the grain boundaries of the polysilicon.

$$\frac{S_I}{I^2} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{eff}}} \left(\frac{\nu_r}{\nu_d} \right)^2 \frac{q d \alpha}{3 \varepsilon k T f A} \exp \left(\frac{q \Phi_B}{k T} \right). \quad (26)$$

Here, N_{eff} is the effective number of large-barrier grains in the conduction path, ν_r the emission velocity over a grain boundary barrier, given by $(kT/2\pi m^*)^{1/2}$, ν_d the diffusion velocity, d the depletion layer width, α the Hooge parameter, A the cross section of the polysilicon material, and Φ_B the grain boundary barrier height. For a dopant concentration of 10^{20} cm^{-3} De Graaff *et al.* [15] found a barrier height Φ_B of approximately 10 mV. They took $\nu_d \approx 2\nu_r = 1/4\nu_{\text{thermal}}$. Applying (26) to the experiments of De Graaff *et al.* [15] yields an α value in the order of $10^{-5} \cdot (N/N_{\text{eff}})$, with N being the total number of grain boundaries in the conduction path. If we ascribe the measured noise in the emitter series resistance to

Fig. 8. S_{I_b}/I_B^2 versus S_{r_e}/r_e^2 for various oxide layer properties.

the polysilicon region and apply (26), we obtain α values in the order of $10^{-2} \cdot N_{\text{eff}}$. With a grain thickness of roughly $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ we get a value for N of about 5, and $N_{\text{eff}} \leq 5$, which leads to a discrepancy between our results and those of [15].

If we ascribe the measured $1/f$ noise to the monosilicon region only and apply (25), we obtain α values in the range $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, which are very high. In all probability the primary $1/f$ noise source is located in the oxide layer.

In order to verify whether the measured $1/f$ noise in the emitter series resistance can be ascribed to transparency fluctuations as predicted by our model (20), we plotted S_{r_e}/r_e^2 versus S_{I_b}/I_B^2 for transistors with various oxide layer properties. Both S_{r_e}/r_e^2 given by (20) and S_{I_b}/I_B^2 given by (18) are proportional to the relative noise in the transparency. As a result the plot of S_{r_e}/r_e^2 versus S_{I_b}/I_B^2 has to be linear. The plot is given in Fig. 8. We observe that the plot is indeed linear.

A few remarks should be made.

- 1) S_{r_e}/r_e^2 is about factor 10 higher than S_{I_b}/I_B^2 . This can be ascribed, first of all, to the prefactor $(d \ln I_B / d \ln s_{oxp})^2$ in (18), previously calculated to be 0.3 for the transistors presented in Fig. 8. Secondly, the effective oxide barrier height for holes (1.1 eV) is higher than for electrons (0.6 eV). From (10) we conclude that S_{t_p}/t_p^2 is smaller than S_{t_n}/t_n^2 .
- 2) We note that the factor $(d \ln I_B / d \ln s_{oxp})^2$ in (18) depends on s_{oxp} . Consequently, the plot in Fig. 8 is only expected to be linear for transistors with nearly the same value of s_{oxp} , and thus for transistors with approximately the same oxide layer thickness. Since the emitter series resistance of the transistors presented in Fig. 8 have the same order of magnitude, it is assumed that all have about the same oxide layer thickness of nearby 8 Å.
- 3) Application of (20) is permissible provided that r_e is determined by r_{ox} . Therefore, we have to certify that this condition is satisfied. In the monosilicon region $r_m A_E = \rho_m W_m$ is of the order of $10^{-1} \Omega \mu\text{m}^2$. Here, ρ_m is the resistivity of the monosilicon. The product $r_p A_E$ of the polysilicon region under low biasing

conditions (i.e. $V_g \ll kT/q$ where V_g is the voltage across a grain boundary) is given by [16]

$$\frac{N_{\text{eff}} kT}{q^2 n(d) \nu_r} \exp\left(\frac{q\Phi_B}{kT}\right). \quad (27)$$

Here, $n(d)$ denotes the electron density in the quasi-neutral regions.

By substituting the values mentioned previously, we obtain a value for $r_p A_E$ of the order of $10^{-1} \cdot N_{\text{eff}} \Omega \mu\text{m}^2$.

Comparison of both $r_m A_E$ and $r_p A_E$ with the values measured in the range of $43 \Omega \mu\text{m}^2$ – $160 \Omega \mu\text{m}^2$ reveals that $r_e \approx r_{ox}$. Hence, the emitter resistance is determined by the tunnel resistance and $S_{r_{ox}}/r_{ox}^2 \approx S_{r_e}/r_e^2$.

V. STRESS EXPERIMENTS

Application of a sufficiently high reverse bias voltage to a emitter-base junction leads to an increase in the non-ideal base current. Generally, this also leads to an increase in the noise generated in the emitter-base junction. Thus, when we apply a reverse bias to the transistors studied here, we only expect the noise produced in the emitter-base junction to increase. The noise produced in the oxide layer at the monosilicon-polysilicon interface should not change since the stress is applied to the emitter-base junction only. In Fig. 9 the noise in the base current S_{I_b} is plotted versus I_B , measured after different stress conditions. We observe that only the branch of the curves proportional to I_B increases, whereas the branch of the curves proportional to I_B^2 is unchanged. These results confirm the existence of two different noise sources involved in the generation of S_{I_b} . The fact that application of stress does not affect the branch of S_{I_b} proportional to I_B^2 agrees with our model.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The $1/f$ noise of the polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors investigated in this study stems from noise in the base current S_{I_b} and noise in the emitter series resistance S_{r_e} . By considering the $1/f$ noise in S_{I_b} as a function of the emitter geometry, we found that its $1/f$ noise sources are homogeneously distributed over the emitter area. The $1/f$ noise in S_{I_b} and in S_{r_e} increases with the thickness of the oxide layer present at the monosilicon-polysilicon interface. Grown oxide layers show less noise in both S_{I_b} and S_{r_e} than native oxide layers.

The $1/f$ noise in S_{I_b} can be explained in terms of transparency fluctuations, due to barrier height fluctuations of the oxide layer barrier at the polysilicon-monosilicon interface. These transparency fluctuations also cause the $1/f$ noise in the emitter series resistance. Strong support for our model can be found in the fact that both S_{I_b} and S_{r_e} have the same dependence on the oxide layer properties.

Due to the low transparency of the oxide layer, the base current is almost independent of the diffusivity of minority carriers in the emitter. Thus the contribution of diffusivity (mobility) fluctuations to S_{I_b} in the monosilicon region and the polysilicon region is reduced.

Fig. 9. S_{I_b} versus I_B for a transistor with a 7.5 Å grown oxide layer and an emitter area of $100 \times 100 \mu\text{m}^2$ measured without stress (D), and after 30 min. stress at 4 V (C), 6 V (B), and 7 V (A).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The transistors were manufactured by Philips Research Laboratories. The authors would like to thank Dr. G. A. M. Hurkx and J. de Boet, staff members of Philips, for providing the transistors and for their close cooperation.

APPENDIX

RELATION FOR THE CONTRIBUTION OF MOBILITY FLUCTUATIONS TO S_{I_B}

By means of (11)–(15) the current fluctuations around the steady-state due to diffusivity fluctuations are found to be

$$\Delta I(x, t) = \frac{I}{D_{m,p}} \Delta D_{m,p}(x, t) - qAD_{m,p} \frac{d\Delta p(x, t)}{dt} \quad (A1)$$

$$\Delta I(x_3, t) = qAs_m \Delta p(x_3, t) \quad (A2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta I(x_1, t) &= \Delta I(x_2, t) \\ &= qAs_{oxp} [\Delta p(x_1, t) - \Delta p(x_2, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

Integrating (A1) over the monosilicon and polysilicon region yields

$$\Delta I(t) = \frac{1}{W_m} \int_0^{x_1} \Delta I(x, t) dx = \frac{1}{W_p} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \Delta I(x, t) dx \quad (A4)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta I(t) &= \frac{I}{W_m} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\Delta D_m(x, t)}{D_m} dx - qA \frac{D_m}{W_m} \Delta p(x_1, t) \\ &= \frac{I}{W_p} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \frac{\Delta D_p(x, t)}{D_p} dx - qA \frac{D_p}{W_p} \\ &\quad \cdot [\Delta p(x_3, t) - \Delta p(x_2, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (A5)$$

If the junction is short circuited, $p(0)$ does not fluctuate, thus $\Delta p(0, t) = 0$. With the help of (A2) the fluctuation

$\Delta p(x_3, t)$ in (A5) can be expressed in terms of $\Delta I(t)$. With (A3) the fluctuation $\Delta p(x_2, t)$ in (A5) can be expressed in $\Delta p(x_1, t)$. Now (A5) consists of two equations with two unknown parameters: $\Delta p(x_1, t)$ and $\Delta I(t)$. For $\Delta I(t)$ we obtain

$$\Delta I(t) = \frac{\frac{I}{D_m} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{\Delta D_m(x, t)}{D_m} dx + \frac{I}{D_p} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \frac{\Delta D_p(x, t)}{D_p} dx}{\frac{W_m}{D_m} + \frac{W_p}{D_p} + \frac{1}{s_{oxp}} + \frac{1}{s_m}} \quad (\text{A6})$$

By making a Fourier transform, and using the cross-correlation spectral noise density in the diffusivity, given by [14]

$$S_D(x, x', f) = \frac{\alpha D^2}{f A p(x)} \delta(x - x') \quad (\text{A7})$$

with α the Hooge parameter, and δ the Dirac delta function, we obtain (21).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. G. M. Kleinpenning, "Location of low-frequency noise sources in submicrometer bipolar transistors," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 39, pp. 1501–1506, 1992.
- [2] P.-F. Lu, "Low-frequency noise in self-aligned bipolar transistors," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 62, pp. 1335–1339, 1987.
- [3] A. H. Pawlikiewicz, A. van der Ziel, G. S. Kousik, and C. M. van Vliet, "Fundamental $1/f$ noise in silicon bipolar transistors," *Solid-State Electron.*, vol. 31, pp. 831–834, 1988.
- [4] N. Siabi-Shahrivar, H. A. Kemhadjian, W. Redman-White, P. Ashburn, and J. D. Williams, "The effects of scaling and rapid thermal annealing on the $1/f$ noise of polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors," *Microelectronic Engineering*, vol. 15, pp. 533–536, 1991.
- [5] A. Mounib, F. Balestra, N. Mathieu, J. Brini, G. Ghibaudo, and A. Chovet, "Low-frequency noise sources in polysilicon emitter bipolar transistors: Influence of hot-electron-induced degradation," in *Noise in Physical Systems and $1/f$ Fluctuations*, P. H. Handel and A. L. Chung, Eds., AIP Conference Proceedings 285. New York: American Institute of Physics, 1993, pp. 288–291.
- [6] W. S. Lau, E. F. Chor, C. S. Foo, and W. C. Khoong, "Strong low-frequency noise in polysilicon bipolar transistors with interfacial oxide due to fluctuations in tunneling probabilities," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 31, pp. L1021–L1023, 1992.
- [7] H. Higuchi and S. Ochi, " $1/f$ Noise characteristics of bipolar transistors," *Proc. Symp. on $1/f$ Fluctuations*, Tokyo, p. 140, 1977.
- [8] T. G. M. Kleinpenning, " $1/f$ Mobility fluctuations in p-i-n and p-n-p diodes," *Physica B* vol. 154, pp. 27–34, 1988.
- [9] ———, "On low frequency noise in tunnel diodes," *Solid-State Electr.*, vol. 21, pp. 927–931, 1978.
- [10] ———, "On low frequency noise in tunnel diodes," *Solid-State Electr.*, vol. 25, pp. 79–80, 1982.
- [11] Z. Yu, B. Riccò and R. W. Dutton, "A comprehensive analytical and numerical model of polysilicon emitter contacts in bipolar transistors," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-31, pp. 773–784, 1984.
- [12] V. Kumar and W. E. Dahlke, "Low-frequency noise in Cr-SiO₂-n-Si tunnel diodes," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 24, pp. 146–153, 1977.
- [13] S. M. Sze, "High-speed semiconductor devices," in *Interscience*. New York: Wiley, ISBN 0-471-62307-5, 1990.
- [14] F. N. Hooge, T. G. M. Kleinpenning, and L. K. J. Vandamme, "Experimental studies on $1/f$ noise," *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, vol. 44, pp. 479–532, 1981.
- [15] H. C. de Graaff and M. T. M. Huybers, " $1/f$ noise in polycrystalline silicon resistors," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2504–2507, 1983.
- [16] M. Y. Luo and G. Bosman, "An analytical model for $1/f$ noise in polycrystalline silicon thin films," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 37, pp. 768–774, 1990.

Hans A. W. Markus was born in Beuningen, The Netherlands, on December 8, 1968.

He is currently working towards the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering at the Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. His research concerns the electrical noise in semiconductor devices.

Theo G. M. Kleinpenning was born in Doetinchem, The Netherlands, on May 25, 1943. He received the Ph.D. degree in physics from the State University of Utrecht, The Netherlands, in 1972.

In 1972, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering of the Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, where he is currently a Full Professor of Semiconductor Devices. His current research concerns the electrical noise in semiconductors and semiconductor devices. He has published over 60 scientific papers on noise.