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In this contribution, the authors present our advances in three-dimensional (3D) neuronal cell culture platform technology contributing to controlled environments for microtissue engineering and analysis of cellular physiological and pathological responses. First, a micromachined silicon sieving structure is presented as key parameter for a modified version of a planar tissue culture, allowing seeding of single neurons in pyramidal shaped pores by a hydrodynamic sieve flow. Second, a nanogroove–hydrogel interface is presented as a more biomimetic in vivo representation of neuronal tissues, where 3D culturing is required to reproduce the layered tissue organization, which is observed in the microenvironment of the brain. To further our understanding of uniquely nanopatterned interfaces, the authors evaluated 3D neuronal outgrowth into Matrigel atop of primary cortical cell (CTX) cultured on nanogrooves. The interface facilitates conformation of cell somas and aligned outgrowth in 3D with outgrowth alignment preserved in Matrigel up to 6\mu m above the nanogrooved substrate, which has a pattern height of just 108 nm. Finally, with the view to incorporate these guided culture interfaces in our previously designed hybrid Polydimethylsiloxane bioreactor, the authors have also explored 3D cellular culture matrix as a variable in such systems. By analyzing the effect of different gel matrices (Matrigel, PuraMatrix, and collagen-I) on the neuron model cell line SH-SY5Y, the authors bring together the ability to guide neuronal growth in spatially standardized patterns and within a bioreactor potentially coupled to an array of single cells that could facilitate readout of such complex cultures by integration with existing technologies (e.g., microelectrode arrays). Various combinations of these novel techniques can be made and help to design experimental studies to investigate how changes in cell morphology translate to changes in function but also how changes in connectivity relate to changes in electrophysiology. These latest advancements will lead to the development of improved, highly organized in vitro assays to understand, mimic, and treat brain disorders.

© 2015 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4931636]

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of in vitro brainlike tissue constructs is important for the understanding of brain physiology. In vivo studies are slow, low throughput, complex, and not predictable. In addition, animal use could be dramatically reduced for drug screening studies if good in vitro models were engineered, as they are high-throughput, reproducible, and robust, as well as cost-effective considering today’s demand in pharmacological developments. There is therefore a need for improving 3D neuronal cell cultures models to create in vivo like brain tissue constructs on a chip for a miniaturized analytical display to study brain development and complex brain cells interactions leading to diseases.
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Engineering brainlike tissue constructs on a chip however is challenging and requires multidisciplinary integration skills. The brain is a complex yet highly organized network of cells communicating chemically and electrically with each other in a very specific manner that enable its functionality.1 Neurological disorders and diseases arise when the brain cell network is disturbed (i.e., by structural, biochemical, or electrical damage), which can lead to Alzheimer, Parkinson’s, and epilepsy, to name a few. Growing neurons in 3D and in a spatially standardized fashion will ease the analysis of neurite connectivity (which is a hallmark neurodevelopmental end point indicator) and will lead to an easier method for relating changes in connectivity to electrophysiology. Micro- and nanofabrication can be used for the parallel isolation and observation of cellular processes. In the field of neurobiology, microfluidic corridors and interconnected compartments have been applied to the study of axon guidance3 and neuronal regeneration processes.5–8 For the spatiotemporal investigation of electrophysiological function, an ultimate neurodevelopmental end point, microelectrode arrays (MEA) can be used.9–13 These have been coupled with microfluidic compartments for the aligned monitoring of neuronal network activity.14–16 Alternatively, cell patterning17–21 can be used to interface neurons with electrodes for probing potential propagation at defined locations through engineered neuronal architectures22,23 and even along single axons.24 Axonal and dendritic outgrowths (collectively termed neurites) are defining morphological characteristics of the differentiated neuronal phenotypes that are essential for neuronal connectivity and network function. These neurites are dependent on many factors to properly function, such as matrix stiffness, cell composition, and biochemical and physical cues.25 For example, stiffness and topography affects cell attachment and outgrowth direction.26–28 Topographical cues such as micro- or nanogrooves have been employed to direct nerve regeneration29 and outgrowth direction in 2D (Refs. 30 and 31) whereas matrix stiffness can impact the phenotype and genotype of neuronal cells.32,33 In addition, mechanically driven cell polarization in brain tissues and neurotherapeutic approaches using functionalized supermagnetic nanoparticles to potentially restore disordered neural circuits have also been investigated.33

In order to achieve such a brain on a chip construct (Fig. 1), three main challenges must be addressed: (1) facilitating 3D conformation of cell soma and neurite outgrowth ideally at the level of a single cell, (2) understanding the impact of nanogrooves on 3D cell alignment, and (3) selecting culture matrix materials best suited to act as a 3D scaffold structure to be applied by a simple pipetting action. We first describe a microfabricated sieving structure for the generation of a single cell seeding platform, which utilizes hydrodynamic capturing of neurons. Here, single neurons can be analyzed very easily in an ordered fashion. This also allows every seeding platform to harbor arrays where the spatial confinement of cells enables analysis of network connectivity in a reproducible manner and can be coupled to a MEA or bioreactors,34 to directly relate changes in neuronal connectivity to changes in electrophysiology. Second, in order to engineer brain like tissue constructs resembling the organized in vivo 3D architecture of the brain, 3D cell culturing and control over 2D and 3D cell growth must be achieved. State of the art MEMS technology is used to fabricate the microsieve array platform and is compatible with postprocessing fabrication to enable the inclusion of nanoimprint lithography nanostructuring in between the pores of the sieve to control and guide the neurite outgrowth direction. Here, the effect of topographical surface cues (i.e., nanogrooves) on 3D cell alignment was investigated to elucidate the impact of surface cues for 3D cell culturing. Finally, since the stiffness of artificial substrates or scaffolds plays an important role in cell culturing, we have documented the behavior of neuron cells in three different cell matrices (biocompatible hydrogels) with stiffness comparable to the brain tissue, 300–500 Pa to further our understanding of 3D cell culturing. Put together, these advances will lead to the construction of a fully functional 3D neuronal tissue resembling the brain microarchitecture where cells can be arrayed, hence manipulated, and 3D connectivity can be tailored to mimic specific pathological scenarios.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Single cell seeding in 3D pores

A sieve-structure is developed enabling hydrodynamic capturing of single cells in micron-sized pyramidal pores. This sieving-structure with a surface area of several square millimeters, featuring highly uniform pores and apertures, are fabricated by means of corner-lithography and wet chemical etching in {100}-silicon.35

Before seeding the cells into these pyramidal pores, the sieve-structure is accommodated with a Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Dow corning, Sylgard 184) reservoir (top side) and a PDMS slab (back side) by means of which the seeding
platform is connected to a syringe. Rat cortical cells, at a density of 1000 cells in 10 µl R12 enriched culture medium, are brought into the reservoir and suction with the syringe facilitates a flow across the sieving structures, thereby positioning each of the cells into a pyramidal pore. After seeding, culture medium is added in the reservoir and refreshed every other day. After 14 days in vitro (DIV), the cells are fixed, dried and imaged by SEM (JEOL 5610). A LIVE/DEAD assay (Sigma) was performed to verify cell viability.

B. Cell fixation and preparation for SEM

The sieving structures with cells are fixed with 4% formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 30 min. After fixation, the sieving structures are rinsed several times with phosphate buffered saline for 15 min and dehydrated through 70%, 80%, 90%, and 100% ethyl alcohol for 15 min each. Chemical drying is then performed by 2 parts 100% ethyl alcohol/1 part hexamethyldisilazane for 15 min, 1 part 100% ethyl alcohol/2 parts HDMS for 15 min, then two changes for 15 min each with 100% HDMS. Finally, the HDMS is evaporated at room temperature in air-dry conditions overnight.

C. Nanoscaffold fabrication

The PDMS (Dow corning, Sylgard 184) nanoscaffolds were fabricated by soft lithography with a template of resist scaffolds formed by jet and flash imprint lithography (J-FIL). Materials and the fabrication process details are described in our previous work. In brief, the patterning process contains the following steps: the scaffold was first fabricated by dispensing and imprinting the resist using the Imprio55 equipment (Molecular Imprints, Inc., USA) with a quartz stamp containing nanogrooved features on a silicon wafer precoated with bottom antireflective coating layer. Subsequently, the resist scaffold was copied by spin coating a PDMS layer with 100 μm thickness and curing the PDMS at 80°C for 30 min. The PDMS copy with reversed structures was then peeled from the resist template and coated with PEI (polyethyleneimine) before using it for cell culturing.

D. Cell culture and staining

The primary cortical cells were isolated from a new born rat’s cortex and were applied on top of the PEI coated nanoscaffolds in a standard 24 well culturing plate (CorningVR, CostarVR), at a density of 4000–4500 cells/µl. The CTX cells were seeded on the nanogrooved PDMS surfaces and allowed to stabilize and grow for 24 h. A layer of Matrigel (BD MatrigelTM Basement Membrane Matrix) was then added on top and gelated by heat at 37°C inside an incubator with 5% CO₂, R12H media with penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics was periodically exchanged every 2 days, and the CTX cells were allowed to grow within the Matrigel matrix at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 12 days until analysis. To study the behavior of astrocyte in the primary cortical cell culture, we performed immunostaining using astrocyte specific anti-Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein antibody (goat; Sigma, SAB2500462; 1:200) as primary antibody, and antigoat IgG (HbL), CF™ 488A (donkey; Sigma Aldrich, SAB4600032; 1:200) as the secondary antibody. The staining protocol followed the standard protocol by Yale Center for high throughput cell biology. The primary cultures were stained with immunofluorescence (see above) and imaged through a depth-stack scanning with confocal microscopy (Nikon) every 300 nm. Alignment was visually assessed by fluorescence and quantitatively measured.

E. Matrix preparation and analysis

To document the behavior of neuronal cells in response to different extracellular matrices, three types of gel matrices were tested in Ibidi μ-Slides (Ibidi GmbH). SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line (Sigma Aldrich) was used to characterize neuronal performance inside the different gel matrices. All reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich unless stated otherwise. Cells were cultured following the manufacturer protocol. Briefly cells were cultured in a 1:1 ratio mixture of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium and F-12 medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum and 1% pen-strep at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ environment. SH-SY5Y cell suspensions of 20000 cells/ml were mixed in a 1:1 ratio to create 10000 cells/ml samples with either growth factor reduced Matrigel (BD Matrigel™ Growth Factor Reduced Basement Membrane Matrix), 1.5mg/ml collagen-I or 0.5% w/v PuraMatrix (BD PuraMatrix™ peptide hydrogel). Gelation for each of the matrices was done as per manufacturer protocol, where Matrigel was warmed up to 37°C, collagen-I was brought to neutral pH and PuraMatrix gelled by adding medium. As a control, cells were also seeded at 10000 cells/ml in μ-slide (Ibidi) wells without any biogel matrix (2D). For differentiation into the neuronal lineage, cells were cultured 5 days in medium with added 10 µM transretinoic acid (Sigma) within the gels, following which the performance was assessed over a period of 9 days (neurite outgrowth and cell soma size). At days 2, 7, and 9, the samples were fixed and stained using 0.1 mg/ml propidium iodide. For cells in PuraMatrix, the samples were fixed and stained on days 4 and 8. Height-stack scans were made using confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM 510) and assessed for cell soma size (top-down viewed largest diameter) and neurite outgrowth length.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Single cell seeding in 3D pores

A sieve-structure is developed enabling hydrodynamic capturing of cells in pyramidal pores [Fig. 2(a)]. The silicon sieve is designed as an array, which contains 900 3D pyramidal shaped pores with a square top opening of 20 µm and a square aperture size at the bottom of the sieve of 3 µm [Fig. 2(b)]. The pores are evenly distributed in a circular area of a radius of 1.2 mm with a pitch of 70 µm. After 14 days in vitro (DIV), the cells in the sieving structures are stained to verify their viability and afterward their morphology is studied with SEM. The viability of the captured neurons, verified by the LIVE/DEAD assay (see supplementary Fig. 1B), is well within the 70% which we experienced from previous studies. This indicates that
the materials of the sieving structure which are in contact with the cells and the seeding procedure have no negative influence on the cell viability. SEM analysis shows neurons, with a round morphology, adhered to the bottom part of the pyramidal pore. The round morphology of the neuron is the result of the 3D environment, providing multiple adhesion points in the pyramidal shaped pore. The hydrodynamic capturing of cells is highly efficient, as the number of occupied pores by neurons is over 80%. The absence of large cell protrusions can be explained by the relative large distance (70 μm) between each neuron and the lack of supporting cells in this setup. The final single cell seeding platform can be interfaced with our previously presented hybrid bioreactor.

B. Impact of surface nanogrooves on 3D cell alignment

We previously reported that astrocytes from primary CTX cells can sense and orient themselves to nanogrooved patterned substrates. In addition, we showed that the level of alignment was similar for both hard (Silicon, GPa range) and soft (PDMS, kPa–MPa range) patterned surfaces, respectively, 85% and 90% alignment, indicating that topography not stiffness plays a crucial role in outgrowth alignment.30,31

In an effort to elucidate the impact surface nanogrooves may have on 3D cell culturing, we have cultured the CTX cells on the nanogrooved PDMS substrates and added Matrigel on top, a biogel serving as a biomimetic extracellular 3D scaffold. Following 12 days in culture, the alignment at different heights was recorded by confocal microscopy. The “aligned outgrowth” is defined as a deviation of the direction of the grooves within an angle of less than 30° (n = 3). We demonstrate that astrocyte alignment can still be observed at a height of 6 μm from the nanogrooves, indicating that cells keep their alignment when migrating upwards into the Matrigel scaffold (Fig. 3 and supplementary Fig. 2)38 and that nanoscale surface features can still have an influence at the micrometer scale. This indicates that layered topographical cues are critical for the creation of organized 3D cell cultures with nanogrooved interfaces.

C. Neuronal cells inside 3D matrices

The end goal of this project is to interface 3D neuronal cell cultures within a bioreactor to understand and control the relationship between connectivity and electrophysiology in brain like tissue constructs. Therefore, the behavior of the neuronal cells must be documented in a 3D matrix. We chose three different matrices: collagen-I, Matrigel, and PuraMatrix. These matrices can be gelated to provide a 3D environment where the cells can sit and grow. In addition, these biocompatible gels have stiffness related to the brain, between 200 and 500 Pa, making them suitable for mimicking the in vivo brain’s ECM (Extra Cellular Matrix). The neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y was chosen as the neuron cell line candidate for assessing the effect of culturing cells in 3D matrices. Here, we show that following 8 and 9 days in culture, neurite outgrowth was similar in all samples (2D = 50 ± 23 and 3D = 40 ± 27 μm) (n = 3), indicating that 3D culturing and matrix composition did not affect the ability of the neurites to grow (comparable to 2D lengths) [Fig. 4(a)]. However, a major difference was observed when comparing cell soma sizes between 2D and 3D samples [Fig. 4(b)]. On 2D surfaces, the cells are allowed to spread and as a consequence their overall morphology is large and flat (44 ± 7 μm) (n = 3). In 3D conformation, the cells are not allowed to spread, and as a consequence, their overall morphology is small and round (19 ± 5 μm) (n = 3) (supplementary Fig. 3). Similarly, these changes in cell soma sizes were also observed for the cortical astrocytes grown on soft PDMS surfaces, indicating that although material stiffness does not affect outgrowth length and alignment, stiffness does affect the cell morphology and therefore potentially its function. Indeed, previous reports have identified genetic variations in correlation with morphological changes in the SH-SY5Y cell line grown in 3D compared to 2D. In 3D, genes encoding cytoskeletal-associated proteins such as actin filament α2 capping protein and signal transduction factors such as midkine were upregulated. Genes encoding the cytoskeletal proteins filamin A, actinin 1α and talin 1 and genes encoding ECM molecules such as fibronectin 1, collagen IIIα1, and versican were all downregulated in 3D.32 These analyses were performed in both Matrigel and collagen-I gels and showed the same trend, indicating that the cell is able to sense its 3D environment.
environment and adopt a more in vivo like morphology as a consequence. In addition, Choi et al. also used quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction to demonstrate that neuron maturation is promoted in 3D cultures and tau expression is increased, which is essential for reconstituting tauopathy, an important feature in Alzheimer disease. It is however important to notice that 3D culturing results in the formation of highly disorganized cellular networks that make morphological measurements such as neurite outgrowth length challenging. The slightest variation in cell concentration and cell positioning within the sample translates into variations in those measurements, as evident from the error bars in Fig. 4. If neurons are close to each other, their connecting neurite outgrowth will be shorter than if the neurons were standing further apart. Hence, spatial standardization or cell patterning could be hugely beneficial to standardize the distances between neurons (standardizing outgrowth lengths) and enabling reproducible measurements to be recorded and compared. Since relating connectivity to electrophysiology is the focus of the project, control over the level of connectivity inside the 3D sample is as important as controlling the connectivity at the interface between neurons and electrodes. In our next efforts, we will investigate how cells can be organized at the 3D level.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a novel single cellular sieving structure that allows hydrodynamic arraying of single neurons generating a spatially standardized analytical display for neuron studies. We have shown that 2D surface nanoscale cues can affect 3D cell cultures where PDMS engineered guiding nanogrooves with heights of 108 nm impart neurite outgrowth alignment up to 6 μm inside the biogel scaffolds (height). We have also demonstrated that 3D cell culturing of SH-SY5Y differentiated neurons inside biogel scaffolds leads to changes
in cell morphology which resemble the in vivo neuron morphology (round in shape, smaller in size ±20 μm). In summary, we designed and realized spatial control features for the organized formation of neuronal networks.
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