Propagation rate coefficients of styrene and methyl methacrylate in supercritical CO₂

Citation for published version (APA):

Herk, van, A. M., Manders, L. G., Canelas, D. A., Quadir, M. A., & DeSimone, J. M. (1997). Propagation rate coefficients of styrene and methyl methacrylate in supercritical CO₂. *Macromolecules*, 30(13), 4780-4782. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ma9703416>

DOI:

[10.1021/ma9703416](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma9703416)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1997

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Propagation Rate Coefficients of Styrene and Methyl Methacrylate in Supercritical CO₂

Alex M. van Herk* and Bart G. Manders

Eindhoven University of Technology,
Laboratory of Polymer Chemistry, P.O. Box 513,
5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Dorian A. Canelas, Murat A. Quadir, and
Joseph M. DeSimone*

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
Department of Chemistry, CB# 3290, Venable and Kenan
Laboratories, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290

Received March 12, 1997

Revised Manuscript Received June 11, 1997

Introduction. Near-critical or supercritical carbon dioxide is rapidly becoming an attractive alternative as a continuous phase in homogeneous¹ and heterogeneous radical polymerizations.² A review on chain polymerizations in supercritical fluids appeared recently.³ At present, CO₂ offers an environmentally sound solvent choice. Advantages offered include that the polymer can be easily separated from the reaction medium, the CO₂ can easily be recycled, and there is effectively no chain transfer to CO₂ for free-radical reactions.³ In order to model the kinetics of homogeneous and heterogeneous free-radical polymerizations, it is necessary to obtain important kinetic coefficients like the initiation, propagation, and termination rate coefficients in CO₂. It must be realized that CO₂ is in general a poor solvent for most polymers except for amorphous or low-melting fluoropolymers and siloxanes. Therefore, the first references to free-radical polymerizations in CO₂ typically deal with precipitation polymerizations.⁴

Homogeneous polymerizations in supercritical CO₂ have been performed for fluorinated monomers.¹ The decomposition kinetics and initiator efficiencies in CO₂ differ from that in other media. The rate constant for AIBN decomposition was 2.5 times slower in CO₂ than in benzene. This was attributed to the low dielectric constant of CO₂ relative to benzene. Initiator efficiencies were very high (>80%) as a consequence of negligible solvent cage effects in supercritical CO₂, as this medium has a very low viscosity.^{1,6} Some early kinetic studies on the γ -radiation-induced precipitation polymerization of ethylene in liquid carbon dioxide⁵ showed that there were no large effects on the propagation rate as compared to bulk polymerizations. In heterogeneous polymerization in the presence of CO₂, the large plasticization effects have a dramatic effect on diffusivities^{7,8} and hence termination rate coefficients.

In order to understand dispersion polymerizations in CO₂,¹ as well as the effect of CO₂ in emulsion polymerizations in hybrid CO₂/aqueous media, the monomer partitioning needs to be determined. In the case of the hybrid system, it is very hard to determine the monomer concentrations in polymer particles under pressurized conditions. One method that might be successful is pulsed electron beam polymerization where the analysis of the molecular weight distribution yields the product of the propagation rate coefficient and the local monomer concentration.⁹ This method is currently under investigation in the Eindhoven laboratories, but in order to successfully apply this method to hybrid systems we need to know the effect of the presence of CO₂ on the

propagation rate coefficient (k_p). The method of choice for the determination of k_p as recommended by the IUPAC working party on "Radical Polymerization Kinetics and Processes" is pulsed laser polymerization (PLP) in combination with size exclusion chromatography (SEC).^{10–12}

This method comprises the generation of radicals through a photoinitiator, activated by a laser pulse. The time of growth of many polymer chains is directly determined by the time between pulses. Chains that are initiated at the moment that a pulse arrives and are terminated by radicals that are formed at the next pulse form the characteristic PLP peaks in the molecular weight distribution. From the chain length of these chains, this experiment gives direct access to k_p . This degree of propagation for the chains initiated and terminated by short pulses of radicals induced by light (in combination with a photoinitiator) or radical-generating radiation like electron beams or γ -radiation is given by the simple equation:¹³

$$L_{0,i} = ik_p[M]t_p \quad (1)$$

where $L_{0,i}$ is the chain length of the polymer formed in the time between two radical pulses, $[M]$ is the monomer concentration, t_p is the time between two subsequent radical pulses determined by the laser frequency ($\nu = 1/t_p$), and $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The higher order peaks ($i = 2, 3, \dots$) may occur when growing chains survive termination by one or more subsequent pulses. In between two pulses, bimolecular termination or transfer can occur, which results in a polymer of which the chain length has no relation to the time between the pulses, the so-called background polymer. Olaj¹³ suggested that the inflection point at the low molecular weight side of the peaks gives a good measure for k_p .

The PLP method has been successfully applied in the Eindhoven group.¹⁴

IUPAC benchmark values are now published for styrene and methyl methacrylate.^{11,12} Here we report the propagation rate coefficients of styrene (Sty) and methyl methacrylate (MMA) in a CO₂ solution.

Experimental Section. Pulsed laser experiments were performed using a Lambda Physik LPX110iMC pulsed excimer laser at 351 nm (XeF line) with pulse energies of 40 mJ. The laser beam (with dimensions of 18 mm $\times$ 7 mm) directly irradiated the sample inside the high-pressure cell. The 2.9 mL high-pressure view cell with sapphire windows (diameter 17.5 mm) has been previously described,¹⁵ in which the path length was 12 mm. Temperature was monitored with a Pt-100 resistance element inside the cell and temperatures were constant during the experiment within ± 0.2 °C.

Styrene (Sty, Shell, distilled under reduced pressure) and methyl methacrylate (MMA, Aldrich, 99%) were further purified by passage through an inhibitor-removing column (Aldrich). The monomer was added to the system using a glass syringe. Carbon dioxide (Hoekloos 5.5) was introduced in the cell, which already contained the photoinitiator 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA, Ciba Geigy) and the monomer, with a hand syringe pump. The concentration of the photoinitiator and the monomer was calculated with a cell volume of 2.9 mL. After the desired number of pulses, the reactor was cooled and the CO₂ was slowly vented from the cell, after which the product was collected. The cell was rinsed with tetrahydrofuran (THF, stabilized, Biosolve, A.R.) in order to collect the resulting polymer. Monomer

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Table 1. Propagation Rate Coefficients in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Obtained by the PLP Method

	<i>P</i> , bar	<i>T</i> , °C	<i>ν</i> , Hz	[DMPA], M	[mon], M	no. of pulses	conv, %	infl point, g mol ⁻¹	<i>k_p</i> ^{obs} , M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	<i>k_p</i> ^{theor} , M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
MMA ^a	153	25	2	0.01	3.2	1000	0.3			358
MMA ^b	169	63.3	2	0.01	6.4	1000	5.7	≈250 000	780	997
MMA	162	66.5	10	0.01	6.4	1000	3	73 700	1150	1069
MMA	156	66.4	50	0.01	3.2	1000	1.6	6 540	1020	1062
MMA ^c	196	67	50	0.01	6.4	1000	2.4	11 600	905	1103
MMA ^d	196	67	50	0.01	6.4	1000	2.4	24 300	948	1103
Sty	187	65.1	2	0.001	5.7	500	0.4	124 600	420	441
Sty	164	65.8	10	0.001	2.85	500	0.6	13 850	467	447
Sty	181	65.1	10	0.1	5.7	500	2.7	29 280	494	440

^a No sharp peaks visible. ^b Unclear PLP peaks. ^c First low molecular weight inflection point (*i* = 1 in eq 1). ^d Second inflection point (*i* = 2 in eq 1).

conversions were determined gravimetrically and were generally below 3%.

To analyze the molecular weight distribution (MWD) of the polymer with SEC, 1 w/v-% solutions in THF were prepared of each sample. The solutions were filtered over 0.2 μm filters. The SEC analyses were carried out with four PL-Gel (mixed B) columns at 40 °C and a flow rate of THF of 1 cm³ min⁻¹. A Waters 410 differential refractometer and a Waters 440 UV detector (operated at λ = 254 nm) were used for detection. Narrow-distribution polystyrene and poly(methyl methacrylate) standards (Polymer Labs) were used for calibration of the columns. The SEC chromatograms (refractometer signals) were converted into a differential log distribution [*w*(log *M*) vs log *M*] according to the procedures described by Shortt¹⁶ and fitted with a cubic spline for noise reduction. From these distributions the maximum of the analytically calculated first derivative of the cubic spline fit was used as a measure of *L*₀.

Results and Discussion. The IUPAC-recommended activation parameters for the propagation rate coefficient of styrene are¹¹ *A* = 4.27 × 10⁷ mol⁻¹ L s⁻¹ and *E*_a = 32.51 kJ mol⁻¹. And for methyl methacrylate they are¹² *A* = 2.65 × 10⁶ mol⁻¹ L s⁻¹ and *E*_a = 22.34 kJ mol⁻¹. Values for the volume of activation are Δ*V*[‡] (Sty) = -12 cm³ mol⁻¹ (30 °C)¹⁷ and Δ*V*[‡] (MMA) = -17 cm³ mol⁻¹ (30 °C).¹⁸ With these activation parameters, the expected propagation rate coefficients under our reaction conditions were calculated (Table 1, *k_p*^{theor}) by assuming that the activation parameters are independent of pressure and temperature.

In order keep the formed polymer in solution during the PLP experiment, either relatively high monomer concentrations are needed (where the monomer acts as a cosolvent for the forming polymer) and/or conditions where relatively low molecular weight material is produced (higher frequencies). When other conditions were applied, broad featureless MWDs were obtained. In Figure 1 MWDs of polymer from PLP experiments with styrene and MMA are shown. The MWDs are very similar to MWDs produced in bulk PLP experiments, and the width of the peaks is also very similar.¹⁴ In Table 1 a summary of the experimental results is given. Changes in frequency and monomer concentration lead to the expected shifts in the low molecular weight inflection points according to eq 1. Also, changes in photoinitiator concentration do not lead to systematic changes in the observed *k_p* values, complying with the consistency checks as recommended by the IUPAC.¹¹

From the table it can be concluded that the propagation rate coefficients of styrene and methyl methacrylate in supercritical CO₂ do not change as compared to the bulk propagation rate coefficients (corrected for pressure

Figure 1. Experimental molecular weight distribution (MWD) for a pulsed laser polymerization in supercritical CO₂: (a) 5.7 mol L⁻¹ Sty, laser frequency 2 Hz, *T* = 65.1 °C, *P* = 187 bar, [DMPA] = 0.001 mol L⁻¹; (b) 6.4 mol L⁻¹ MMA, laser frequency 50 Hz, *T* = 67 °C, *P* = 196 bar, [DMPA] = 0.01 mol L⁻¹. The inserted derivative *d*(*w*(log *M*))/*d*(log *M*) is computed by analytically taking the first derivative of a cubic spline function fitted through the MWD and plotted in arbitrary units (dotted line). *w*(log *M*) is the polymer weight fraction of the molecular weight.

effects with the volumes of activation). At an average temperature of 65 °C and an average pressure of 180 bar for styrene, an average over three experiments gives *k_p* = 460(±15%) L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. At an average temperature of 67 °C and an average pressure of 180 bar for MMA, an average over three experiments (four inflection points) gives *k_p* = 1030(±15%) L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. Both values compare well with the expected values, when averaged over the experimental conditions. In bulk the values are 440 and 1080 L mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ for Sty and MMA, respectively.

In principle, solvent effects for the propagation rate coefficients of styrene and methyl methacrylate are not expected to be large. These experiments in supercritical CO₂ confirm this theory, although one must realize that the density (≈0.5 g cm⁻³) and the dielectric constant of

pure CO₂ under these conditions are much lower than conventional solvents.

Although an extensive study of temperature and pressure effects on the propagation rate coefficients in supercritical CO₂ is under way, no large solvents effects are to be expected and bulk propagation rate coefficients apparently can be used for CO₂. Such observations should facilitate the design of pilot scale equipment and processes that utilize CO₂ as the polymerization medium.

References and Notes

- (1) DeSimone, J. M.; Guan, Z.; Elsbend C. S. *Science* **1992**, *257*, 945.
- (2) See for example: DeSimone, J. M.; Maury, E. E.; Menceloglu, Y. Z.; McClain, J. B.; Romack, T. R.; Combes, J. R. *Science* **1994**, *265*, 356. Canelas, D. A.; Betts, D. E.; DeSimone, J. M. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 2818.
- (3) Shaffer, K. A.; DeSimone, J. M. *Trends Polym. Sci.* **1995**, *3*, 146.
- (4) Fukui, K.; Kagiya, T.; Jokota, H.; Toriuchi, Y.; Kuaiyoshi, F. U.S. Patent 3,522,228, 1970.
- (5) Hagiwara, M.; Mitsui, H.; Machi, S.; Kagiya, T. *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.* **1968**, *6*, 721.
- (6) Guan, Z.; Combes, J. R.; Menceloglu, Y. Z.; DeSimone, J. M. *Macromolecules* **1993**, *26*, 2663.
- (7) Condo, P. D.; Paul, D. R.; Johnston, K. P. *Macromolecules* **1994**, *27*, 365.
- (8) Chapman, B. R.; Gochanour, C. R.; Paulaitis, M. E. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 5635.
- (9) van Herk, A. M.; de Brouwer, H.; Manders, B. G.; Luthjens, L. H.; Hom, M. L.; Hummel, A. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 1027.
- (10) Gilbert, R. G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1996**, *68*, 1491.
- (11) Buback, M.; Gilbert, R. G.; Hutchinson, R. A.; Klumperman, B.; Kuchta, F. D.; Manders, B. G.; O'Driscoll, K. F.; Russell, G. T.; Schweer, J. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *96*, 3267.
- (12) Beuermann, S.; Buback, M.; Davis, T. P.; Gilbert, R. G.; Hutchinson, R. A.; Olaj, O. F.; Russell, G. T.; Schweer, J.; Van Herk, A. M. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1997**, *198*, 1545.
- (13) Olaj, O. F.; Bitai, I.; Hinkelmann, F. *Makromol. Chem.* **1987**, *188*, 1689.
- (14) Manders, B. G.; Chambard, G.; Kingma, W. J.; Klumperman, B.; van Herk, A. M.; German, A. L. *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.* **1996**, *34*, 2473.
- (15) Lemert, R. M.; DeSimone, J. M. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **1991**, *4*, 186.
- (16) Shortt, D. W. *J. Liquid Chromatogr.* **1993**, *16*, 3371.
- (17) Buback, M.; Kuchta, F. D. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *196*, 1887.
- (18) Beuermann, S.; Buback, M.; Russell, G. T. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **1994**, *15*, 351.

MA9703416