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Inadequate bone stock is often found in revision surgery of femoral components of total knee replacements. Our aim was to test the hypothesis that these remodelling patterns can be explained by stress shielding, and that prosthetic bonding characteristics affect maintenance of bone mass.

We made a three-dimensional finite-element model of an average male femur with a cemented femoral knee component. This model was integrated with iterative remodelling procedures. Two extreme prosthetic bonding conditions were analysed and gradual changes in bone density were calculated.

The long-term bone loss under the femoral knee component resembled clinical findings which confirms the hypothesis that stress shielding can cause distal femoral bone loss. Our study predicts, contrary to clinical findings, that an equilibrium situation is not reached after two years, but that bone resorption may continue. This hidden bone loss may be so drastic that large reconstructions are needed at the time of revision.
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Recent survival analyses of total knee replacements (TKR) show that modern prostheses and cementing techniques have drastically decreased the failure rates. The Swedish National Knee Register now shows a cumulative revision rate of about 8% at ten years, the main reason being aseptic loosening (46%). At revision of femoral components, inadequate bone stock is often apparent and radiological studies have also shown distal femoral bone resorption, particularly behind the anterior flange. Animal experiments have also indicated that considerable bone remodelling may take place in the distal femur.

Petersen et al measured postoperative bone mineral density (BMD) in the distal femur, using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA); they found an average decrease of 36% in BMD in the anterior distal femur after two years. The exact amount of distal femoral bone loss is uncertain, because radio-opaque pegs or intercondylar parts of the femoral component shield much of the distal femur from radiography or DEXA measurements.

It is generally accepted that stress shielding of the proximal femur is the cause of bone loss after total hip replacement. A reduction in mechanical stresses was also found in the distal femur due to placement of a knee prosthesis; these reductions were found using finite element models. From studies of THR it is known that bonding characteristics also influence the patterns of bone resorption around hip stems.

Our aim was to determine whether the remodelling patterns in the distal femur can be explained by stress shielding, and whether prosthetic bonding characteristics affect maintenance of bone mass. We have therefore investigated the relationship between mechanical loading and the resorption of periprosthetic bone, using computer-simulation models, based on finite-element (FE) analysis and strain-adaptive bone remodelling theory.

METHODS

Finite-element model. From a collection of 250 femora, we selected ten bones with similar dimensions in the distal area, to represent average values for a normal man. Quantitative CT was performed at three specified positions in the distal femur, and used to calculate bone mineral content, average BMD, moments of inertia and average Young’s moduli. These values were compared for the ten femora and the average bone was selected for full investigation.

The geometry of this femur was determined from 28 cross-sectional CT scans, separated by 4 mm distally, to a maximum of 16 mm more proximally, to cover a total length of 232 mm. These scans were then transferred to a graphics computer programme, and used to construct a
three-dimensional FE model which consisted of 2520 8-node isoparametric brick elements.

We made an FE model of the femoral component of a total knee prosthesis (PFC with intercondylar box, Johnson & Johnson, Bracknell, UK) and connected this to the FE model of the intact femur. Elements representing the cement layer were added. The postoperative femur model, including prosthesis and bone cement, consisted of 3116 8-node isoparametric brick elements, 385 gap elements and 4650 nodes (Fig. 1). The gap elements were positioned between the prosthesis and the cement to allow relative movement between them, but keeping the two surfaces from penetrating each other. Two kinds of analysis were performed: one with a fully unbonded interface, using the gap elements without friction, and one with a fully bonded interface, at which no micromovement was allowed.

The prosthetic Co-Cr alloy and the bone cement (PMMA) were assumed to have linear elastic, homogenous and isotropic properties, with Young’s moduli of 210 GPa and 2.1 GPa, and Poisson’s ratios of 0.3 and 0.4, respectively. The Young’s moduli of the bone elements were derived from their apparent densities, calculated from the CT-density values. Hvid et al. found a very high linear correlation between CT value and apparent density. The maximum apparent density in the FE model was normalised at 1.73 g/cm$^3$. The Young’s modulus (E) of each bone element was determined from the actual apparent density ($\rho$) according to Carter and Hayes as follows:

$$E = 3790 \rho^3 \text{ Mpa}.$$

Poisson’s ratio for all bone elements was assumed to be 0.3.

**Knee loading.** We applied three load cases out of a normal daily loading cycle. The knee forces in the first and second case represented those during fast level walking at 1.7 m/s at 15% and 45% of the walking cycle, one for the peak forces just after heel strike and one for the peak forces before toe-off. These data were obtained from three-dimensional gait analysis. The third load case represented the peak forces during cycling. By creating a statically determinate three-dimensional knee model, these forces and moments of force acting on the knee were calculated to forces working at the medial and lateral condyles of the tibiofemoral joint and at the patellofemoral joint (similar to those of Morrison). Contact points between the tibia and the femur, required for these calculations, were estimated roughly from the model of Yamaguchi and Zajac; contact points for the patellofemoral joint were estimated from that of Van Eijden et al. An overview of the estimated tibiofemoral and patellofemoral contact forces is given in Table I. $F_{cc}$ is the force along the caudal-cranial axis, defined from a point between the femoral condyles towards the centre of the femoral head. $F_{ml}$ is the force along the mediolateral axis, defined as perpendicular to the caudal-cranial axis and directed laterally. $F_{ap}$ is the force along the anteroposterior axis, which is perpendicular to both previously defined axes and is directed posteriorly.

**Remodelling simulation.** Long-term prediction of apparent bone density was based on a strain-adaptive bone remodelling theory used in conjunction with the FE code (MARC Analysis Corporation, Palo Alto, California). In this theory, bone cells are assumed to react to local deviations in strain energy per unit of mass. In each time step of the simulation, the remodelling signal $S$ is compared with the reference, preoperative, signal $S_{ref}$ in the corresponding location. If $S - S_{ref}$ is positive then bone is formed, and if it is negative bone is lost. A ‘dead zone’ was assumed with a threshold level of $s = 0.75S$. Below this level, no bone loss or bone formation was assumed to take place. The use of this threshold level was known to produce realistic results in simulations of non-cemented hip replacements in man. Our simulations continued until the treated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load case</th>
<th>Medial condyle</th>
<th>Lateral condyle</th>
<th>Patellofemoral contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{cc}$ (N)</td>
<td>$F_{ml}$ (N)</td>
<td>$F_{ap}$ (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>1362.0</td>
<td>664.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>714.0</td>
<td>238.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-37.5</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bone was fully adapted to the mechanical changes produced by the implant. The mathematical formulation of the remodelling process is available from the corresponding author (RH) on request.

Calculated computer time is related to real time by a time constant \( \tau \) which was determined empirically by comparing the results of two-year animal experiments and associated computer simulations.\(^{29}\) This showed that \( \tau \) is about 130 g\( \cdot \)mm\(^{-2}\)\( \cdot \)J\(^{-1}\)month\(^{-1}\). As human metabolism is slower than that in the dogs used in the animal experiment, it is to be expected that bone turnover will be slower, hence \( \tau \) will be lower in man. For this study, we assumed arbitrarily that \( \tau_{\text{dogs}} = 4 \tau_{\text{man}} \), implying that bone turnover in man is four times slower than in dogs.

To be able to visualise the postoperative changes in bone density, and to compare them with clinical results, we developed a DEXA simulation program which could produce projections of the BMD patterns which would be found if the FE model were a real bone. The DEXA simulation algorithm superimposes a large voxel set on the FE model of the bone. A calculation is then made to determine the element in which the midpoint of each voxel is positioned. The density at the midpoint of the voxel is interpolated from the density values in the nodes of the determined element and the amount of bone in each voxel is calculated. These voxel data are then superimposed in the frontal and lateral planes to provide two two-dimensional projections, which resemble DEXA scans.

RESULTS

Bone resorption in the distal femur was quantified by calculating the time-dependent BMD changes in five regions of interest (ROI). When these five ROIs had reached remodelling equilibrium they showed a wide range of BMD changes from a local BMD increase of 3% to a decrease of 96% (Fig. 2). Bone loss occurred particularly at the most distal part of the femur. For both the bonded and unbonded simulations the two most distal ROIs showed the largest and fastest decrease in BMD, with more and faster bone loss in the bonded example. In the bonded case, most loss was predicted in the anterior distal ROI (No. 1); in the unbonded case it was in the posterior distal ROI (No. 5). The long-term decreases in BMD in these two distal ROIs were 96% and 91% in the bonded simulation and 62% and 68% in the unbonded simulation. In the distal anterior femur, bone loss was greater in the lateral than in the medial condyle (Fig. 3a). These simulated DEXA scans show BMD values immediately after operation and when equilibrium had been reached. The prosthesis would have obscured the bone, and it was therefore removed from the FE model before the DEXA scans were made, as was the cement layer. In the bonded case, the lateral condyle was almost completely resorbed; in the unbonded case, bone loss was somewhat less.

Bone resorption in the bonded simulation was not only more extensive, but also faster, than in the unbonded simulation. BMD decreases in the two most distal ROIs were in the bonded simulation almost equally fast, taking an estimated 18 months before 25% of the bone in the condyles had been resorbed, 3.5 years for 50% loss and 8 years for 75% loss. In the unbonded simulation, bone loss in ROI 5 was much faster than in ROI 1. For ROI 5 there was 25% and 50% bone resorption at 1.75 and 5 years, respectively. In ROI 1, these periods were 5 and 28 years, respectively.

Some bone formation was also predicted. In both the bonded and unbonded simulations an area of BMD increase was found, which started at the proximal part of the anterior flange of the prosthesis (Fig. 4). In the bonded simulation, the increase in BMD started at the proximal tip of the prosthesis. The area behind the flange was stress shielded, as can be concluded from the 49% decrease in BMD in ROI 2. In the unbonded case, a large area of bone densification began somewhat more distally, with a long-term increase in BMD of 3% in ROI 2. There was another area of bone densification at the most proximal edge of the posterior part of the condyles; this was predicted only in the
bonded simulation. Distal to this there was some bone loss which resulted in a net 9% loss of BMD in ROI 4. The unbonded simulation showed bone loss in ROI 4 both proximally and distally, to an average of 27%.

DISCUSSION

Our study aimed to determine whether patterns of bone resorption under femoral TKR components could be explained by strain-adaptive bone remodelling. We therefore constructed a three-dimensional FE model of a distal femur with a total knee prosthesis. We used this in conjunction with an iterative remodelling procedure designed to predict the time-dependent changes in bone mass. Similar simulations of periprosthetic bone remodelling after THR have produced realistic results, as compared with those of animal experiments and clinical retrieval studies. It must be made clear that our study was exploratory in...
nature, and not necessarily very precise. Several major assumptions and simplifications were used for the simula-
tion model and no explicit validation was possible.

We used loading conditions which we calculated from
analyses of fast walking and seated cycling. The external
forces and moments of force from these two studies were
used in conjunction with anatomical data for the knee to
calculate the forces at the different joint surfaces. We
realise that daily activity consists of more than walking and
and that in real life, the loads on joints are highly
variable. The use of the site-specific remodelling theory,
however, in which loads are assumed to be equal before
and after the implantation make the precise loading condi-
tions of less importance. We assumed that the three
loading conditions adequately represented normal daily
activity for our purpose.

At the unbonded interface, we assumed that there was no friction. This is a simplification, but the fluids in the knee
do provide some lubrication at this interface, and friction will therefore probably be low.

The dead-zone threshold level below which no remodel-
elling will occur is known to have an important influence
on the amount of bone eventually lost or gained, and also
to influence the rate of remodelling. We used a threshold
level of \( s = 0.75S \), because it had produced realistic
results in simulations of non-cemented clinical hip
replacements. Biochemical or biomechanical reactions other than ener-
gy-dependent bone remodelling were not taken into
account. For example, it is likely that the infiltration of
wear debris causes histiocytic responses. This debris may
gain access to the distal femoral metaphysis through the
bone-implant interface, and we took no account of such
reactions.

Another factor which will influence the result is the initial distribution of bone density. The postmortem anal-
ysis of 11 well-functioning unilateral hip replacements
shown that periprosthetic bone loss was highly correlated
with the mineral content of the contralateral hip. Bone
loss was greater in femora with initially low bone mineral
content than in those with a high bone mineral content.
This phenomenon was also seen in our remodelling simula-
tions of THR. The aim of our present study was explana-
tory rather than precise and we have therefore not yet
studied this variable but it is clear that the actual amount of
bone resorption will vary considerably in a population of
patients.

At present the only possible validation of our findings is
from published reports of quantitative radiological data. In
a DEXA study, Petersen et al found an average 36% decrease in BMD in the anterior distal femur after two
years. Cameron and Cameron and Mintzer et al, evaluat-
ing different prostheses with conventional radiographs,
found bone loss in the anterior distal femur within three
months to one year in about 70% of all TKR cases reviewed. To compare these results with the predicted BMD values in our study, we calculated the time by which
the BMD in the distal femur had decreased by 30%. We
chose this level because a BMD decrease of 30% is needed
before the loss becomes visible on conventional radio-
grams. For the bonded analysis 30% losses in ROI 1 and
ROI 5 were reached after 23 and 19 months, respectively.
In the unbonded analysis this level of loss was reached after
25 months in ROI 5, and only after 80 months in ROI 1.
These results are similar to those of Petersen et al for the
anterior distal femur, although our results are only rough
estimates because the value of the time constant \( \tau \) was
chosen arbitrarily. The prediction of the correct time scale
is difficult because little quantitative clinical data are avail-
able for the estimation of time constants for the remodel-
ing equations.

In the other three ROIs (2, 3 and 4) bone resorption was
much less. In the bonded analysis, 30% loss took 7.5 to 10
years. In the unbonded analysis only ROI 3 eventually
reached a 30% BMD reduction. These results, combined
with the common finding that at revision a cemented TKR
is at least partially unbonded, may explain why radiological
bone resorption in the distal femur is hardly ever found
after placement of a prosthesis with an intercondylar box.
When revision surgery is needed for any reason, however,
only then is it found that the distal bone quality is much
reduced, requiring major reconstruction.

Our predictions (Fig. 3a) showed that for both the bon-
ded and unbonded cases, the BMD dropped more on the
lateral than on the medial side. This can be explained by
considering the position of the anterior flange of the pro-
thesis in relation to the shaft. Proximally, the flange is more
medial in relation to the bone, with its proximal end
sticking out somewhat more medially. This produced a
difference between transfer of the forces applied at the
medial and lateral condyles. We calculated that the inter-
face forces at the distal lateral condyle were reduced rela-
tively more than those at the distal medial condyle, imple-
ning more stress shielding and more bone loss.

Our results predicted some important effects of the bond-
ing conditions of the implant. Remodelling studies of THRs
have also shown clearly that interface conditions may have
a large influence on bone resorption and on interface
stresses. In our study, we examined the influence of two
bonding conditions on bone resorption, fully bonded and
fully unbonded. These can be seen as two extreme condi-
tions for changes in BMD after TKR. We have shown that
bone loss in the distal femur is more severe and occurs
faster in the bonded than in the unbonded simulation, and
this can be related to the extent of stress shielding. In the
bonded case, knee forces were transferred to the bone more
proximally as shown by the bone densification near the
anterior and posterior flanges and by the severe loss of bone
distally. In the unbonded case, these forces were shifted
anteriorly, reducing the stresses in the posterior and distal
regions. This initial stress shielding effect has already been
demonstrated. We showed that it continues during
remodelling. In the bonded simulation, a large part of the load was transferred as shear stresses through the proximal anterior flange. In the unbonded case, the intercondylar box carried a much greater part of the load and forces near the anterior flange were increased, leading to local bone formation.

Conclusions. Our results indicate that the remodelling simulation does represent an actual remodelling process. The bonding characteristics of the implant had significant effects on the long-term conservation of BMD. As compared with the bonded configuration, BMD values in the unbonded distal femur were higher and the rate of bone loss was lower. The predicted long-term bone loss resembled the actual clinical findings up to a period of two years and can therefore be explained as a long-term effect of stress shielding. Contrary to estimates from radiographs, our study predicts that equilibrium is not reached after two years, but that bone resorption continues for much longer. This hidden loss in bone stock, with almost total resorption of the distal condyles in the equilibrium situation, may be so drastic that at the time of revision some complex reconstructions are necessary.

Our results suggest that component design and the unbonding of the prosthesis may reduce the amount of stress shielding in the distal femur and lead to less bone resorption.
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