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Inadequate bone stock is often found in revision surgery of femoral components of total knee replacements. Our aim was to test the hypothesis that these remodelling patterns can be explained by stress shielding, and that prosthetic bonding characteristics affect maintenance of bone mass.

We made a three-dimensional finite-element model of an average male femur with a cemented femoral knee component. This model was integrated with iterative remodelling procedures. Two extreme prosthetic bonding conditions were analysed and gradual changes in bone density were calculated.

The long-term bone loss under the femoral knee component resembled clinical findings which confirms the hypothesis that stress shielding can cause distal femoral bone loss. Our study predicts, contrary to clinical findings, that an equilibrium situation is not reached after two years, but that bone resorption may continue. This hidden bone loss may be so drastic that large reconstructions are needed at the time of revision.

METHODS

Finite-element model. From a collection of 250 femora, we selected ten bones with similar dimensions in the distal area, to represent average values for a normal man. Quantitative CT was performed at three specified positions in the distal femur, and used to calculate bone mineral density, average BMD, moments of inertia and average Young’s moduli. These values were compared for the ten femora and the average bone was selected for full investigation.

The geometry of this femur was determined from 28 cross-sectional CT scans, separated by 4 mm distally, to a maximum of 16 mm more proximally, to cover a total length of 232 mm. These scans were then transferred to a graphics computer programme, and used to construct a
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three-dimensional FE model which consisted of 2520 8-node isoparametric brick elements.

We made an FE model of the femoral component of a total knee prosthesis (PFC with intercondylar box, Johnson & Johnson, Bracknell, UK) and connected this to the FE model of the intact femur. Elements representing the cement layer were added. The postoperative femur model, including prosthesis and bone cement, consisted of 3116 8-node isoparametric brick elements, 385 gap elements and 4650 nodes (Fig. 1). The gap elements were positioned between the prosthesis and the cement to allow relative movement between them, but keeping the two surfaces from penetrating each other. Two kinds of analysis were performed: one with a fully unbonded interface, using the gap elements without friction, and one with a fully bonded interface, at which no micromovement was allowed.

The prosthetic Co-Cr alloy and the bone cement (PMMA) were assumed to have linear elastic, homogenous and isotropic properties, with Young’s moduli of 210 GPa and 2.1 GPa, and Poisson’s ratios of 0.3 and 0.4, respectively. The Young’s moduli of the bone elements were derived from their apparent densities, calculated from the CT-density values. Hvid et al.18 found a very high linear correlation between CT value and apparent density. The maximum apparent density in the FE model was normalised at 1.73 g/cm$^3$. The Young’s modulus ($E$) of each bone element was determined from the actual apparent density ($\rho$) according to Carter and Hayes19 as follows:

$$E = 3790 \rho^3 \text{Mpa}.$$ Poisson’s ratio for all bone elements was assumed to be 0.3.

Knee loading. We applied three load cases out of a normal daily loading cycle. The knee forces in the first and second case represented those during fast level walking at 1.7 m/s at 15% and 45% of the walking cycle, one for the peak forces just after heel strike and one for the peak forces before toe-off. These data were obtained from three-dimensional gait analysis.20,21 The third load case represented the peak forces during cycling.22 By creating a statically determinate three-dimensional knee model, these forces and moments of force acting on the knee were calculated to forces working at the medial and lateral condyles of the tibiofemoral joint and at the patellofemoral joint (similar to those of Morrison).23 Contact points between the tibia and the femur, required for these calculations, were estimated roughly from the model of Yamaguchi and Zajac24; contact points for the patellofemoral joint were estimated from that of Van Eijden et al.25 An overview of the estimated tibiofemoral and patellofemoral contact forces is given in Table I. $F_{cc}$ is the force along the caudal-cranial axis, defined from a point between the femoral condyles towards the centre of the femoral head. $F_{ml}$ is the force along the mediolateral axis, defined as perpendicular to the caudal-cranial axis and directed laterally. $F_{ap}$ is the force along the anteroposterior axis, which is perpendicular to both previously defined axes and is directed posteriorly.

Remodelling simulation. Long-term prediction of apparent bone density was based on a strain-adaptive bone remodelling theory26-29 used in conjunction with the FE code (MARC Analysis Corporation, Palo Alto, California). In this theory, bone cells are assumed to react to local deviations in strain energy per unit of mass. In each time step of the simulation, the remodelling signal $S$ is compared with the reference, preoperative, signal $S_{ref}$ in the corresponding location. If $S - S_{ref}$ is positive then bone is formed, and if it is negative bone is lost. A ‘dead zone’ was assumed with a threshold level of $s = 0.75S$. Below this level, no bone loss or bone formation was assumed to take place.26 The use of this threshold level was known to produce realistic results in simulations of non-cemented hip replacements in man.27,30,31 Our simulations continued until the treated

Table I. Overview of the three different load cases applied to the FE model (see text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load case</th>
<th>Tibiofemoral contact</th>
<th>Patellofemoral contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial condyle</td>
<td>Lateral condyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{cc}$ (N)</td>
<td>$F_{ml}$ (N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>-932.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-37.5</td>
<td>-320.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bone was fully adapted to the mechanical changes produced by the implant. The mathematical formulation of the remodelling process is available from the corresponding author (RH) on request.

Calculated computer time is related to real time by a time constant $\tau$ which was determined empirically by comparing the results of two-year animal experiments and associated computer simulations. This showed that $\tau$ is about $130 \text{g}^2\text{mm}^{-2}\text{J}^{-1}\text{month}^{-1}$. As human metabolism is slower than that in the dogs used in the animal experiment, it is to be expected that bone turnover will be slower, hence $\tau$ will be lower in man. For this study, we assumed arbitrarily that $\tau_{\text{dogs}} = 4\tau_{\text{man}}$, implying that bone turnover in man is four times slower than in dogs.

To be able to visualise the postoperative changes in bone density, and to compare them with clinical results, we developed a DEXA simulation program which could produce projections of the BMD patterns which would be found if the FE model were a real bone. The DEXA simulation algorithm superimposes a large voxel set on the FE model of the bone. A calculation is then made to determine the element in which the midpoint of each voxel is positioned. The density at the midpoint of the voxel is interpolated from the density values in the nodes of the determined element and the amount of bone in each voxel is calculated. These voxel data are then superimposed in the frontal and lateral planes to provide two two-dimensional projections, which resemble DEXA scans.

RESULTS

Bone resorption in the distal femur was quantified by calculating the time-dependent BMD changes in five regions of interest (ROI). When these five ROIs had reached remodelling equilibrium they showed a wide range of BMD changes from a local BMD increase of 3% to a decrease of 96% (Fig. 2). Bone loss occurred particularly at the most distal part of the femur. For both the bonded and unbonded simulations the two most distal ROIs showed the largest and fastest decrease in BMD, with more and faster bone loss in the bonded example. In the bonded case, most loss was predicted in the anterior distal ROI (No. 1); in the unbonded case it was in the posterior distal ROI (No. 5). The long-term decreases in BMD in these two distal ROIs were 96% and 91% in the bonded simulation and 62% and 68% in the unbonded simulation. In the distal anterior femur, bone loss was greater in the lateral than in the medial condyle (Fig. 3a). These simulated DEXA scans show BMD values immediately after operation and when equilibrium had been reached. The prosthesis would have obscured the bone, and it was therefore removed from the FE model before the DEXA scans were made, as was the cement layer. In the bonded case, the lateral condyle was almost completely resorbed; in the unbonded case, bone loss was somewhat less.

Bone resorption in the bonded simulation was not only more extensive, but also faster, than in the unbonded simulation. BMD decreases in the two most distal ROIs were in the bonded simulation almost equally fast, taking an estimated 18 months before 25% of the bone in the condyles had been resorbed, 3.5 years for 50% loss and 8 years for 75% loss. In the unbonded simulation, bone loss in ROI 5 was much faster than in ROI 1. For ROI 5 there was 25% and 50% bone resorption at 1.75 and 5 years, respectively. In ROI 1, these periods were 5 and 28 years, respectively.

Some bone formation was also predicted. In both the bonded and unbonded simulations an area of BMD increase was found, which started at the proximal part of the anterior flange of the prosthesis (Fig. 4). In the bonded simulation, the increase in BMD started at the proximal tip of the prosthesis. The area behind the flange was stress shielded, as can be concluded from the 49% decrease in BMD in ROI 2. In the unbonded case, a large area of bone densification began somewhat more distally, with a long-term increase in BMD of 3% in ROI 2. There was another area of bone densification at the most proximal edge of the posterior part of the condyles; this was predicted only in the
bonds simulation. Distal to this there was some bone loss which resulted in a net 9% loss of BMD in ROI 4. The unbonded simulation showed bone loss in ROI 4 both proximally and distally, to an average of 27%.

DISCUSSION

Our study aimed to determine whether patterns of bone resorption under femoral TKR components could be explained by strain-adaptive bone remodelling. We therefore constructed a three-dimensional FE model of a distal femur with a total knee prosthesis. We used this in conjunction with an iterative remodelling procedure designed to predict the time-dependent changes in bone mass. Similar simulations of periprosthetic bone remodelling after THR have produced realistic results, as compared with those of animal experiments and clinical retrieval studies. It must be made clear that our study was exploratory in

Fig. 3

Simulated frontal (a-c) and lateral (d-f) DEXA scans. The prosthesis and the cement were removed from the FE model before the DEXA simulation was carried out.

Fig. 4

Long-term increases in BMD in bonded and unbonded analysis calculated from lateral DEXA scans and expressed as a percentage change compared with directly postoperative BMD.
nature, and not necessarily very precise. Several major assumptions and simplifications were used for the simulation model and no explicit validation was possible.

We used loading conditions which we calculated from analyses of fast walking and seated cycling. The external forces and moments of force from these two studies were used in conjunction with anatomical data for the knee to calculate the forces at the different joint surfaces. We realise that daily activity consists of more than walking and cycling and that in real life, the loads on joints are highly variable. The use of the site-specific remodelling theory, however, in which loads are assumed to be equal before and after the implantation make the precise loading conditions of less importance.26 We assumed that the three loading conditions adequately represented normal daily activity for our purpose.

At the unbonded interface, we assumed that there was no friction. This is a simplification, but the fluids in the knee do provide some lubrication at this interface, and friction will therefore probably be low.

The dead-zone threshold level below which no remodelling will occur is known to have an important influence on the amount of bone eventually lost or gained, and also to influence the rate of remodelling. We used a threshold level of \( s = 0.75S \), because it had produced realistic results in simulations of non-cemented clinical hip replacements.27,31

Biochemical or biomechanical reactions other than energy-dependent bone remodelling were not taken into account. For example, it is likely that the infiltration of wear debris causes histiocytic responses.32 This debris may gain access to the distal femoral metaphysis through the bone-implant interface, and we took no account of such reactions.

Another factor which will influence the result is the initial distribution of bone density. The postmortem analysis of 11 well-functioning unilateral hip replacements showed that periprosthetic bone loss was highly correlated with the mineral content of the contralateral hip.33 Bone loss was greater in femora with initially low bone mineral content than in those with a high bone mineral content. This phenomenon was also seen in our remodelling simulations of THR 27. The aim of our present study was exploratory rather than precise and we have therefore not yet studied this variable but it is clear that the actual amount of bone resorption will vary considerably in a population of patients.

At present the only possible validation of our findings is from published reports of quantitative radiological data. In a DEXA study, Petersen et al.10 found an average 36% decrease in BMD in the anterior distal femur after two years. Cameron and Cameron1 and Mintzer et al.8, evaluating different prostheses with conventional radiographs, found bone loss in the anterior distal femur within three months to one year in about 70% of all TKR cases reviewed. To compare these results with the predicted BMD values in our study, we calculated the time by which the BMD in the distal femur had decreased by 30%. We chose this level because a BMD decrease of 30% is needed before the loss becomes visible on conventional radiographs.34 For the bonded analysis 30% losses in ROI 1 and ROI 5 were reached after 23 and 19 months, respectively. In the unbonded analysis this level of loss was reached after 25 months in ROI 5, and only after 80 months in ROI 1. These results are similar to those of Petersen et al.10 for the anterior distal femur, although our results are only rough estimates because the value of the time constant \( \tau \) was chosen arbitrarily. The prediction of the correct time scale is difficult because little quantitative clinical data are available for the estimation of time constants for the remodelling equations.

In the other three ROIs (2, 3 and 4) bone resorption was much less. In the bonded analysis, 30% loss took 7.5 to 10 years. In the unbonded analysis only ROI 3 eventually reached a 30% BMD reduction. These results, combined with the common finding that at revision a cemented TKR is at least partially unbonded, may explain why radiological bone resorption in the distal femur is hardly ever found after placement of a prosthesis with an intercondylar box. When revision surgery is needed for any reason, however, only then is it found that the distal bone quality is much reduced, requiring major reconstruction.

Our predictions (Fig. 3a) showed that for both the bonded and unbonded cases, the BMD dropped more on the lateral than on the medial side. This can be explained by considering the position of the anterior flange of the prosthesis in relation to the shaft. Proximally, the flange is more medial in relation to the bone, with its proximal end sticking out somewhat more medially. This produced a difference between transfer of the forces applied at the medial and lateral condyles. We calculated that the interface forces at the distal lateral condyle were reduced relatively more than those at the distal medial condyle, implying more stress shielding and more bone loss.

Our results predicted some important effects of the bonding conditions of the implant. Remodelling studies of THRs have also shown clearly that interface conditions may have a large influence on bone resorption 28,35,36 and on interface stresses.36 In our study, we examined the influence of two bonding conditions on bone resorption, fully bonded and fully unbonded. These can be seen as two extreme conditions for changes in BMD after TKR. We have shown that bone loss in the distal femur is more severe and occurs faster in the bonded than in the unbonded simulation, and this can be related to the extent of stress shielding. In the bonded case, knee forces were transferred to the bone more proximally as shown by the bone densification near the anterior and posterior flanges and by the severe loss of bone distally. In the unbonded case, these forces were shifted anteriorly, reducing the stresses in the posterior and distal regions. This initial stress shielding effect has already been demonstrated.11-13 We showed that it continues during
remodelling. In the bonded simulation, a large part of the load was transferred as shear stresses through the proximal anterior flange. In the unbonded case, the intercondylar box carried a much greater part of the load and forces near the anterior flange were increased, leading to local bone formation.

Conclusions. Our results indicate that the remodelling simulation does represent an actual remodelling process. The bonding characteristics of the implant had significant effects on the long-term conservation of BMD. As compared with the bonded configuration, BMD values in the unbonded distal femur were higher and the rate of bone loss was lower. The predicted long-term bone loss resembled the actual clinical findings up to a period of two years and can therefore be explained as a long-term effect of stress shielding. Contrary to estimates from radiographs, our study predicts that equilibrium is not reached after two years, but that bone resorption continues for much longer. This hidden loss in bone stock, with almost total resorption in the first years, but that bone resorption continues for much longer. Our results suggest that component design and the unbonding of the prostheses may reduce the amount of stress shielding in the distal femur and lead to less bone resorption.
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