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Spatial Structure of Mn-Mn Acceptor Pairs in GaAs

A. M. Yakunin,1 A. Yu. Silov,1 P. M. Koenraad,1 J.-M. Tang,2 M. E. Flatté,2 W. Van Roy,3 J. De Boeck,3 and J. H. Wolter1
1COBRA Inter-University Research Institute, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, NL-5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
2Optical Science and Technology Center and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA
3IMEC, Kapeldreef 75, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

(Received 23 May 2005; published 13 December 2005)

The local density of states of Mn-Mn pairs in GaAs is mapped with cross-sectional scanning tunneling microscopy and compared with theoretical calculations based on envelope-function and tight-binding models. These measurements and calculations show that the crosslike shape of the Mn-acceptor wave function in GaAs persists even at very short Mn-Mn spatial separations. The resilience of the Mn-acceptor wave function to high doping levels suggests that ferromagnetism in GaMnAs is strongly influenced by impurity-band formation. The envelope-function and tight-binding models predict similarly anisotropic overlaps of the Mn wave functions for Mn-Mn pairs. This anisotropy implies differing Curie temperatures for Mn δ-doped layers grown on differently oriented substrates.
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The properties of dilute ferromagnetic semiconductors, such as Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs, depend sensitively on the nature of the spin-polarized holes introduced into the host by the magnetic dopants [1,2]. Considerable controversy persists about the nature of isolated magnetic dopants in many semiconducting hosts (e.g., Mn dopants in GaN). Measurements of the local density of states (LDOS) near an individual Mn substituted for a Ga atom in GaAs (MnGa) by cross-sectional scanning tunneling microscopy (X-STM) [3] have resolved this question for Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs: there is a hole state bound to the Mn dopant, yielding a Mn^{2+} 3d^{5} + hole complex [4–7] that produces an extended, highly anisotropic LDOS. The anisotropie shape of the bound hole state at distances ≈ 1 nm, originating from the cubic symmetry of GaAs [8] suggests highly anisotropic Mn-Mn interactions [9–11].

The ferromagnetic properties of Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs, however, depend on whether this shape persists for concentrations x of Mn impurities for which Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs is ferromagnetic (x ≥ 0.01) [12]. Popular models of Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs assume that holes residing in a bulk GaAs-like valence band, and thus evenly distributed throughout the material, mediate the ferromagnetic interaction among Mn spins [12]. However, angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy [13] observes an impurity band near E_F and infrared absorption measurements reveal a strong resonance near the energy of the Mn-acceptor level as well as deeper in the band gap of GaAs [14,15]. Furthermore, recent Raman scattering experiments suggest that a Mn^{2+} 3d^{5} configuration partially occurs for x > 0.02 [16]. Recent theories suggest significant modifications in the ferromagnetic properties of Ga_{1-x}Mn_xAs if the holes reside in a strongly disordered impurity band [11,17–20]. If the Mn density is near the metal-insulator transition, and individual Mn dopants states are weakly hybridizing with each other, then the inhomogeneous hole density of the impurity band measured by X-STM near an individual Mn dopant should closely resemble that of an isolated neutral Mn, only weakly perturbed by Mn-Mn interactions. Here we present experimental evidence that the shape of a Mn acceptor in a Mn-Mn pair remains anisotropic and retains the crosslike shape of a single Mn even when the dopants are separated by only 0.8 nm, which is the typical distance in Ga_{0.96}Mn_{0.04}As. The overlapping Mn wave functions at such short Mn-Mn separations are exceptionally hard to disentangle in bulk GaMnAs [21–23]. In the Mn δ-doped layers of Fig. 1, however, isolated pairs and dense groups of Mn acceptors at these distances can be analyzed separately from surrounding dopants.

The measurements were performed on several samples using chemically etched tungsten tips. The δ-doped layers were grown at 370 °C by molecular beam epitaxy on a 100 nm GaAs buffer on a Zn-doped (001) GaAs substrate. The high growth temperature was chosen to suppress the

FIG. 1 (color). X-STM constant-current images of Mn δ-doped GaAs with intentional Mn concentrations of 3 × 10^{13} cm^{-2}: (a) 51 × 29 nm²; (b) 43 × 20 nm²; (c) 12 × 10 nm²; (d) 13 × 10 nm². Images were acquired at a sample bias of U_s = +1.5 V on a cleaved (110) surface. Note appearance of continuous background DOS around the layer in (b).
appearance of structural defects such as As antisites, which would complicate Mn identification. The higher growth temperature also led to increased segregation, which broadened the δ-doped layers of Fig. 1. Despite the high growth temperature a low density (<10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}) of As antisites was observed and clearly identified as charged n-type donors (not shown in the figure). The δ-doped layers themselves clearly showed p-type conductivity in tunneling \(I(V)\) spectroscopy. The topographies were measured with a room temperature UHV-STM (\(P < 2 \times 10^{-11}\) torr) on an in situ cleaved (110) surface.

Figure 2(a) shows the electronic topography of one of the pairs in the ionized state (the other observed pairs are similar). In the ionized configuration, it is impossible to distinguish locations of the two dopants. The potential from the double charge of the two ionized dopants induces an apparent round elevation 1.7 times larger than that of a single ionized Mn under the same imaging conditions [8,24]. In the neutral configuration (positive sample bias), however, the presence of two dopants can be clearly identified. We also found that appearance of Mn-Mn pair wave functions is independent of sample bias down to the flat-band conditions. Two examples of close, clearly identifiable Mn-Mn pairs illustrate the resilience of the Mn wave function to interaction with nearby Mn dopants [Fig. 2(c) and 2(d)].

A schematic model of the GaAs (110) surface is shown in Fig. 2(b). The lateral positions of the two Mn of the first pair [shown in Fig. 2(a), 2(c), and 2(e) and separated by 1.4 nm] are indicated by \(\text{Mn}_0\) and \(\text{Mn}_1\); the Mn dopants themselves are located in the same subsurface layer (most likely in the fifth layer), and are well separated from neighboring Mn dopants. The topographic heights of isolated Mn dopants diminish in a discrete fashion as the Mn dopant is located in deeper subsurface layers [25]. The subsurface positions of the two Mn atoms in the pair can be determined from their individual topographic heights. The other pair [shown in Fig. 2(d) and 2(f) and separated by 0.8 nm] is indicated in Fig. 2(b) by \(\text{Mn}_0\) and \(\text{Mn}_2\), and is likewise in the fifth subsurface layer. This combination has the smallest separation of those Mn-Mn pairs that we were able to identify. These measurements show that in the neutral state, the wave functions of the two Mn dopants retain their crosslike shape even when they are separated by a distance smaller than the wave function’s effective Bohr radius \(a_0 = 0.9\) nm.

Figure 2(e) and 2(f) shows the topography calculated with the tight-binding model (TBM) [8,9] for the two pairs shown in Fig. 2(c) and 2(d) and for Mn spins parallel to each other. The calculation is averaged over the orientation of the two parallel Mn spins relative to the crystal’s lattice. Qualitatively the crosslike shape is clearly evident, and the agreement between the calculations and the measurements is as good as found for a single Mn dopant in Ref. [8]. The TBM is based on the deep level model of Vogl and Baranowski [26] and is applied to a bulklike Mn acceptor. The dangling \(sp^3\) bonds from the nearest-neighbor As hybridize with the Mn \(d\) states of \(\Gamma_{15}\) character. The antibonding combination of these becomes the Mn-acceptor state. Coupling to the \(d\) states of \(\Gamma_{12}\) character is weak, and hence neglected. The hybridization strength is fully determined by the acceptor level energy.

Comparison with theoretical calculations based on the TBM permits a quantitative evaluation of the effect of the Mn-Mn interaction on the measured topography. The topography for a “noninteracting baseline” is constructed by adding together the topography of two single, isolated Mn displaced by the pair separation. This baseline is then subtracted from calculations of Mn pairs, such as in Fig. 2(e) and 2(f) with differing spin orientations. Difference plots obtained in this way are shown in Fig. 3 for (110) planes displaced by 0 and 5 monatomic layers (ML) from the Mn pair location. The quantity \(\Delta\) is the mean square root deviation of the interacting pairs density of states (DOS) from that of the noninteracting baseline integrated over the volume, and is noted in Fig. 3 for each pair (for parallel and antiparallel spins). Even for the closest pair and parallel alignment \(\Delta\) is less than 1/3. In previous work the spectral and spatial differences between dopant spin pairs with parallel and antiparallel spins were predicted [9,27]. Here the spectra could not be measured with sufficient resolu-
tion to distinguish the pair spin orientations. Expected differences between pairs with parallel and antiparallel spin orientations are of the order of 10% in the fifth subsurface layer, which is not resolvable in our measurements due to the logarithmic dependence of the STM tip displacement on the local density of states.

Now that the robustness of the anisotropic crosslike shape of the Mn hole wave function has been clearly established, we explore the implications for spin-spin coupling mediated by these hole wave functions in an impurity band. The experimental data acquired with STM are confined to a two-dimensional slice along a (110) plane of the entire three-dimensional wave function. As a result, any estimation of the directional dependence of the wave function overlap taken directly from the STM experiment would be incorrect. Instead we quantify the directionally dependent overlap of the wave functions by calculating the overlap integral with separation, directional dependence of the overlaps of noninteracting Mn dopants. The calculated constant. Thus the anisotropy of the overlaps increases at larger separations between the Mn dopants. The calculated directional dependence of the overlaps of noninteracting Mn wave functions for various Mn-Mn separations is shown in Fig. 5. The maximum of the overlap occurs when the impurities are located along the [111] direction, whereas the minimum occurs along the [001] direction. The TBM and EFM show similar qualitative behavior; however, the results differ slightly in value. The EFM zero-range potential model underestimates the magnitude of the wave function anisotropy compared to that observed in experiment and obtained with TBM. The anisotropy for a single bound hole is shown in a three-dimensional representation in Ref. [30].

The wave function overlap $\Omega_{ij}(xyz)$ for Mn-Mn pairs grown on ($xyz$) oriented substrates (Table I) is estimated by averaging the curves $\Sigma i$ for directions perpendicular to ($xyz$). These calculations suggest that the wave function overlap on average is different for Mn $\delta$-doped layers grown on differently oriented substrates. The density where the Mott metal-insulator transition occurs in an impurity band is determined by the overlap of localized wave functions. The anisotropic overlap of the Mn wave functions will produce a directionally dependent density threshold for percolation as well. Thus the critical concentration for the metal-insulator transition will be lower for
Mn δ-doped layers grown on (111) or (110) substrates compared to δ-doped layers grown on (001) substrates. As the Curie temperatures of metallic GaMnAs are much higher than those of insulating GaMnAs, the Curie temperatures and other magnetic properties for δ-doped layers should be strongly dependent on the substrate orientation, with (111) substrates yielding higher Curie temperatures than (110) substrates or the currently used (001) substrates.

In conclusion, we have experimentally demonstrated that the crosslike shape of the Mn persists in groups of Mn with short Mn-Mn separation. This strongly supports the picture of impurity-band conduction and spin-spin coupling at Mn doping densities corresponding to ferromagnetic GaMnAs. We suggest that the anisotropy of the Mn wave function will substantially influence the carrier density of the Mott metal-insulator transition in Mn δ-doped layers grown on differently oriented substrates. We expect that Mott transition will occur at lower Mn concentrations in layers grown on (111) substrates and at higher concentrations in layers grown on (001) substrates, suggesting higher Curie temperatures for (111)-grown than (001)-grown δ-doped layers. These results have broad implications for all acceptor-acceptor interactions in zinc blende semiconductors, and especially for hole-mediated ferromagnetic semiconductors.
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