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Abstract—Luminescent Eu-doped sesquioxides \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{Ln} = \text{In}, \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{La}, \text{Gd}, \text{Lu}) and some mixed oxides have been investigated with \text{Eu}^{151} \text{Mössbauer spectroscopy. The spectra are interpreted by using crystallographic and luminescence data. Effects of Eu concentration, substitution and crystal structure on the isomer shift are discussed in terms of Eu-O distance, coordination number and covalency. For the compounds with the cubic modification, no preferential site occupation by Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the two available crystallographic sites can be concluded.}
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1. INTRODUCTION

The element Eu can show efficient luminescence when it is incorporated in host lattices in its divalent (Eu$^{2+}$) or trivalent (Eu$^{3+}$) state [1]. Besides valency, the luminescence properties are strongly dependent on the local chemical environment of the activator ion, such as coordination number, site symmetry and bond character. These parameters can be studied with \text{Eu}^{151} \text{Mössbauer spectroscopy, as has been shown for \text{LaMgAl}_3\text{O}_12: Eu (determination of the relative concentration of Eu$^{2+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ ions [2]) and \text{Y}_2\text{WO}_6: Eu (measurement of the relative occupation of the three available yttrium sites by Eu$^{3+}$ ions [3]). The most relevant parameter that can be obtained from the Mössbauer spectra is the value of the isomer shift, which is a measure of the s-electron density at the Eu nucleus [4]. An increase of the s-electron density, as a consequence of increasing coordination number, decreasing Eu-O distance or increasing covalency, will result in an increase of the isomer shift.

Here we present the results of a study of Eu-doped sesquioxides \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{Ln} = \text{In}, \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{La}, \text{Gd}, \text{Lu}), and some mixed oxides. \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3: Eu is a very important material as it is used as the red-emitting phosphor in fluorescent lamps and colour television projection tubes. Some Mössbauer data for \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3: Eu have already been published in [5]. The complete series of Eu-doped sesquioxides \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{Ln} = \text{In}, \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{La}, \text{Gd}, \text{Lu}) offers the opportunity to study the influence of the structure on the Mössbauer spectra, because in this series three different crystallographic modifications are found.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC MODIFICATIONS

The lanthanide sesquioxides with general formula \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3 show many polymorphic forms. At atmospheric pressure, below 2000°C, cubic, monoclinic and hexagonal modifications are observed [6]. Table 1 summarizes the number of different crystallographic sites, the site symmetry and the coordination number for these three modifications.

The transition of the cubic to the monoclinic or the hexagonal modification is characterized by an increase of the coordination number and a lowering of the symmetry. The interrelationships between these structures and the relation with the cubic fluorite structure of CeO$_2$ are dealt with in [6]. In CeO$_2$ the Ce$^{2+}$ ions are coordinated by eight O$^{2-}$ ions, centered at the vertices of a cube. In cubic \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3, one-fourth of the O$^{2-}$ ions have been removed (leading to a six coordination of the Ln$^{3+}$ ion). This occurs in two different ways, namely along one of the four body diagonals or along one of the twelve face diagonals of the cube, leading to a ratio of 1:3 between the number of different sites in the cubic \text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3 lattice. In the first case a symmetric crystallographic site results (point symmetry: S$_6$ or C$_3$), while the site in the second case has a lower symmetry (point symmetry: C$_2$).

For the cubic modification the six S$_8$-O distances of the S$_8$ site are equal, whereas those of the C$_2$ site can be subdivided into three groups of two equal distances. The average distance, which is about the same for the S$_8$ and the C$_2$ site, is proportional to the lattice parameter $<a>$ of the cubic lattice [7].

The relation of the monoclinic and hexagonal modifications with the fluorite structure is more difficult to elucidate briefly; they can be described as a stacking of (LnO)$_6$" layers separated by planes of oxygen ions. These (LnO)$_6$" layers are formed by a two-dimensional packing of (OLn$_n$) tetrahedra, leading to crystallographic sites with rather low symmetry (point symmetries: C$_4$ and C$_6$, for the monoclinic and hexagonal modification, respectively). As a con-
sequence the Ln-O bond inside the (LnO)\(^n\)\(^+\) layer should be considered as more covalent than the Ln-O bond between the (LnO)\(^n\) layer and the oxygen layer. The three different Ln ions in the monoclinic modification are sevenfold coordinated: two coordination polyhedra can be considered as a trigonal prism with the seventh oxygen ion at a slightly larger distance, the third site as an octahedron with the seventh oxygen ion at a substantially larger distance. The sevenfold coordination of the Ln ion in the hexagonal modification consists of an octahedral group of oxygen ions (from which three are nearby and three more apart) with the seventh ion at an intermediate distance above one of the octahedron faces on the threefold axis.

In the series of lattices Ln\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Ln = In, Sc, Y, La, Eu, Gd, Lu) studied here, all these crystallographic modifications are encountered, structural data of which are given in Table 2.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### 3.1. Preparation of the powder samples

A series of Y\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu samples with various Eu concentrations (1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 mol\% Eu with respect to Y) were prepared by milling the starting solid oxides Y\(_2\)O\(_3\) (5N, Rhône-Poulenc) and Eu\(_2\)O\(_3\) (5N, Pèchiney and Rhône-Poulenc) in the desired ratio, together with NH\(_4\)Cl (10 weight\%, P.A. Merck) as a fluxing agent, under hexane in an agate ball mill for 5 h. Afterwards, the mixture was dried and fired in alundum crucibles in a Naber furnace at 1500°C in air for 3 h. Commercial materials Y\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu with 3 and 5 mol\% Eu were prepared in a similar way at higher firing temperatures.

Two Y\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu samples with 5 mol\% Eu were prepared in different ways: (1) Mixing solid oxides as described above and firing without a fluxing agent at 1200°C; (2) Mixing solutions of Y(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) and Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\), coprecipitating the hydroxides, drying and firing without a fluxing agent at 900°C. All the prepared Y\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu samples have the cubic modification.

For our measurements EuO\(_3\) was prepared in two different ways: (1) Firing the starting oxide EuO\(_3\) with NH\(_4\)Cl as a fluxing agent; (2) Starting with an Eu(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) solution, precipitating Eu(OH)\(_3\), drying and firing without fluxing agent. The cubic and monoclinic modification of EuO\(_3\) were obtained at firing temperatures of 800 and 1500°C, respectively.

The mixed oxides (Y, Ln)\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu (Ln = In, La, Gd) and the other sesquioxides Ln\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu (Ln = In, Sc, La, Gd, Lu) were prepared for only one Eu concentration of 5 mol\% using the same method as described above for the series Y\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu samples, starting with In\(_2\)O\(_3\) (5N5, Billiton), Sc\(_2\)O\(_3\) (4N, Reacton), La\(_2\)O\(_3\)·xH\(_2\)O (5N, Rare Earth Products), Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\) (5N, Rare Earth Products) and Lu\(_2\)O\(_3\) (4N, Michigan Chemical Corporation). Firing was carried out at 1500°C (except for the (Y, Gd)\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu compounds at 1300°C).

In this way the cubic modification was formed, except for La\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu (hexagonal modification), Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu (monoclinic modification), Y\(_9\)In\(_1\)Eu\(_10\)O\(_{15}\) (besides a solid solution with the cubic modification, a new compound was formed with a hexagonal modification), and Y\(_9\)La\(_1\)Eu\(_1\)O\(_3\) (the main component was determined to have a monoclinic modification). To obtain Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\):Eu with the cubic modification the firing temperature was taken as 1100°C.

The furnace was always allowed to cool down to room temperature before the samples were taken out. All the prepared powder samples were characterized by means of X-ray diffraction to check the presence of second phases and to determine the lattice parameters.

#### 3.2. Measurement of the Mössbauer spectra

The \(^{151}\)Eu Mössbauer spectra were recorded in transmission using a conventional constant acceler-
In the next section we will discuss the results of the fit of all spectra in more detail. The data obtained from the fit of the Mössbauer spectra of the different sesquioxides Ln$_2$Eu$_2$O$_3$ (Ln = In, Sc, Y, La, Gd, Lu) and Eu$_2$O$_3$ are listed in Table 3.

---

In all other spectra of the Eu-doped sesquioxides with the cubic modification, the two components are not observable (see e.g. Fig. 1(b) for Y$_2$O$_3$:Eu). We nevertheless fitted these spectra with two Lorentzian lines because luminescence measurements on Y$_2$O$_3$:Eu [8-10], Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu [8, 10] and Lu$_2$O$_3$:Eu [10] with the cubic modification give evidence of emission of Eu$^{3+}$ ions on both crystallographic sites.

The Mössbauer spectrum of Gd$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$ with the monoclinic modification was fitted with three Lorentzian lines (see Fig. 1(c)), since there are three crystallographic sites in this structure and it is known from luminescence measurements that every position is occupied by Eu$^{3+}$ ions [11].

The Mössbauer spectrum of partly hydrolysed La$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$ with the hexagonal modification was fitted with two Lorentzian lines (see Fig. 1(d)). One line was attributed to La(OH)$_3$:Eu which is inevitably formed after hydrolysis of La$_2$O$_3$:Eu despite the storage in a plastic bag and whose presence was demonstrated by X-ray diffraction measurements. The second Lorentzian was attributed to Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the single available crystallographic site in the hexagonal modification, the luminescence of which has indeed been observed [12].

4. RESULTS

The observed Mössbauer spectra of Eu-doped cubic In$_2$O$_3$ and Y$_2$O$_3$, monoclinic Gd$_2$O$_3$ and hexagonal La$_2$O$_3$ are shown in Fig. 1. No signal at about $-14$ mm s$^{-1}$ originating from Eu$^{2+}$ ions was observed. The spectrum of In$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$ can clearly be decomposed into two Lorentzian lines (see Fig. 1(a)), which is also the case with Sc$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$. The two lines are attributed to Eu$^{3+}$ ions substituted on the two available crystallographic sites in the cubic host lattice. To our knowledge this is the first time that the two subspectra belonging to Eu$^{3+}$ ions at two different sites in this type of compound could be resolved.

Fig. 1. The $^{151}$Eu Mössbauer spectra of (a) cubic In$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$, (b) cubic Y$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$, (c) monoclinic Gd$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$, and (d) (partly hydrolysed) hexagonal La$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$. 
5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Cubic modification

5.1.1. Influence of fitting procedure. If we fit the spectra of In$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$ (see Fig. 1(a)) and Sc$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$ (which resembles that of In$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$) with two Lorentzian lines we obtain an integrated intensity ratio for the two lines of almost 1:3, in agreement with the expectations from crystallographic data when the Eu$^{3+}$ ions substitute randomly on the two different sites.

The spectra of the other cubic compounds were also fitted with two Lorentzian lines in two different ways: first with an intensity ratio which was kept fixed at 1:3, and secondly with no fixed intensity ratio. Fitting the spectra with a free intensity ratio gave no improvement compared with the fixed intensity ratio of 1:3.

In all figures we have plotted the isomer shift of the total line, since this parameter is somewhat more accurate than the isomer shift of the two components (for the cubic modification). However, both components show the same tendencies as the isomer shift of the total line. In all cases the total isomer shift is the weighted average of the isomer shifts of the components in which the line is decomposed.

Finally we checked whether the spectra could be fitted with a single Lorentzian line which is a little broadened by a quadrupole interaction. For Eu Mössbauer spectra it is well known that quadrupole interaction can give rise to an asymmetric total line-shape because of the large number of components resulting from the $I = 7/2$ to $5/2$ transition [13]. We have used the method of Shenoy and Dunlap to fit the spectra [14]. In all cases we could fit the spectra with a single line with quadrupole splittings in the order of 3 to 5 mm s$^{-1}$, which are reasonable values [15]. However, the quality of all fits improved by taking two Lorentzian lines without quadrupole splitting.

5.1.2. Y$_2$O$_3$:Eu (influence of Eu concentration and preparation method). The isomer shift of the total line is 1.10-1.14 mm s$^{-1}$ on average for Y$_2$O$_3$:Eu samples with small Eu concentrations, which agrees quite well with the values from [5]. In Fig. 2 we have plotted the isomer shift of the total line as a function of the lattice parameter ($a$) (as measured on the same samples) for Y$_2$Eu$_2$O$_3$.

An increasing lattice parameter will result in an increasing Eu-O distance in a linear way (first paper of [7]) and in a decreasing isomer shift. At low Eu content the decrease of the isomer shift can be explained by the volume dependence of the isomer shift, as found by Kalvius et al. [16]. We have taken the following value dIS/d ln V = -5.3 mm s$^{-1}$. The calculated volume dependence is indicated in Fig. 2 with a dashed line. For high Eu content the measured isomer shift is larger than the value one would expect on the basis of the volume dependence. The reason for this could be an increased covalency with increasing Eu content, as concluded for Y$_2$WO$_4$:Eu [3], because the ratio $S_0/C_2$ remains nearly constant (see below).

The line of the subspectrum with an average isomer shift of 0.6 mm s$^{-1}$ and a relative intensity of 3 is attributed by us to Eu$^{3+}$ ions substituted on the C$_2$ site, the other line to the S$_6$ site. Because the coordination number and average Eu-O distances are about the same, the larger isomer shift of Eu$^{2+}$ ions at the S$_6$ site indicates an increased covalency, which is plausible on account of the symmetric environment with inversion symmetry. Independent support for a larger covalency at the S$_6$ site with respect to the C$_2$ site comes from the observation that the 4f$^7$5d band of the Tb$^{3+}$ ion in Y$_2$O$_3$:Tb has a lower energy for the S$_6$ site than for the C$_2$ site [10].

Due to the less symmetric environment of the C$_2$ site, a larger quadrupole splitting will be expected for Eu$^{3+}$ ions substituted on the C$_2$ site as compared with the S$_6$ site. Moreover, six Y-O distances are the same for the S$_6$ site, whereas in the case of the C$_2$ site there are three groups of significantly different distances [7]. We expect therefore a larger linewidth for the C$_2$ site than for the S$_6$ site, which has been observed.

Dulaney and Clifford have studied a large number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Crystallographic modification</th>
<th>Total isomer shift (mm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Isomer shift (mm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Linewidth (mm s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y$_2$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>hexagonal</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.34†</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu$_3$O$_3$</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu$_3$Eu$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Signal of In$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$(OH)$_2$. |
Investigation of luminescent Eu-doped sesquioxides

of mixed oxide structures and have observed the broadest total resonance lines of the Mössbauer spectra for the oxides with the presumed highest crystal symmetry [17] irrespective of the possibility of more than one crystallographic site. We assume, however, that within a certain crystal structure with different sites the Mössbauer line of Eu$^{3+}$ ions on a specific site will be broader when the surroundings of the Eu$^{3+}$ ion are less symmetric.

We did not observe a systematic relation between the relative intensities of the two lines with which the spectra were fitted and the Eu content, as is the case for Y$_2$WO$_4$:Eu [3].

Taking the uncertainty of the measurements into account, we cannot conclude that for a Eu content exceeding 1 mol% the Eu$^{3+}$ ions substitute in a preferential way on one of the two crystallographic sites. It is interesting to mention in this respect that Forest and Ban have found from reflection measurements that the distribution is approximately random [18].

The fact that we have never found an intensity ratio larger than 3 indicates that, when there is a slight difference in stability of Eu$^{3+}$ ions incorporated on both sites, the most favourable position is the S$_8$ site with inversion symmetry.

As compared with the samples discussed until now (prepared from solid oxides with NH$_4$Cl as a fluxing agent fired at 1500°C), the Y$_{1-x}$Eu$_x$O$_3$ compounds synthesised in totally different ways without fluxing agent [(1) solid oxides, 1200°C; (2) coprecipitated material, 900°C] did not show many differences, in contrast with luminescence measurements. The isomer shifts of the total line did not show any change, indicating roughly the same average local environment of the Eu$^{3+}$ ions, independent of the preparation method. The width of the total line is slightly larger than the linewidth of the other Y$_2$O$_3$:Eu samples. We feel that as a consequence of the less optimum preparation conditions for these samples there is a distribution of slightly different environments for both crystallographic sites, resulting in line broadening. The intensity ratio of the lines of the two subspectra is not changed.

This appears to be valid for the commercial phosphors as well, which for the rest gave results which were identical with the results of the samples prepared by us using NH$_4$Cl as a fluxing agent.

Pappalardo and Hunt argue that the possibility of a preferential site occupation will not affect significantly the emission brightness of Y$_3$O$_3$:Eu, because of efficient energy transfer from Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the S$_8$ site to Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the C$_2$ site [19]. However, they have not considered the influence of a preferential site occupation on the absorption, which also determines the emission brightness. The fact that we have not found a preferential site occupation indicates that this cannot be a possible explanation for the observed variation in emission brightness shown by different commercial phosphors [19].

5.1.3. (Y, Ln)$_2$O$_3$:Eu (Ln = In, La, Gd) and Ln$_2$O$_3$:Eu (Ln = In, Sc, Gd, Lu). In Fig. 3 we have plotted the isomer shift of the total line as a function of $x$ for the mixed oxides Y$_{1-x}$In$_x$Eu$_x$O$_3$, Y$_{1-x}$Gd$_x$Eu$_x$O$_3$, and Y$_{1-x}$La$_x$Eu$_x$O$_3$. It is clear that there is a strong dependence on $x$. This can be qualitatively explained if we consider the size of the lattice ions involved. If Y ions are replaced by the smaller In ions the lattice parameter will decrease a little and therefore the average Eu–O distance will also decrease. This gives rise to an increased electron density at the Eu nucleus and to a larger isomer shift. On the other hand if the Y ions are replaced by the larger La or Gd ions the lattice will expand a little, the average Eu–O distance will increase and the isomer shift will decrease.

The difference in size between Y and La is larger
than between Y and Gd and therefore the change in isomer shift will be larger for Y, 9 Ln Eu, 0, than for Y, 9, Gd, Eu, 0.

Some measurements do not fit into this picture. It concerns sesquioxides which do not have the cubic modification: much deviation was found for hexagonal La, 9 In, Eu, 0, and Y, 9 Ln, Eu, 0, and only a slight deviation for monoclinic Gd, Eu, 0, and Y, 9 La, Eu, 0.

It is remarkable that the signal-to-noise ratio was lower for the mixed oxides, due to a smaller Mössbauer fraction probably as a consequence of the larger number of different phonon energies which are available.

In Fig. 4 we have plotted the isomer shift of the total line as a function of the lattice parameter \( \langle a \rangle \) for cubic Ln, 9 Eu, 0, (Ln = In, Sc, Gd and Lu). Also included are the data of Fig. 2: cubic Y, 9 Eu, 0, and Fig. 3: cubic Y, 9 Ln, Eu, 0, (Ln = In, La and Gd), now as a function of the lattice parameter \( \langle a \rangle \). It is clear that there exists a relation between the lattice parameter \( \langle a \rangle \) and the isomer shift.

Except for Sc, the isomer shift increases with decreasing lattice parameter. This can also be explained by the volume dependence of the isomer shift, except for In. The calculated volume dependence is indicated in Fig. 4 by the dashed line.

It is obvious that the isomer shifts of In, 9 Eu, 0, and Sc, Eu, 0, are much smaller than one would expect on the basis of the volume dependence. It is questionable whether the simple relations describing the volume dependence of the isomer shift, which can
be found in the literature [16], are valid for volume changes of more than about 25%. Several reasons can be put forward to explain this downward deviation. Sc, and especially In, are more electronegative than Eu, resulting in a lower s-electron density at the Eu nucleus and thus a smaller isomer shift. From the considerable difference between the ionic radius of the Eu$^{3+}$ ion and that of the In$^{3+}$, and especially of the Sc$^{3+}$ ion, one expects considerable local distortions, which have been observed with luminescence measurements even in Y$_2$O$_3$: Eu [20], resulting in less symmetric sites and thus a smaller isomer shift.

Some broadening of the Mössbauer spectrum is also ascribed to the local distortions. Moreover, in this situation of strongly oppressing oxygen ions, it is likely that penetration into the more inner orbitals of the Eu$^{3+}$ ion takes place, leading to an electron donation into the 4f orbitals resulting in a smaller isomer shift [21].

In Fig. 5 we have plotted the difference in isomer shift between the S$_z$ and C$_2$ sites as a function of the lattice parameter $\langle a \rangle$ for the cubic sesquioxides Ln$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$ (Ln = In, Sc, Y, Gd, Lu) and Eu$_3$O$_3$. It is clear that this difference increases with decreasing lattice parameter.

A decrease of the lattice parameter will result in a decrease of the Eu-O distance and in an increase of the electron density, which affects the S$_z$ site more than the C$_2$ site. If we compare this difference in isomer shift with the isomer shift of the total line, it appears that the ratio between the two does not change very much over the whole series. The increasing difference makes it possible to distinguish clearly the S$_z$ and C$_2$($v$) sites in the spectra of In$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$ (see Fig. 1(a)) and Sc$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$.

**5.2. Monoclinic modification (Gd$_3$O$_3$: Eu)**

In Fig. 1(c) we showed the Mössbauer spectrum of monoclinic Gd$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$. The spectrum of monoclinic Eu$_3$O$_3$ looks the same. The decrease of the isomer shift of 1.08 mm s$^{-1}$ for monoclinic Gd$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$ to 0.99 mm s$^{-1}$ for Eu$_3$O$_3$ can be fully explained by the volume dependence.

The isomer shift of the total line of the monoclinic modification is 0.03 and 0.11 mm s$^{-1}$ larger than the cubic modification of Gd$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$ and Eu$_3$O$_3$, respectively.

Dulaney and Clifford measured only a small increase of 0.007 mm s$^{-1}$ for cubic Eu$_3$O$_3$ as compared with monoclinic Eu$_3$O$_3$ [17], whereas Gerth et al., reported a change of 0.22 mm s$^{-1}$ with respect to monoclinic Eu$_3$O$_3$ for an Eu$_3$O$_3$ material, whose modification is not given [22]. These differences can probably be attributed to a different thermal history of the samples, which has been reported to influence the isomer shift of Eu$_3$O$_3$ significantly [23].

The isomer shift of our monoclinic and also our cubic Eu$_3$O$_3$ did not show any dependence on the two preparation methods used.

The difference in isomer shift between the monoclinic and cubic structure is due to the different oxygen surroundings of the Eu$^{3+}$ ions in the two crystallographic modifications.

We feel that the effect of larger average distances of six Gd-O (and consequently Eu-O) bonds in the monoclinic modification as compared with the corresponding bonds in the cubic modification, can only partly be compensated by the seventh oxygen ion at a significantly larger distance. The total effect can only result in an increase of the isomer shift if we assume a considerable increase of covalency in the monoclinic modification. The larger difference in isomer shift between monoclinic and cubic Eu$_3$O$_3$ as compared with the corresponding Gd$_{1.8}$Eu$_{0.1}$O$_3$ compounds also indicates a higher covalency contribution due to an increased Eu concentration.

The spectra of the monoclinic phases have been fitted with three Lorentzian lines with equal relative
improvement. We therefore conclude that the Eu$^{3+}$ isomer shifts of the three subspectra (0.05, 0.65 and 0.35 mms$^{-1})$ are attributed to Eu$^{3+}$ ions which are substituted on the site with the seventh oxygen ion (average Eu-0 distance). The smallest isomer shift of 1.20 mms$^{-1}$ is somewhat far away (average distance 2.46 Å). The isomer shifts at 1.08 and 1.8 mms$^{-1}$ are ascribed to Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the sites with average Gd-0 (and thus roughly the same Eu-0) distances of 2.41 and 2.39 Å, respectively.

The situation is quite analogous to that in Y$_2$WO$_4$:Eu [3]. Despite the higher coordination number and shorter Eu-O bonds in Y$_2$WO$_4$:Eu, the isomer shifts of the three subspectra (0.05, 0.65 and 1.25 mms$^{-1}$) are all smaller than the corresponding values for monoclinic Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu, indicating a large contribution of covalency effects in the latter compound.

5.3. Hexagonal modification (La$_2$O$_3$:Eu)

The Mössbauer spectrum of La$_{1.2}$Eu$_{1.8}$O$_3$ is shown in Fig. 1(d). Since this material is rather hygroscopic, the compound La(OH)$_3$:Eu was formed during the measurement despite the storage in a plastic bag. This was confirmed by X-ray diffraction measurements. We therefore also measured the Mössbauer spectrum of pure La(OH)$_3$:Eu. This spectrum consists of a single line with an isomer shift of 0.34 mms$^{-1}$. The observed spectrum of partly hydrolysed La$_{1.2}$Eu$_{1.8}$O$_3$ consists of two subspectra. The first at about 0.34 mms$^{-1}$, whose intensity increases with measuring time due to continued hydrolysis, belongs clearly to the Eu$^{3+}$ site. The second subspectrum, belonging to La$_2$O$_3$:Eu, can be fitted well with one Lorentzian line due to Eu$^{3+}$ ions substituted on the only site available in this host lattice.

The isomer shift of 1.00 mms$^{-1}$ is somewhat higher than the value observed for the cubic modification with the largest Lu$_2$O$-O$ distance. The three shortest bonds (2.37 Å) are even longer than in the most expanded cubic modification, the fourth oxygen ion is at 2.46 Å and the remaining three at 2.73 Å. We therefore deduce an effect of increased covalency in hexagonal La$_{1.2}$Eu$_{1.8}$O$_3$, as compared with the cubic modification, despite coordination of the Eu$^{3+}$ ions with, in total, seven oxygen ions.

Because at the shortest Ln-O distance only three oxygen ions are present in hexagonal La$_2$O$_3$:Eu (at 2.37 Å), while in monoclinic Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu the six nearest oxygens are at 2.35 Å, on the average, we have to assume an increased covalency effect in hexagonal La$_2$O$_3$:Eu as compared with monoclinic Gd$_2$O$_3$:Eu.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, with $^{153}$Eu Mössbauer spectroscopy we have gained information concerning the site occupation and bonding of Eu$^{3+}$ ions incorporated in sesquioxides Ln$_2$O$_3$ (Ln = In, Sc, Y, La, Gd, Lu) and some mixed oxides, by correlation with crystallographic and luminescence data.

We have shown that the dependence of the isomer shift on the lattice parameter $<a>$ of the sesquioxides with the cubic modification can be described by the volume dependence for limited volume changes. In the spectra of cubic In$_2$Eu$_{3.2}$O$_3$ and Sc$_2$Eu$_{3.2}$O$_3$ the two subspectra belonging to Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the two different crystallographic sites are clearly resolved. The subspectrum with the highest isomer shift is ascribed to Eu$^{3+}$ ions on the most symmetric site. There is no indication that the occupation of the two available sites in the cubic modification by Eu$^{3+}$ ions is preferential, i.e. deviates from the expected 1:3 ratio.

The values of the isomer shift obtained for the monoclinic and hexagonal modification suggest an increased covalency with respect to the cubic modification, which is in accordance with structural data.
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