A distributed feature-based environment for collaborative design

Citation for published version (APA):

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/2003

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:
• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher’s website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 10. Jul. 2019
A Distributed Feature-based Environment for Collaborative Design

Wei-Dong Li, Yi-Qiang Lu, Hong Zhou
Singapore Institute of Manufacturing Technology
71 Nanyang Drive, Singapore, 638075
Email: wdli@gintic.gov.sg, Tel: +65-6793-8354, Fax: +65-6791-6377

Soh-Khim Ong, Andrew YC Nee, Jerry YH Fuh, Yoke-San Wong
Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore
10 Kent Ridge Crescent, Singapore, 119260

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a client/server design environment based on 3D feature-based modelling and Java technologies to enable design information to be shared efficiently among members within a design team. In this environment, design tasks and clients are organised through working sessions generated and maintained by a collaborative server. The information from an individual design client during a design process is updated and broadcast to other clients in the same session through an event-driven and call-back mechanism. The downstream manufacturing analysis modules can be wrapped as agents and plugged into the open environment to support the design activities. At the server side, a feature-feature relationship is established and maintained to filter the varied information of a working part, so as to facilitate efficient information update during the design process.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For a complex design task, a design team is usually engaged, and the communication and collaboration among members in the team are crucial to enable the design to be carried out effectively. With the rapid development of IT, it is possible and imperative to develop a distributed design environment, in which geographically-distributed design systems can be integrated and a design team can be set up within the Internet/Intranet. In this environment, design information among the team can be exchanged across physical and temporal boundaries efficiently. With the integration of manufacturing analysis modules in the environment, downstream manufacturing processes of the product life-cycle can be considered and evaluated in the initial design phase, which will lead to better engineered products with higher quality, less iterations and more cost competitiveness.

Recently, some research and developments have been carried out in this area. Different collaborative design mechanisms and system architectures have been designed. A summary is listed in Table 1.

In this paper, a distributed feature-based modelling environment to support collaborative design is described. A designed part is modelled at the server side, and the clients are responsible for design parameter input, visualization and selection of operations for the part. The information communicated between the clients and the server is wrapped as events, and an event-driven and call-back mechanism is developed to efficiently update information in the environment. The environment is open and scaleable, and it can dynamically integrate downstream manufacturing analysis agents without re-initializing the whole system. At the server side, a feature-feature relationship is established to differentiate the varied information of a part during its creation or edition process, so as to efficiently update information in the network.

2. SYSTEM FRAMEWORK

The system framework is illustrated in Figure 1. The three parts in the system are as follows:

(1) Design clients;
(2) A collaborative server; and
(3) Intelligent downstream manufacturing analysis and optimization agents.

The detailed functions of each part in the environment are described in Table 2. The organization for designing a part collaboratively in the environment is depicted in Figure 2.

3. DISTRIBUTED MECHANISM

3.1 Remote Method Invocation (RMI) protocol

Java RMI is a simple and yet powerful protocol for distributed object design. Java RMI-based objects can be quickly deployed and managed across networks, and the RMI mechanism is a much easier and lighter weight approach to distributed object design. In this research, the establishment of the distributed design environment is based on the Java RMI mechanism.

According to the Java RMI mechanism, through defining remote interfaces, methods and objects can be used for remote calling and transmission. The remote interfaces defined in the environment are given in Figure 3.

3.2 Event-driven and call-back mechanism

In order to enable clients to update design information only when the server has a new event to communicate, instead of routinely pinging the server for information and creating a network backlog, a call-back mechanism is employed to develop a high-performance and robust server. The working process for the call-back mechanism is described as follows:

(1) In a working session, a list is created to store the references of clients that have joined the session.
(2) With an input of parameters for a feature, a parameter event is generated in a client. Through invoking one of the server methods - push_Event(), such an event is received and handled by the server. After an object event is created and...
ready for broadcasting from the server, each client recorded in the reference list is activated to receive the event by invoking one of the clients’ methods - receive_Event(). A similar process can be carried out between the server and the required CAPP agent. A common event interface, which extends Serializable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distributed Strategies</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>R&amp;D Examples</th>
<th>Diagrams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Visualization and annotation of 3D CAD models | - The tools are primarily for visualization, annotation and inspection in a web environment. They do not support a real co-modelling activity.  
- The CAD models are simplified as polygon mesh models for visualization.  
- The mesh models are divided as different Levels of Details (LODs) for incremental transmission and display. | VizStream™, Hoops Stream Toolkit™, van den Berg et al | Viewer  
Web server  
Client  
Manipulator  
Modeling workspace  
Server  
Mesh models  
LODs  
CAD models |
| Co-design of 3D CAD models | - The tools provide collaborative facilities to support real co-design activities on 3D CAD models.  
- The exchanged messages for maintaining the consistency of the co-design environment can be 3D models or creation/edition commands for 3D models.  
- The sizes of the CAD models are usually huge. In some research, new kinds of feature or assembly representation schemes have been developed for distributed applications. | AlibreDesign™, GS-Design™, OneSpace™ | CAD  
Server  
Message  
CAD  
Broadcast  
CAD  
3D model  
Simplification  
AAG  
Assembly model  
Re-organization  
AFRG |
| Services sharing for other systems | - The services or sub-modules of a system can be shared and manipulated by other systems.  
- For the Inventor™ collaborative tool, at any one time, only an Inventor system has the “control baton” to design, and the controlled Inventor system is an observer. The “control baton” can be acquired and exchanged. | Nam and Wright, Qiang et al  
Lee, Shyamsundar and Gadh | Inventor™  
Control and Manipulate  
Inventor  
Having a “control baton”  
System  
Sharing  
Service  
System  
Access & manipulate |

Table 1. Summary of reported research and systems for distributed design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>events</th>
<th>events</th>
<th>events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote Interfaces</td>
<td>Remote Interfaces</td>
<td>Remote Interfaces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Client (Java Swing)**  
- Visualization  
- Selection  
- Manipulation  
- Wrapper  
Some visualization and manipulation functions (C++) | **Session Manager**  
**Session**  
**Modelling Workspace**  
Java Functions  
- Model Kernel (C++)  
- Feature creations  
- Boolean operations  
- Local operations  
- Transformations  
- Modifications  
**Discussion Community** | **Abstract classes**  
**Feature Recognition**  
**Manufacturability Analysis**  
**CAPP Optimization** |

Figure 1. System framework of the distributed design environment
Two volumetric features in a part $\subseteq P$ - Regularized Boolean interaction, a proper integrated later.

According to a combined evaluation criterion of machine costs, sequencing machining operations can be considered integrated [Li et al., 2002(a)]. The relationships between features can be categorized as interacting and non-interacting relationships. The interacting relationships between features include adjacency, overlapping, nesting and constraining [Li et al., 2002(b)]. The relationships are defined in Table 4, in which the symbols are as follows. Several cases for these definitions are illustrated in Figure 4.

$$FE_1, FE_2$$ - Two volumetric features in a part $P$

$1 \subset \subset - $ Regularized Boolean interaction, a proper subset, not a proper subset.

![Table 2. The functions in each part of the distributed environment](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clients</th>
<th>Collaborative Server</th>
<th>Analysis Agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter Input/Editing Interface</strong></td>
<td><strong>Session Manager</strong></td>
<td><strong>Intelligent analysis and optimization modules</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Input/edition of parameters for features</td>
<td>• Dynamical generation of working sessions;</td>
<td>• Machining feature recognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Selection of entities in features</td>
<td>• Sharing of parts for clients within a working session</td>
<td>• Manufacturability analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information queries for features and parts</td>
<td></td>
<td>• CAPP optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visualization Environment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Modelling workspace</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• JFC-swing components to build the environment</td>
<td>• Acceptance of parameters or entities from the clients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Visualization and manipulation of parts</td>
<td>• Modelling parts based on OpenCasCade™solid kernel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Through Java Native Interface (JNI), the native API functions of the OpenCasCade™ can be invoked and manipulated by Java application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion Community</strong></td>
<td><strong>Table 3. The relationships between features</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provision of e-room for discussion and information (text and multimedia) exchange among clients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Communication
The communication between each part is through an event-driven and call-back mechanism based on the Java RMI protocol.

5. FEATURE RELATIONSHIP AND MANIPULATION

The downstream manufacturing analysis modules can be wrapped as intelligent agents to be plugged in the system to support concurrent engineering design.

A three-layer architecture, including remote interfaces, abstract classes and class implementation, is designed for this purpose. With the definitions of the abstract classes for agents, some agents can be integrated later without re-initializing the whole system.

Currently, a hybrid genetic algorithm and simulated annealing CAPP optimization agent has been designed and integrated [Li et al., 2002(a)]. With such an agent, the activities of selecting machining resources, determining setup plans, and sequencing machining operations can be considered simultaneously so as to achieve the global lowest machining cost according to a combined evaluation criterion of machine costs, cutting tool costs, machine changes, tool and setup changes.

The other two agents, namely machining feature recognition and manufacturability analysis [Li, 2001], will be integrated later.

5.1 Feature-to-feature relationships

In the environment, a client dynamically and interactively edits features in a part. Since a feature is usually associated with other features in the part, the edition operation on a feature might cause variations in other features in the part. In order to speed up the transmission of the designed model efficiently via the network with limited bandwidth, the varied information during the edition process should be differentiated in the server side and synchronized with the unchanged information in the client side. For this purpose, a feature-feature relationship should be studied.

The relationships between features can be categorized as interacting and non-interacting relationships. The interacting relationships between features include adjacency, overlapping, nesting and constraining [Li et al., 2002(b)]. The relationships are defined in Table 4, in which the symbols are as follows. Several cases for these definitions are illustrated in Figure 4.
For the different edition operations on a feature (adding features, deleting features, or modifying parameters of features), the feature-feature relationships associated with this feature are set up and maintained. The processes are described as follows:

1. When a feature is New Added, its interacting and non-interacting sets are set up according to the definitions. The features in the interacting set are Updated.

2. When a feature is Deleted, its nesting features are Deleted. Other features in its interacting set will be differentiated as two types: features with changes in volume or boundary are Updated, or features without changes in volume and boundary are Unchanged. The features in the non-interacting set are Unchanged.

3. When the parameters of a feature are modified, the process consists of three steps:
   (a) The features in its non-interacting set that have adjacency, overlapping or nesting relationship with this feature due to the modification are Updated;
   (b) The features in its interacting set will be differentiated as two types: features with changes in volume or boundary are Updated, and features without changes in volume and boundary are Unchanged;
   (c) If this feature has a constraining feature, the interacting and non-interacting features of the constraining feature will be adjusted according to the above steps.

For features that are New Added and Updated differentiated from above process, their unique IDs, feature objects and other properties are wrapped into the object event for design features. For features that are Deleted, only their IDs are recorded in the event for clients to erase the relevant information. The Unchanged features will be kept the same in the clients. The scenario is shown in Figure 5.

5.3 A case study to illustrate the updated process

Table 3. Four types of events in the distributed environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Type[]</td>
<td>Type of the features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_IDs[]</td>
<td>Unique IDs of the features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Parents[]</td>
<td>Parent features of the features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Parent_IDs[]</td>
<td>Unique IDs of the parent features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Properties[]</td>
<td>Properties of the feature objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del_Feat_IDs[]</td>
<td>Unique IDs of the deleted features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Parameters[]</td>
<td>Form parameters of the feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position_Parameters[]</td>
<td>Position parameters of the feature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Parameter event for a design feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Properties[]</td>
<td>Properties of features in the part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature_Matrix[][]</td>
<td>Feature relation matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Object event for a design part

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process_Plans</td>
<td>Generated process plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machining_Cost</td>
<td>Machining cost for the plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) Process plan event for a design part

Figure 3. Remote interfaces in the distributed environment
Relationships | Definitions
--- | ---
$FE_1$ and $FE_2$ are **adjacent** | $FE_1 \cap FE_2 = \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_1) \cap \partial (FE_2) \neq \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_1) \cap \partial (P^{'}) = \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_2) \cap \partial (P^{'}) = \emptyset$.  

$FE_1$ and $FE_2$ are **overlapped** | $FE_1 \cap FE_2 \neq \emptyset$, $FE_1 \subsetneq FE_2$ and $FE_2 \subsetneq FE_1$.  

$FE_1$ **nests** $FE_2$ | If both of $FE_1$ and $FE_2$ are either negative or positive: $FE_1 \cap FE_2 = \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_1) \cap \partial (FE_2) \neq \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_1) \cap \partial (P^{'}) = \emptyset$.  

If one of $FE_1$ and $FE_2$ is negative, and another one is positive: $FE_1 \subset FE_2$.  

$FE_2$ **nests** $FE_1$ | If both of $FE_1$ and $FE_2$ are either negative or positive: $FE_1 \cap FE_2 = \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_1) \cap \partial (FE_2) \neq \emptyset$, $\partial (FE_2) \cap \partial (P^{'}) = \emptyset$.  

If one of $FE_1$ and $FE_2$ is negative, and another one is positive: $FE_2 \subset FE_3$.  

$FE_1$ and $FE_2$ are **constrained** | There are some constraints between the entities in $FE_1$ and $FE_2$.  

---

Table 4. The relationships between two interacting features - $FE_1$ and $FE_2$

![Diagram](image)

Figure 4. Examples of relationships between interacting features - $FE_1$ and $FE_2$

An example case is illustrated in Figure 6 (a). The feature-to-feature relationships for the part are shown in Figure 6(b). There is a constraint between the widths of slots 1 and 2. With the shrinking operation of slot 2 in Figure 6(c), the relationship between the feature and the features in its non-interacting set remain the same and are labeled as *Unchanged*. The features in its non-interacting set are *Updated*. Since slots 1 and 2 have the same interacting set, with the variation of slot 1, the features in the interacting set of slot 1 will not be handled further. The final result is shown in Figure 6(d).

6. CONCLUSION

A distributed design environment based on 3D feature-based modelling and Java technologies has been proposed and developed. The advantages of the approach include:

1. The environment can simulate a practical teamwork situation through creating and managing dynamic sessions, in which clients can play different roles in the design task.
A high-performance and robust server is established through an event-driven and call-back mechanism;

(2) The design information based on 3D feature-based modelling technology can be exchanged and updated in the environment efficiently through a feature-to-feature relationship and manipulation method. The system is open and scaleable, and additional analysis modules can be incorporated by implementing some pre-defined abstract classes.
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Figure 5. Differentiation of features during a part edition process

A design part

Holes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Slot 1, 2
Step 1
Step 2
Step 3
Step 4
Stock

(a) A design part

Holes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
Slot 1, 2
Step 1
Step 2
Step 3
Step 4
Stock

(c) The width of Slot 2 is shrunk

(b) The feature-feature relationship

Unchanged features: Steps 1, 2, 3, and 4, Holes 2 and 5
New Added features: No
Deleted features: No
Updated features: Stock, Slots 1 and 2, Holes 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7

(d) The unchanged and changed features

Figure 6. A case part containing the differentiated features