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Abstract—This paper concerns the measurement-based comparison of urban microcellular mobile radio channel characteristics at 1.9 GHz and a higher frequency, i.e., 5.8 GHz, where future wireless systems could operate. Characteristics that are reported include transmission loss, root-mean-square delay spread, frequency correlation, and envelope fading statistics. Conclusions are drawn concerning whether significant differences in the performance of mobile radio links operating under the same signal-to-noise ratio conditions in the two bands would be expected in the area where the measurements were made.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As a result of worldwide demand for the expansion of mobile radio systems by allowing operation in higher frequency bands, it is of interest to determine if significant design changes would be needed if microcellular systems at frequencies near 2 GHz were shifted to a higher frequency band. This paper provides some of the required knowledge through a comparison of key propagation characteristics in the same coverage area at 1.9 and 5.8 GHz. Where possible, comparisons are made with results of other similar studies [1]–[3].

II. MEASUREMENTS AND EQUIPMENT

Measured data were recorded during sequential continuous-wave (CW) and pseudonoise channel sounding experiments [4], [5] in the downtown section of Ottawa, ON, Canada, at center frequencies of 1.9 and 5.8 GHz (hereinafter referred to as 2 and 6 GHz for convenience). Similar measurements were also made at 2 GHz in urban Toronto, ON, some years earlier, and in the line-of-sight (LOS) CW case, some measurements from that data pool were used in modeling reported herein. At both center frequencies, transmission with an effective isotropic radiated power of +36 dBm was from a biconical antenna mounted at 7.8 m above the sidewalk along urban streets. Measurements at 2 and 6 GHz were made on different days and on LOS, as well as on parallel and perpendicular non-line-of-sight (NLOS) streets. CW measurements were made on all streets within 1 km of each of two transmit (Tx) sites in Ottawa and within the same distance of five Tx sites in Toronto. Wideband measurements reported here were only from street sections within two city blocks of the Ottawa Tx sites. This restriction is required to ensure that the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in impulse response estimates was greater than or equal to 20 dB for all data contributing to comparisons reported here. In the CW case, the narrower receiver bandwidth resulted in a lower receiver noise floor, allowing the analysis of data from greater ranges.

Reception at each center frequency was via a tuned quarter-wavelength monopole mounted in the center of the roof of a minivan. In situ radiation patterns were measured to be omnidirectional within ±3 dB. The minivan was driven at normal traffic speeds throughout the urban centers, while off-air signals at the receiver (Rx) were quadrature down-converted and sampled at either 10 Msamples/s or 2 ksamples/s per quadrature channel for operation in the wideband and CW modes, respectively.

In the wideband mode, 511 chip pseudonoise sequences were transmitted at a rate of 5 Mchips/s. Since all Tx and Rx oscillators were slaved to phase-coherent rubidium standards, and all clocks ran continuously, the recorded data are suitable for the time-series-type analyses reported. Calibration results [4] show that the ratio of root-mean-square (rms) delay spreads for back-to-back measurements in the 2- and 6-GHz bands was 0.96. Since this is greater than the ratio of the medians from experiments (reported later in this paper), it is considered that equipment effects on the desired comparisons were insignificant.

Data postprocessing resulted in a time series of estimates for the cross correlation between the sounding sequence and the received sequence (XCEs) that had an effective sample...
rate of 450 XCEs/s. The authors are reluctant to refer to these as radio channel impulse response estimates, because the sounding bandwidth was not much greater than that of communications systems being considered for the future. However, the 10-MHz bandwidth is considered adequate for the comparisons herein, since it was the same at both 2 and 6 GHz. The 450-XCE/s sample rate was chosen to accommodate the fading rates expected at 6 GHz.

Distance traveled was not recorded during the wideband measurements. Measurement runs using this mode only lasted 1 min due to memory limitations. During the CW experiments, however, recording intervals were longer, and distance was estimated every quarter of a second. CW measurement runs typically lasted long enough to travel more than 1 km.

III. TRANSMISSION LOSS

A comparison of 40-wavelength running averages of received CW power showed no obvious changes in the difference between transmission loss at the two frequencies for streets with different orientations. There were similar random variations in this difference on all streets. Fig. 1 shows results for a street seven blocks from the Tx that ran perpendicular to the LOS street. A large peak at the intersection with the LOS street (at 650 m), as well as a general trend of increasing transmission loss with increasing distance from this intersection, can be seen. Other peaks occur at intersections with parallel streets and near open areas between high-rise buildings. On parallel streets (i.e., streets that ran parallel to the LOS street), there are similar characteristics. In addition, a trend of increasing transmission loss as a function of the distance of the parallel street from the Tx site is clear. The slope of this trend also decreases as a function of distance from the Tx site. A similar structure for transmission loss in microcells in Ottawa was previously reported in [6].

Fig. 1 is typical of all LOS and NLOS measurements in showing no significant dependence of the difference in transmission loss on the Tx–Rx range. This allows the determination of an area-wide average for this difference, which was estimated every meter along each of the 23 streets. An experimentally determined cumulative distribution function (ECDF) for its cumulative probability distribution was also found. For measurements with one Tx site, the ECDF was found to compare well with a lognormal distribution having a mean of 12 dB and a standard deviation of 4 dB. For the other Tx site, which was one city block to the west on a perpendicular street, the appropriate model was also lognormal with a standard deviation of about 4 dB, but it had a mean of 7 dB. These results compare well with those reported in [3] for several districts in Tokyo.

Consideration of the difference in effective areas of tuned monopole Rx antennas at the two frequencies leads one to expect that if there were no fading and no obstructions, the difference in received power would be given by the square of the ratio of wavelengths, which is 9.5 dB. Although the standard deviations of the results for the two Tx sites are consistent, the mean differences lie on either side of this 9.5-dB ratio.

It is believed that the disparity of the mean differences can be partially explained by observation of the difference in the fading patterns of the running means at the two frequencies. This pattern occurs over distances on the order of a few meters and is often referred to as shadow fading. It is a result of both multipath interference and the obstruction of impinging waves (whether directly from the Tx or via multipath sources) by obstacles. Some now consider [7]–[9] that the term shadowing and the mechanisms that cause large-scale fading are often misunderstood. Shadow fading, or large-scale fading evidenced by variations in average received signal power from one local area to another, should not be associated solely with variations in obstruction to LOS between the Tx and Rx antennas. This is demonstrated by comparison of results in Fig. 2, calculated from a simple two-ray model that considers a direct ray and a single ground-reflected ray. This is a clear example of a difference in large-scale (shadow) fading at the two frequencies in the absence of any obstruction losses—fixed or variable. The mean difference between transmission loss at the two frequencies in this example is 13 dB, and the standard deviation is 7 dB. The distribution for this difference, however, was found not to compare well with a lognormal model.
In real-world environments, the multipath situations are considerably more complicated than those associated with the two-ray model. It is therefore considered reasonable that differences would exist in the large-scale multipath fading patterns along different streets into which energy would have been coupled from the two Tx sites via different paths and mechanisms (e.g., through buildings and gaps between buildings). Furthermore, diffraction effects [1], which would always result in more loss at the higher frequency, would result in greater loss differences than those attributable to the effective aperture ratio. The combination of these effects could have easily resulted in the observed positive and negative disparities from that ratio for measurements centered on the two Tx sites.

Results from the 2-GHz CW measurements were used to evaluate various microcellular channel propagation loss models [10]–[12]. Some model extensions were also proposed [5]. On LOS streets, the best fits to 40-wavelength running averages of received power were obtained using the modified two-ray model reported by Oda et al. [10]. This model extends the basic two-ray model by using a visibility parameter, which is denoted as $s$, to account for obstruction of the direct and ground-reflected rays by vehicles, signs, etc. The received power of the basic two-ray model is multiplied with $e^{-sr}$, where $r$ is the separation between the Tx and Rx. The cited model also makes allowance for the case that the secondary ray might come from a vehicle roof rather than the street surface by considering the average height of the Tx and Rx antennas to be lower than they actually are. This reduction in the antenna height is denoted by $h_0$. Transmission results from 18 2-GHz Ottawa and Toronto LOS street sections having lengths between 300 and 400 m were compared with this model. The best fits were obtained for values of $h_0$ ranging between 0.6 and 1.6 m and $s$ between 0 and 0.005. The median value for $s$ was 0.0025, and that for $h_0$ was 1.2 m.

In addition to finding the best fit models for each of the 18 LOS street sections, a general model with $h_0 = 1.2$ m and $s = 0.001$ was determined, which gave reasonable fits in most LOS cases. Differences of the 40-wavelength running mean of experimental results from this model had means that ranged between 0.6 and 1.6 m and $s$ between 0 and 0.005. The median value for $s$ was 0.0025, and that for $h_0$ was 1.2 m.

Good models for the parallel and perpendicular streets were more difficult to find because of the infusion of energy from each intersection in addition to that coupled through gaps between buildings. On perpendicular streets, reasonable success was obtained for distances of up to 300 m from the LOS street using a virtual source model reported in [11]. The parameter set for the associated generalized model is given as follows: $W_x = 20$ m, $x = 2$ m, $r_s$ as per the area map, and $\alpha = 0.2$, where $W_x$ represents the width of the perpendicular street, $x$ represents the distance of the base station antenna from the adjacent building wall, $r_s$ represents the distance (in meters) from the base station to the centerline of the perpendicular street, and $\alpha$ is a model parameter described in [11]. Using this model for propagation loss on 15 different trajectories along streets within five city blocks of the Ottawa Tx sites resulted in rms variations of experimentally determined 40-wavelength means with respect to the model that ranged between 2.5 and 9 dB.

A modified virtual source model [12] was tested for parallel streets. The modifications were intended to allow propagation through and between buildings. Results with about the same accuracy as those reported for the other streets were eventually obtained for each street section. However, no consistencies were found that would allow for the reporting of a “generalized” model for the other street types.

IV. MULTIPATH DISPERSION

To parameterize multipath dispersion, rms delay spread values were estimated from average power delay profiles (APDPs). These were derived from XCEs, as defined in Section II, using a noise exclusion threshold [13] chosen such that one noise spike could have been mistaken for a multipath component in every 100 XCEs. This threshold would have automatically been set higher at 6 GHz because of the greater transmission loss and concomitant lower SNR at the receiver. There is therefore a degree of uncertainty regarding rms delay spread comparisons. Nevertheless, such comparisons were made and considered along with other results in order to draw conclusions. Averaging was over the first 500 XCEs in each of 45 time series measurements at each frequency. Resulting time series were found to always possess wide sense stationary (WSS) characteristics, as evaluated at selected spectral lines within the sounding bandwidth using the Runs test [14].

Although measurements at the two frequencies were conducted on different days, the majority of wideband channel characteristics were estimated using (paired) data files from approximately the same street sections. This gave what is considered to be equivalent representation within the data pools at 2 and 6 GHz. Data from street sections where measurements were made at only one frequency or the other were also used to contribute to ECDFs. Consecutive measurements within the data sets used for analyses reported here were determined to be almost uncorrelated by means of scatter plots. Thus, the contribution of each of the APDPs is considered to be significant. The pairing of observations from the same street section at the two frequencies is also considered to have enhanced the probability of a good comparison. Fig. 3 shows a scatter plot of rms delay spreads from the paired data files.

It can be seen that most of the time, the comparisons were concentrated about the equivalence line, although there were a significant number of outliers that indicate greater dispersion at 2 GHz than at 6 GHz. These instances primarily occurred at greater distances from the Tx. ECDFs were also estimated from the total pool of rms delay spread results, including those from unpaired street sections, and are shown in Fig. 4.

Up to about the 80th percentile, the ECDFs are very similar. According to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test [15], the 45 data used to compile the ECDFs result in 90% confidence boundaries that lie at approximately 18 percentage points above and below the plotted values. Visual examination cannot therefore be used to draw conclusions about whether or not the ECDFs represent the same population. Another application of
two-sample version of the KS test, however, can be used for this purpose. If this test is conducted at the 1% significance level (i.e., such that there is only 1% probability of an incorrect decision to reject the null hypothesis that the EDFCs represent the same population), the null hypothesis cannot be rejected. Yet another calculation using an equation for approximating the KS distribution [16] shows that if the critical level for rejection of the null hypothesis were placed exactly at the maximum discrepancy between the EDFCs and the null hypothesis was rejected, the probability that such a decision would be in error is 86%. These are all strong indicators that there is no significant difference in the underlying distribution of rms delay spreads at 2 and 6 GHz.

The correlation between random variations of rms delay spreads at the two frequencies as a function of location is also of interest. An estimation of this was made possible because of the paired data files and gave a correlation coefficient of 0.87.

V. FREQUENCY CORRELATION

As reported in [17], the normally applied Fourier transform relationship between APDPs and frequency correlation functions (FCFs) is not always valid, and the relationship between rms delay spreads and coherence bandwidths can be complicated [18]. This makes it necessary to consider both measures in comparing channel characteristics. The method reported in [17] was therefore used to estimate FCFs from the measured XCE time series. A comparison [4] for street sections that exhibited Rayleigh fading showed that many of the two-sided 50% coherence bandwidths were greater at 6 GHz. However, the medians are approximately the same, with that at 2 GHz being 3.6 MHz and that at 6 GHz being 3.8 MHz. The best and worst cases, which are represented by the largest and smallest correlation bandwidths, respectively, are also approximately the same at the two frequencies, being 7.0 MHz and 1.5 MHz.

On Rician channels, the presence of the deterministic component of the received signal results in very high coherence (>90%) across very large bandwidths. This prohibits the estimation of 50% or even 90% coherence bandwidths. However, the normalized volume \( \nu \) of 3-D FCFs that result from using the method in [17] can be estimated, regardless of the envelope fading distribution. It can also be related to mobile digital link performance [19]. Therefore, in consideration of IMT2000 systems, values for \( \nu \) were estimated and normalized over 4-MHz bandwidths. Results for the 45 measurement runs are shown in Fig. 5.

It is observed that the scatter is more evenly centered on the equivalence line than in the case of the rms delay spreads. A comparison of the statistics of \( \nu \) in the measurement area is shown by the EDFCs in Fig. 6.

The discrepancies between the EDFCs in Fig. 6 are smaller than those for the rms delay spreads in Fig. 3. A KS test at the 1% significance level indicated the null hypothesis that the EDFCs represent the same underlying population should not be
VI. Envelope Fading

Rice factors, which reflect fade depths, were estimated for individual spectral lines in the received wideband sounder measurements for the paired data files using the method reported in [20]. Their distribution was found to be similar at 2 and 6 GHz. In addition, to add to the statistical significance of such narrowband fading estimates, the CW data were analyzed over hundreds of short (WSS) intervals on all streets. Globally, histograms for the resulting Rice factors were very similar and had means of 3.7 and 3.5 dB for 2 and 6 GHz, respectively. Mean values for the NLOS streets were approximately the same in the two bands. However, there was a noticeable difference on LOS street sections. On these streets, the mean was 8.6 dB at 2 GHz and 4.4 dB at 6 GHz.

The distribution and cross correlation of envelope fading at Rake fingers, as well as Rake diversity gains that would be available to a spread spectrum receiver with maximum ratio combining (MRC), were also studied. Rake fingers were assumed to be synchronized to the delay of the strongest XCE peak and at two other delays, 300 ns on either side of it. Only three fingers were considered, as the relative power in XCEs was usually below −20 dB beyond the 300-ns excess delay. It was found that Rayleigh and Rician fading models were only occasionally appropriate. Fade ranges between the tenth and ninetieth percentiles were therefore compared rather than Rice factors. Table I shows results for the paired data files. At the median, the increase in instantaneous received power averaged over 1 ms, or available MRC diversity gain, can be seen to be within 1 dB at 2 and 6 GHz. Fading ranges on the Rake fingers are also shown to be approximately equal. Envelope fading correlations among all fingers were low, regardless of frequency band. Finally, it can be seen in the table that the median powers at fingers 2 and 3 relative to that at finger 1 were also approximately equal in the two frequency bands.

The Rake finger data were applied in estimation of average probability of error for a spread spectrum binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) receiver with three Rake fingers and MRC. The assumption of a high spreading factor, i.e., 67 (which allows > 56.6 kbps), coupled with one that multipath delays occur at integer values of the spreading chip period, was used to permit modeling of self interference as being approximately Gaussian, with variance equal to the spreading factor [21]. This allows the variance of the self interference to be added to that of the noise so that the equation for BPSK probability of error on a nonfading channel can be averaged over the measured XCE time series. Results indicate that, at the median, to achieve a probability of error of $10^{-5}$, a bit energy to noise power spectral density ($E_b/N_0$) ratio of 12 dB would be required at 2 GHz in locations corresponding to the paired data files and that only 1 dB more would be needed at 6 GHz.

VII. Conclusion

Results from the analysis of CW measurements reported herein lead to the conclusion that in downtown Ottawa, the difference between transmission loss at 2 and 6 GHz can be modeled as having a lognormal distribution. Assuming similar feed system losses at the two frequencies, the mean of this model should be approximately equal to the difference in the effective apertures of receive antennas, and its standard deviation should be about 4 dB. It is also concluded that the mean can vary by about ±5 dB with respect to the ratio of effective apertures. These conclusions are largely in agreement with those reported on the basis of a similar study in a residential district of Tokyo, Japan [3].

KS tests on ECDFs reported in this paper indicate that there is no reason to reject a hypothesis that the underlying distributions of rms delay spreads at 2 and 6 GHz are the same. Analysis results also show that variations of 2- and 6-GHz rms delay spreads as a function of location within the area where measurements were made are highly correlated.

---

2This spacing represents 1.5 sounder resolution intervals; fading at fingers with closer spacing would be too highly correlated for consideration in realistic diversity studies.

3This refers to the median of 31 results at each frequency for the paired files.
Frequency correlation characteristics, compared through the estimation of 3-D frequency correlation function volumes, are also concluded to be similar in the area where the measurements were made. KS tests showed that a hypothesis that the distributions of such volumes are the same cannot be rejected.

Statistics of narrowband envelope fading showed that globally, Rice factor distributions, and hence fade depths, are also approximately the same at 2 and 6 GHz on NLOS streets. It was found, however, that there is a possibility of greater CW fade depths at 6 GHz on LOS streets. The analysis of fading at equally spaced excess delays in XCEs showed that for spread spectrum systems with 5-MHz bandwidths, there would be very little difference in Rake finger envelope fading characteristics and available MRC diversity gain at 2 and 6 GHz.

The foregoing conclusions indicate that mobile link performance would be very similar at 2 and 6 GHz in systems designed to result in equivalent received SNRs at both frequencies. This was verified by estimating the probability of error for mobile spread spectrum links operating with BPSK and a spreading factor of 67 based on time series analysis of the measured wideband data.

Greater Doppler spreads at 6 GHz, however, warrant careful consideration of whether or not the same frame lengths and channel estimation intervals can be used. Care must also be taken to ensure that symbol durations remain much shorter than coherence times at the higher frequencies in order to ensure no additional degradation as a result of time-selective fading.
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