Queues with service speed adaptations

Citation for published version (APA):

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/2006

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:
• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher’s website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 17. Sep. 2023
Abstract

In this paper, we consider various queueing models in which the server can work at two different service speeds. The speed of the server depends on either the number of customers, or the workload. Our main interest is in the model in which service speed adaptations can only take place at the arrival instants of an external Poisson observer. Using insightful probabilistic arguments we give the structure of the steady-state queue length and workload distributions in the various models.

Also, in case the service speed can only be adapted right after departure instants based on the number of customers, we provide explicit and intuitively appealing expressions for the steady-state distribution of the number of customers present.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider queueing models in which the server can work at two different service speeds. The speed at which the server works depends either on the number of customers in the system or on the workload (i.e., the amount of work) in the system.
Models with service speed depending on queue length or workload arise naturally as representation of (congestion) phenomena in, e.g., manufacturing and healthcare processes. Our main interest is in the model in which service speed adaptations can only take place at the arrival instants of an external observer. We assume that these arrival instants occur according to a Poisson process and in the sequel we call this model the model with service speed adaptations at external Poisson instants. However, we also want to compare the performance of this model with the performance of other models, studied before, in which the server can work at two different service speeds. Therefore, we will also discuss the model with instantaneous service speed adaptations (see Cohen [6] and Gaver and Miller [10]) and the model with service speed adaptations at arrival instants (see Bekker [1] and Bekker and Boxma [2]).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we study a simple $M/M/1$ queue in which the speed of the server depends on the number of customers in the system. In this model the steady-state distribution of the number of customers in the system is a mixture of one or more geometric distributions. The number of geometric terms depends on the instants at which service speed adaptations can take place and on the part of the state space (few/many customers, high/low service speed).

In Section 3, we will consider the $M/M/1$ model in which the speed of the server depends on the workload. It turns out that in this model we get similar results. Now, the steady-state distribution of the workload in the system is a mixture of one or more exponential distributions. The number of exponential terms again depends on the variant and on the part of the state space (high/low workload, high/low service speed).

Section 4 is devoted to situations in which service speed adaptations can only take place at departure instants. In this case, the analysis of the system in which the service speed depends on the workload seems very difficult. Therefore, we restrict our attention to the model in which the service speed depends on the number of customers. We will give explicit and intuitively appealing expressions for the steady-state distribution of the number of customers in the system. Instead of restricting our attention only to the $M/M/1$ queue, here we present the results for the $M/G/1$ queue. This model and some extensions have been studied by others, see e.g. [5, 9, 14, 15]. In [5, 14] the authors consider Laplace-Stieltjes transforms, while in [9, 15] the authors focus on computational aspects. We also refer to Dshalalow [8] for an extensive survey, with 277 references, on queueing models with state-dependent parameters.

In the paper, we always try to use probabilistic arguments to find steady-state distributions (instead of, e.g., directly solving balance equations). Although we restrict our attention to models in which the server can work at only two different service speeds, we expect that our probabilistic approach will also be helpful to understand models in which the server can work at more than two service speeds. The ultimate goal of a performance analysis of queueing models like those described above is to optimise and control the system behaviour. While such optimisation and control is not part of the present paper, the analysis presented here does prepare the ground for it.
2 Service speed based on number of customers

In this section we consider an $M/M/1$ queue in which the server can work at two different speeds, $r_1$ and $r_2$. The service speed is based on the number of customers. If the number of customers in the system is smaller than or equal to $K$, then the server should work at speed $r_1$. If on the other hand the number of customers in the system is bigger than $K$, the server should work at speed $r_2$. However, because service adaptations can only take place at special points in time, in some of the models it can happen that the server still works at speed $r_2$ (resp. $r_1$) although the number of customers has dropped at or below level $K$ (resp. has increased above level $K$).

Customers arrive to the system according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. Service requirements of customers are independent, exponentially distributed random variables with parameter $\mu$. Define $\rho_i := \lambda/(\mu_i)$ with $\mu_i := \mu r_i$, $i = 1, 2$. In the sequel we assume that $\rho_2 < 1$ to assure that the system is stable. Let $X(t)$ denote the number of customers in the system at time $t$. Furthermore, $Y(t)$ represents the state of the server at time $t$. More specifically, $Y(t) = i$ if the speed of the server at time $t$ equals $r_i$, $i = 1, 2$. With $(X, Y)$ we denote a random vector having the steady-state distribution of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(X(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$. With $\pi(k, i)$ we denote the steady-state probability $P((X, Y) = (k, i))$.

2.1 Instantaneous service speed adaptations

In the model with instantaneous service speed adaptations, the speed of the server instantaneously changes from $r_1$ to $r_2$ if the number of customers in the system increases from $K$ to $K + 1$. Similarly, the speed of the server instantaneously changes from $r_2$ to $r_1$ if the number of customers in the system decreases from $K + 1$ to $K$. Hence, in this case the state space of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(X(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ equals

$$S = \{(0, 1), \ldots, (K, 1)\} \cup \{(K + 1, 2), (K + 2, 2), \ldots\}.$$

Clearly, at and below level $K$ the system behaves as an $M/M/1/K$ queue with service speed $r_1$, while above level $K$ the system behaves as an $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_2$. Hence, the steady-state probabilities are given by

$$\pi(k, 1) = C_1 \rho_1^k, \quad k = 0, \ldots, K,$$
$$\pi(K + k + 1, 2) = C_2 \rho_2^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots.$$

The constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ follow from the normalisation equation and the balance equation $\lambda \pi(K, 1) = \mu_2 \pi(K + 1, 2)$.

So we see that the steady-state distribution has geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_1$ on the part of the state space $\{(0, 1), \ldots, (K, 1)\}$ and geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_2$ on the part of the state space $\{(K + 1, 2), (K + 2, 2), \ldots\}$. 

3
2.2 Service speed adaptations at arrival instants

In the model with service speed adaptations at arrival instants, the speed of the server is based on the number of customers in the system just after the arrival instant. If the number of customers in the system increases from \( K \) to \( K + 1 \), the speed of the server instantaneously becomes \( r_2 \) (because there is an arrival). However, if the number of customers in the system decreases from \( K + 1 \) to \( K \), the server continues to work at speed \( r_2 \) until the next arrival instant (and even longer if the next arrival brings the system back into state \( K + 1 \)). Hence, in this case the state space of the continuous-time Markov process \( \{(X(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0} \) equals

\[
S = \{(0, 1), \ldots, (K, 1)\} \cup \{(0, 2), \ldots, (K, 2)\} \cup \{(K + 1, 2), (K + 2, 2), \ldots\}.
\]

As before, above level \( K \) the system behaves as an ordinary \( M/M/1 \) queue with service speed \( r_2 \), leading to

\[
\pi(K + k + 1, 2) = C_1 \rho_2^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots.
\]

At an arbitrary instant at which the number of customers in the system is at or below level \( K \) and the server works at speed \( r_2 \), we have

\[
(X \mid X \leq K, Y = 2) \overset{d}{=} \max(K - Z, 0),
\]

where \( Z \) is geometrically distributed with parameter \( \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda + \mu_2} \), leading to

\[
\pi(k + 1, 2) = C_2 \left( \frac{\lambda + \mu_2}{\mu_2} \right)^k, \quad k = 0, \ldots, K - 1.
\]

Remark that we only give here the expressions for \( \pi(1, 2), \ldots, \pi(K, 2) \). The expression for \( \pi(0, 2) \) is slightly different.

At an arbitrary instant at which the number of customers is at or below \( K \) and the server works at speed \( r_1 \), the system behaves as an \( M/M/1/K \) model with service speed \( r_1 \), but with the special feature that after each overflow, the number of customers is first instantaneously decreased to the steady-state situation of the system when the number of customers is at or below \( K \) and the service speed equals \( r_2 \) and, after that, instantaneously increased by 1 (due to the arrival). By using an up- and downcrossing argument for this system we obtain

\[
\lambda \pi(k, 1) = \mu_1 \pi(k + 1, 1) + \lambda \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\lambda + \mu_2} \right)^{K-k} \pi(K, 1), \quad k = 1, \ldots, K - 1,
\]

from which we can show that

\[
\pi(k + 1, 1) = C_3 \rho_1^k + C_4 \left( \frac{\lambda + \mu_2}{\mu_2} \right)^k, \quad k = 0, \ldots, K - 1.
\]

Again, we only give here the expressions for \( \pi(1, 1), \ldots, \pi(K, 1) \). The expression for \( \pi(0, 1) \) is slightly different.
Hence, the steady-state distribution has geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_2$ on the part of the state space $\{(K+1,2), (K+2,2), \ldots\}$, geometric behaviour with parameter $\frac{\lambda + \mu_2}{\mu_2}$ on the part of the state space $\{(1,2), \ldots, (K,2)\}$ and a mixture of geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_1$ and geometric behaviour with parameter $\frac{\lambda + \mu_2}{\mu_2}$ on the part of the state space $\{(1,1), \ldots, (K,1)\}$.

### 2.3 Service speed adaptations at external Poisson instants

In the model with service speed adaptations at external Poisson instants, we assume that these instants occur according to a Poisson process with rate $\nu$. When the number of customers at an external Poisson instant is above level $K$, then the server works at speed $r_2$ until the next external Poisson instant. Similarly, if the number of customers at an external Poisson instant is at or below level $K$, then the server works at speed $r_1$ until the next external Poisson instant. Because the server can adapt its speed only at external Poisson instants, the state space of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(X(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ in this case equals

$$ S = \{(0,1), \ldots, (K,1)\} \cup \{(K+1,1), \ldots\} \cup \{(0,2), \ldots, (K,2)\} \cup \{(K+1,2), \ldots\}. $$

During periods in which the number of customers in the system is above level $K$ and the server works at speed $r_1$, the system behaves as an ordinary $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_1$ and with disasters occurring with rate $\nu$. For this system it is well-known that the steady-state distribution is geometric with parameter $x_1$, where $x_1$ is the root in $(0,1)$ of the equation

$$ \mu_1 x^2 - (\lambda + \mu_1 + \nu) x + \lambda = 0. \quad (1) $$

Hence, we have

$$ \pi(K+k+1,1) = C_1 x_1^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots. $$

During periods in which the number of customers in the system is above level $K$ and the server works at speed $r_2$ and with the special feature that, whenever the system is empty, additional batches of customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\mu_2$. The batch size distribution of these batches is geometric with parameter $x_1$. For this system an up- and downcrossing argument yields

$$ \lambda \pi(K+k,2) + \mu_2 x_1^k \pi(K+1,2) = \mu_2 \pi(K+k+1,2), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, $$

from which we can show that

$$ \pi(K+k+1,2) = C_2 \rho_2^k + C_3 x_1^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots. $$

During periods in which the number of customers in the system is at or below level $K$ and the server works at speed $r_2$, the system behaves as an ordinary $M/M/1/K$ queue with service speed $r_2$ and with the special feature that, in every state, additional batches
of customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\nu$. The arrival of such a batch immediately brings the number of customers in the system into state $K$. For this part of the state space, the balance equations are given by

$$(\lambda + \mu_2 + \nu) \pi(k+1,2) = \lambda \pi(k,2) + \mu_2 \pi(k+2,2), \quad k = 0, \ldots, K - 1,$$

which immediately leads to

$$\pi(k,2) = C_4 x_2^k + C_5 x_3^k, \quad k = 0, \ldots, K,$$

where $x_2$ and $x_3$ are the roots of the equation

$$\mu_2 x^2 - (\lambda + \mu_2 + \nu)x + \lambda = 0. \quad (2)$$

Finally, during periods in which the number of customers in the system is at or below level $K$ and the server works at speed $r_1$, the system behaves as an ordinary $M/M/1/K$ queue with service speed $r_1$ and with the special feature that, every time an overflow occurs, the number of customers in the system is instantaneously decreased to the steady-state of the system when the number of customers in the system is at or below level $K$ and the server works at speed $r_2$. An up- and downcrossing argument for this system yields, for some constants $D_1$ and $D_2$,

$$\lambda \pi(k,1) = \mu_1 \pi(k+1,1) + \lambda \pi(K,1) (D_1 x_2^k + D_2 x_3^k),$$

which leads to

$$\pi(k,1) = C_6 \rho_1^k + C_7 x_2^k + C_8 x_3^k, \quad k = 0, \ldots, K.$$

To summarize, the steady-state distribution has geometric behaviour with parameter $x_1$ on the part of the state space $S_{2,1} = \{(K + 1, 1), (K + 2, 1), \ldots\}$, a mixture of geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_2$ and geometric behaviour with parameter $x_1$ on the part of the state space $S_{2,2} = \{(K + 1, 2), (K + 2, 2), \ldots\}$, a mixture of geometric behaviour with parameter $x_2$ and geometric behaviour with parameter $x_1$ on the part of the state space $S_{1,2} = \{(0,2), \ldots, (K,2),\}$, and a mixture of geometric behaviour with parameter $\rho_1$, geometric behaviour with parameter $x_2$ and geometric behaviour with parameter $x_3$ on the part of the state space $S_{1,1} = \{(0,1), \ldots, (K,1)\}$.

### 3 Service speed based on workload

In this section we consider again an $M/M/1$ queue in which the server can work at two different speeds, $r_1$ and $r_2$. However, the service speed is now based on the workload instead of on the number of customers. If the workload in the system is smaller than or equal to level $K$, then the server should work at speed $r_1$. If on the other hand the workload in the system is bigger than $K$, the server should work at speed $r_2$. As before, because service speed adaptations can only take place at special points in time, it can happen that the server still works at $r_2$ (resp. $r_1$) although in the meantime, the workload is below (resp.
above) level $K$. Notation is as in Section 2 and we assume again that $\rho_2 < 1$. Let $V(t)$ denote the workload in the system at time $t$. As before, $Y(t)$ represents the state of the server at time $t$, i.e., $Y(t) = i$ if the speed of the server at time $t$ equals $r_i$, $i = 1, 2$. With $(V, Y)$ we denote a random vector having the steady-state distribution of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(V(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$. With $f_i(x)$ we denote, for $i = 1, 2$, the steady-state conditional density of $V$, given $Y = i$, i.e., $f_i(x)dx = \mathbb{P}(V \in (x, x + dx) | Y = i)$.

### 3.1 Instantaneous service speed adaptations

In the model with instantaneous service speed adaptations, the speed of the server instantaneously changes from $r_1$ to $r_2$ if an upcrossing of level $K$ of the workload process occurs. Similarly, the speed of the server instantaneously changes from $r_2$ to $r_1$ if a downcrossing of level $K$ of the workload process occurs. Hence, in this case the state space of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(V(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ equals

$$S = \{(x, 1) : 0 \leq x \leq K\} \cup \{(x, 2) : x > K\}.$$ 

Now, above level $K$ the system behaves as an ordinary (non-empty) $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_2$. On the other hand, below level $K$ the system behaves as a finite $M/M/1$ dam with service speed $r_1$. Using the fact that the steady-state density of the workload process in the finite $M/M/1$ dam is proportional to the steady-state density of the workload process in the ordinary $M/M/1$ queue (see Hooghiemstra [11]), we conclude that

$$f_1(x) = C_1 \cdot e^{-\mu(1-\rho_1)x}, \quad 0 < x \leq K,$$

$$f_2(x) = C_2 \cdot e^{-\mu(1-\rho_2)x}, \quad x > K.$$ 

So we conclude that the steady-state density has exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-\rho_2)x$ on the part of the state space $S_{2,2} = \{(x, 2) : x > K\}$ and exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-\rho_1)x$ on the part of the state space $S_{1,1} = \{(x, 1) : 0 \leq x \leq K\}$.

### 3.2 Service speed adaptations at arrival instants

In the model with service speed adaptations at arrival instants, the speed of the server instantaneously changes from $r_1$ to $r_2$ if the workload upcrosses level $K$ due to an arrival. However, if the workload downcrosses level $K$, the server continues to work at speed $r_2$ until the next arrival instant. Hence, in this case the state space of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(V(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ equals

$$S = \{(x, 1) : 0 \leq x \leq K\} \cup \{(x, 2) : 0 \leq x \leq K\} \cup \{(x, 2) : x > K\}.$$ 

As before, above level $K$ the system behaves as an ordinary (non-empty) $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_2$, leading to

$$f_2(x) = C_1 \cdot e^{-\mu(1-\rho_2)x}, \quad x > K.$$
However, below level $K$ the system now behaves as a finite $M/M/1$ dam with service speed $r_1$ but with the special feature that after each overflow the service speed equals $r_2$ until the next arrival instant. At an arbitrary instant at which the workload is below $K$ and the server works at speed $r_2$, we have

$$(V \mid V < K, Y = 2) \overset{d}{=} \max(K - r_2 A, 0),$$

where $A$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda$, leading to

$$f_2(x) = C_2 \cdot e^{+ (\lambda/r_2)x}, \quad 0 < x \leq K.$$

At an arbitrary instant at which the workload is below $K$ and the server works at speed $r_1$, the system behaves as a finite $M/M/1$ dam with service speed $r_1$, but with the special feature that after each overflow, the workload is first instantaneously decreased to the steady-state situation of the system when the workload is below $K$ and the service speed equals $r_2$ and, after that, instantaneously increased by an exponentially distributed amount with parameter $\mu$. The instantaneous decrease plus increase is repeated until after the increase no overflow occurs anymore. Let $Z$ be the level of the workload after these successive decreases and increases. We then have

$$Z \overset{d}{=} (\max(K - r_2 A, 0) + B \mid \max(K - r_2 A, 0) + B \leq K),$$

where $A$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\lambda$ and $B$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\mu$. For the distribution function $Z(\cdot)$ of $Z$, we have

$$Z(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda x} - e^{-\mu x}}{e^{\lambda K} - e^{-\mu K}}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq K.$$

By using an up- and downcrossing argument we will show in Lemma A.1 in the appendix that in this situation

$$f_1(x) = C_3 \cdot e^{-\mu(1 - \rho_1)x} + C_4 \cdot e^{+ (\lambda/r_2)x}, \quad 0 < x \leq K.$$

Alternatively, that result could have been obtained by using, e.g., the Kella-Whitt martingale (see Kella and Whitt [13]).

Hence, the steady-state density has exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1 - \rho_2)x$ on the part of the state space $S_{2,2} = \{(x, 2) : x > K\}$, exponential behaviour with exponent $+(\lambda/r_2)x$ on the part of the state space $S_{1,2} = \{(x, 2) : 0 < x \leq K\}$ and a mixture of exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1 - \rho_1)x$ and exponential behaviour with exponent $+(\lambda/r_2)x$ on the part of the state space $S_{1,1} = \{(x, 1) : 0 < x \leq K\}$.

### 3.3 Service speed adaptations at external Poisson instants

In the model with service speed adaptations at external Poisson instants, we again assume that these instants occur according to a Poisson process with rate $\nu$. If the workload in the
system upcrosses level $K$, the server continues to work at speed $r_1$ until the next external Poisson instant. Similarly, if the workload in the system downcrosses level $K$, the server continues to work at speed $r_2$ until the next external Poisson instant. Hence, in this case the state space of the continuous-time Markov process $\{(V(t), Y(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ equals

$$S = \{(x, 1) : 0 \leq x \leq K\} \cup \{(x, 1) : x > K\} \cup \{(x, 2) : 0 \leq x \leq K\} \cup \{(x, 2) : x > K\}.$$  

During periods that the workload is above level $K$ and the service speed is equal to $r_1$, the system behaves as an $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_1$ and with disasters. Here the instants of the disasters correspond to the external Poisson instants and hence occur with rate $\nu$. For this model it is known (see for example Boucherie and Boxma [4] or Jain and Sigman [12]) that the steady-state workload density has exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-x_1)x$ where $x_1$ is the root in $(0,1)$ of $\mu_1 x^2 - (\lambda + \mu_1 + \nu) x + \lambda = 0$, see also Equation (1).

During periods that the workload is above level $K$ and the service speed is equal to $r_2$, the system behaves as a finite $M/G/1$ dam with arrival rate $\lambda + \nu$, service speed $r_2$ and service time

$$B = \begin{cases} 
\exp(\mu), & \text{with probability } \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\nu} \\
\infty, & \text{with probability } \frac{\nu}{\lambda+\nu}.
\end{cases}$$

Remark that an external Poisson instant is modelled here as an arrival of a customer with infinite service time (implying that after the arrival of such a customer the workload in the dam is always equal to $K$). For this system we can show that the steady-state workload density has a mixture of exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-x_1)x$ exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-x_2)x$ and exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1-x_3)x$, where $x_2$ and $x_3$ are the roots of the equation $\mu_2 x^2 - (\lambda + \mu_2 + \nu) x + \lambda = 0$, see also Equation (2). This will be shown in Lemma A.2 in the appendix by using an up- and downcrossing argument.

During periods that the workload is below level $K$ and the service speed is equal to $r_2$, the system behaves as a finite $M/M/1$ dam with service speed $r_2$ in which, after each overflow, the workload is instantaneously decreased to the steady-state situation of the system when the workload is below $K$ and the service speed is equal to $r_2$. The situation is similar to the situation discussed in Section 3.2. Again by using an up- and downcrossings
argument we will show in Lemma A.3 in the appendix that the steady-state workload
density has a mixture of exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1 - \rho_1) x$, exponential
behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1 - x_2) x$ and exponential behaviour with exponent $-\mu(1 - x_3) x$.

4 Service speed adaptations at departure instants

In this section we consider an $M/G/1$ queue with two different service speeds, $r_1$ and $r_2$,
which can only be adapted at departure instants. Again, denote the arrival rate by $\lambda$
and let $B(\cdot)$, $\beta(\cdot)$, and $\beta$, be the distribution, LST, and mean, respectively, of a generic
service requirement. Also, $\rho_i := \lambda / r_i$ represents the traffic load in case of service speed
$r_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

The model with service speed adaptations at departure instants is especially relevant
when the number of customers in the system is considered. In that case, we may choose a
convenient one-dimensional Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, by embedding the system at departure
instants, i.e., $X_n$ represents the number of customers immediately after the $n$-th departure
epoch. It is easy to see that the steady-state distribution of this embedded process is
equal to the distribution of the number of customers at an arbitrary instant. The analysis
of these distributions in the $M/G/1$ queue with speed adaptations at departure instants
based on the number of customers constitutes the main subject of this section.

We note that the model with service speed adaptations at departure instants based on
workload seems very difficult to analyse. The reason for this is that, in order to determine
future departure instants of customers, it is necessary to keep track of the individual
workloads of the customers in the system and not only of the total workload. This will
lead to a complicated state description.

Now, consider the embedded process $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and let $Y_n^{(i)}$ be the number of arrivals
between the $n$-th and $(n+1)$-th departure instant given that the service speed is $r_i$, $i = 1, 2$.
Then,

$$X_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 
\max(X_n - 1, 0) + Y_n^{(1)}, & \text{for } 0 \leq X_n \leq K, \\
\max(X_n - 1, 0) + Y_n^{(2)}, & \text{for } X_n > K.
\end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Clearly, the distribution of $Y_n^{(i)}$ is independent of $n$. We thus have, for all $n = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$\alpha_k^{(i)} := \mathbb{P}(Y_n^{(i)} = k) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda x / r_i)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda x / r_i} dB(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda x)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda x} d\tilde{B}_i(x), \quad (4)$$

with $\tilde{B}_i(x) := B(r_i x)$. Also,

$$\beta_i(z) := \mathbb{E}[z^{Y_n^{(i)}}] = \beta((1 - z) \lambda / r_i). \quad (5)$$

From (4), we observe that the model with two different service speeds may be equivalently
interpreted as a model with two different service requirement distributions $\tilde{B}_i(\cdot)$ (see also
the remark lateron in this section). Hence, this model is in fact a special case of the
general model considered in, e.g., [5, 14]. These papers focus on results in terms of LST.
(See [9, 15] for computational algorithms and [8] for various related models.) In this section, however, we give explicit and intuitively appealing expressions for the steady-state number of customers present.

Let \( X \) be the steady-state random variable of \( X_n \), and denote \( \pi(k) := \mathbb{P}(X = k) \). Next, we obtain \( \pi(k) \) for \( k \in \{0, \ldots, K\} \) and then use that result to determine \( \pi(k) \) for \( k \in \{K + 1, K + 2, \ldots\} \). Finally the probability of a customer leaving behind an empty system follows from normalization.

First, we derive \( \pi(k) \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, K \). Consider the conditional process \( \{X_n | X_n \leq K\} \), which is obtained by deleting the periods that the number of customers at departure instants is larger than \( K \) and pasting together the remaining parts. It is easy to see that the one-step transition matrix of this conditional process is equal to the transition matrix of the \( M/G/1/K + 1 \) queue with service speed \( r_1 \), or of an \( M/G/1 \) queue with speed \( r_1 \) conditioned on the event that the number of customers upon departure does not exceed \( K \). Denote by \( X(i) \), \( i = 1, 2 \), the steady-state number of customers in an \( M/G/1 \) queue with service speed \( r_i \), i.e., the \( z \)-transform of \( X(i) \) reads

\[
\mathbb{E}[z^{X(i)}] = \frac{(1 - \rho_i)\beta_i(z)(1 - z)}{\beta_i(z) - z},
\]

with \( \beta_i(\cdot), i = 1, 2 \), given in (5). Hence, for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, K \), we have

\[
\pi(k) = \frac{\pi(0)}{1 - \rho_1} \mathbb{P}(X(1) = k),
\]

where the constant \( \pi(0)/(1 - \rho_1) \) follows from \( k = 0 \). In general, we allow for \( \rho_1 \geq 1 \). In that case, \( X(1) \) corresponds to the steady-state number of customers immediately after departure instants of the \( M/G/1/K + 1 \) queue, and \( 1 - \rho_1 \) has to be replaced by \( \mathbb{P}(X(1) = 0) \). However, in the presentation of the results below we have taken \( \rho_1 < 1 \).

For the distribution of \( X \) on \( \{K + 1, K + 2, \ldots\} \), we use the equivalence with the \( M/G/1 \) queue with two service requirement distributions \( \tilde{B}_i(\cdot) \). In particular, rewriting [5, Equation (4.19)] (where \( c = p_0\beta_2(0) \)), we obtain

\[
\mathbb{E}[z^X] = \pi(0)\frac{\beta_2(z) - z\beta_1(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} z^{k-1}\pi(k)\frac{z\beta_2(z) - z\beta_1(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z}
= \pi(0)\frac{\beta_2(z) - z\beta_1(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z^k\pi(k) \left( \frac{\beta_2(z) - z\beta_1(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} - \frac{(1 - z)\beta_2(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} \right) .
\]

To obtain the distribution of \( X \) on \( \{K + 1, K + 2, \ldots\} \) we invert each of the three terms in the above equation separately. For the first term, we note that, upto a constant, this corresponds to an \( M/G/1 \) queue with service speed \( r_2 \) and an exceptional first service time with distribution \( B(xr_2/r_1) \), see [16, Equation (2.38)]. Denoting the steady-state number of customers in such a queue by \( X_{\text{exc}}^{(2)} \), the inverse of the first term on the rhs of (7) reads

\[
\pi(0)\frac{1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2^2}{1 - \rho_2} \mathbb{P}(X_{\text{exc}}^{(2)} = k).
\]
For the second and third term on the rhs of (7) we note that this involves a product of two z-transforms corresponding to the sum of two independent random variables. It is readily seen that \( \sum_{i=1}^{K} z^{-i} \pi(k) \) is the transform of \( X - 1 \), with \( X \) restricted to \( \{1, 2, \ldots, K\} \). Hence, using (6) and the result for an \( M/G/1 \) queue with exceptional first service again, the inverse of the second term equals

\[
\frac{1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2}{1 - \rho_2} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{\pi(0)}{1 - \rho_1} \mathbb{P}(X^{(1)} = j) \mathbb{P}(X^{(2)}_{\text{exc}} = k + 1 - j).
\]

Similarly, applying (6) and the result for the standard \( M/G/1 \) queue with service speed \( r_2 \) to the third term yields

\[
\frac{1}{1 - \rho_2} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{\pi(0)}{1 - \rho_1} \mathbb{P}(X^{(1)} = j) \mathbb{P}(X^{(2)} = k + 1 - j).
\]

Summarizing, for \( k \in \{K + 1, K + 2, \ldots\} \), we have

\[
\pi(k) = \frac{\pi(0)}{1 - \rho_2} \left( (1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2) \mathbb{P}(X^{(2)}_{\text{exc}} = k) + \frac{1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2}{1 - \rho_1} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}(X^{(1)} = j) \mathbb{P}(X^{(2)}_{\text{exc}} = k + 1 - j) \right.
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{1 - \rho_1} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}(X^{(1)} = j) \mathbb{P}(X^{(2)} = k + 1 - j) \right).
\]

The first term clearly stems from scenarios in which the first customer in a busy cycle leaves behind more than \( K \) new customers upon departure, resulting in an ordinary \( M/G/1 \) queue with exceptional first service (i.e., the service requirement multiplied by the ratio \( r_2/r_1 \)). The third term on the rhs of (8) is in fact a correction term. To give an intuitively more appealing expression, we rewrite (7) as

\[
\mathbb{E}[z^X] = \pi(0) \frac{\beta_2(z) - z \beta_1(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} z^k \pi(k) \frac{\beta_2(z)}{\beta_2(z) - z} - z \mathbb{E}[z^{Y^{(1)} - 1}].
\]

Note that we did not rewrite the intuitively appealing first term. The second term corresponds to a convolution of \( X \) (restricted to \( \{1, \ldots, K\} \)) with a special type of \( M/G/1 \) queue with exceptional first customer. The special feature is the \( -1 \) in \( \mathbb{E}[z^{Y^{(1)} - 1}] \) accounting for the fact that the number of arrivals in between two successive departure instants has to be corrected for the actual departure of the customer in service. In case \( X = 0 \) this correction is not required as represented in the first term. After the arrival of customers during the first exceptional period in which the speed is \( r_1 \), the queue continues as an ordinary \( M/G/1 \) queue with service speed \( r_2 \).

Finally, the probability of an empty system \( \pi(0) \) can be found from normalization. More specifically, letting \( z \to 1 \) in (7) and using (6), we obtain

\[
\pi(0) = (1 - \rho_2) \left[ \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{1 - \rho_1} \mathbb{P}(X^{(1)} \leq K) + 1 \right]^{-1}.
\]
Remark We note that the results can be easily generalised. Consider the case in which, in addition to the service rate, the service requirement distribution also depends on the number of customers upon departure (having distribution $B_i(\cdot)$). Since the distribution of $X_n$ only depends on the ratio between $\lambda$ and $r_i$, we may choose $\lambda$ fixed without loss of generality (however, special care is required for the distribution at arbitrary instants).

Now, similar to (4), observe that, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\alpha_k^{(i)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda x/r_i)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda x/r_i} dB_i(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda x/r_i^{-1})^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda x/r_i^{-1}} dB_i(x),$$

with $\tilde{B}_i(x) = B_i(x r_i/r_{i-1})$. Hence, it may be easily verified that the expressions (6) and (8) for the steady-state distribution of $X$ hold by obvious modifications of $\beta_i(\cdot)$ and definition of the exceptional first service.

Finally, as an example, we consider the number of customers at an arbitrary instant in the $M/M/1$ case. In that case, Equations (6) and (8) reduce to nice tractable expressions. In particular, for $k = 0, \ldots, K$, we have ordinary $M/M/1$ behaviour, yielding

$$\pi(k) = \pi(k, 1) = C_1 \rho_1^k.$$

For $k \in \{K + 1, K + 2, \ldots\}$, we consider the joint distribution of the service speed and number of customers. First, on the part of the state space

$$S_{2,1} = \{(K + 1, 1), (K + 2, 1), \ldots\},$$

we have geometric behavior with parameter $\lambda/(\lambda + \mu_1)$, since we consider the number of arrivals before the first departure. On the part of the state space

$$S_{2,2} = \{(K + 1, 2), (K + 2, 2), \ldots\}$$

we have an $M/M/1$ queue with service speed $r_2$ and an exceptional first service time which is the result of the behavior on $S_{2,1}$. Summarizing, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we have

$$\pi(K + k + 1, 1) = C_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_1}\right)^k$$

$$\pi(K + k + 2, 2) = C_3 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_1}\right)^k + C_4 \rho_2^k.$$

5 Conclusion and extensions to $M/G/1$

In this paper, we considered various queueing models in which the server can work at two different service speeds. The speed of the server may depend on either the number of customers present, or the workload. In the former case, we analysed the steady-state number of customers, while in the latter case, we determined the workload density in steady state. Our specific aim was to give insight into the structure of the steady-state results by
Table 1: Structure of the steady-state results in various $M/M/1$ queues (without constants).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model: adaptations</th>
<th>service speed</th>
<th>Number of customers</th>
<th>Workload</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(\rho_1)$</td>
<td>$\pi(k), k \leq K$</td>
<td>$f(x), x \leq K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$\pi(k), k &gt; K$</td>
<td>$f(x), x &gt; K$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrival instants</td>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(\rho_1)$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$\exp(-\mu(1 - \rho_1)x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(\rho_2)$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$\exp(-\mu(1 - \rho_2)x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson instants</td>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(\rho_1)$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(x_1)$</td>
<td>$\exp(-\mu(1 - \rho_1)x)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(\rho_2)$</td>
<td>$\text{geo}(x_1)$</td>
<td>$\exp(-\mu(1 - \rho_2)x)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

using probabilistic arguments. The structure of the results, by neglecting the constants, is summarised in Table 1, where $x_1$ is the root in $(0, 1)$ of Equation (1) and $x_2$ and $x_3$ are the roots of (2).

We also considered the $M/G/1$ queue with two service rates depending on the number of customers right after departure instants, yielding an intuitively appealing form for the steady-state distribution of the number of customers present. The LST of the steady-state number of customers has been analysed by other authors, see e.g. [5, 14]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no explicit formula for its distribution has been given. Giving $M/G/1$ analogs for the other models with adaptations based on the number of customers (of Section 2) remains a significant challenge. The difficulty with these models is that a more complex state description is required to obtain a Markov process.

In case the service speed is based on the workload, it is possible to generalise the models of Section 3 to their $M/G/1$ variants. The model with continuous adaptations (based on the workload) is the classical model, which is also often referred to as dam model, see e.g. [6, 10], or [7], p. 556 for the results. In fact, its steady-state workload distribution shows some similarities with the steady-state number of customers of the model with speed adaptations at departure instants. For the $M/G/1$ case of the model with adaptations at arrival instants, see [1]. The model with adaptations at Poisson instants is in fact generalised to the more general case of Lévy processes without negative jumps, see [3].
Appendix

Lemma A.1 Consider a finite M/M/1 dam with capacity $K$ and with the special feature that every time an overflow of the dam occurs, the workload is instantaneously, and independently of the past, decreased to the random level $Z$, where $Z$ has probability distribution

$$Z(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda x} - e^{-\mu x}}{e^{r_2 K} - e^{-\mu K}}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq K.$$  

The service times are exponentially distributed with parameter $\mu$. Denote with $\lambda$ the arrival rate, $r_1$ the service speed and let $\rho_1 := \lambda/(\mu r_1)$. For the steady-state workload density we then have

$$v(x) = C_3 e^{-\mu(1-\rho_1)x} + C_4 \frac{\lambda x}{r_2 x}, \quad 0 < x \leq K,$$

for some constants $C_3$ and $C_4$.

Proof: Denote with $V(x)$ the steady-state workload distribution. An up- and downcrossing argument for level $x$ of the workload then yields

$$\lambda \int_0^x v(y)e^{-\mu(x-y)}dy + \lambda V(0)e^{-\mu x} = \lambda_1 v(x) + \lambda Z(x) \int_0^K v(y)e^{-\mu(K-y)}dy. \quad (9)$$

Now by multiplying (9) with $e^{\mu x}$ and by introducing $f(x) := v(x)e^{\mu x}$ we obtain

$$\lambda \int_0^x f(y)dy + \lambda V(0) = \lambda_1 f(x) + \lambda Z(x)e^{\mu x} \int_0^K f(y)e^{-\mu K}dy. \quad (10)$$

After differentiation of (10) and using the distribution $Z(\cdot)$ we obtain

$$\lambda f(x) = \lambda_1 f'(x) + Ce^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{r_2} + \mu\right)x}. \quad (11)$$

The solution of (11) is given by

$$f(x) = C_3 \frac{\lambda x}{r_1} + C_4 e^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{r_2} + \mu\right)x},$$

and hence

$$v(x) = f(x)e^{-\mu x} = C_3 e^{\left(\frac{\lambda}{r_1} - \mu\right)x} + C_4 e^{\frac{\lambda x}{r_2}} = C_3 e^{-\mu(1-\rho_1)x} + C_4 e^{\frac{\lambda x}{r_2}}.$$  

Lemma A.2 Consider a finite M/G/1 dam with capacity $K$, arrival rate $\lambda + \nu$, service speed $r_2$ and service time

$$B = \begin{cases} 
\exp(\mu), & \text{with probability } \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \nu} \\
\infty, & \text{with probability } \frac{\nu}{\lambda + \nu}.
\end{cases}$$

The steady-state workload distribution is then given by

$$V(x) = D_2 e^{-\mu(1-x_2)x} + D_3 e^{-\mu(1-x_3)x}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq K,$$
for some constants $D_2$ and $D_3$, where $x_2$ and $x_3$ are the roots of the equation
\[ \mu_2 x^2 - (\lambda + \mu_2 + \nu)x + \lambda = 0. \]  
(12)

**Proof:** An up- and downcrossings argument for level $x$ of the buffer content yields
\[ r_2 v(x) = \lambda \int_0^x v(y) e^{-\mu(x-y)} \, dy + \lambda V(0) e^{-\mu x} + \nu V(x). \]
Using that $V'(x) = v(x)$, we obtain after differentiation
\[ r_2 V''(x) = (\lambda + \nu) V'(x) - \mu \left( \lambda \int_0^x V'(y) e^{-\mu(x-y)} \, dy + \lambda V(0) e^{-\mu x} \right) \]
\[ = (\lambda + \nu) V'(x) - \mu \left( r_2 V'(x) - \nu V(x) \right). \]
The solution of this second-order differential equation is given by
\[ V(x) = D_2 e^{\xi_2 x} + D_3 e^{\xi_3 x} \]
where $\xi_2$ and $\xi_3$ are zero’s of the characteristic polynomial of the differential equation, i.e.,
\[ r_2 \xi^2 - (\lambda + \nu - \mu r_2) \xi - \mu \nu = 0. \]  
(13)
It is straightforward to show that $\xi_i$ is a solution of (13) if and only if $\xi_i = -\mu(1-x_i)$, where $x_i$ is a solution of (12).

**Remark** We note that the model of Lemma A.2 shows some similarities with a finite $M/M/1$ dam with clearings at exponential times. However, in this case, the clearing instants do not remove all the work present, but instantaneously move the workload to the upper boundary, $K$.

**Lemma A.3** Consider the finite $M/M/1$ dam of Lemma A.1, but let the probability distribution of $Z$ now be given by
\[ Z(x) = D_2 e^{-\mu(1-x_2)x} + D_3 e^{-\mu(1-x_3)x}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq K. \]
For the steady-state workload density we then have
\[ v(x) = C_1 e^{-\mu(1-\rho_1)x} + C_2 e^{-\mu(1-x_2)x} + C_3 e^{-\mu(1-x_3)x}, \quad 0 < x < K, \]
for some constants $C_1, C_2$ and $C_3$.

**Proof:** Like in the proof of Lemma A.1 we introduce $f(x) := v(x)e^{\mu x}$, which satisfies (10). After differentiation of (10) we now obtain
\[ \lambda f(x) = r_1 f'(x) + D_2 e^{\mu x_2 x} + D_3 e^{\mu x_3 x}. \]  
(14)
The solution of (14) is given by

\[ \text{f}(x) = C_1 e^{\frac{1}{\lambda_1} x} + C_2 e^{\mu x_2 x} + C_3 e^{\mu x_3 x}, \]

and hence

\[ \text{v}(x) = f(x) e^{-\mu x} = C_1 e^{-\mu(1-\rho_1) x} + C_2 e^{-\mu(1-x_2) x} + C_3 e^{-\mu(1-x_3) x}. \]

**Remark** We note that the model of Lemma’s A.1 and A.3 are related to a finite \(M/M/1\) dam with exceptional first service time. However, in this case, a special period starts after hitting the upper boundary, instead of an exceptional first service occurring due to an arrival while the system is empty.
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