

Corrigendum to

Citation for published version (APA):

Alt, H., de Berg, M., & Knauer, C. (2020). Corrigendum to: Approximating minimum-area rectangular and convex containers for packing convex polygons. *Journal of Computational Geometry*, 11(1), 653-655.
<https://doi.org/10.20382/jocg.v11i1a26>

DOI:

[10.20382/jocg.v11i1a26](https://doi.org/10.20382/jocg.v11i1a26)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2020

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

CORRIGENDUM TO: APPROXIMATING MINIMUM-AREA RECTANGULAR AND CONVEX CONTAINERS FOR PACKING CONVEX POLYGONS

Helmut Alt, Mark de Berg,† and Christian Knauer‡*

ABSTRACT. This note corrects an error in the paper “Approximating minimum-area rectangular and convex containers for packing convex polygons”, which appeared in JoCG, Vol. 8(1), pages 1–10.

In an earlier paper [1] we studied the problem of packing a set P of convex polygons into a minimum-area rectangular container. More precisely, we studied the problem of finding a placement of the polygons in P , without rotating them, so that the polygons have pairwise disjoint interiors and the axis-aligned bounding box of the polygons has minimum area. We presented an $O(1)$ -approximation algorithm for this problem, but unfortunately the proof contains an error. The error is easily fixed, as explained below, but the approximation ratio (as stated in Theorem 2.1 in the paper) increases from 17.45 to 23.78. The error also has an impact on the version of the problem where we want to pack the polygons into a minimum-area convex container; here the approximation ratio (as stated in Theorem 3.1) increases from 27 to 41.56. Below we explain the error, the fix, and the how it impacts the approximation ratios in our results. We assume familiarity with the notation and terminology in our original paper.

The error and the fix. In the proof of Lemma 2.1, page 5, line -2, we claimed:

The middle piece, Q_j^2 , is bounded by $s(p_j)$ and $s(p_{j+1})$, so $\text{area}(Q_j^2) \leq \text{area}(\Delta_j)$.

This is not always correct, however: when the spine $s(p_{j+1})$ ends on the right side of the box $B(S)$ instead of the top side, then Δ_j is “clipped” by the right side of $B(S)$; see for example regions Δ_3 and Δ_4 in Fig. 1 below. Hence, Q_j^2 may not fit completely into Δ_j .

The error can be fixed by modifying the definition of the box $B(S)$, as follows. Let S be the set of spines of the so-called relevant polygons, with their lower endpoints placed at the origin. Originally $B(S)$ was defined as the box of height h_i and minimum width containing the spines in S ; see page 4 of the original paper, just above Inequality (2). Instead, the width of $B(S)$ should have been scaled by a factor $1/\alpha$, as shown in Fig. 1 below. Since all spines in S have vertical span more than αh_i , this new definition guarantees that the extensions of the spines all meet the top side (and not the right side) of the box $B(S)$. Hence, for the

**Institute of Computer Science, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany, alt@mi.fu-berlin.de*

†*Department of Computer Science, TU Eindhoven, the Netherlands, M.T.d.Berg@tue.nl*

‡*Institut für Angewandte Informatik, Universität Bayreuth, Germany
christian.knauer@uni-bayreuth.de*




Figure 1: Correct definition of the box $B(S)$. All spines intersect the dotted line at height αh_i , so their extensions all meet the top side of $B(S)$.

regions Δ_j in the new $B(S)$ it is correct that $\text{area}(Q_j^2) \leq \text{area}(\Delta_j)$, for $j = 1, \dots, t - 1$, and, as easily can be verified, it is still correct for $j = 0$ and $j = t$. This modification corrects the logic of the proof of the original paper. The computation of the approximation ratio changes slightly, as detailed below.

Impact on the approximation ratio in Theorem 2.1. Since the area for the new box $B(S)$ is larger by a factor of $1/\alpha$ the approximation constants will change. In particular, Inequality (2) in the original paper needs to be changed into

$$\text{area}(B(S)) \leq 2/\alpha \cdot h_i \cdot \max_{p \in P_i^*} \text{width}(p).$$

Therefore, Inequality (1) turns into

$$\text{area}(B_i) \leq 2/\alpha \cdot \left(\sum_{p \in P_i^*} \text{area}(p) + h_i \cdot \max_{p \in P_i^*} \text{width}(p) \right),$$

and the inequality in Lemma 2.1 is replaced by

$$\text{area}(B_i) \leq 2/\alpha \cdot \left(\sum_{p \in P_i} \text{area}(p) + h_i \cdot \max_{p \in P_i} \text{width}(p) \right).$$

Consequently, in Derivation (7) we obtain

$$\text{area}(B) \leq \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{2}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \right) + \frac{c+1}{1-\alpha} \right) \cdot \text{OPT}$$

and the term before OPT simplifies to

$$f(c, \alpha) := \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{4 + (c-2)\alpha}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} \right),$$

which replaces the definition in (8). The function f attains its minimum at approximately $\alpha = 0.48$ and $c = 2.49$; the minimum is slightly below 23.78.

Impact on the approximation ratio in Theorem 3.1. For the approximation of the smallest convex container in Section 3 of the original paper, the computations change as well. In derivation (11) we have to insert the missing factor $1/\alpha$ and obtain

$$\text{area}(B) \leq \frac{c+1}{c} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{4}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} + \frac{2c}{1-\alpha} \right) \cdot \text{OPT}.$$

The term before OPT is minimized for approximately $\alpha = 0.45$ and $c = 2.38$ and the minimum is slightly below 41.56.

Acknowledgment. We thank Mikkel Abrahamsen and Mathias Graae Larsen for pointing us to the error.

References

- [1] H. Alt, M. de Berg and C. Knauer. Approximating minimum-area rectangular and convex containers for packing convex polygons. *Journal of Computational Geometry*, 8(1):1–10, 2017.