Silicon-depth profiling with Rutherford backscattering in photoresist layers; a study on the effects of degradation

Citation for published version (APA):

IJzendoorn, van, L. J., & Schellekens, J. P. W. (1989). Silicon-depth profiling with Rutherford backscattering in photoresist layers; a study on the effects of degradation. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 65(2), 799-804.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.343069>

DOI:

[10.1063/1.343069](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.343069)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1989

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Si-depth profiling with Rutherford backscattering in photoresist layers; a study on the effects of degradation

L. J. van IJendoorn and J. P. W. Scheilekens

Philips Research Laboratories, P. O. Box 80 000, 5600 JA Eindhoven, The Netherlands

(Received 16 May 1988; accepted for publication 23 August 1988)

The reaction of a silicon-containing vapor with a photoresist layer, as used in some dry developable lithographic processes, was studied with Rutherford backscattering spectrometry. Degradation of the polymer layer under ion beam irradiation was observed, but it was found that this had no influence on the shape of the Si-depth profile and the total areal density. The RBS measurements were also verified with secondary ion mass spectrometry measurements. To describe the degradation process that occurs in polymeric layers upon ion beam exposure, elastic recoil detection measurements were carried out on polystyrene layers. Only one dose-independent cross section for carbon and only one for hydrogen were needed to describe the degradation behavior.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dry development of photoresist patterns with an oxygen plasma is becoming a very interesting option for submicron lithography.¹ The miniaturization of integrated circuits has pushed conventional, wet developable, photoresist systems to the edge of their potentials. The main advantage of dry development lies in the fact that with a proper tuning of the plasma etch parameters giving highly anisotropic etching, relief images with vertical sidewalls can be obtained, even over nonplanar reflective substrates. An elegant dry developable resist system was recently proposed by Coopmans and Roland.² The principle of this so-called DESIRE process is shown in Fig. 1.

Selective etch resistance of the organic resist material towards an oxygen plasma is accomplished by selective incorporation of silicon into the photoresist. The sample is placed on the lower electrode of a reactive ion etcher which is capacitively coupled to an rf field (13.56 MHz). The upper electrode is grounded and therefore in this configuration positive ions (O_2^+) will be drawn out of the plasma onto the lower electrode. Due to this ion bombardment, the etch rate in the vertical direction can become much higher than the lateral etch rate. Organic resist material containing a sufficient amount of silicon will be protected from the etching species due to the formation of a protective silicon dioxide skin. In this way a relief image with vertical sidewalls can be obtained.

The selective incorporation of silicon into the resist layer is achieved by treating this polymer layer, after a pattern-wise UV exposure, with a silicon-containing gas such as hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). The mechanism of the reaction of silicon-containing gases with polymeric resist layers was extensively studied by Visser *et al.*³ In that study the reaction of HMDS with photoresists based on polyvinylphenol or novolac was investigated. The chemical reaction was followed using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR). The conversion of the phenolic hydroxyl groups was determined by probing the intensity of the Si-O-aryl stretching vibration. The conversion can also be obtained by gravimetry or layer thickness measurements. However, all

these techniques only provide bulk information on the amount of silicon incorporated.

To obtain a profile of the silicon concentration as a function of depth in these resists, the possibility of using Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) was investigated. Recently, Mills, Palmström, and Kramer already demonstrated the power of RBS on organic compounds with a study on the diffusion of trichloroethane (TCE) in PMMA, while elastic recoil detection (ERD) has also been used to obtain concentration profiles of undeuterated polystyrene in a deuterated matrix.⁵ The application of ion beam analysis to organic compounds, however, is seriously limited by degradation under megaelectron volt irradiation. In contrast to semiconductor materials and metals the entire character of the polymer can be altered. Illustrative examples are provided by Venkatesan and co-workers⁶ who studied the ion-beam-induced conductivity of PVC, PMMA, and several other compounds. A closer look with mass spectrometry revealed the out-diffusion of small molecules such as H_2 , CH_4 , CO_2 , and CH_3OH from PMMA upon 2 MeV He^+ irradiation.

FIG. 1. The DESIRE process.

tion.^{7,8} These degradation effects throw serious doubts on the versatility of RBS with regard to these materials. Further investigations of Green and Doyle,⁵ who compared diffusion coefficients obtained in a number of ERD experiments with different incident ions, showed absolute values for D varying by as much as a factor of 1.6. The differences were attributed to degradation effects and could be qualitatively explained by means of a model describing this breakdown of the resist.

Obviously caution is required in interpreting the RBS data on silylated resist layers. The shape of the Si profiles, as well as the number of Si atoms incorporated, might change as a function of dose. This consideration led to the series of 2 MeV He⁺ RBS and ERD experiments described in this paper, in which beam current as well as total integrated dose were varied.

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) has been used to verify the RBS results and to measure the effect of degradation on the Si profile. In addition, an understanding of the degradation effects was gained by measurements on polystyrene as a model system. The decrease in the hydrogen count rate in ERD experiments and the shift of the Si substrate edge in corresponding RBS spectra have been used to develop a model description.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Sample preparation

In our study we used the UCB-Plasmask photoresist which was spin coated on 3-in. silicon wafers at 5000 rpm for 30 s and prebaked at 90 °C for 30 min, resulting in a layer thickness of 1.70 μm .

UV exposures were carried out with a Philips super pressure mercury source (HPA-400). The coated wafer was then placed in a small glass reaction vessel which was heated with a heating block. The temperature at which the silylation reaction was carried out was measured with a thermocouple directly on the wafer. The reaction vessel could be evacuated and once the desired temperature was reached, the silylating agent hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Janssen Chimica) was injected with a syringe through a septum.

All etch experiments were done in a modified Balzers sputter system. The upper electrode was grounded, the lower, quartz, electrode was connected through a blocking capacitor with an rf field (13.56 MHz). The experiments were carried out with a power density of approximately 0.22 W/cm², a pressure of 0.7 Pa, and an oxygen flow of 15.1 sccm. The polystyrene samples used in the ERD experiments were coated from a solution of 1-g polystyrene in 18 g of xylene at 5000 rpm for 30 s and prebaked at 100 °C for 30 min, resulting in a layer thickness of 0.56 μm .

B. RBS and ERD experiments

RBS and ERD experiments were performed with 2000-keV He⁺ ions produced by a 2.5 MV Van de Graaff accelerator. RBS analyses were carried out with a beam spot size of approximately 1 mm² under normal incidence. The backscattered He⁺ particles were measured with a surface barrier detector (ORTEC) at a scattering angle of 170° at a distance of about 12 cm from the target. The measured energy resolution of the detector is 13 keV (FWHM), resulting

in a depth resolution of approximately 40 nm in the photoresist. The ion beam current was varied from 25 to 150 nA and was measured, using an electrometer (Keithley Instruments), directly from the target, which was kept at +100 V to suppress secondary ions.

ERD experiments were carried out at a scattering angle of 27.5° with the detector at a distance of 19 cm from the target. The angle chosen between the incoming beam and the sample normal was 75° using the 3-axis sample manipulator (Maclean). An 8.9- μm Mylar foil in front of the detector was used to absorb the forwardly scattered helium ions. An additional diaphragm of 3.5 $\times$ 5.6 mm² in front of the detector was used to control energy variations caused by differences in scattering angle over the detector.

Count rates of hydrogen atoms were measured by installing a single channel analyzer (SCA) and count rate meter connected to the research amplifier. The energy window of the SCA was chosen such that all H counts from the polystyrene layer were detected.

The samples to be analyzed were placed directly into the high vacuum chamber (10⁻⁴ Pa) without any special precautions to prevent outgassing of the polymers under mega-electron volt irradiation.

C. SIMS experiments

SIMS measurements were performed on a CAMECA IMS3F instrument using (O₂⁺) primary ions at an energy of 5.5 keV. The primary beam was rastered across an area of 250 $\times$ 250 μm^2 and positive secondary ions were detected from the center of the sputtered area (diameter 60 μm). Depth profiles of ³⁰Si⁺ and ¹²C⁺ were determined.

Quantitative evaluation of the Si content of the silylated resist was not performed because of charging and the lack of suitable standards. Depth scale calibration was performed by measuring the depth of the sputtered crater using a stylus type profilometer (Tencor Alphastep). The sputtering rate for silylated resist was found to be higher than for nonsilylated material (a factor of 1.5 was found for a resist layer containing 9 at. % silicon).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Silicon profiles in resist layers

RBS experiments were carried out on silylated resist layers. Figure 2 shows two RBS spectra. The upper spectrum shows a resist layer just after the treatment with HMDS. A peak corresponding to the incorporated silicon is clearly distinguishable. In the spectrum this peak is superimposed on a sulfur background caused by the photoactive compound (sulfonic acid ester) that is present over the entire photoresist layer.

The lower part of Fig. 2 shows the spectrum after treatment with an oxygen plasma. A small peak corresponding to a thin layer of silicon dioxide can be distinguished on top of the signal of the incorporated Si. Both spectra clearly show that only a finite part of the resist layer is converted. The Si is only present in the top part of the polymer layer.

In order to study the influence of degradation, a set of consecutive RBS spectra were measured at one particular spot of the silylated resist layer. The spectra obtained were

FIG. 2. RBS spectra of a silylated photoresist layer (a) before and (b) after oxygen plasma etching.

very similar to the spectrum shown in Fig. 2(a). Degradation of the polymer with dose was clearly observed in the form of a shift of the Si signal edge of the underlying substrate to higher energies. With a dose of 3×10^{16} ions/cm², a shift of ~ 30 keV was measured, indicating a loss of material from the photoresist layer. Degradation was also noticed by visual inspection of the wafer. A small brownish square indicated the position of the beam at the sample.

In order to calculate the number of Si atoms/cm² as a function of the He ion dose, a linear fit was drawn through the underlying sulfur signal in each spectrum and the residual integral was converted into the number of incorporated Si atoms/cm². In Fig. 3 this number is plotted versus the integrated He⁺ dose on the sample.

Within measuring accuracy the Si integral is shown to be constant. Apparently only small, volatile, components are released during the He ion bombardment and silicon is preserved. Further examination of the spectra also showed that the rectangular shape of the Si profile had not altered. The expected increase in the atomic concentration of Si in the resist layer was apparently not enough to increase the Si signal height within measuring accuracy. This effect can be understood, since the height of the Si signal is only determined by the value of the stopping power e (eV/atoms/cm²). The contribution of the hydrogen present in the film to e is small, therefore, if it is predominantly hydrogen atoms which leave the resist layer, there will be hardly any change in e , whereas the atomic composition does change.

An independent check on the influence of the He⁺ beam on the shape of the Si profile was provided by SIMS experiments. Initial measurements on a virgin sample generally resulted in profiles being heavily distorted due to charging: low signal intensities and a ¹²C⁺ matrix reference signal which was not constant as a function of sputtered depth. Attempts to reduce charging effects using electron flooding

FIG. 3. The number of Si atoms incorporated as a function of the incident He ion dose in an RBS measurement.

were only partly successful. However, after several measurements at different points on the sample (without removing it from the instrument) charging effects were found to be absent in the next measurement. Systematics with respect to the occurrence of this situation could not be established. Possibly secondary electrons, generated at the extraction electrode and accelerated towards the sample, play a role; as noted before⁶ energetic, deeply penetrating particles may render polymer materials conductive. Detailed information on the occurrence of such processes in the mass spectrometer is not available, thereby preventing a more systematic investigation. All SIMS profiles were obtained from such possibly conducting spots, since disturbing effects caused by charging are absent in these cases.

Several wafers with different amounts of silicon incorporated have been measured with RBS producing a spot on the resist layer. SIMS measurements were then carried out in these spots and next to them. In this case too the conductivity of the sample (induced by the 2 MeV He⁺ bombardment) was sufficiently high to prevent charging. As identical silicon profiles were obtained from points both with and without prior RBS measurement it is suggested that bombardment with He⁺ ions in the megaelectron volt energy range is a valuable method for preventing charging problems in subsequent SIMS-depth profiling of inorganic components in resists or other polymer materials.

In order to convert the energy scale in the RBS spectrum to a depth scale in nanometers, the composition and density of the silylated resist layer must be known. The atomic composition of the exposed unreacted resist was determined by chemical analysis. Upon silylation Si(CH₃)₃ groups are incorporated and swelling of the layer occurs. The atomic composition and density of the top layer could be determined by measuring the layer thickness and the increase in mass upon silylation.

Although the layer composition changes with the He ion dose, the energy to depth conversion factor (eV/nm) was calculated using Bragg's rule and the original composition of the nondegraded layer. Figure 4 shows the depth profile obtained in this way compared with the profile obtained using SIMS. The agreement between both depth sales

FIG. 4. Si-depth profiles obtained with RBS and SIMS. The vertical scale for the SIMS profile has been normalized on the RBS yield.

and profiles demonstrates the applicability of RBS in this system. Also Fig. 4 demonstrates the differences in depth resolution of RBS and SIMS.

With the results presented above in mind, an overall qualitative picture of the degradation process can be envisaged. At energies slightly below 2 MeV the nuclear stopping power of He^+ ions is negligible with respect to the electronic stopping. The vast majority of the incident He^+ ions lose ~ 180 keV during their passage through the resist layer of approximately 10^{19} atoms/cm². The electronic stopping power only changes by $\sim 3\%$ between 1.8 and 2 MeV and the He^+ ions therefore deposit electronic energy almost uniformly with depth. In principle, the degradation occurs homogeneously with depth and if the escape of molecules from deeper layers is assumed,⁷ Si profiles will not be altered. In order to lose small molecules from the polymer, electronic energy first has to be converted into nuclear motion.

Johnson and Brown⁹ reviewed electronic mechanisms for sputtering from condensed gas solids. Although, in principle, the degradation of polymers is a different subject, one of their mechanisms may very well be applicable in this case.¹⁰

The passage of an ion through the resist layer temporarily causes a positive charged track surrounded by a negative shell of displaced electrons. Large electric fields in the order of 10^7 V/cm which are needed to accelerate nuclei from their equilibrium position on a molecular scale can exist long enough to cause dissociation or electronic excitation. This so-called "Coulomb explosion" mechanism is favored in polymers because of the absence of delocalized electrons as in a typical semiconductor, which can quickly ($< 10^{-14}$ s) neutralize the transient fields. Since the bond electrons in the polymer have typical polarization frequencies in the order of 10^{13} Hz this leaves enough time (10^{-13} s) for bond rupture or excitation. Reactive atoms or radicals formed by this mechanism can then recombine and form small volatile stable molecules which can diffuse out of the polymer layer on time scales several orders of magnitude larger (seconds). This contrasts with semiconductors, in which only defects can be created. As already mentioned in the introduction, the diffusion of these small species has been observed experimentally. The constant number of Si atoms/cm² with incident He ion dose implies the formation of nonvolatile Si-containing compounds. An FT-IR measurement in an RBS

spot gives a much lower intensity of the Si-O-aryl stretching vibration than in the original sample. This indicates that Si must be present in a form different from the original silyl-ether compound. Most likely, nonvolatile Si compounds (oxides or carbides) freeze the original profile in the remaining processed hydrocarbons. The ultimate state of the irradiated polymer is perhaps best described by large conjugated molecules, probably mostly in the form of polyaromatic hydrocarbons.⁶ The conjugated π electrons are responsible for the decreased resistivity of the polymer layer which explains the success of the SIMS experiments.

B. Degradation of polystyrene

The areal densities of C, O, and S which can be derived from the spectra of the UCB-Plasmask photoresist do not allow a quantitative description for the degradation process. The wider application of RBS in polymers containing aromatic rings, as novolak, however, inspired us to attempt to describe the degradation process for the chemically simple model system of a 560-nm-thin layer of polystyrene (C_8H_8) on Si.

The decrease of the number of hydrogen atoms/cm² with incident dose was measured directly by monitoring the count rate in a 2 MeV $^4\text{He}^+$ ERD experiment. The decrease of the carbon content in the layer was then derived from the position of the Si substrate signal edge.

Figure 5 shows the normalized decrease of the hydrogen count rate with dose. A remarkable feature is the initial fast decrease, while for doses larger than 10^{16} ions/cm² a quasi-asymptotic value is approached, possibly corresponding to the hydrogen content of a fairly stable end product when long irradiation times are used.

The measured curve can be described starting from the following equation:

FIG. 5. Normalized hydrogen counts per second vs the incident dose for an ERD measurement on a 560-nm polystyrene layer.

$$\frac{dH}{d\phi} = -\sigma_H (H - a_H H_0). \quad (1)$$

H represents the dose-dependent number of hydrogen atoms/cm² in the layer, while H_0 is the value of H for zero dose (ϕ). σ_H represents a dose-independent degradation cross section and a_H is the fraction of the hydrogen atoms originally present, which remains in the end product. The use of a dose-independent degradation cross section is based on the stopping power of 2 MeV He⁺ in polystyrene which is ~ 16.5 eV/10¹⁵ atoms/cm². The energy deposited locally by the passage of a He ion through a monolayer is therefore considerably larger than the typical energy (~ 3 eV) required to break a covalent C—H bond. Structural changes in the polymer do not raise this bond energy significantly and a dose-dependent degradation cross section is not expected.

Integration of (1) gives

$$\ln\left(\frac{H}{H_0} - a_H\right) = -\sigma_H \phi + \ln(1 - a_H). \quad (2)$$

Thus, the value of σ_H can be determined by adopting a_H such that the correlation coefficient for the linear plot of $\ln[(H/H_0) - a_H]$ vs ϕ is optimized. The resulting value of a_H from the intercept can then serve as a test for this procedure. A correlation coefficient of 0.999 63 was found for $a_H = 0.60$ while the corresponding value of a_H from the intercept was 0.63. The degradation cross section (σ_C) was found to be 5.5×10^{-16} cm² (Fig. 6).

The dependence of σ_H and a_H on incident beam current density was investigated. A variation from 25 to 150 nA/cm² resulted in a change in cross section from 5.5 to 5.0×10^{-16} atoms/cm² and a change in a_H from 0.60 to 0.64. These results support the idea that thermal effects play only a minor role. When a heat conductivity of polystyrene of 2.5×10^{-3} W/cm °C and a deposited energy of ~ 200 keV in the polymer is assumed, a temperature rise of approximately 15–80 °C is expected in the beam current interval used. This temperature rise is below the temperature at which thermal degradation is expected.

The quantification of the loss of carbon from the layer is extracted from a series of consecutive RBS spectra. The shift of the signal edge of the Si substrate with dose is shown in Fig. 7.

FIG. 6. A plot of $\ln[(H/H_0) - a_H]$ vs ϕ gives a straight line; the intercept gives $a_H = 0.62 \pm 0.02$ and σ_H follows from the slope, $\sigma_H = (5.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-16}$ cm².

FIG. 7. Degradation of 560-nm polystyrene on a Si wafer: the edge of the Si substrate shifts to a higher channel number as a function of dose, 3(1), 18(2), 61(3), 151(4), and 304×10^{14} ions/cm² (5).

Complicating factors in the interpretation of this effect are both the continuously changing composition of the layer during the measurement and the fact that the shift is caused by both H and C . The shift of the Si substrate can be described by

$$\Delta E = \int_0^\phi [C(\phi) + H(\phi)] \epsilon_{Si}^{C(\phi)H(\phi)} d\phi / \int_0^\phi d\phi. \quad (3)$$

ΔE represents the energy shift (at half-height position) of the signal edge of the substrate with respect to the Si surface energy and $\epsilon_{Si}^{C(\phi)H(\phi)}$ is the electronic stopping power in a material $C(\phi)H(\phi)$ including a collision against a Si atom in the substrate. Using Bragg's rule the contribution of carbon and hydrogen to the shift can be separated:

$$\phi \Delta E = \int_0^\phi [C(\phi) \epsilon_{Si}^C + H(\phi) \epsilon_{Si}^H] d\phi. \quad (4)$$

The second term of the integral can be evaluated with the expression used in the ERD experiments. What remains is the integrated dose dependence of the number of carbon atoms/cm². Further evaluation of the data in terms of a degradation cross section (σ_C) has to be based on an explicitly assumed functional dependence of C vs ϕ .

In the following we assumed

$$C(\phi) = C_0 [(1 - a_C) \exp(-\sigma_C \phi) + a_C], \quad (5a)$$

in which σ_C is the degradation cross section for carbon and a_C the fraction of the originally present carbon C_0 which results in the end product. This assumption is based on the still relatively low binding energies of C in the polymer when compared with energy deposited by the passage of a He ion. Insertion of (2) and (5a) into (4) and integration yields an analytical expression in which the absolute values of C_0 and H_0 are required in order to calculate σ_C and a_C . This disadvantage can be discarded on evaluating the relative shift in energy $\Delta E / \Delta E_0$ in which

$$\Delta E_0 = H_0 \epsilon_{Si}^H + C_0 \epsilon_{Si}^C, \quad (5b)$$

represents the shift if no degradation takes place.

The value of ΔE_0 can be determined from the experiment by extrapolating ΔE measurements to $\phi = 0$. The total shift can then be regarded as having two contributions, i.e., one for H and one for C :

$$\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta E_0} - \left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta E_0} \right)_H = \frac{(1 - a_C)}{\sigma_C \phi} [1 - \exp(-\sigma_C \phi)] + (1 - \epsilon_f) a_C \quad (6a)$$

in which

$$\epsilon_f = \epsilon_{Si}^H / (\epsilon_{Si}^C + \epsilon_{Si}^H) = 0.187. \quad (6a)$$

This equation can be rewritten in the form

$$\ln[(1/\sigma_C) - B(a_C)] = \ln(1/\sigma_C) - \sigma_C \phi. \quad (7)$$

B contains only measurable quantities and, in addition, a_C . The relation was investigated by selecting values of a_C and consecutively adopting σ_C until an optimal correlation coefficient was found. Unfortunately, no a_C with an optimal correlation coefficient was found in this procedure. Values of a_C varying between 0.6 and 0.9 all yield correlation coefficients in excess of 0.999. The corresponding degradation cross section, however, varies only between 1 and $4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which delimits the order of magnitude for σ_C in the 10^{-17} cm^{-2} range.

Comparison with the degradation cross section of hydrogen (σ_H) shows that the assumed asymptotic value of a_C is only reached with an one order of magnitude larger integrated He ion dose. In this respect the poor accuracy in the procedure described above could be expected since the He ion dose adopted in the experiments is only limited. This is also supported by values obtained for $\Delta E/\Delta E_0$ for doses $< 10^{17} \text{ ions/cm}^2$ which all yield 0.813, corresponding to the value of $(1 - \epsilon_f)$. For $\sigma_C < 1$, (6a) approaches $(1 - \epsilon_f)$.

The experiments described in the section on Si profiles in resist layers have been measured with He ion doses of $\sim 50\text{--}100 \times 10^{14} \text{ ions/cm}^2$. If the model above is applicable, the decrease in C content of the layer is only minor in this dose range, which supports that the areal densities calculated from the RBS spectra are still reliable.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Depth profiles of Si in the photoresists have been obtained with RBS. Despite the noticeable degradation of the photoresist upon megaelectron volt irradiation, no significant alteration of the shape of the Si profile was found up to incident doses of $3 \times 10^{16} \text{ ions/cm}^2$. Si profiles obtained by

SIMS both in and next to the RBS-irradiated area do not show significant differences.

The total areal density of Si is also preserved in the dose range up to $3 \times 10^{16} \text{ ions/cm}^2$. Apparently electronic excitation induces a degradation process homogeneous with depth, in which nonvolatile Si compounds freeze out the original profile.

ERD experiments monitoring the decrease of hydrogen from a polystyrene layer allow a degradation model with only one degradation cross section which is dose independent. No significant beam current dependence of this cross section was found in the range 25–150 nA. This supports the proposed mechanism of degradation via excitation of covalent bonds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank A. M. L. Theunissen for his assistance with the RBS measurements, Dr. P. R. Boudewijn for carrying out the SIMS experiments, Dr. R. J. Visser for many useful discussions and UCB-Electronics for providing the Plasmask photoresist samples.

¹E. D. Wolf, G. N. Taylor, T. Venkatesan, and R. T. Kretsch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **131**, 1664 (1984).

²F. Coopmans, B. Roland, and R. Lombaerts, *Proceedings of the Microcircuit Engineering Conference, Interlaken*, 291 (1986).

³R. J. Visser, J. P. W. Schellekens, M. E. Reuhman-Huisken, and L. J. van IJzendoorn, *Proc. SPIE* **771**, 111 (1987).

⁴P. J. Mills, C. J. Palmström, and E. J. Kramer, *J. Mater. Sci.* **21**, 1479 (1986).

⁵P. F. Greene and B. L. Doyle, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods B* **18**, 64 (1986).

⁶T. Venkatesan, S. R. Forrest, M. L. Kaplan, C. A. Murray, P. H. Schmidt, and B. J. Wilkens, *J. Appl. Phys.* **54**, 3150 (1983).

⁷T. Venkatesan, D. Edelson, and W. L. Brown, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **43**, 364 (1983).

⁸L. Calcagno and G. Foti, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **47**, 363 (1985).

⁹R. E. Johnson and W. L. Brown, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* **191**, 221 (1981).

¹⁰T. Venkatesan, L. Calcagno, B. S. Elman, and G. Foti, in *Ion Beam Modification Of Insulators*, edited by P. Mazzoldi and G. W. Arnold (Elsevier, New York, 1987).