may in fact determine the conformations of particular residues through significant dipole–dipole interactions. The purpose of presenting the results of Table III here is merely to demonstrate that the approximate method is a valid one. Evaluation of the actual role played by long-range electrostatic effects in such processes as protein folding remains to be performed.

The computational time savings using the dipole method for the long-range interactions in a protein the size of pancreatic trypsin inhibitor is about 2.5. Thus, it also results in substantial reductions in computational times.
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Small amounts of gallium ions were added to γ-alumina and their influence on the structural properties of the system Co–(Mo)/γ-Al2O3 was studied. It is shown that, due to the presence of Ga++ ions, a “surface” spinel CoAl2O4 is formed with a larger amount of Co++ in tetrahedral sites as compared to the spinel formed on gallium-free alumina. A decrease of the segregated CoO is also observed. A possible effect of gallium ions on molybdenum is discussed. It is also reported that different preparation methods (single or double impregnation) lead to the formation of different surface species. Cobalt aluminate, molybdate monolayer, and CoOx depending on the Co content, are formed on doubly impregnated specimens. Cobalt aluminate and molybdate are the main species formed on singly impregnated specimens. Finally brief consideration is given to how the Co and Mo species, present in the oxide form, change in the sulfided form.

1. Introduction

In previous studies of supported oxide systems on alumina, it was shown that a “surface spinel” (MnAl2O4, CoAl2O4, NiAl2O4, CuAl2O4) was formed, either alone or in addition to an oxide phase. Additions of trace amounts of Zn++, Ga++, and Ge++ ions++ modify the surface properties of alumina, thus affecting the structural features of supported transition metal ions. The presence of these ions, all having a preference for the tetrahedral site, favors a normal cation distribution in the surface spinel NiAl2O4. Since the type of symmetry adopted by supported cobalt and molybdenum directly influences their reactivity, it was of interest to investigate the influence of Ga++ ions in the hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of Co–Mo–alumina catalysts. Within this framework we have also examined how the order of addition of transition metal ion promoters affects the structural properties of the Co–Mo/Ga2O3–γ-Al2O3 system in the oxide form, and how the Co and Mo surface species present in the oxide forms are related to those developed in the sulfided catalysts.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Catalyst Preparation. The gallium-containing γ-alumina support (AyGa) was prepared by impregnating γ-Al2O3 with gallium nitrate. The soaked mass, dried at 120 °C, was heated at 500 °C for 15 h. Two nominal Ga contents (atoms per 100 Al atoms) were prepared: 0.6 and 4 (designated as AyGa0.6 and AyGa4).

Different portions of the AyGa0.6 and AyGa4 supports were impregnated with a solution of cobalt nitrate of

†Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
‡University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands.
AyGaCo(0.6:1) and AyGaCo(4:x) with again impregnated with a solution of cobalt nitrate. The mass was then dried at 120 °C, ground, and fired at 600 °C. Different portions of this material were impregnated with an ammonium heptamolybdate solution AyGaMoCo(0.6:5:x) with respect to 100 Al atoms equal to 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5.

The Co–Mo–Ga-containing catalysts were prepared by two different methods. 

Method A. The supports AyGa0.6 and AyGa4 were impregnated with an ammonium heptamolybdate solution to obtain a Mo content of 5 atom % with respect to 100 Al atoms. The paste was dried at 120 °C, ground, and fired at 500 °C for 5 h. The catalysts so obtained are designated as AyGaMoCo(0.6:x) and AyGaCo(4:x) with x (nominal Co content in atoms per 100 Al atoms) equal to 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5.

TABLE I: Gallium-Containing Specimens and Their Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Co content, wt %</th>
<th>Curie constant, C, erg G⁻¹ mol⁻¹ K</th>
<th>Magnetic moment, μB</th>
<th>Weiss temp, K</th>
<th>Co²⁺ tet. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AyGaCo(4:1)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AyGaCo(4:2)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AyGaCo(4:3)</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AyGaCo(4:4)</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AyGaCo(4:5)</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AyGaMoCo(4:5:1)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AyGaMoCo(4:5:2)</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AyGaMoCo(4:5:3)</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AyGaMoCo(4:5:4)</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AyGaMoCo(4:5:5)</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:1)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:2)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:3)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:4)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:5)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoMoO₃ (green)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoMoO₃ (green)</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Co²⁺ for 24 h in air. The catalysts so obtained are designated as B-AyGaMoCo(0.6:5:x) and B-AyGaMoCo(4:5:x) where x has the same values as before.

Sulfurization. Portions of AyGaCo(0.6:x), A-AyGaMoCo(0.6:5:x), and B-AyGaMoCo(0.6:5:x) catalysts were sulfided in a silica reactor at 400 °C, for 2 h, in a flow of H₂ (90 cm³/min) and H₂S (10 cm³/min). 2.2. Physical Characterization and Chemical Analysis. Optical reflectance spectra were recorded on a Beckman DK 1 instrument, in the range 2500–210 nm at room temperature, using γ-Al₂O₃ as a reference. To check the influence of grain size on the reflectance spectra, some specimens were ground for 5 and 20 h in a mechanical mortar. The same spectrum was obtained in both cases. Therefore all spectra were recorded for samples ground for 5 h. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out by the Gouy method in the temperature range 100–295 K. The specimens were contained in a sealed silica tube. X-ray analysis was carried out with Co radiation, using a Debye–Scherer camera (114 nm diameter) or a diffractometer (Phillips).

Chemical analysis for cobalt was performed by atomic absorption techniques (Varian Techtron AA5); concentrated H₂SO₄ was used to dissolve the specimens. The catalysts and some of their properties are listed in Tables I and II.

3. Experimental Results

3.1. Reflectance Spectra. Reflectance spectra were recorded for all samples. As the pattern of their spectra is essentially the same only representative series are reported. Figures 1 and 2 show the reflectance spectra of the $\gamma$GaCo(0.6:$x$) and $\gamma$GaMoCo(0.6:5:$x$) series, respectively. Detailed analysis of the reflectance spectra of $\gamma$Co in different environments and of the assignment of optical transitions was discussed elsewhere. Inspection of Figures 1 and 2 leads to the following conclusions:

(a) The spectra of the $\gamma$GaCo and $\gamma$GaMoCo specimens are dominated by bands due to $\gamma$Co ions in tetrahedral symmetry and their general pattern is qualitatively similar to that of the spinel $\gamma$Mg$_{1-x}$Al$_2$O$_4$ and $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$.$^2$

(b) With increasing cobalt content the intensity of the absorption band at 578 nm is lower in the series $\gamma$Co($x$) than in the $\gamma$GaCo(0.6:$x$) series, Figure 3, as well as for the $\gamma$GaCo(4:$x$) series.

Recalling that the band at 578 nm is the most intense band for $\gamma$Co, one is led to the conclusion that the amount of $\gamma$Co is higher in Ga-containing specimens.

(c) Moreover, inspection of Figure 3 shows that the intensity of the band at 578 nm increases linearly with the Co content for $\gamma$GaCo(0.6:$x$) as well as for $\gamma$GaCo(4:$x$) and $\gamma$GaMoCo(0.6:5:$x$), while this is not the case for B-$\gamma$GaMoCo, for which the curves become concave toward the abscissa at high Co content. This can be attributed to the presence of a new type of $\gamma$Co with different symmetry. Since the extinction coefficient for octahedral $\gamma$Co is smaller than for tetrahedral ions, the new type of $\gamma$Co absorption can be attributed to ions in octahedral symmetry, probably in a new phase (the x-ray section clarifies this point).

(d) It is now useful to analyze the intensity of the shoulder at 720 nm, due to $\gamma$Co, for different series of specimens. Figure 4 shows the change in intensity, reported as the height of the shoulder in cm, with increasing cobalt content for the series $\gamma$GaCo(0.6:$x$) and $\gamma$GaMoCo(0.6:5:$x$); the figure also includes data for $\gamma$Co and $\gamma$MoCo(5:$x$). The gallium-free $\gamma$Co and $\gamma$MoCo(5:$x$) have a larger amount of $\gamma$Co as compared to the gallium-containing
specimens $A\gamma GaCo(0.6:2)$ and $A\gamma GaMoCo(0.6:5:2)$ (with $x = 1-8$). Note that the samples of series $B-A\gamma GaMoCo$ do not show the presence of $CoO_2$. In conclusion, the reflectance spectra show that the presence of $Ga^{3+}$ ions hinders the formation of $CoO_2$. Moreover, it favors a more normal $CoAl_2O_4$ spinel, i.e., a larger amount of $Co^{2+}$ in tetrahedral sites, except for the $B$ series in which formation of $CoMoO_4$ is favored (see x-ray section).

3.2. X-Ray Measurements. The phase identification via x-ray spectra for supported catalysts presents difficulties. However, the analysis of the results, as far as the presence of the surface spinel $CoAl_2O_4$ and/or $CoO_2$ is concerned, can be made along lines similar to those discussed elsewhere by comparing the intensities of different reflections, and, in more detail, the intensity profiles. The x-ray findings parallel the spectroscopic results.

It is useful to examine in more detail the x-ray spectra of $A\gamma GaMoCo$ catalysts according to the method of preparation.

**Method A.** The x-ray pattern of $A\gamma GaMoCo(0.6:5:x)$ and $A\gamma GaMoCo(4:5:x)$ catalysts indicates only the formation of the surface spinel $CoAl_2O_4$.

**Method B.** The formation of $CoAl_2O_4$ as a surface spinel is confirmed. Moreover, additional lines are visible for Co $\geq 2$ atom % and their intensity increases with cobalt content. These lines are attributable to $CoMoO_4$ phases; the lines at $d$ spacing = 6.25, 3.50, 3.12, and 2.09 Å to the green $CoMoO_4$ and the line at $d$ spacing = 3.36 Å to the violet $CoMoO_4$, called the "B" phase by Ricol et al. and $G$ phase by Sleight and Chamberland. In particular, in the specimens with 0.8 atom % Ga both phases are present, while in specimens with 4 atom % Ga only the violet phase is present. Since this phase can be transformed into the green phase by grinding, we ground $B-A\gamma GaMoCo(4:5:x)$ for several hours. As a consequence, the line characteristic of the violet phase disappeared and the lines characteristic of the green phase appeared. Both $CoMoO_4$ phases were prepared to check the x-ray spectra.

**Sulfided Catalysts.** Sulfided $A\gamma GaCo(0.8:2)$ and $A\gamma GaMoCo(0.6:5:x)$ show spinel phase lines sharper than the corresponding oxidized specimens, but not any additional lines. By way of contrast, the sulfided $B-A\gamma GaMoCo$ for Co $> 2$ show, besides sharper spinel phase lines, new lines at $d = 5.67, 2.98, 1.91,$ and 1.75 Å, attributable to $CoS_2$. These lines attributable to $MoS_2$ appear; note that the lines of $CoMoO_4$ disappeared.

3.3. Magnetic Measurements. Tables I and II report the Curie constant $C$, the Weiss temperature $\theta$, and the magnetic moment $\mu$ calculated from the Curie-Weiss law $\chi = C/(T - \theta)$, where $\chi$ is the magnetic susceptibility per mole of cobalt (actual analytical content) after correction for the diamagnetic contribution of all components.

Figure 5 reports the variation of $C$ with cobalt content for some representative specimens and includes the data for $A\gamma Co$ and $A\gamma MoCo(6:5:x)$ for comparison.

From inspection of Figure 5 and Tables I and II it appears that the $C$ values follow different trends according to whether gallium ions are present or absent and to the preparation method.

In principle, the $C$ value is dependent on two distinct facts: (a) the presence of different phases, such as $CoAl_2O_4$ ($C \approx 1$), $CoAl_2O_4$ ($C_{tet} = 3.50$ and $C_{oct} = 2.57$), or $CoMoO_4$ ($C = 3.54$); (b) the distribution of $Co^{2+}$ ions among A (tetrahedral) and B (octahedral) sites of the surface spinel $CoAl_2O_4$; a higher $C$ value corresponds to a higher $Co^{2+}_{oct}/Co^{2+}_{tet}$ ratio.
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It is necessary to emphasize that the percent values given for Co^{2+}_{tet} are only estimates, because of the choice of C_{Co^{2+}} and C_{tet}, but the relative effect should be real.

Discussion

The presence and amounts of CoO, CoMoO_{4}, and CoAl_{2}O_{4} are not determined solely by the chemical composition but also by the method of preparation, namely, A and B. Furthermore, the results show that the surface spinel CoAl_{2}O_{4} is always present and its cation distribution is affected by the presence of foreign ions (i.e., in this case, Ga^{3+} ions). Moreover, segregation of the CoMoO_{4} phase depends on several factors, such as the cobalt content, the method of preparation, and the presence of Ga^{3+} ions.

We discuss in order the following topics: (1) the effect of gallium ions on the cobalt and molybdenum; (2) the state of the surface according the method of preparation; (3) the sulfided specimens.

Influence of Gallium Ions on Cobalt and Molybdenum

In principle, in a spinel the M^{2+} ions can occupy tetrahedral (A) and/or octahedral (B) sites and the relative M^{2+}_{tet}/M^{2+}_{oct} ratio depends on several parameters. In addition, recent studies on the structural and magnetic properties of Ni^{2+} ions supported on alumina showed that a small addition of Zn^{2+}, Ga^{3+}, or Ge^{4+} all having a preference for tetrahedral sites, shifts the cation distribution in the surface spinel NiAl_{2}O_{4} toward a more normal one.

The observed behavior was explained by invoking the polarization of anions toward tetrahedral sites. With this in mind, one would expect that the addition of cobalt ions to gallium-containing alumina, AγGaCo specimens, leads to a more normal CoAl_{2}O_{4} spinel. The experimental observation matches this picture fully.

Especially in the specimens with high cobalt content, the experimental data (Figure 4) show that CoO_{2} segregation is strongly decreased in the Ga-containing specimens (AγGaCo as compared to AγCoX). In order to rationalize this point, we recall that segregation of oxides in supported systems is mainly affected by two factors: (a) the stability of ions in the 2+ oxidation state; and (b) the diffusion pathways in the alumina lattice. It has been shown that for cations at tetrahedral sites the possible pathways in a spinel lattice always include a saddle position of octahedral symmetry. Now, if the crystal field around the octahedral sites is decreased when Ga^{3+} ions are added, one would expect a higher rate of diffusion of Co^{2+} ions. As a consequence, a greater amount of spinel is formed while the segregation of CoO_{2} decreases.

The presence of Ga^{3+} may also influence the attraction of molybdate ions on the alumina surface. Molybdate monolayer formation has been extensively discussed by several authors. In our case it may be recalled that OH groups bonded to aluminum ions are about 100 times more basic than those bonded to gallium ions. Consequently, one would expect that molybdenum acid would react preferentially with aluminum octahedral OH giving molybdate ions attached to the surface. For a topotactic process, the molybdenum ions would occupy tetrahedral sites by extending the spinel structure immediately above the plane. As compared to AγMo, the AγGaMo specimens will have a somewhat larger amount of Mo^{6+} in tetrahedral sites and this fact may be relevant for catalytic reactions.

Influence of the Method of Preparation. Method A

This method consists of three successive impregnations and three calcinations according to the sequence Ga, Mo, and Co.

The first addition of Ga modifies the properties of the alumina surface. The second impregnation and calcination allows the Mo to react with the modified surface of the alumina giving a monolayer of molybdate ions attached to the alumina surface, by registry with the structure. In our case no separate phase of MoO_{3} can be identified since the molybdenum content is fairly low. The cobalt, added with the third impregnation, now forms the structure of the external layers of alumina altered by the presence of Ga^{3+} and molybdate ions.

It may be noted that the presence of Ga^{3+} ions still allows the cobalt ions to react with alumina and favors a more normal spinel.

Finally, it should be emphasized that there is not a tendency to form the compound CoMoO_{4}, since all the molybdenum has already reacted with the alumina surface.

Method B. Since B-AγGaMoCo catalysts were prepared by three impregnations but only two calcinations, the cobalt and molybdenum, react simultaneously (not in succession, as described for method A) with the alumina surface, and with each other. In fact, three reactions at 600 °C are able to occur simultaneously: (1) the reaction between Co- and Ga-containing alumina will give the cobalt aluminate, CoAl_{2}O_{4}, and CoO_{2} at high Co content; (2) the reaction between molybdenum and alumina will form molybdate ions attached to the alumina surface; (3) the reaction between molybdenum and cobalt forms the CoMoO_{4} phase.

The first reaction is dependent on the diffusion of cobalt ions into the external layers of alumina. The large surface area of alumina assists the process, by increasing the contact area between reagents.

The second reaction, considered as an acid–base reaction, should be dependent on the strength of the relative acids and bases involved and on the dispersion of molybdenum.

As far as the third reaction, the results obtained by Haber and Ziólkowski for the system CoO-MoO_{3} at 500 °C clearly indicate that the CoMoO_{4} formation is rapid and dependent on the dispersion of molybdenum ions into CoO_{2} grains. Thus, the species expected to arise as a function of Co content when the three reactions occur simultaneously can be accounted for as follows.

At low cobalt content, only the first and the second reactions occur. Apparently, the third process (CoMoO_{4} formation) is also very rapid at 600 °C although the strong interaction between cobalt and alumina tends to decompose the CoMoO_{4} when this compound is heated with pure alumina.

Only at higher cobalt content does the formation of cobalt molybdate also occur, as a process taking place on the Co-rich external layers in competition with the diffusion of cobalt into the alumina. The experimental results match this picture fully. The x-ray data have shown that the formation of the CoMoO_{4} phase starts to occur for a cobalt content ≥2 and its amount increases with increasing cobalt content. The reflectance spectra and magnetic measurements parallel the x-ray data showing that the cobalt ions, at higher cobalt content, go into octahedral sites as expected if CoMoO_{4} is formed.

Sulfided Catalysts. As already reported, the effect of sulfiding is confined to the surface layers. The process can be pictured as an exchange of surface OH to SH with a concomitant reduction of Mo^{6+} to lower oxidation states.

To throw light on the Co and Mo species which can be formed during the sulfurization, one has to take into account the species present in the oxide state.
According to literature data\(^2\) and to our results, the CoAlO\(_4\) cannot be sulfided, but only their surface OH\(^-\) can be transformed into SH\(^-\). However, if cobalt is present as separate phases (CoO\(_2\) or CoMoO\(_4\)) it will undergo the CoO\(_2\) transformation.\(^3\)\(^\text{23}\) Concerning molybdenum, it is currently reported that the sulfiding process of the Mo monolayer leads to an "oxyxulfide" species rather than to a sulfided one.\(^3\)\(^\text{24}\)\(^\text{25}\) This conclusion is based on the lack of observation of MoS\(_2\). However, it must be pointed out that the sulfiding of B-A\(_y\)GaMoCo specimens, in which CoO\(_2\) is present, produces only CoS\(_8\), the conditions being suitable for the formation of CoS\(_8\) and MoS\(_2\). Indeed the sulfiding of pure and silica supported (Co-Mo/SiO\(_2\)) CoMoO\(_4\) leads to the formation of cobalt and molybdenum sulfides.\(^29\) Therefore, it can be argued that the growth of MoS\(_2\) crystallites on alumina supported specimens probably occurs in two dimensions, failing x-ray detection. Another possibility could be that the crystallites are three-dimensional, but very small. Indeed, XPS studies show that MoS\(_2\) is probably present.\(^27\)\(^\text{20}\)
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The Raman spectrum of gaseous dimethylphosphine at a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) has been recorded between 100 and 400 cm\(^{-1}\). The far-infrared spectrum has been recorded over the same frequency range with a resolution of 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\). Considerable torsional data are reported and used to characterize the torsional potential function based on a semirigid model. The average effective V\(_{33}\) was found to be 701 ± 3 cm\(^{-1}\) (2.01 kcal/mol). The cosine–cosine coupling term, V\(_{33}\), was found to be 240 ± 8 cm\(^{-1}\) (0.68 kcal/mol) and the sine–sine term, V\(_{33}\), has a value of -55 ± 2 cm\(^{-1}\) (-0.16 kcal/mol). These data are compared to the corresponding quantities obtained from microwave data. Comparisons are also given to similar quantities obtained for other molecules.

Introduction

The vibrational spectrum of dimethylphosphine has been previously reported.\(^1\)\(^\text{3}\) Bechell and Katlafsky\(^1\) reported the infrared spectrum of the gas and the Raman spectrum of the liquid and tentatively assigned the Raman lines at 236 and 318 cm\(^{-1}\) as the torsional motions. However, neither of these lines appeared in either the infrared or Raman spectra reported by Durig and Saunders\(^2\) and these authors concluded that these two bands arose from impurities in the earlier sample. Durig and Saunders\(^3\) reported bands in the far-infrared spectra of solid (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)P and (CD\(_3\))\(_2\)PH at 180 and 140 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, and assigned these bands to the CH\(_3\) and CD\(_3\) torsional modes. It was assumed that the frequencies for both torsional modes were essentially degenerate for both molecules and the threefold periodic barriers were calculated to be 2.14 and 2.30 kcal/mol for the CH\(_3\) and CD\(_3\) rotors, respectively. The barrier calculation was necessarily simplified because a proper characterization of the potential function for two interacting threefold rotors requires considerable experimental data.\(^4\)\(^\text{5}\) The availability of a

\(^\dagger\) For part VI, see J. Chem. Phys., in press.
\(^\ddagger\) Taken in part from the thesis of W. J. Natter to be submitted to the Department of Chemistry in partial fulfillment of the Ph.D. degree.