Polarization effects in the ionization cross section for collisions of $\text{Ne}^{**}\{(2p)5(3p); J = 3\}$ with Ar : a sensitive probe for "locking" phenomena [Errata, Phys.Rev.Lett., 62,2369(1989)]

Citation for published version (APA):

Driessen, J. P. J., van de Weijer, F. J. M., Zonneveld, M. J., Somers, L. M. T., Janssens, M. F. M., Beijerinck, H. C. W., & Verhaar, B. J. (1990). Polarization effects in the ionization cross section for collisions of $\text{Ne}^{**}\{(2p)5(3p); J = 3\}$ with Ar : a sensitive probe for "locking" phenomena [Errata, Phys.Rev.Lett., 62,2369(1989)]. *Physical Review Letters*, 64(17), 2106-. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2106>

DOI:

[10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2106](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.64.2106)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1990

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

ERRATA

Polarization Effects in the Ionization Cross Section for Collisions of $\text{Ne}^{}\{(2p)^5(3p); J=3\}$ with Ar:
A Sensitive Probe for "Locking" Phenomena
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2369 (1989)]**

J. P. J. Driessen, F. J. M. van de Weijer, M. J. Zonneveld, L. M. T. Somers, M. F. M. Janssens,
H. C. W. Beijerinck, and B. J. Verhaar

In our Letter we presented polarization-dependent cross-section results for $\text{Ne}^{**}\{(2p)^5(3p); J=3\}$ -Ar in a broad energy range. The energy dependence of the polarization effect is a sensitive probe for "locking phenomena." A simple locking criterion is introduced, which has a wide range of possible applications. A detailed discussion of this locking model is presented by Driessen *et al.*¹

For the ionization width $\Gamma(R)$ we introduced a two-state basis, by taking into account only one electron, going into the $\text{Ne}(2p)^{-1}$ hole. This simple model is sufficient to describe the polarization effect, but cannot describe final-state, fine-structure branching ratios, as discussed previously by Bussert and co-workers.² For this detailed information a more extensive model is required,² as proposed by Morgner.³ In this latter model the number of free parameters is considerably larger, but was restricted to five significant transition amplitudes by Bussert and co-workers² in a least-squares analysis of their $\text{Ne}^{**}(2p_x + \text{Ar})$ total ionization cross sections and Ar^+ fine-structure branching ratios, measured for eight $\text{Ne}^{**}(2p_x, x=2,4-10)$ states. We have performed a theoretical analysis using the Feshbach-projection formalism to calculate the ionization amplitudes. Basically this analysis contains the same parameters as the model of Bussert and co-workers.² We find a set of seven significant matrix elements that are in slight but distinct disagreement with Bussert's empirical values. A detailed comparison of these two extended models is described by Driessen *et al.*⁴

Lastly, Eq. (4) should read

$$\Gamma^\Omega = g_\pi^\Omega \Gamma_\pi + (1 - g_\pi^\Omega) \Gamma_\sigma.$$

¹J. P. J. Driessen, F. J. M. van de Weijer, M. J. Zonneveld, L. M. T. Somers, M. F. M. Janssens, H. C. W. Beijerinck, and B. J. Verhaar (to be published).

²W. Bussert, T. Bregel, R. J. Allan, M.-W. Ruf, and H. Hotop, Z. Phys. A **320**, 105 (1985); W. Bussert, T. Bregel, J. Ganz, K. Harth, A. Siegel, M.-W. Ruf, H. Hotop, and H. Morgner, J. Phys. (Paris), Colloq. **46**, C1-199 (1985).

³H. Morgner, J. Phys. B **18**, 251 (1985).

⁴J. P. J. Driessen, S. S. op de Beek, L. M. T. Somers, H. C. W. Beijerinck, and B. J. Verhaar (to be published).