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ABSTRACT

Quartz surfaces counteract the retarding action of SO₄²⁻ on the hydration of C₃A, by presenting additional sites for ettringite crystallization. Strong retardation requires the presence of ettringite near the C₃A, influencing the local concentrations there.

Quarzoberflächen wirken der Verzögerung der Hydration des C₃A durch Sulfationen entgegen, indem sie Extraplätze für die Kristallisation des Ettringits bieten. Eine starke Verzögerung erfordert die Anwesenheit des Ettringits dicht neben dem C₃A, wodurch die lokalen Konzentrationen dort beeinflusst werden.
In studies of the reaction $\text{C}_3\text{A} + \text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in pastes, quartz is frequently added as an "inert" filler in order to prevent demixing and sedimentation (1, 2). The inert character of the quartz may, however, be doubted, if only because the presence of a foreign surface might influence nucleation of hydrates. Indications of this have been reported for cement pastes (3). It was thought desirable to perform similar experiments with pure C$_3$A since they may give a clue on the mechanism responsible for the retardation of its hydration by SO$_4^{2-}$.

Experimental

The CaSO$_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was ex Merck pro analysi.

C$_3$A, sample a, had 0.1% free CaO and Blaine surface area 0.075 m$^2$g$^{-1}$; sample b had 0.3% free CaO and N$_2$ adsorption surface area 0.321 m$^2$g$^{-1}$. The samples were either kept in a sealed glass ampoule, or heated to 1000°C for 2 h shortly before use. The quartz was ex Riedel de Haën; Blaine surface area 0.60 m$^2$g$^{-1}$. On treating with HF + H$_2$SO$_4$, 0.6% of residue remained. It was heated to 1000°C for 2 h shortly before use. The water was twice distilled, and then again distilled under reduced pressure shortly before use.

The conduction calorimeter, mixing and X-ray diffraction procedures were the same as described previously (4). The SEM was a Cambridge MK-2A instrument. The hydration reaction was stopped by washing with absolute ethanol and drying in a stream of nitrogen freed from CO$_2$. In some cases the drying was effected by sucking air through the precipitate in a glove-box in an atmosphere free of CO$_2$.

Results

In Fig.1 heat evolution rate is plotted against time for pastes with C$_3$A sample b, weight ratios C$_3$A:CaSO$_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{SiO}_2 = 1.5:1.43:5.0:z$ (z in caption). Groups of three graphs in the same horizontal position refer to one experiment; the breaks correspond to scale adjustments. The main features of Fig.1 were also shown by pastes with C$_3$A:CaSO$_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{SiO}_2$ weight ratios 1.5:0.77:5.0:z ($0<z<5.0$), for both C$_3$A samples. For $z \neq 0$, the effects of increasing quartz content can be summarized as follows:

1) The first heat evolution rate peak (A) is intensified and shifted slightly forward.

2) After some time the heat evolution rate decreases further (shortly after stage B). For $0.82<z<1.65$, a peak is found at stage B. At large z values the transition to the low heat evolution stage C is smooth.

3) The transition to stage C starts earlier, and once started is faster with increasing z.

4) The final heat evolution peak D occurs earlier.

Experiments with pastes mixed in a glove-box in an atmosphere free of CO$_2$ did not show significant differences from
Heat evolution rate vs. time for pastes C$_3$A + CaSO$_4$.2H$_2$O + H$_2$O + SiO$_2$, weight ratios 1.50:1.43:5.00:z; C$_3$A sample b. The vertical bars indicate the reaction stages up to which integration of the curves was carried out (see text).

Discussion

An increasing quartz content of the paste has a distinct influence which, at least for z>2.97, cannot be ascribed to counteraction of demixing. An ever increasing counteraction of demixing with increasing z might be held responsible for the
Three possible mechanisms for the retardation of hydration in the presence of \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) will be considered:

I. The solution between the C\(_3\)A grains is saturated towards the anhydrous C\(_3\)A, and C\(_3\)A reacts only to the extent that reaction replaces ions withdrawn from the solution by precipitation of hydrates. \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) lowers the solubility of C\(_3\)A, causing a slower precipitation of ettringite as compared with the precipitation of AFm phases from a medium less rich in \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \). Hydrate precipitation is the rate-determining step (12, 13).

II. C\(_3\)A reacts only where its surface is met by dislocations; the hydration is accompanied by movement of the dislocations, and ions adsorbed onto the surface impede this movement (14).

III. A hydration product, e.g. ettringite (15), forms a protective layer.

Mechanism II is contradicted by the fact that quartz has any influence at all. C\(_3\)A and CaSO\(_4\).2H\(_2\)O dissolve quickly in water, as shown by electrical conductivity data in systems C\(_3\)A + H\(_2\)O (16) and C\(_3\)A + CaSO\(_4\).2H\(_2\)O + H\(_2\)O (17), and by the fact that no difference is seen between pastes prepared with pure water and with water previously saturated towards CaSO\(_4\).2H\(_2\)O. The reaction of quartz with the ions concerned is much slower (quartz has no influence on the concentrations in C\(_3\)A suspensions during the initial stages (16)). Thus, the presence of quartz cannot reasonably be supposed to influence either the concentrations of ions in the solution, or their adsorption onto the C\(_3\)A.

Mechanism I might account for the intensification of the first peak, and the shifting forwards of stage B with increasing z. Although quartz cannot change the concentrations in a solution saturated towards C\(_3\)A and CaSO\(_4\).2H\(_2\)O, the quartz surfaces could facilitate nucleation of hydrates, thus accelerating what is, according to this mechanism, the rate-determining step. However, the following arguments are adduced against mechanism I:

1) The concentrations in a solution saturated towards C\(_3\)A, as calculated from thermodynamic data (18), are at least 100 times larger than those found experimentally in C\(_3\)A + CaSO\(_4\) + H\(_2\)O systems (2).

2) The concentrations found experimentally correspond to the solubilities of the hydrates. The large difference between the enthalpies of dissolution of the hydrates on the one hand, and of C\(_3\)A on the other, lead to the expectation that the solubility of C\(_3\)A should be much larger than those of the hydrates.

3) If such \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) concentrations as are present in a solution saturated towards CaSO\(_4\).2H\(_2\)O would reduce the solubility of C\(_3\)A to the extent required by the mechanism, then \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) must strongly increase the activity coefficients of the other ions present. This is not known in the thermodynamics of electrolyte solutions (cf. data on activity coefficients of 1:1 electrolytes in the
presence of $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (19)).

According to mechanism III, the quartz presents additional sites for ettringite crystallization and prevents formation of ettringite on the C$_3$A surface. This is compatible with the heat evolution rate data; however, ettringite layers as formed in hydrating pastes are too incoherent for complete screening of the C$_3$A (13).

However, there is no need to assume such a complete screening. If ettringite is the sole hydrate formed, and counteracts the transport of ions from the bulk solution towards the vicinity of the C$_3$A sufficiently for a concentration gradient to exist between those regions, there will arise a local $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ shortage near the C$_3$A. If initially the solution is saturated towards CaSO$_4$.2H$_2$O, H$_2$O and CaSO$_4$ reach the C$_3$A in a weight to weight ratio of 400:1; if ettringite precipitates as the sole hydrate, H$_2$O and CaSO$_4$ are withdrawn from the solution in a weight to weight ratio of 14:1. Thus, predominant ettringite formation leads to a local $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ shortage near the C$_3$A. Nevertheless, the reactivity of C$_3$A is lower than in $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$-free pastes. It has been suggested (2) that amorphous Al(OH)$_3$ is formed because the ettringite crystals tend to grow further when there is no $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ at hand, with 2OH$^-$ replacing $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, causing a locally low pH and Ca$^{2+}$ concentration near the C$_3$A. Although mixed crystals Ca$_6$Al$_2$(OH)$_{12}$(SO$_4^{2-}$)$_3$(OH)$_3$$_{25}$H$_2$O are not known, the effect suggested here may be a surface effect rather than a bulk effect.

Earlier (2) it was supposed that the amorphous Al(OH)$_3$ precipitates from solution. However, recent data on the hydration of C$_3$A in NaOH solutions (10, 20) suggest the formation of a disturbed surface layer on the C$_3$A, approaching Ca(OH)$_2$ in composition, by preferential extraction of aluminate ions from the C$_3$A and their replacement by OH$.^-$. It would be more in line with these data to think in the present case of a disturbed surface layer on the C$_3$A approaching Al(OH)$_3$ in composition, formed by preferential extraction of Ca$^{2+}$ and its replacement by H$^+$. The occurrence at stage B of a peak at small values of z, and a quicker and smoother transition to stage C at larger values, can be explained thus. As long as $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ diffuses between the ettringite crystals towards the C$_3$A, the ettringite remains stable. The $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ replaces OH$^-$ in or on ettringite, and the hydration of C$_3$A continues slowly. If, however, no $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ reaches the C$_3$A, the ettringite is converted into monosulphate and C$_4$AH$_{19}$, but not C$_2$AH$_8$ (2, 17). If C$_4$AH$_{19}$ precipitation dominates, the pH and Ca$^{2+}$ concentration near the C$_3$A decrease again (Ca$^{2+}$ and aluminate ions enter the solution in 3:2 molar ratio, but are withdrawn from it in 2:1 ratio), leading to a rapid new retardation. This will occur when, on ettringite conversion, little $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is freed for monosulphate formation; i.e. when a large fraction of the ettringite is not formed near the C$_3$A, but on the SiO$_2$ surfaces; i.e. at large z.

SEM evidence supported ettringite formation on quartz surfaces and the incoherent character of the ettringite layers near the C$_3$A, although it was difficult to be sure that no artefacts were introduced during sample preparation.

The final peak (stage D) at $z\neq0$ can be understood by assuming that in the long run the ettringite far from the C$_3$A is
converted too, converting $\text{C}_4\text{AH}_{19}$ initially formed into monosulphate and increasing local pH near the C3A.

The proposed mechanism is regrettably complicated, but the complicated heat evolution rate curves cannot be explained by a simple mechanism.
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