Electrostatic and Sterical Stabilization of TiO₂ Dispersions
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The influence of polyacrylic acid (MW 2000–10 000) on the stability of TiO₂ dispersions is investigated both in dilute suspensions (by light transmission) and in concentrated dispersions (by rheology). Polyacrylic acid in low concentrations destabilizes TiO₂ dispersions, but at higher concentrations a stabilizing influence is found. In the high-concentration region, especially stable dispersions can be obtained when electrostatic and steric stabilization act simultaneously.

Introduction

Dispersions of inorganic solids such as TiO₂ are widely used, e.g., in paints. In those applications, frequently a stable dispersion of the solids concerned is required. The size range of the primary particles of the pigment in most cases is adjusted such as to be in the optimum range with regard to light scattering as required for obtaining a paint of high covering power; in the case of TiO₂, this is on the order of 0.3–0.4 μm. Aggregation of the pigment particles then should be avoided. This is achieved in most cases by the addition of polyelectrolytes acting as agents preventing coagulation. In such cases it is important to know under which conditions (concentrations, pH, etc.) the polyelectrolyte will stabilize the pigment dispersion concerned, especially since low concentrations of a polyelectrolyte often bring about flocculation (aggregation due to polymer bridging) rather than counteract coagulation (aggregation under the influence of attractive London—van der Waals forces between the particles).

The question of the stabilization of colloid dispersions against aggregation by polyelectrolytes has been studied previously; see, for example, La Mer and Healy, Kitchener, Vincent, and Burlamacchi et al. Usually, flocculation is induced by polyelectrolytes when their surface coverage is markedly below the saturation value of the surface; when the surface is covered to a higher degree, flocculation does not occur and stabilization against coagulation is brought about. Maximal flocculation is frequently achieved when the surface carries only about half its saturation capacity of polymer. While many aspects of these phenomena have been elucidated, there remain two questions which, in the opinion of the present authors, have as yet not received sufficient attention: (a) Are electrostatic and steric stabilization effects additive? In other words, if the surface charge and electrolyte concentration are such that a suspension is electrostastically stabilized against aggregate formation, is this stability of the dispersion then further enhanced by the presence of a polymer layer? (b) What stabilization/flocculation effects are observed on addition of polyelectrolytes to more concentrated dispersions such as are used in practical circumstances?

The present study is devoted to these questions, in the special case of TiO₂ as solid and polyacrylic acid (PAA) as polyelectrolyte. TiO₂ volume fractions have been investigated both in a range that is dilute enough for following coagulation by light transmission (φ = 2.7 × 10⁻³) and in a more concentrated range resembling those encountered in practical applications (φ = 0.16–0.19).

Experimental Section

Materials. TiO₂. Two samples were used, both having the crystal structure of rutile.

(a) One sample was prepared by the Bérbé and de Bruyn method, starting from TiCl₄ from Fluka (pro analysis). Electron microscopy showed that the particles were needles with dimensions of about 15 × 15 × 150 nm. Its surface area, as determined by the BET method with N₂ as adsorbate, was 28 m²/g. The point of zero charge (measured as a common intersection point of TiO₂ suspensions in solutions with different electrolyte concentrations) and isoelectric point (IEP, measured as the pH at which there is no electrophoretic velocity) of the sample coincided at pH = 5.7.

(b) The other sample was prepared by the “chloride” process, using TiCl₄ obtained from Tiofine. It had been without application of a coating. The IEP was found at pH = 6.0. The particles were nearly spherical (slightly ellipsoidal) with diameter ratios (as seen in TEM micrographs) of about 1.2 and diameters varying between about 100 and 200 nm.

Polyacrylic Acid (PAA). Three samples were used: (a) a homopolymer of acrylic acid from Janssen Chemical, molecular weight (MW) 2000; H⁺ counterion; (b) a homopolymer of acrylic acid from Servo (Delden, The Netherlands) type FX504, MW 10 000; NH₄⁺ counterion; and (c) a copolymer of acrylic acid (90%) and styrene (10%) from Servo, type FX 508, MW 11 000; NH₄⁺ counterion.

KNO₃ was analytical reagent grade.

Methods. Coagulation rates were followed by light transmission, by means of a Vitatron MPS spectrophotometer provided with a magnetically stirred cylindrical vessel (i.d. 7 mm). Before measurement, the TiO₂ was dispersed in water or PAA solution, to be subsequently saturated with KNO₃.

(5) Bérbé, Y. G.; de Bruyn, Ph. L. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1968, 27, 305.
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Figure 1. Experimental stability ratios in low volume fraction ($\phi = 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$) TiO$_2$ dispersions, as a function of KNO$_3$ concentration for different quantities of PAA added. Quantities of PAA added are expressed as grams per square meter of pigment surface area: TiO$_2$, sample a; PAA, sample a; pH = 6.0; $\phi$, $0 \text{ g/m}^2$; $\Delta$, $2.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\Theta$, $2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\gamma$, $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\Omega$, $4.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\psi$, $4.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\tau$, $5.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$.

using an Ystral X40/38 colloid mill (volume fraction of TiO$_2$, $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$). Electrolyte (KNO$_3$) was added at time $t = 0$. From the light transmission vs time graphs, stability factors for the dispersions were calculated by assuming an absence of electrostatic and steric repulsion in PAA free dispersions with [KNO$_3$] $> 0.15$ M; this assumption was confirmed by equal initial light transmission vs time rates at all [KNO$_3$] values exceeding 0.15 M, in the absence of PAA. Thus the critical coagulation concentration in KNO$_3$ solutions was found for the TiO$_2$ sample employed here to be 0.15 M. A stability ratio ($W$) for other concentrations of KNO$_3$ and/or PAA was then defined as the quotient of the coagulation rate in the absence of electrostatic and steric repulsion and of the coagulation rate in the presence of either or both stabilizing effects. The stability ratio is found as the ratio of the rate of decrease of the light transmission observed in the presence of [KNO$_3$] $> 0.15$ M and in the absence of PAA to that found for the other conditions. Thus, the influence of uncertainties in the effective shear rates present during the coagulation experiments was eliminated.

Viscosity was measured in a sample of a TiO$_2$ dispersion (solid volume fraction of 0.16–0.19), prepared by mixing and dispersing the appropriate amount of TiO$_2$ in about 1 L of water, during 1 min in an Ystral X40/38 colloid mill. This dispersion is indicated as bulk dispersion. Viscosity measurements were performed in a Contraves Rheomat 115 viscometer, with a rotating bob and a thermostated cup with dimensions according to DIN 145. During measurements, the shear stress was raised starting from 10 to 1043 s$^{-1}$, then it was lowered. The measured sample was then returned to the bulk dispersion, and additional PAA was added. From the measurements a viscosity was calculated as the quotient (shear stress/ shear rate), assuming a shear rate distribution in the viscometer as for a Newtonian liquid. In agreement with rheological nomenclature, this viscosity is indicated here as "apparent" viscosity.

Adsorption isotherms were obtained by adding a solution of poly(acrylic acid) with the required pH and ionic strength to a suspension of TiO$_2$ with the same pH and ionic strength. After 1 h of agitation the pH was adjusted. After 20 h of agitation at 25°C, the suspension was centrifuged and the concentration of the PAA was measured in the supernatant using a total organic carbon analyzer (O.I. Analytica Model 700). Blank tests indicated very little organic species passing into the solution from the solid (<0.1 ppm in the equilibrium solution). The pH of the supernatant was always within $\pm 0.1$ pH unit of the desired pH.

Results and Discussion

A. Dilute TiO$_2$ Dispersions ($\phi = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$). At low [PAA] values, the stability factor $W$ is found to behave, as a function of electrolyte concentration, as reported in similar cases$^6$ (see Figures 1 and 2). The [PAA] is indicated in these figures as grams of PAA per square meter of TiO$_2$ surface. This appears to be the most appropriate way of expressing the quantity of PAA added since separate experiments showed that, for the PAA and TiO$_2$ samples employed here, there is, at low [PAA], virtually complete adsorption of PAA on TiO$_2$ surface but stands in contrast with data reported by Vedula and Spencer$^10$ and by Burlamacchi et al.$^4$ The difference with the data reported by Vedula et al. and by

Figure 2. Conditions as in Figure 1, except with PAA sample c instead of sample a: $\bigcirc$, $0 \Delta$, $1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\Theta$, $2.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\gamma$, $3.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$; $\Omega$, $4.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/m}^2$.

Figure 3. Adsorption of PAA sample a on TiO$_2$ sample a: $\bigcirc$, pH = 4.2; $\Delta$, pH = 5.3; $\bigodot$, pH = 6.5; (a) overview; (b) low concentration region on enlarged scale.
Burlamacchi et al. is the much higher affinity of the polyacrylic acid toward the TiO$_2$ surface in our case. These differences can be ascribed to differences between the TiO$_2$ samples employed by the respective investigators: Vedula et al. and Burlamacchi et al. employed commercial samples presumably manufactured by the so-called “sulfate” process starting from Ti(SO$_4$)$_2$. This appears in the case of the sample used by Burlamacchi et al. from the release of SO$_4^{2-}$ ions by the TiO$_2$ sample in aqueous solution reported by these authors. Vedula and Spencer used TiO$_2$ with an anatase structure, which cannot be made by the “chloride” process starting from TiCl$_4$, because in this process rutile is formed directly while in the sulfate process first anatase is formed which may be converted later into rutile. TiO$_2$ samples made by the sulfate process almost invariably contain chemically bound sulfate ions at their surface which are very persistent and cannot be totally removed by washing or Soxhlet extraction and manifest themselves in the final TiO$_2$ as chemisorbed ions, as reported by Janssen and Stein. Therefore in the present investigation, TiO$_2$ samples used were prepared from TiCl$_4$, either in the laboratory or commercially, which are less prone to uptake of ions during their manufacture. The absence of chemisorbed anions in the case of our TiO$_2$ samples has been shown by the coincidence of PZC and IEP.

In addition, in our adsorption data no plateau region of constant adsorption is reached, as reported by Gebhardt and Fuerstenau. However, the existence of the plateau is not well-established by the experiments shown by Gebhardt and Fuerstenau, due to the spread in their adsorption data at high concentrations.

Data in the high-affinity adsorption region are plotted on an enlarged scale in Figure 3b. It is seen that, in this concentration range, the tendency of PAA to become adsorbed at a given concentration does not depend on the surface charge on the TiO$_2$, but it should be remembered that the charge on the PAA also changes with changing pH. This stands in contrast with the situation at higher concentrations, in which a positive surface charge of increasing absolute value stimulates the adsorption of the anionic polyelectrolyte (Figure 3a). However, the total amount which can be accomodated at the surface in the high adsorption range increases with decreasing pH value, i.e. with increasing absolute value of the surface charge if the latter is positive.

When there is virtually complete adsorption, it is more important to know how much PAA is present per square meter of TiO$_2$ surface area than to know what the residual concentration in the liquid is. At [KNO$_3$] > 0.15 M, W values of 1 are found (as follows from the definition of W employed here); at [KNO$_3$] < 0.15 M, W increases as a result of increasing electrostatic repulsion. In the absence of PAA, the increase of W with decreasing [KNO$_3$] is only slight, which is to be expected since the TiO$_2$ is very near its IEP. Low [PAA] values lead to higher stabilities against coagulation (increasing W values) since the PAA is adsorbed and increases the ζ potential in an absolute sense (Figure 4). However, at low [PAA] values, there is no significant slowing down of the coagulation at [KNO$_3$] > 0.15 M, which indicates the absence of steric stabilization. Such slowing down of the coagulation at [KNO$_3$] > 0.15 M is observed at higher [PAA] values, indicating that under these conditions there is steric repulsion between the TiO$_2$ particles.

Figure 5 shows the W values found at [KNO$_3$] = 0.4 M, definitely above the concentration range in which electrostatic repulsion is expected. Thus, in this graph the sterical repulsion is shown; in agreement with the statements in the previous paragraph, there is some minimal value of [PAA] which should be surpassed for sterical repulsion to become noticeable. In Figure 5, values are shown only for the PAA sample a, but with samples b and c, the same trend was observed.

**High Solid Volume Fraction (φ = 0.16–0.19).** In the experiments related to the high concentration range of TiO$_2$, the final PAA concentration in the liquid phase is expressed again as the quantity added per square meter of TiO$_2$ surface.

Figure 6 shows that the pastes were shear rate thinning, especially at low [PAA]. This shear rate thinning character of the pastes can be attributed to the breaking up of flocs under shear. Especially at low [PAA], some thixotropy (decrease of viscosity with time at constant shear rate) is observed; this confirms the flocculated state of the suspensions under these conditions.

Figure 7 shows the apparent viscosity of the suspensions and the ζ potential of the solid phase at various [PAA] values. Low [PAA] values are seen to effect a distinct increase in apparant viscosity; this can be attributed to increased coagulation of the TiO$_2$, by bridging flocculation. That this aggregation mechanism is the prevailing one follows from two arguments: (a) at the [PAA] values concerned, the surfaces of TiO$_2$ are only partially covered by PAA, while there is virtually complete removal of dissolved PAA from the solution by adsorption; (b) with increasing [PAA], the viscosity passes through a maximum and decreases again to very low values. This indicates absence of coagulation at large [PAA] values, contradicting
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Figure 7. Left hand scale: Apparent viscosities at constant shear rate, of Ti$\text{O}_2$ dispersions (volume fraction, 0.16), for
different values of the shear rate ($\Phi$, 10 s$^{-1}$; $\bigodot$, 20 s$^{-1}$; $\bigtriangleup$, 60 s$^{-1}$;
$\triangledown$, 343 s$^{-1}$). Right hand scale: (■) zeta potential, as a function of quantity of $\text{PAA}$ added. Concentrations of $\text{PAA}$ are expressed
as grams per square meter of Ti$\text{O}_2$ surface area: Ti$\text{O}_2$, sample b; $\text{PAA}$, sample b; pH = 6.1.

coadsorption against coagulation. The increased viscosity of the continuous phase being caused by the presence of free $\text{PAA}$ at high
[\text{PAA}]$ is excluded by the adsorption measurements showing that the free $\text{PAA}$ concentration is too small for
any noticeable viscosity increase. As fas as we can see, there are two possible explanations left for this viscosity increase: (a) When large quantities of $\text{PAA}$ are added to the paste, there is no more total adsorption of $\text{PAA}$ on the Ti$\text{O}_2$ surface.$^{7,8}$ Dissolved $\text{PAA}$ may induce depletion
couagulation.$^{13}$ (b) At large concentrations of $\text{PAA}$, the surface layers consisting of adsorbed $\text{PAA}$ are thick enough for
their contribution to the total volume of the suspension to become important. Thus, the effective solid volume fraction of the dispersion is increased, which leads to an increase in apparent viscosity.

Calculations of the depletion interaction induced by the presence of $\text{PAA}$ at the relevant concentrations do not support alternative a. Such calculations require a Hamaker constant to be introduced. It appears that there is no complete agreement on the Hamaker constant of Ti$\text{O}_2$ in aqueous solutions; values ranging from $2.5 \times 10^{-20}$ to $10 \times 10^{-20}$ J having been reported.$^{14}$ We will not introduce much error if we assume a Hamaker constant in the range 0.5-5 $\times 10^{-20}$ J (see also Hamaker constants reported for other oxides$^{14}$). In Figure 9, the total interaction energy between Ti$\text{O}_2$ particles is plotted, as a function of the shortest intersurface distance between two spherical particles with radius $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$ m, using Hamaker constants of $5 \times 10^{-21}$ and $5 \times 10^{-20}$ J and a Stern potential of $-55$ mV. The attractive potential energy has been calculated using the Clayfield et al.$^{15}$ formulae for partially retarded attraction between spherical particles, which give results that are nearly identical with those given by the Schenkel and Kitchener equations.$^{16}$

The repulsive interaction energy was calculated by Derjaguin's method$^{17}$ and the depletion interaction by the Sperry formula.$^{18}$ For calculating the osmotic pressure of

---


(18) Sperry, P. R. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1982, 87, 375.
the PAA solution, PAA was considered as behaving like a monomer, sodium acrylate, but with an osmotic coefficient of 0.24 as reported for highly charged polyelectrolytes in the concentration range concerned. For the radius of gyration, an upper limit is $5.6 \times 10^{-4}$ m (which corresponds to half the total length of a fully stretched PAA chain of a PAA molecule with $M_W = 2000$).

The result is influenced only slightly by the radius of gyration which is assumed for the PAA molecule, or by the value of the Hamaker constant assumed for TiO$_2$. The value of the Hamaker constant influences, however, the value of the interaction energy at the secondary minimum: if $A_{\text{Hamaker}} = 1 \times 10^{-20}$ J, it is $-0.22$ kT, while for $A_{\text{Hamaker}} = 1 \times 10^{-19}$ J, a secondary minimum of about $-4$ kT in the interaction energy is found.

Alternative b, on the other hand, is supported by the following argument: The thickness of the adsorbed polyelectrolyte layer can be calculated from the viscosity, if the latter can be used to estimate the solid volume fraction. The thickness of the adsorbed layer in the case of concentrated dispersions can also be estimated from a different experimental quantity: from the permeability of a TiO$_2$ paste. If both values prove to be equal, with regard to their order of magnitude, alternative b is supported. In order for the comparison between both values to be valid, it is important that the solid volume fraction be comparable in the rheological experiments, on the one hand, and in the premeability measurements, on the other.

This excludes estimation of the adsorbed layer thickness through viscosity measurements in very dilute suspensions, in which the Einstein equation can be applied: in such very dilute suspensions, the adsorbed layer thickness is expected to differ systematically from that in more concentrated dispersions, since bridging between two TiO$_2$ particles will occur in both concentration regions to a different degree.

Since we are dealing here with dispersions with solid volume fractions which are higher than the solid volume fraction region in which the Einstein equation can be reasonably applied but which are still much lower than that corresponding to the maximum solid volume fraction region in solution corresponding to 0.3 g of PAA added per m$^2$ of TiO$_2$ surface. The PAA concentration in solution is then 1 g/l. $\psi_p = -55$ mV; (electrolyte, 1:1) = 0.0139 M; osmotic coefficient of PAA = 0.24; $A_{\text{Hamaker}} = 0.5 \times 10^{-20}$ J; radius of gyration of dissolved PAA ($R_g$) = $4 \times 10^{-9}$ m; $\rho$, $A_{\text{Hamaker}}$ = $5 \times 10^{-20}$ J; $R_g$ = $5.6 \times 10^{-9}$ m.

The result is influenced only slightly by the radius of gyration, an upper limit is $5.6 \times 10^{-9}$ m (which corresponds to half the total length of a fully stretched PAA chain of a PAA molecule with $M_W = 2000$).
mentioned in this figure agree, as to their order of magnitude, with the values calculated for the hydrodynamic layer thickness under similar conditions, from the permeability reduction of a porous bed consisting of TiO₂ by adsorbed PAA. A hydrodynamic layer thickness of 3 nm containing \(5 \times 10^{-4}\) g of PAA per square meter of surface (as found from adsorption measurements) has a PAA volume fraction of about 17%; this appears to be compatible with immobilization of the solvent.

It may come as a surprise that a layer of only a few nanometers thickness can effect sterical stabilization and may greatly increase the electrostatic repulsion at low electrolyte concentrations. However, an influence of such a thin adsorbed layer is confirmed by calculations of the perikinetic stability ratio \((W)\) at different electrolyte concentrations. \(W\) can be calculated by the formula\(^6\)\(^7\)

\[
W = -\frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{\beta(u)}{2 + u^2} \exp\left(\frac{V_a + V_r}{kT}\right) du}{\int_0^\infty \frac{\beta(u)}{2 + u^2} \exp\left(\frac{V_a}{kT}\right) du}
\]

where \(V_a\) = the van der Waals attractive energy, \(V_r\) = the repulsive energy, \(\beta(u)\) = the hydrodynamic correction factor, and \(u\) = the shortest distance between the particle surfaces divided by the particle radius. In these calculations, Hamaker constants of \(5 \times 10^{-21}\) and \(5 \times 10^{-20}\) J were used for TiO₂. The hydrodynamic layer was regarded as indistinguishable, with regard to London-van der Waals interaction, from the solvent (about 83% of its volume is made up of solvent molecules). Thus, it was assumed, in agreement with calculations reported by Osmond et al.,\(^25\) that an adsorbed layer acts primarily through the "core spacing" effect. Electrostatic repulsion was taken into account, approximating Stern potentials by experimental value of \(\zeta\) potentials, with the Derjaguin formula\(^17\) if the absolute value of the \(\zeta\) potential was <0.025 V, or by means of interpolation between the values of the Verwey and Overbeek tabulated values.\(^24\) Steric stabilization was not taken into account: two particles were regarded as coagulated if the distance between the surfaces of two particles became twice the polyelectrolyte layer thickness.

The calculations were first performed in the absence of an adsorbed PAA layer, again taking into account retardation of attraction. This gives the two curves in Figure 11 referred to as "surface without layer". The curves related to situations with an adsorbed PAA layer were calculated in the same way, but on the assumption that in this case the \(\zeta\) potential could be used as an approximation for the electrical potential at the outer boundary of the hydrodynamic layer. A hydrodynamic layer thickness was assumed varying from 0.1 nm in 0.4 M:1:1 electrolyte solution to 1 nm in 0.1 M solution. This leads to the other two curves in Figure 11. It is seen that, on the basis of these assumptions, a distinct retardation of aggregation is calculated for both values of the Hamaker constant, especially for a Hamaker constant of \(5 \times 10^{-20}\) J.

**Summary**

Polymethacrylic acid (MW 2000) effectively stabilizes TiO₂ dispersions against aggregation, both in dilute dispersions (solid volume fraction \(\phi = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}\)) and in concentrated ones (\(\phi = 0.16-0.19\)).

The apparent viscosity of TiO₂ dispersions, in the high \(\phi\) range investigated, is found to have a complicated pattern as a function of the quantity of PAA added: small quantities of PAA increase the viscosity by causing bridging aggregation; larger quantities stabilize the dispersion, which leads to a decrease in viscosity; still larger quantities increase the viscosity slightly again, presumably by increasing the hydrodynamic radius of the dispersed particles through an adsorbed PAA layer.
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