Pd-based metallic supported membranes: High-temperature stability and fluidized bed reactor testing
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Abstract
The present work focuses on the study of a metallic supported Pd–Ag membrane for high temperature applications with a particular attention to long-term stability. In this work, a metallic supported thin-film Pd–Ag membrane has been tested for more than 800 h and sustained hydrogen perm-selectivities higher than 200000 have been measured. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that there is no interaction of the membrane with the Ni/CaAl2O4 reforming catalyst particles, thus resulting in a constant permeance in the fluidized bed membrane reactor mode. The membrane has been tested under steam and autothermal reforming of methane conditions and the membrane performance has been quantified in terms of the hydrogen recovery and separation factors demonstrating a good reactor performance accomplishing an enhancement in the process efficiency by in-situ selective H2 separation. A decrease in ideal perm-selectivity has been observed at high temperatures (600°C). Small defects at the Pd/Ag surface as a result of interaction of the Pd/Ag later with the metallic support have been observed in after test membrane characterization, which provides appreciated information for the improvement in the performance and production of future membranes.

Introduction
Global warming and scarcity of resources are the two main issues that need to be addressed in energy conversion processes. Nowadays, there are many reports and reviews with extensive discussions on these issues and also different strategies have been proposed to mitigate the associated problems [1]. While most of the strategies are related to the use of renewable resources or moving towards clean energy “sources” like H2, what it is generally accepted nowadays is...
that a transition to these novel strategies should be done through improved energy efficiency of existing technologies.

Large-scale hydrogen production is nowadays based mostly on reforming of fossil fuels (mainly natural gas) or, for small plants and high purity, electrolysis of water. For hydrogen production processes based on reforming one of the major drawbacks is the large amount of CO₂ emissions. These emissions depend on the process configuration used for the reforming as well as the feedstock. To reduce these emissions CH₄ is the preferred raw material for H₂ production related to the high H/C ratio [2]. Among the different reforming processes, Steam Methane Reforming (SMR) is the most employed process to convert CH₄ into H₂. This is a highly endothermic process where the reforming reaction is usually carried out over a nickel catalyst for syngas production, which is subsequently sent to water-gas-shift reactors. Typical temperatures for the process at large-scale range between 800 and 1100 °C with the necessity of an excess of steam in the feed (typically with a steam-to-carbon ratio (S/C) around 3) to avoid carbon deposition on the surface of the catalyst [3]. To supply the heat needed for the highly endothermic reforming, part of the feed is combusted in a burner. Autothermal Methane Reforming (ATR) is another well-known process for H₂ production, where some oxygen is fed to the reactor with the aim of obtaining autothermal operation via partial oxidation of the fuel. In this process, the main limitation is associated to the expensive separation of oxygen from air [4]. Other processes for H₂ production are based on dry reforming or partial oxidation of methane. The main drawback of these processes is related to the relatively low H₂/CO ratio in the obtained syngas, which makes them less attractive for large-scale industrial applications [5,6]. Thus, SMR and ATR are the main processes of interest for H₂ production from natural gas.

In view of the desired transition to novel carbon-emission-free based technologies for energy production, an improvement in the overall carbon and energy efficiencies of current processes is of crucial importance, which has led to a lot of research efforts in many research institutes and universities. Regarding SMR and ATR processes, main efforts have been devoted to the development of novel, more stable catalysts with high resistance to carbon deposition and/or sulfur poisoning [7]. However, what is gaining more attention nowadays is the development of novel reactor concepts for efficient reforming technologies. Among them, the use of membrane reactors has been proposed and demonstrated at lab scale as an interesting alternative to the traditional processes. In a membrane reactor, chemical reaction and separation take place in the same unit, thus achieving an important process integration which accomplishes a reduction in the required number of process units even when aiming for CO₂ capture [8–10].

Perovskite membranes have been used in ATR processes to separate oxygen from air for selective (and distributive) feeding of O₂ to the reactor. By using these membranes costly air separation units are no longer required and a better control of the process can be achieved. The main limitation associated with the application of these membranes in ATR processes is that the O₂ permeation rates become sufficient only at very high temperatures [11]. The use of Pd-alloys membranes is another interesting option for membrane reactors, where selective H₂ separation can be achieved in situ. In this case, product separation results in a displacement of the thermodynamic equilibrium, so that higher feedstock conversions can be achieved with an integrated separation (and purification) of the H₂ produced, which is otherwise carried out at industrial scale with PSA units. In this case, the main limitation is related to the maximum temperature the Pd-based membranes can resist, which is currently around 600 °C, and to a lesser extent the inhibition by CO, which reduces the H₂ flux through the membrane [12,13]. Membrane reactors have been studied mostly considering a packed-bed reactor configuration [14,15]. However, after enormous efforts in developing novel thin-film Pd-based supported membranes with a very high H₂ permeance (with simultaneously a very high H₂ perm-selectivity), many drawbacks of the packed-bed membrane reactor configuration have emerged, most of them are associated to bed-to-membrane mass transfer limitations, commonly referred to as concentration polarization [16,17]. Moreover, the relatively large pressure drop in fixed bed membrane reactors can be circumvented by employing bigger particles, however, at the expense of possible intra-particle mass transfer limitations. Finally, temperature control in packed bed reactors may be problematic (especially for highly endo or exothermic reactions) with very limited freedom for different membrane and heat exchange arrangements [18].

All the drawbacks of packed-bed membrane reactors may be alleviated with fluidized bed membrane reactors [19]. While concentration polarization and temperature gradients can be largely reduced in fluidized beds, it has also been shown that stability issues related to attrition of the catalyst particles or erosion of the membrane surfaces may not pose any problems or can be overcome [20].

Concerning the Pd-based supported membranes, metallic supports are more robust than ceramic supports and there is no need of sealing, provided that an appropriate welding between the porous and dense parts can be performed [21]. For high temperature applications using metallic supported membranes, the deposition of an inter-metallic diffusion barrier layer between the metallic support and the Pd-based layer is required [18]. Recently, the long-term stability of Pd-based membranes at temperatures above 450 °C has become a key research challenge, since the membranes showed loss of performance due to alloying of Pd with the support elements, Ag sublimation in the case of Pd–Ag membranes, grain growth or problems associated with the membrane preparation procedure [16,22–26].

In this study Pd–Ag membranes supported on metallic Hastelloy X tubes with a ceramic inter-metallic diffusion barrier layer have been prepared and their performance in a fluidized bed reactor for SMR and ATR reactions has been studied. Firstly, the long-term membrane stability is assessed and the influence of CO on membrane inhibition is evaluated at different temperatures and partial pressures. Subsequently, the membrane is immersed in a fluidized catalytic bed with a commercial Ni/CaAlₓOᵧ catalyst (HiFUEL® R110) provided by Johnson Matthey, which has already demonstrated good performance for low temperature reforming applications as described in Ref. [27]. A continuous monitoring of the membrane properties is carried out after every step described in
this study. Finally, once all experimental work is concluded, a deep characterization of the membrane is carried out for further optimization of the membranes.

**Experimental**

**Membrane preparation and characterization**

Metallic supported membranes were prepared at TECNALIA for the tests presented in this work. A Hastelloy X porous tube (3/8” o.d.; 0.2 μm media grade) supplied by Mott Corporation was used as membrane support. For this application, the tube was first surface treated by Mott Corp. consisting on grounding and reactivating steps obtaining smaller surface pores and lower surface roughness. Then, various Al$_2$O$_3$-YSZ ceramic layers were deposited and sintered by wet deposition techniques [21] in order to provide the inter-metallic diffusion barrier layer. Finally, thin Pd–Ag layers were deposited by using the simultaneous (Pd and Ag) electroless plating technique reported in Ref. [28]. The membrane was prepared with a base plating process (210 min) and a second plating step (90 min). After each plating step, the membrane layers were annealed at 650 °C for 2 h; which is above the maximum operating temperature for the ATR membrane reaction (ca. 600 °C), by exposing the membrane to a 10% H$_2$/90% N$_2$ gas mixture with the same heating rate and gas flow rates as reported previously [29]. A picture of the final membrane is shown in Fig. 1 and a SEM cross-section image of the membrane in Fig. 2, where the treated support, ceramic inter-layer and Pd–Ag layer can be identified.

After test membrane characterization has been carried out with different techniques. The cross-section of the membrane and its surface were analyzed with a JEOL JSM-6330F SEM-EDX equipment. Before the analysis, for proper inspection of the cross-section of the membrane, metallographic specimens were prepared mounting membrane pieces in bakelite and polishing them. The composition in the surface in order to identify all possible elements has been analyzed by means of an X-ray photo-electron spectroscopy (XPS). Data were recorded on a Kratos AXIS Ultra spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic AlKα X-ray source and a delay-line detector (DLD). Spectra were obtained using an aluminium anode (hv = 1486.6 eV) operating at 150 W, with survey scans at constant pass energy of 160 eV and region scans at a constant pass energy of 40 eV. The background pressure was 2·10$^{-9}$ mbar. CasaXPS data processing software was used for peak fitting and quantification, where all binding energies were referenced to the C 1s line at 284.6 eV. The surface composition was estimated from the integrated intensities corrected by the atomic sensitivity factors.

**Membrane permeation characterization**

The metallic supported membrane was characterized in a membrane permeation test equipment that can be used for testing the permeation properties of the membranes using single gases, simulated mixtures of gases and also in reactive conditions with fluidized catalyst. A shell-and-tube module configuration has been used to test the membranes. The module is centered in an oven with three zones temperature controllers. The feed flow rate was controlled by digital mass flow controllers and the steam by a CEM system (Bronkhorst). The pressure in the reactor was controlled with a back-pressure regulator after steam condensation. The flow rate of the permeate and retentate streams was monitored by Brooks mass flow meters. To determine the N$_2$ leakage a Bronkhorst flowmeter has been used (model F-110C-002; Nominal flow: 0.014–2 Nml/min Air). To enhance the driving force for hydrogen permeation, the test rig is equipped with a vacuum pump for hydrogen (ATEX certified). The PFD for the Reforming Test Setup is shown in Fig. 3.

**Long-term single gas permeation tests at high temperatures**

Before the membrane is tested under reactive conditions, it is important to assess its stability as a function of time on stream. While sealing issues are solved by using metallic supports instead of ceramics, the possible interaction between the Pd–Ag alloy and the support must be evaluated. For this purpose, stability tests have been carried out in the experimental setup described in Fig. 3.

Prior to the stability test, the reactor is heated up with a heating rate of 2 °C/min in a N$_2$ atmosphere and 1 bar, until the selected temperature is reached. Single gas tests for H$_2$ and N$_2$ are performed every day in order to evaluate the ideal H$_2$/N$_2$ permselectivity as a function of time on stream. While the H$_2$ permeation rate was measured with a Horibastec film flow meter, the N$_2$ permeation was measured with a Bronkhorst flowmeter with a precision of 0.01 mL/min in view of the very low flow rates. Stability tests have been carried out at different
operating temperatures with a temperature stepwise increase of 25 °C once the H₂ permeation became stable with time on stream. In total, the membrane has been exposed to high temperature and H₂ permeation for more than 800 h.

Mixed gas membrane permeation tests (influence of CO)
It is known that CO is adsorbed on the Pd causing an important decrease in the H₂ permeation associated to a decrease in the effective surface area available for H₂ permeation [29–31]. Therefore, it is important to study the influence of the presence of CO on the H₂ permeation. This effect is more pronounced at lower temperatures and it increases with an increase in the CO feed concentration. For this test, different mixtures of H₂/N₂ and H₂/CO have been used in order to quantify the CO inhibition effect for similar partial pressures of H₂ at the feeding side. The temperature has been varied from 400 °C to 600 °C for CO concentrations of 5, 7.5, 10 and 15% (v/v). For these tests, the CO concentration at the permeate side is measured with a Sick Analyzer connected to the permeate stream. For a good comparison, all the results have been normalized with the actual partial pressure of H₂ at the reactor side.

Tests under reforming conditions in a fluidized bed reactor
After single gas tests without the presence of a solids phase, the reactor has been filled with 300 g of a commercial NiO/ CaAl₂O₄ catalyst provided by Johnson Matthey with a particle size ranging between 150 and 250 µm, completely submerging the membrane. Separate attrition tests have shown that the catalyst is not damaged when used under fluidization conditions; in particular, the particle size distribution does not change either after 24 h of cold fluidization or 24 h of fluidization at 600 °C. Prior to all experimental evaluation under reactive conditions, the minimum fluidization velocity of the solids phase has been experimentally measured with the pressure-drop method at a value of 0.012 m/s at 500 °C with N₂ as fluidizing gas. The heating up procedure of the system is carried out with 2 °C/min under N₂ atmosphere until the desired temperature was reached. Once at high temperatures, the possible interaction between the surface of the membrane and the catalyst is analyzed by comparing the H₂ permeance with single gas test results without the catalyst bed for the same conditions.

Steam Methane Reforming (SMR) and Autothermal Reforming of Methane (ATR) were carried out in this system. For both cases a reference case with a steam-to-carbon ratio (S/C) of 3, a temperature of 500 °C, 4 bar absolute pressure inside the reactor and 10% mole fraction of methane in the inlet gas has been selected. For the case of autothermal reforming, some oxygen is added with the inlet gas in order to have an oxygen-to-carbon ratio (O/C) of 0.25. In all cases N₂ is used as internal standard for analyzing the carbon balance. The influence of different parameters has been studied for both reaction systems. All the details concerning the experiments performed in the reactor have been summarized in Table 1.

---

Fig. 3 – PFD of reformer setup used for membrane tests for high temperature application.
Experimental conditions for tests performed in membranes [23]. The nitrogen leakage through the metallic membrane as a function of time on stream at 500–600 °C. The membrane stability has been assessed between 500 and 600 °C for around 800 h. The main aim of this test is to check the stability of the hydrogen permeance and the nitrogen leakage through the membrane as a function of time on stream. The results in Fig. 4, where the effect of CO and N2 dilution on the membrane permeation is shown, were obtained just after the cooling-heating cycle. In this case the maximum H2 permeance achieved for a single gas test (black bars) is slightly lower than the last value measured from the long-term stability test. This implies that the membrane suffers from a small deactivation after a first heating-cooling procedure. Most probably this reduction is associated to a first thermal stress of the membrane with the consequent decrease in permeance. The thermal stress affecting the permeance is dropped to a value of 2650. Nevertheless, this value of ideal perm-selectivity is still relatively high when compared to other state-of-the-art metallic supported membranes, as mentioned in Ref. [21]. The observed increase in N2 permeation is investigated and explained later using results from after test characterization of the membrane.

Mixed gas permeation tests results (influence of CO and N2 dilution)

The study of the effect of CO and N2 dilution on the H2 permeance through the membrane has been carried out just after the stability test for single gas permeation at different temperatures. Prior to this new test, the membrane has been exposed to a cooling-heating cycle to investigate whether there is a thermal stress affecting the permeance. The thermal cycle consisted of cooling the membrane to room temperature with a rate of 2 °C/min in N2 atmosphere and subsequently heating up to the temperatures used for mixed gas permeation tests. The results in Fig. 5, where the effect of CO and N2 dilution on the membrane permeation is shown, were obtained just after the heating-cooling cycle. In this case the maximum H2 permeance achieved for a single gas test (black bars) is slightly lower than the last value measured from the long-term stability test. This implies that the membrane suffers from a small deactivation after a first heating-cooling procedure. Most probably this reduction is associated to a first thermal stress of the membrane with the consequent modification in the porosity of the support materials, thus increasing the mass transfer resistance through the supported membrane and decreasing the H2 permeance. Coming back to the effect of CO and N2 dilution on the hydrogen permeance,
three different temperatures have been selected (400, 500 and 600 °C). For all cases the H2 permeation has been normalized depending on the actual driving force. On a first close look on Fig. 5, it is clearly observed that when feeding another gas with H2 at the inlet of the reactor at the same partial pressure of H2, this gas creates an additional mass transfer resistance for hydrogen permeation through the membrane. This is concluded from the decrease in the H2 permeance compared to the single gas test (black bars). Moreover, the higher the partial pressure of N2, the lower the H2 permeance for all investigated temperatures. As nitrogen does not interact with the membrane surface, the decrease in permeation fluxes is due to bulk-to-membrane mass transfer resistances.

When substituting N2 for CO, a more pronounced decrease in H2 permeance is observed for the same partial pressures of CO as the ones used before with N2. In this case, the decrease is associated to poisoning of the surface by interaction of the Pd with CO. This interaction implies a decrease in the effective surface area available for H2 permeation with its respective effect on the total gas permeation. Furthermore, it has been observed that an increase in the CO partial pressure implies a decrease in the H2 permeance. This is in contrast with what has been reported in literature [31], where it was reported that at a certain CO concentration in the inlet stream (= 10% v/v) the H2 permeance remains constant. As the difference between the permeation in the presence of CO and in the presence of N2 increases with increasing the partial pressure of the gas component, this effect cannot be explained only by CO-inhibition and external mass transfer limitations (concentration polarization). These unexpected results can be explained by the catalytic activity of the support material (Inconel) towards methanation. Since this material contains mainly Ni, methanation may occur on the surface of the support forming CH4. Through this reaction there is a decrease in the partial pressure of H2 in the system, which is directly associated to a decrease in the H2 permeation which explains why the H2 permeance decreases further than expected in the presence of CO. To prove this hypothesis, the catalytic activity of the support has been tested by feeding a mixture (1 NL/min) of 25% H2/25% CO in nitrogen at 500 °C and 1 bar and measuring the outlet composition. As reported in Fig. 6, indeed the support has activity towards methanation and the hydrogen concentration is indeed lower than the feed concentration, supporting the previous hypothesis.

Furthermore, it should be noted that this reaction will take place predominantly in highly concentrated H2 streams and in the presence of CO, thus only at the permeate side and not significantly at the retentate side during SMR or ATR processes, as the reaction rates for SMR and ATR are much higher than methanation reaction rate. The observed methanation reactivity may even be advantageous when highly concentrated H2 streams for industrial processes are required. Worth mentioning is the production of ultra-pure H2 for usage in fuel cells for electricity production or in ammonia plants, where traces of CO have an important effect on the downstream processes [32], while the presence of CH4 has a negligible influence. Thus, the fact that CO can be converted into CH4 inside the membrane may have a positive impact on the quality of the H2 stream produced. Thermodynamic calculations demonstrate that when traces of CO are present in the permeate stream these will be completely converted to methane as reported in Table 2.

![Fig. 5](image_url) **Fig. 5** — H2 permeance as a function of partial pressure of CO (light grey bars) or N2 (dark grey bars) at different temperatures. Black bars correspond to single gas tests with pure H2. All experiments are carried out at a total pressure of 4 bar(a) in the reactor side and atmospheric pressure in the permeate side.

![Fig. 6](image_url) **Fig. 6** — Reaction tests with the membrane support. T = 500 °C, p = 1 bar.
Thermodynamic calculations for the properties of the permeate side at different times during this study.

When accounting for the H$_2$ vented, and the permeate outlet stream is again close to its reactor, the conventional equilibrium restriction is circumvented to change the configuration to a fluidized bed membrane reactor, the conventional equilibrium restriction is circumvented, and the permeate outlet stream is again close to its thermodynamic equilibrium when the membrane reactor configuration is employed. It is especially interesting to highlight that the equilibrium displacement is more pronounced for conditions where the driving force for H$_2$ permeation is maximized, such as an increase in the pressure of the reactor and the steam-to-carbon ratio, or a decrease in the total flow rate with the corresponding increase in residence time.

The methane conversion as a function of different experimental conditions for the two different reactor configurations studied in this work is shown in Fig. 7. As can be observed, chemical equilibrium is obtained in the fluidized bed reactor configuration due to the good performance of the catalyst, assuring absence of kinetic limitations. Furthermore, for all cases an important increase in the yield of the reaction for H$_2$ production as a consequence of the displacement of the equilibrium is observed when the membrane reactor configuration is employed. It is especially interesting to highlight that the equilibrium displacement is more pronounced for conditions where the driving force for H$_2$ permeation is maximized, such as an increase in the pressure of the reactor and the steam-to-carbon ratio, or a decrease in the total flow rate with the corresponding increase in residence time.

Under the new scenario with the configuration of the FBMR, the expected thermodynamic equilibrium has been also calculated with Aspen Plus. As observed from Fig. 7, again thermodynamic equilibrium is almost achieved in this new configuration. The difference observed might relate to the small amount of catalyst in the freeboard, which may not be enough to achieve the expected equilibrium. For all the cases studied in this work, a maximum error in the carbon balance of around 5–8% is obtained as a consequence of experimental errors mainly related to the analyzer calibration.

While the methane conversion for the different conditions may provide a quick visual interpretation on how well the membrane reactor performs, there are other parameters of interest that must be studied, mainly related to separation factor (SF) and hydrogen recovery factor (HRF). Both parameters give an indication on the H$_2$ recovered through the membrane as the maximum expected according to the inlet conditions and hydrogen produced. Both parameters are strongly affected by the driving force. Thus the amount of hydrogen produced during reaction and the amount of inert gas fed through the reactor play an important role on both parameters. Moreover, as observed during the CO inhibition experiments, there is a mass transfer resistance associated to the mixing of hydrogen with other gas components. This directly implies that the value of H$_2$ permeance will be far from the ideal value that was obtained during single gas permeation tests.

**Table 2 - Thermodynamic calculations for the methanation reaction. Base for calculation is 1 kmol/h.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>CH$_4$</th>
<th>H$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test under reforming conditions**

Steam methane reforming

The catalytic activity has been studied with the fluidized bed reactor configuration according to the experiments described in Table 1. For all cases, the reaction has first been carried out with the permeate side closed (i.e. avoiding permeation through the membranes thus simulating a conventional reactor without membranes). Steady state operation is reached within a few seconds after the start of the reaction. Sufficient data has been recorded during the steady state operation (around 20 min) and, after that, the permeate side is opened and the vacuum pump is connected in order to maximize the H$_2$ permeation through the membrane. For this new scenario a new steady state is observed corresponding with the displacement of the equilibrium as a consequence of the H$_2$ permeating through the membrane, which is also monitored during reaction. In order to obtain a good comparison of the results, a thermodynamic analysis using Aspen Plus V7.3.2 of the gas mixture with and without accounting for the amount of H$_2$ extracted, is also shown in the main figures presented below. For almost all cases it is observed that thermodynamic equilibrium is achieved in the fluidized bed reactor configuration (without membrane) and that, after changing the configuration to a fluidized bed membrane reactor, the conventional equilibrium restriction is circumvented, and the permeate outlet stream is again close to its thermodynamic equilibrium when accounting for the H$_2$ extracted.

Prior to the experiments, the membrane has been cooled down and the reactor was filled with 300 g of the catalyst in order to completely cover the membrane. Despite the observed decrease in H$_2$ permeance after a first thermal process, in this new case, when the whole system is heated up to the temperature for the reference case, the H$_2$ permeance remained almost constant with a value similar to the last measured during the test for CO inhibition. These details are summarized in Table 3, where the H$_2$ permeation and the ideal H$_2$/N$_2$ perm-selectivity at different moments in this study are summarized. These permeation results confirm that there is no interaction between the catalyst and the membrane.

The methane conversion as a function of different experimental conditions for the two different reactor configurations studied in this work is shown in Fig. 7. As can be observed, chemical equilibrium is obtained in the fluidized bed reactor configuration due to the good performance of the catalyst, assuring absence of kinetic limitations. Furthermore, for all cases an important increase in the yield of the reaction for H$_2$ production as a consequence of the displacement of the equilibrium is observed when the membrane reactor configuration is employed. It is especially interesting to highlight that the equilibrium displacement is more pronounced for conditions where the driving force for H$_2$ permeation is maximized, such as an increase in the pressure of the reactor and the steam-to-carbon ratio, or a decrease in the total flow rate with the corresponding increase in residence time. Under the new scenario with the configuration of the FBMR, the expected thermodynamic equilibrium has been also calculated with Aspen Plus. As observed from Fig. 7, again thermodynamic equilibrium is almost achieved in this new configuration. The difference observed might relate to the small amount of catalyst in the freeboard, which may not be enough to achieve the expected equilibrium. For all the cases studied in this work, a maximum error in the carbon balance of around 5–8% is obtained as a consequence of experimental errors mainly related to the analyzer calibration.

While the methane conversion for the different conditions may provide a quick visual interpretation on how well the membrane reactor performs, there are other parameters of interest that must be studied, mainly related to separation factor (SF) and hydrogen recovery factor (HRF). Both parameters give an indication on the H$_2$ recovered through the membrane as the maximum expected according to the inlet conditions and hydrogen produced. Both parameters are strongly affected by the driving force. Thus the amount of hydrogen produced during reaction and the amount of inert gas fed through the reactor play an important role on both parameters. Moreover, as observed during the CO inhibition experiments, there is a mass transfer resistance associated to the mixing of hydrogen with other gas components. This directly implies that the value of H$_2$ permeance will be far from the ideal value that was obtained during single gas permeation tests.

**Table 3 - Properties of the permeate side at different times during this study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>H$_2$ permeance [mol m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·Pa$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Ideal H$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity [-]</th>
<th>Purity H$_2$,perm [%]</th>
<th>CO$_2$,perm (ppm)</th>
<th>CO,perm (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before SMR process</td>
<td>8.69 · 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>574.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference case SMR</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>268.9</td>
<td>896.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between SMR and ATR processes</td>
<td>8.67 · 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference case ATR</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>367.1</td>
<td>720.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After ATR process</td>
<td>8.77 · 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is observed in Fig. 8 that both parameters are far from the ideal case of 100%. In order to interpret the results, it is important to first note that full conversion of CH₄ is never achieved and that for most of the investigated cases it never goes beyond 55%. This fact has as a consequence that high HRF cannot be achieved in this setup. Moreover, the huge amount of inert gas fed with the inlet composition (required for steam production) decreases dramatically the partial pressure of the other components. It has indeed an important effect on the maximum driving force that can be achieved for hydrogen separation. Furthermore, if this fact is combined with the mass transfer resistance for hydrogen permeation in the presence of other gases as discussed before, it results in a low separation factor (around 35% for most of the cases). This has consequently a direct impact on the HRF obtained.

When giving a closer inspection to the results, it is noticed that an increase in temperature implies a higher CH₄ conversion. Due to this fact there is an increase in H₂ produced.

Fig. 7 – Methane conversion as a function of a) temperature, b) pressure, c) steam-to-carbon ration and d) inlet flow rate for the two reactor configurations studied with reference case conditions and the thermodynamic equilibrium for steam methane reforming calculated in Aspen Plus v7.3.2.

Fig. 8 – Separation Factor (SF, ▲) and Hydrogen recovery factor (HRF, ◆) for the different conditions studied in this work with the FBMR configuration for Steam Methane Reforming.
and hence the driving force is also increased. This increases the hydrogen permeation through the membrane with a corresponding increase in HRF. However, as more hydrogen is produced at the reactor side, the SF is not strongly affected. On the contrary, when evaluating the effect of pressure on these two parameters, there is an important increase in SF while the HRF remains almost constant. In this case, the driving force has a strong effect on the hydrogen separation factor. For low pressures, the thermodynamic equilibrium is favored to high CH₄ conversions. However, the small driving force implies a small displacement of the equilibrium with a low amount of hydrogen permeated. This explains why the SF is quite poor at such conditions. Only by working at higher pressures a more remarkable displacement of the equilibrium can be achieved resulting in a significant increase in SF and HRF.

Looking at the effect of the steam-to-carbon ratio, it is observed that for low ratios, the CH₄ conversion at equilibrium is lower, which implies lower HRF’s. However, as the reaction is carried out at 4 bar, a high SF can be achieved. The contrary is obtained when increasing the steam-to-carbon ratios. The HRF is increased due to higher CH₄ conversions and due to the increase in the driving force for hydrogen separation (increase in partial pressure of H₂ at the reactor side). However, this increase in hydrogen production does not come along with a much higher increase in hydrogen permeated through the membrane with a corresponding decrease observed in the SF. Finally, the effect of residence time is also studied for this reaction. It is observed that with longer residence times the thermodynamic equilibrium can clearly be achieved, while for shorter residence times there is a small gap between the actual experimental results and the thermodynamic calculations. This is in agreement with the HRF and SF’s obtained. Finally, when comparing the different figures for the CH₄ conversion and the SF, it is noticed that the small deviation to the equilibrium in CH₄ conversion for the case of the fluidized bed membrane reactor implies that also the SF deviates from the calculated equilibrium. Despite this deviation, it can be concluded that the efficiency of the reaction is almost maximized for the membrane used in this work.

**Autothermal reforming of methane**

Similar as for steam methane reforming, the autothermal reforming of methane has been studied for the two reactor configurations with the conditions given in Table 1. For all experiments an oxygen-to-carbon ratio of 0.25 has been selected in order to provide the heat needed to work in the autothermal regime. The procedure followed for this new process is similar as described before for SMR in the first paragraph of Section 3.3.1.

For all the cases a similar interpretation of the results can be done as for the SMR results. In the autothermal reforming case the CH₄ conversion at equilibrium is increased as a consequence of the partial oxidation with the oxygen introduced into the system. Similar to the SMR system, the thermodynamic equilibrium is almost achieved also for the autothermal reforming, as can be deduced from Fig. 9. Again, when the membrane reactor configuration is used, there is a displacement of the equilibrium as a consequence of the H₂ separation with a respective increase in the CH₄ conversion. However, as also observed in SMR process, there is a small deviation from the calculated thermodynamic equilibrium after accounting for the H₂ separated through the membrane.

For this process, the performance of the membrane is also compared in terms of the HRF and SF achieved as depicted in Fig. 10. For all conditions studied, the trends are similar as the ones described above for the SMR process. Thus the interpretation of the results given before is also valid for this new process. In the ATR process there is a small deviation in the

![Fig. 9](image-url) Methane conversion as a function of a) temperature, b) pressure, c) steam-to-carbon ration and d) inlet flow rate for the two reactor configurations studied with reference case conditions and the thermodynamic equilibrium for autothermal reforming of methane calculated in Aspen Plus v7.3.2.
experimental SF from the calculated equilibrium, which is also related to the small deviation observed in the CH₄ conversion.

For industrial applications it is important to restrict the concentration of CO in a H₂ stream. Thus, for both processes, with the FBMR configuration for Autothermal Reforming of Methane. After being exposed to reactive conditions, since the H₂ purity of the gas at the permeated side has been monitored with the analyzer. The results for some conditions are presented in Table 3. Moreover, after all experiments, the ideal H₂/N₂ perm-selectivity was calculated, which is directly correlated with the purity of the permeate stream. The results show that the membrane activity for H₂ permeation was stable after being exposed to reactive conditions, since the H₂ permeance at the same temperature was similar after every experiment. However, an important decrease in the ideal perm-selectivity is clearly observed. This decrease is directly associated to an increase in N₂ permeation through the membrane, which is explained in more detail below.

According to these results, the membrane could not be used under reaction for an industrial process since the minimum required purity was not achieved. Nonetheless, the membrane has been tested after a very long stability test where outstanding ideal perm-selectivities have been measured. If the membrane was submerged in ethanol, no flow through the mass flow meter nor bubbles were observed on the surface of the membrane. This indicates that all the defects come from small defects formed in the surface of the Pd layer. With this information, a more detailed characterization has been carried out.

Membrane characterization

Cross-section and surface SEM images of the tested metallic supported membrane are shown in Fig. 11. In the cross-section images (Fig. 11 a, b and c) some defects (in the range of some microns) have been observed in the membrane layer and curiously they appear on top of big metallic pores. Due to possible rearrangement of the layer after several hours of testing, defects are formed in the weak membrane part due to the low thickness of the ceramic interdiffusion barrier layer and the difficulty in covering the big surface pores of the metallic support. Fig. 11 d and e show a zone of the membrane surface where it can be seen that the Pd–Ag layer covers properly the support surface but a black zone that seems to be a hole is detected. When analyzing the black zone in Fig. 11 e by EDX (Table 4, #2) a higher concentration of the elements of the support (Al and Ni) are present compared to the continuous layer (Table 4, #1). Thus, this black zone is a defect of the Pd–Ag layer with a size in the range of the defects detected in the cross section of Fig. 11 a and b. In another zone (Fig. 11 f) a bigger defective area was detected by SEM and confirmed by EDX (Table 4, #3).

While the EDX analysis gives a good indication on the actual composition of the surface, it should still be considered as a more qualitative characterization technique than quantitative. Thus, XPS analysis is also carried out to provide more
precise information on the composition on the membrane surface and the results have been summarized in Table 5. For a good measurement of the surface composition, first the top layer has been exposed to sputtering and thus, the measurement is done on a surface that has not been exposed to ambient conditions. Results are in agreement with the EDX measurements, where Al and Ni are clearly detected. It means that in those regions with a thin layer of ceramic barrier, there is an interaction between the support of the membrane and the top layer. This interaction results in defects on the surface, which can be related to the significant decrease in perm-selectivity observed in the long-term stability test.

Conclusions

A Pd–Ag membrane (~5 μm layer) has been prepared via electroless plating and supported on metallic Hastelloy X porous tube with a ceramic layer of Al₂O₃-YSZ in between the Pd and the support in order to prevent interaction. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1.- Zone “1” (Picture “d”): bright continuous layer zone 10,000x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>93.14</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2.- Zone “1” (Picture “d”): black zone surrounding the particle 10000x</td>
<td>14.01</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>57.19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3.- Zone “2” (“Picture f”): Porous/defective area 10000x</td>
<td>21.66</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>46.71</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – EDX analysis results on different zones of the tested membrane presented in Fig. 11 e and f.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample identifier</th>
<th>Ag 3d</th>
<th>Al 2p</th>
<th>Cl 2p</th>
<th>Na 1s</th>
<th>Ni 2p</th>
<th>Pd 3d</th>
<th>Si 2p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before sputtering</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>16.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputtered sample</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>70.87</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – Elementary analysis on the surface of the membrane through XPS analysis.
membrane has firstly been exposed through single gas permeation tests during more than 800 h at temperatures ranging between 500 and 600 °C. During this period high H₂ permeances have been measured with exceptional high ideal selectivities always above 200,000. However, after this period, the occurrence of defects on the surface of the membrane has been observed resulting in an increase in the N₂ permeance with the consequence of a pronounced decrease in the ideal perm-selectivity. This has happened at a temperature of 600 °C, which can be considered very high for this type of membranes.

Catalyst interaction with the Pd–Ag layer has not been observed, since the H₂ permeance is the same for single gas tests in the empty tube configuration and with the fluidized bed configuration. The influence of different parameters on the membrane reactor performance has been studied for SMR and ATR reactions. In both cases the reactions were carried out first without the effect of the membrane keeping the permeate side closed. For almost all cases thermodynamic equilibrium was achieved, which was overcome when H₂ permeates through the membranes in the FBMR configuration. For this case a new steady state is created, which is again close to the calculated thermodynamic equilibrium when accounting for the H₂ extraction. After all the experiments an important decrease in the ideal H₂/N₂ perm-selectivity has been observed due to the defects created in the surface.

The explanation of the observed decrease in perm-selectivity has been carried out by means of different characterization techniques. The defects were associated to small defects formed on the surface, resulting from the interaction of the Pd layer with the metallic support. Therefore it can be concluded that this interaction could be decreased by increasing the thickness of the interdiffusion layer, possibly at the expense of a small reduction in the membrane permeance.
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