Anisotropic Homogeneous Turbulence: Hierarchy and Intermittency of Scaling Exponents in the Anisotropic Sectors
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We present the first measurements of anisotropic statistical fluctuations in perfectly homogeneous turbulent flows. We address both problems of intermittency in anisotropic sectors and hierarchical ordering of anisotropies on a direct numerical simulation of a three dimensional random Kolmogorov flow. We achieved an homogeneous and anisotropic statistical ensemble by randomly shifting the forcing phases. We observe high intermittency as a function of the order of the velocity correlation within each fixed anisotropic sector and a hierarchical organization of scaling exponents at fixed order of the velocity correlation at changing the anisotropic sector.
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At the basis of the 1941 Kolmogorov theory there is the idea of restoring the universality and isotropy at small scales in turbulent flows. Memory of large scale anisotropic forcing and/or boundary conditions should be quickly lost during the process of energy transfer toward small scales, with the overall result being a local recovering of isotropy and universality for turbulent fluctuations at small enough scales and large enough Reynolds numbers.

In recent years, a quantitative investigation of restoring the isotropy in experimental anisotropic turbulence\cite{1,2}, numerical homogeneous shear flows\cite{3,4}, and numerical channel flows\cite{5} questioned the main Kolmogorov paradigm, speaking explicitly of persistence of anisotropies. Some theoretical work has also been done\cite{6} in order to understand how to properly link the invariance under rotation [SO(3) symmetry group] of the Navier-Stokes equations and the analysis of anisotropic fluctuations of velocity turbulence correlations. The observed anisotropic effects in small scale turbulence are both a theoretical challenge and a very actual practical problem, opening the question whether any realistic, anisotropic turbulent flows can ever possess statistical features independent of the (anisotropic) boundary and forcing effects. This goes under the name of universality.

Neglected anisotropic effects in high Reynolds number flows have also been proposed to be at the origin of different statistical properties measured for transversal and longitudinal velocity fluctuations\cite{7}. Importance of properly disentangling isotropic and anisotropic fluctuations has also been demonstrated in the analysis of intermittency in channel flow turbulence\cite{8}.

An important step forward in the analysis of anisotropic fluctuations has recently been done in Kraichnan models, i.e., passive scalars/vectors advected by isotropic, Gaussian, and white-in-time velocity fields with large scale anisotropic forcing\cite{9–14}.

In those models, anomalous scaling arises as the result of a nontrivial null-space structure of the advecting operator. In these cases, correlation functions in different sectors of the rotational group show different scaling properties. Scaling exponents are universal: they do not depend on the actual value of forcing and boundary conditions, and they are fully characterized by the order of the anisotropy. Nonuniversal effects are felt only in coefficients multiplying the power laws. Coefficients are fixed, in principle, by requiring matching with nonuniversal boundary conditions in the large scale region.

Similar problems, such as the very existence of scaling laws in the anisotropic sectors and, if any, what are the values of the scaling exponents and what is the dependency from universal/nonuniversal effects, are at the forefront of experimental, numerical, and theoretical research in true turbulent flows. Only a few indirect experimental investigations of scaling in different sectors\cite{15,16} and direct decomposition in channel flow simulations\cite{5,8,17} have, at the moment, been attempted.

The situation is still unclear: evidences of a clear improving of scaling laws by isolating the isotropic sector have been reported, supporting the idea that the undecomposed correlations are strongly affected by the superposition of isotropic and anisotropic fluctuations\cite{8}. Preliminary evidences of the existence of a scaling law also in the sectors with total angular momentum $j = 2$ have been reported\cite{15,16}, with the value of the exponent for the second order correlation function being close to the dimensional estimates $\xi_j^2 = 4/3$\cite{18}. All these preliminary investigations in real turbulent flows are flawed by the contemporary presence of anisotropies and strong nonhomogeneities. The very existence of scaling laws in the presence of strong nonhomogeneous effects can be doubted. SO(3) decomposition soon becomes intractable as nonhomogeneous effects cannot be neglected\cite{6}. Moreover, in many experimental situations, anisotropies are introduced by a shear forcing coupled to all turbulent scales: something which prevents the possibility to study “pure” inertial physics. To overcome this problem we performed
the first numerical investigation of a turbulent flow with
strong anisotropic forcing confined to large scales and per-
fectly homogeneous on a numerical resolution 128 and
256. We studied a fully periodic Kolmogorov flow with
random, delta correlated in time, forcing phases, which we
decide to call a “random Kolmogorov flow” (RKF).

In this Letter we present direct measurement of scaling
exponents in sectors up to total angular momentum
j = 6. Our main results support the existence of a hierar-
chical organization of exponents, i.e., continuous increase
of exponents as a function of j. We also found a much
stronger intermittency in the anisotropic sectors than in the
isotropic one. We conclude with a few comments and pro-
posals for further work in the field. Let us begin to expose
a few technical details on the simulations. We performed
a direct numerical simulation of a fully periodic flow with
anisotropic large scales forcing. In detail, we have cho-
sen a random forcing pointing only in one direction, the z
axis, with spatial dependency on the x direction only on
two wave numbers k1 = (1, 0, 0), k2 = (2, 0, 0). Namely,
fi(k1,2) = δif1f2 exp(iθ1,2) where f1, f2 are two constant
amplitudes and θ1, θ2 are two random phases, delta cor-
related in time. The random phases allow for a homo-
geous statistics also in the otherwise nonhomogeneous
direction spanned by the two wave numbers, i.e., we have
instantaneously a large scale nonhomogeneity in the x di-
rection which is averaged out by the time evolution thanks
to the random reshuffling of the forcing phases. We stud-
ied the RKF at resolution 128 and 256; we collected
up to 200 eddy turnover times for the smallest resolution
and up to 50 eddy turnover times for the largest resolu-
tion. Such a long averaging is necessary because as in any
strongly anisotropic flow we observe the formation of per-
sistent large scale structures inducing strong oscillation of
the mean energy in time [3].

In Fig. 1 we show, for example, a typical time evolu-
tion for the total energy and total energy dissipation in our
runs. It is interesting to notice how the high frequency os-
cillations at large scales (total energy) induced by the ran-
don forcing are completely absent at small scales (energy
dissipation).

In order to increase the scaling range extension we have
used an hyperviscosity with a squared Laplacian. The
inset of Fig. 1 quantifies our degree of homogeneity. We
have a high degree of homogeneity (more than 95%) in
the two transverse directions, y, z, while we still observe
small oscillations in the x directions (of the order of 10%);
these oscillations are due to statistical fluctuations induced
by the external forcing. They must be averaged out in the
limit of infinite statistics.

Let us now discuss the SO(3) decomposition of longitudinal
structure functions:

\[ S_p(r) = \langle [v(x) - v(x + r)] \cdot \hat{r} \rangle_p, \]

where we have kept only the dependency on r neglecting
the small nonhomogeneous fluctuations. We expect that

\[ \xi^j(p) \sim \xi^j(p) \]

\[ j < j' \]

\[ \xi^{j=0}(2) = 0.70 \pm 0.03. \]

The undecomposed structure functions are not the “scal-
ing” bricks in the theory. Theoretical and numerical anal-
ysis showed that one must first decompose the structure
functions on the irreducible representations of the rota-
tional group and then ask about the scaling behavior of
the projection. In practice, being the longitudinal struc-
ture functions scalar objects, their decompositions reduce
to the projections on the spherical harmonics:

\[ S_p(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} S_{jm}^{\text{in}}(|r|) Y_{jm}(\hat{r}), \]

where we have used the indices j, m to label the total an-
ular momentum and its projection on a reference axis, say \( \hat{z} \),
respectively. The whole physical information is hidden in
the coefficients \( S_{jm}^{\text{in}}(|r|) \). In particular, the main question
we want to address here concerns their scaling properties:
\( S_{jm}^{\text{in}}(|r|) \sim A_{jm} |r|^{\xi^j(p)} \) and (in the case) what one can say
about the values of the scaling exponents and their robust-
ness against large scale physics (universality issue). Theor-
etical arguments suggest that if scaling exponents exist
they depend only on the j eigenvalue [19]. If true tru-
bulence follows the Kraichnan models behavior, we should
expect universality of the scaling exponents (independence
of large scale boundaries): no saturation of the hierarchy
\[ [\xi^j(p) < \xi^j(p) j < j'] \] and strong nonuniversalities in
the prefactors \( A_{jm} \).

We first present in Fig. 2 results concerning the isotropic
sector, j = 0, m = 0, comparing the undecomposed struc-
ture functions in the three directions with the projection
\( S_{p}(|r|) \) and their logarithmic local slopes (inset). Only for
the projected correlation it is possible to measure (5% of
accuracy) the scaling exponents by a direct log-log fit ver-
sus the scale separation |r|. The best fit gives \( \xi^{j=0}(2) = 0.70 \pm 0.03. \)
isotropic intermittent scaling 1.28, horizontal dashed line). Similar results hold for higher orders of the decomposed resolution. Anisotropic effects would be given by simple smooth large scale fluctuations (see Table I). This fact leads to the presence of sign changes in logarithmic local slopes at resolution 256, labels 0.70. Notice the dramatic improvement in the scaling behavior of the projected correlation. Only the slope of the decomposed $p = 4$ is shown (■) (compared with the isotropic intermittent scaling 1.28, horizontal dashed line).

Overwhelmed by anisotropic effects at all scales which spoil completely the scaling behavior.

In Fig. 3 we present an overview of all sectors $j, m$ which have a signal-to-noise ratio high enough to ensure stable results [20]. Sectors with odd $j$’s are absent due to the parity symmetry of our observable. We measure anisotropic fluctuations up to $j = 6$. We notice from Fig. 3 a clear foliation in terms of the $j$ index: sectors with the same $j$ but different $m$’s behave very similarly [19]. In Table I we present a more quantitative analysis by showing the results for the best power law fit for structure functions of orders $p = 2, 4$. The first result we notice is the absence of any saturation for the exponents as a function of the $j$ value. Unfortunately the presence of an oscillation in all $j = 2$ sectors prevents us from measuring with accuracy the exponents in this sector; we therefore refrain from giving any number in this case.

Let us also notice that the values for $j = 4$ and $j = 6$ are different from what one would have expected if the anisotropic effects would be given by simple smooth large scale fluctuations (see Table I). This fact leads to the conclusion that anisotropies are certainly the results of nonlinear interactions in our flows, whether they correspond to “homogeneous” fluctuations as in the Kraichnan models or to some dimensional balancing between the nonlinear terms and the forcing term is still an open question. The presence of a hierarchical monotonic increasing of exponents at fixing $p$ and changing $j$ leads to the possible breaking of the locality assumption in high enough $j$ sectors [21]. For locality here we mean the fact that all integrals of pressure-velocity correlation functions are convergent both in the IR and in the UV limits.

Let us conclude by assessing also the important point connected to the existence of intermittency in higher $j$ sectors. From Table I we see that already for the $j = 4$ sector, and even more for $j = 6$, the fourth order anisotropic scaling exponents are “almost” saturated, i.e., very close to the values of the second order exponents. It is hard to say how much such a result is a quantitative sign of strong intermittency, due to the fact that we lack a clear unambiguous dimensional—nonintermittent—prediction for anisotropic exponents (see below). A fast saturation of exponents within each sector as a function of the order of the moment must somehow be expected. We imagine the statistics in the anisotropic sectors being strongly dominated by “persistent” large scale structures, introducing cliff structures (statistically speaking) characteristic also of saturation of exponents in anisotropic scalar advection [22,23]. Saturation, in the anisotropic sectors as a function of saturation of exponents in anisotropic scalar advection [22,23]. Saturation, in the anisotropic sectors as a function of the order of the observed moment, $p$, may also lead to the appearance of “persistency of anisotropies” even in

![FIG. 2. Isotropic sector. Log-log plot of $S^0_2(|r|)$ versus $|r|$ (+), and the three undecomposed longitudinal structure functions in the three directions $x, y, z$ (□, ▨, ▪), respectively, at resolution 256$^3$. The straight line has the best fit slope $\xi^{j=0}(2) = 0.70$. Inset: logarithmic local slopes of all curves (same symbols, labels $p = 2$) plus the straight line corresponding to the intermittent isotropic scaling, 0.69. Notice the dramatic improvement in the scaling behavior of the projected correlation.](image)

![FIG. 3. Log-log plot for the absolute value of the projected second order structure functions, $|S^{j=0}_2(|r|)|$, versus the scale $r$, on all measurable sectors (up to $j = 6$). Sectors: (0, 0), (+); (2, 2), (×); (4, 0), (□); (4, 2), (×); (6, 0), (○); (6, 2), (■). The statistical and numerical noise induced by the SO(3) projection can be estimated as the threshold where the $j = 6$ sector starts to deviate from the monotonic decreasing behavior, i.e., $O(10^{-3})$.](image)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(j,m)$</th>
<th>$(0,0)$</th>
<th>$(4,0)$</th>
<th>$(4,2)$</th>
<th>$(6,0)$</th>
<th>$(6,2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\xi^+_{\mathcal{E}}$</td>
<td>$0.70 \pm 0.03</td>
<td>2$</td>
<td>$1.67 \pm 0.07</td>
<td>2$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi^-_{\mathcal{E}}$</td>
<td>$1.28 \pm 0.05</td>
<td>4$</td>
<td>$2.15 \pm 0.1</td>
<td>4$</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the presence of the observed strict hierarchical ordering \([\xi^j(p) < \xi^j(q)\) if \(j < j'\)] as remarked in [5]. In conclusion we have presented the first numerical exploration of an anisotropic homogeneous turbulent flow. We have confirmed that by decomposing longitudinal structure functions in terms of the eigenvectors of the rotational operator we have a dramatic improvement of the scaling behavior in the isotropic sector. We have also used the SO(3) decomposition in order to assess two important questions opened in the field of anisotropic turbulence: (i) the presence of a hierarchical organization of turbulent fluctuations as a function of the degree of anisotropy labeled by the \(j\) index, and (ii) the existence of intermittency (saturation as a function of the structure function order) in anisotropic sectors.

The numerically measured values for the scaling exponents \([\xi^j(p)\) are not consistent with a simple “smooth” hypothesis for the nature of anisotropic fluctuations. More work is needed in order to understand the universality degree of our results as a function of the anisotropic properties of the large scale forcing. More work will also be devoted to measure fully tensorial quantities such as \(D_{ij}(r) = \langle [v_i(x) - v_i(x + r)][v_j(x) - v_j(x + r)] \rangle\) in order to be able to probe also odds sectors of the SO(3) group.

We conclude by noticing that dimensional predictions for the \([\xi^j(p)\) with \(j > 0\) are far from being trivial. Indeed, different dimensionless quantities can be built by using some anisotropic mean observable (the mean shear, for example, or the mean squared shear in our RKF) and the energy dissipation. Dimensional predictions then would depend on the requirement that the anisotropic correction is (or is not) an analytical, smooth deviation from the isotropic sector.
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[19] The \(m\) eigenvalue does not play any role in fixing the value of the scaling exponents if they are determined by the homogeneous scaling invariant part of the Navier-Stokes equations. This is because the nonlinear inertial operator governing the correlation function evolution depends only on the \(j\) eigenvalue (see [6] for more details). The scaling exponents may depend on \(m\) if they are fixed by a matching with the forcing nonhomogeneous term.
[20] Some sectors in Fig. 3 are absent due to strong oscillation in the projected structure functions \([\Sigma^m(r)\) These oscillations are probably connected to strong large scale effects felt by those particular spherical harmonics. We do not expect robustness in these cases; different forcing and/or boundary conditions may remove the oscillatory behavior.