Periodic Trends in the Hydrodenitrogenation Activity of Carbon-Supported Transition Metal Sulfide Catalysts

Periodic trends of transition metals for the catalysis of reactions such as hydrogenation, hydrolysis, isomerization and hydrocarbon oxidation have been well studied (1). When activity versus position of the transition metal in the periodic table is plotted, quite often these trends are manifested in the form of so-called volcano-type curves. Recently, the periodic trends of unsupported group V, VI, VII and VIII transition metal sulfides for the catalysis of the hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of dibenzothiophene at moderately high pressure (30 bar) were presented by Pecoraro and Chianelli (2). In subsequent studies (3-5) correlations were made between the sulfides’ heats of formation and electronic structures on the one hand, and their catalytic activities for HDS on the other. A similar study on the HDS of thiophene at atmospheric pressure has been carried out by Vissers et al. (6). In that study, carbon-supported transition-metal sulfide catalysts were used instead of the unsupported systems studied by Pecoraro and Chianelli (2). Just as for the unsupported systems tested with dibenzothiophene, volcano-type curves were observed for the carbon-supported second- and third-row transition metal sulfides tested with thiophene. In the supported systems the maxima were positioned at Rh and Ir, while in the unsupported systems they were located at Ru and Os. Very recently, another study on the HDS of thiophene at atmospheric pressure and catalyzed by carbon-supported transition metal sulfides was published by Ledoux et al. (7). The results of their activity measurements of all available transition-metal sulfides (groups 1–VIII) compare very well with those obtained by Vissers et al. (6). The results of these three studies (2, 6, 7) are in good agreement: similar volcano curves for the second- and third-row transition metal sulfides and a double-maximum curve for the first-row transition metal sulfides. This is not really surprising because the reactions studied are basically of the same type. The only differences are in the reaction pressure and molecular size of the model compounds, and the reaction mechanism is the same, with ring opening and hydrogenolysis preceding the (ring-) hydrogenation. Also, since the transition metal sulfides interact weakly with the carbon support, not much difference between unsupported and carbon-supported catalysts is to be expected. However, the behavior of these catalytic systems may very well be different when another reaction mechanism is involved, as in the case of the hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) reaction, in which ring hydrogenation always precedes ring opening and hydrogenolysis (8).

In the present study, we have chosen the HDN of quinoline at moderately high pressure as a model reaction, and we have used the same carbon-supported transition metal sulfide catalysts studied by Vissers et al. (6). They were prepared by pore volume impregnation of the support (activated Norit RX3 extra: surface area, 1190 m² g⁻¹; pore volume, 1.03 cm³ g⁻¹) with aqueous solutions of group V, VI, VII, and VIII transition metal salts and drying in air at 383 K. The surface loading was approximately 0.5 metal atoms nm⁻². The catalytic reaction was carried out in a stirred microautoclave (9) (volume, 20 cm³) equipped with an inlet and outlet system and a manometer. The inlet system consists of an inlet tube (down to about 1 cm from the bottom of the autoclave) connected with a
2.5-cm³ storage vessel (liquid reactant mixture) which in turn is connected with two separate gas supply lines for H₂ and for an H₂S/H₂ gas mixture. This inlet system was used for the introduction of the sulfidating gas mixture and the liquid reactant and for pressurizing the autoclave with H₂. The procedure applied for the activity test was as follows: First, a 25-mg sample of the dried catalyst was introduced and the autoclave was assembled. Subsequently, the catalyst was sulfided in situ with a mixture of 10% H₂S in H₂ (Air Products, H₂ > 99.9995%, H₂S > 99.9%) at a flow rate of 60 cm³ min⁻¹ and the following temperature program was applied: linear increase (6 K min⁻¹) from 293 to 653 K and 1 h at 653 K. On the basis of earlier findings (6) we assumed that under these conditions the catalysts are fully sulfided. After sulfidation the catalyst was left in the H₂S/H₂ atmosphere and was cooled to room temperature. Then the liquid storage vessel was filled with 2 cm³ of the reactant mixture consisting of 93.6 mol% hexadecane (Janssen Chimica, >99%), 5.9 mol% quinoline (Janssen Chimica, >99%), and 0.5 mol% CS₂ (Janssen Chimica, >99.5%), the vessel was pressurized with H₂ (Hoekloos, >99.9%), and the liquid was injected into the autoclave. It is only at this stage of the experimental procedure that a small amount of air enters the autoclave. However, the presence of CS₂ in the reaction mixture ensures that the catalyst remains sulfided during the reaction. After the reactant mixture was introduced the pressure in the autoclave was adjusted to the desired value (40 bar). The stirring was started and the temperature was increased slowly to 653 K (6 K min⁻¹) and held at 653 K for 3 h. The reaction pressure was approximately 50 bar. After the reaction was completed the autoclave was cooled rapidly (20 min) and the liquid was removed from the autoclave. The liquid was analyzed with a Hewlett-Packard 5890A gas chromatograph with a 50-m capillary CP Sil-5 column (Chrompack) with temperature program-
activity. The second-row transition metal sulfides are more active than those of the first row, with an exception of Mo sulfide. The other three metal sulfides (Ru, Rh, and Pd) have higher activities, with a maximum at Rh. The position of this maximum is consistent with the results of Vissers et al. (6) and Ledoux et al. (7) in the HDS of thiophene. The highest activities were found for the third-row transition metal sulfides. Only W sulfide had a very low activity, comparable to that of Mo sulfide and those of the first-row metal sulfides. The activities of the other four metal sulfides (Re, Os, Ir, and Pt) were almost twice as high as those of the sulfides of the second row. These results differ from those of the HDS studies (2, 6, 7), in which almost no difference was found between the activities of the second- and the third-row transition metal sulfides. The maximum activity in the third-row transition metal sulfides for HDN was found for Ir sulfide. This maximum is also consistent with the results of the HDS studies of Vissers et al. (6) and Ledoux et al. (7).

The results of these quinoline HDN experiments demonstrate the importance of the metal sulfide in hydrotreatment. The metal sulfides themselves can catalyze the different steps of hydrotreatment: ring hydrogenation, ring opening, and hydrogenolysis. Since carbon that we used is a rather inert support, the properties of the metal sulfides themselves determine the activities and selectivities of the carbon-supported catalysts, and these properties are a function of the position of the metal in the periodic table. Apparently the role of the support in HDN is less important than has been anticipated up to now. The low activities of the first-row transition metal sulfides, Mo sulfide, and W sulfide have increased our curiosity for an explanation of the promoter effect in hydrotreatment, since from other experiments we have learned that the combination of the low-activity Ni and Mo sulfides on carbon support gives an outstanding HDN and HDS catalyst.

A comparison of our HDN experiments with the HDS results of Pecoraro and Chianelli (2), Vissers et al. (6), and Ledoux et al. (7) shows differences as well as agreements. An explanation of these facts will not be possible without additional studies on the separated catalytic functions of these carbon-supported metal sulfides. The present study will therefore be continued using the HDN of decahydroquinoline and the hydrogenation of biphenyl as test reactions in order to separate the hydrogenolysis and ring-opening steps from the ring hydrogenation step. In this way we expect to further the understanding of the industrially important HDN reaction.
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