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Summary
A system is described that allows the introduction of large volumes of water samples in capillary GC. Water elimination is carried out in the solvent split mode in a PTV injector with a packed liner. Two ways of separating water and analytes, i.e., evaporative and non-evaporative (solid-phase extraction), are compared. Sampling in the solid-phase extraction mode is favorable both in terms of recovery as well as with regard to sampling time. Quantitative recovery is obtained for priority pollutants ranging in volatility from dimethylphenol to phenanthrene. Losses occur for more volatile compounds, but even for these compounds the repeatability of the recoveries remains acceptable. With the system described here, water samples up to at least 1 ml of water can be directly analyzed. The detection limits are in the sub-ppb range.

1 Introduction
The possibilities for direct introduction of large volumes of aqueous samples, being either reversed-phase LC fractions or water samples, into capillary GC are limited. In most cases direct injection of large volumes of aqueous solvents is incompatible with retention gap techniques due to the physical and chemical properties of water [1]. For that reason a phase switching, i.e., an off-line transfer of the analytes from the aqueous phase to an organic phase, prior to transfer to the GC system is often necessary [2, 3].

An alternative approach is elimination of the water in a temperature programmable injector (PTV injector). The use of PTV injectors for direct introduction of aqueous solvents followed from early work on sorption–thermal desorption of water samples. Pankow et al. [4] described the off-line sampling of water through a Tenax tube. After water removal the adsorbed analytes were thermally desorbed and introduced into the GC system. Schomburg et al. [5] demonstrated a slightly different approach. Here, water was separated on-line using a pre-column packed with Tenax in a dual oven system. Both approaches can also be carried out in a PTV injector, the Tenax tube being the packed liner of the injector. Two preliminary attempts to realize such a set-up were made only recently. Vreuls et al. [6] used Tenax and C8- and C18-modified silica as packing materials. Quantitative recoveries were obtained for fatty acid methyl esters (E10–E18) from a 500 μl aqueous solution using the C8 packing material. Villen et al. [7] evaluated the applicability of an experimental design to the optimization of direct analysis of several test compounds in ethanol/water mixtures (12:88). The introduction rate, being one of the key parameters in large volume injection by PTV in the solvent split mode, was not included in the study.

Grob described the so-called vapor overflow technique performed in a PTV injector [8] or in a conventional split/splitless injector [9]. Here the solvent is introduced at a temperature above the pressure-corrected boiling point of the solvent. The sample constituents are retained in the packing of the liner at a cold spot (T~boiling point of solvent) caused by evaporation of the solvent. However, except for very low boiling solvents (e.g., pentane), the temperature of the cold spot is generally much higher than the initial liner temperature which can be applied during large volume injections by PTV in the solvent split mode (typically between ~30 and 50 °C). This explains how, when using the PTV (liner packed with Tenax) in the solvent split mode, compounds from n-C10 can be quantitatively retained from 500 μl of hexane [10], while in case of applying vapor overflow only the n-alkanes from n-C20 are quantitatively retained in the Tenax liner [9]. Due to the high boiling point of water the vapor overflow technique is not expected to be very suitable for direct introduction of water samples.

In this work a system is described that allows the introduction of large volumes (up to 1 ml) of water samples in capillary GC. Water elimination is carried out by using the PTV injector with a Tenax liner in the solvent split mode. Two ways of separating water and analytes in the liner, i.e., evaporative and non-evaporative, are compared. The influence of the introduction flow rate and the initial liner temperature on the drying time and recovery is evaluated. Furthermore the effect of the sample volume is studied. A test mixture containing a number of priority water pollutants, representing a variety of volatilities and chemical functionalities, is used for evaluation of the system.

2 Experimental

2.1 Instrumentation
A gas chromatograph (model 5890, Hewlett Packard, Avondale, PA, USA) equipped with both flame ionization detection (FID) and thermal conductivity detection (TCD) and provided with an automated cold temperature programmable injection system (PTV injector) (KAS 502, Gerstel, Mülheim a/d Ruhr, Germany) was used.
For data collection an Omega integration system (Perkin Elmer, Cupertino, CA, USA) was employed. The water samples were injected into the PTV injector by a microprocessor-controlled syringe pump (digisampler, Gerstel) which allowed the introduction of volumes up to 1 ml with a speed between 1 and 2000 μl/min.

2.2 Preparation of Tenax Liner

The liner (92 mm x 1.3 mm i.d.) was packed with 16–17 mg of Tenax TA (mesh size 35–60, Chrompack, Bergen op Zoom, the Netherlands) between two plugs of glass wool to keep it in place. The length of the Tenax bed was approximately 5 cm. A dent was made in the liner, 2 cm from the bottom side, to reduce the amount of glass wool needed to prevent the Tenax from being blown out of the liner. For clean-up the liner was heated to 280 °C for 48 hours. During this a helium flow of 10 ml/min was applied. After this the liner was placed in the PTV injector, purged with helium for 15 min and then heated to 310 °C for 10 min. After this pretreatment excellent blanks were obtained up to temperatures of 300 °C. Acceptable blanks were obtained up to 325 °C.

2.3 Operating Conditions

The system is schematically depicted in Figure 1 in the configuration during water introduction/elimination. During water sampling the back pressure regulator is bypassed via valve V2 in order to obtain a lower inlet pressure. This enhances evaporation of the solvent. The water is pushed through the liner by the helium purge flow (adjusted to 600 ml/min). To prevent water from entering the GC column the column was cut some 75 cm from the injector and an additional helium flow was applied (30 ml/min) via a T piece (graph pack connections, Gerstel). Vent capillaries between the solvent vent exit and the TCD detector were, as far as they were located outside the oven, heated by heating wire to 80 °C to prevent condensation of water when drying the liner. After sampling and an additional purge time for complete elimination of water, which could be monitored by the TCD detector, valves V1, V2, and V4 are switched. Now the standard configuration of the GC in the splitless mode is obtained (back-pressure regulated). The compounds are thermally desorbed from the Tenax liner by heating the PTV from the initial temperature to 300 °C (isothermal for 2 min) with a rate of 2 °C/s. 3.25 min after starting desorption the split valve V1 is opened. After desorption refluxing of the compounds is necessary to reduce the injection band width. This is done by means of a simple cold trap (DKK Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). By opening valve V3 (before desorption) the first part of the column is cooled by expanding carbon dioxide flowing through a Teflon tube in which the first part of the column is located. After closing valve V3, 2 min after start of the desorption, the cooled part will be heated rapidly to the GC oven temperature. For GC separation a 25 m x 0.32 mm i.d. column coated with 0.52 μm HP-Ultra-1 was used at an inlet pressure of 110 kPa. The GC oven temperature program started at an initial oven temperature of 80 °C for 2 min, and increased with 10 °C/min to 210 °C (held for 1 min).

3 Results and Discussion

In large volume sample introduction performed in a PTV injector the split vent is open during introduction of the sample. The solvent is selectively eliminated via the split vent while (less volatile) compounds are retained in the liner. After an additional purge time for removing the remaining solvent from the liner, the analytes are desorbed and transferred into the GC column in the splitless mode. The optimization of large volume sample introduction by PTV has recently been evaluated [11–13]. Important parameters that have to be taken into account are the design of the liner, the initial liner temperature, the inlet pressure, the purge flow rate through the liner, the sample introduction speed, the additional purge time, the sample volume and the physico-chemical properties of the solvent.

Of all common solvents water is one of the most troublesome in large volume sampling. It not only has adverse evaporation characteristics but it is also chemically aggressive at higher temperatures which can lead to deterioration of the GC column. Moreover, reduction of the losses of volatile analytes in large volume injection with PTV injectors by applying sub-zero initial temperatures is not possible with water. An alternative way to reduce the loss of volatiles, i.e. creation of a solvent film in a porous glass bed inside the liner [13], is also not applicable for water as water does not form a solvent film on a deactivated glass surface. Therefore, in case of water, adsorption materials have to be used in order to prevent losses of the more volatile compounds. It is now, however, inherent to the use of adsorption materials that there will be a restriction in the applicability of the system for high boiling compounds because of the thermal desorption step included in the procedure.

For large volume injections by PTV, in general, the sample introduction rate should not exceed the evaporation rate of the solvent. Introduction flow rates that are too high will lead to flooding of the liner, which means that part of the solvent will be eliminated through the split vent as a liquid (containing sample compounds). This is the situation for sampling of organic solvents. If the solvent is water, solutes can be retained even when flooding of the liner occurs because from water many compounds will adsorb onto the packing material.

As already mentioned before, there are in principle two ways to separate water from the analytes in the liner: evaporative and non-evaporative. The packed liner acts as a GC precolumn or as a solid-phase extraction (SPE) cartridge, respectively. For evaporative sample introduction the sampling rate should not exceed the evaporation rate of the solvent, i.e. the water. From equation (1) the maximum speed of sample introduction can be calculated assuming isothermal evaporation conditions and assuming that the gas leaving the liner is saturated with solvent vapor [12].

$$F_{in,max} = \frac{M_p}{v} \frac{p_1 - p_0}{p_1 - p}$$

where $F_{in,max}$ is the maximum speed of sample introduction, $F_{emp}$ the solvent evaporation rate, $F_{10}$ the total gas flow rate at outlet

Figure 1

Schematic diagram of PTV-GC system used for direct large volume water introduction. FC = flow controller, BPR = back pressure regulator, RV = reducing valve, V1-V4 = valves, TCD = thermal conductivity detector, FID = flame ionization detector, CT = cold trap.
conditions ($T$ and $p_0$) and $p_1$ the inlet pressure of the liner, $M$ the molecular weight of the solvent, $\rho$ the density of the solvent, $p_2$ the partial pressure of the solvent at the initial liner temperature, and $R$ the gas constant. In Figure 2 the influence of the liner temperature on the evaporation rate of water is illustrated for three different purge flow rates. The inlet pressure for the Tenax liner increases with the helium purge flow and the initial liner temperature and varies from 15 kPa to 96 kPa for 100 ml/min, 10 °C and 600 ml/min, 70 °C, respectively. As can be seen from Figure 2, increasing the helium purge flow leads (although partly offset by the increase of the inlet pressure) to a considerable increase of the evaporation rate. From large volume injections with hexane as the solvent it was seen that increasing the helium purge flow caused some decrease in the recovery of more volatile compounds [10]. However, in order to minimize sample introduction times and, in case of sampling in the SPE mode, the time required for drying, a high purge flow of 600 ml/min was used throughout this study.

From Figure 2 it is clear that introduction flow rates in the evaporation sampling mode are low, e.g. about 10 µl/min at 30 °C, leading to long sampling times. More rapid sampling can be performed at higher introduction temperatures but then the applicability of the technique will be restricted to higher boiling compounds. Moreover, in practice, the evaporation rates will be lower than plotted in Figure 2 due to cooling of the liner by the evaporation process.

When separating water and analytes in the SPE mode much higher introduction flow rates can be applied. Here, the maximum sampling speed is determined by the experimental set up, the efficiency of the packed bed, the back flow from the column to the liner (required to prevent water entering the GC column during injection) and the sample volume. In the configuration depicted in Figure 1, a back flow of 30 ml/min allowed injection speeds up to at least 250 µl/min for 500 µl samples. Lower back flows resulted in the introduction of a small amount of water into the GC column, as could be seen from a small disturbance of the FID signal during introduction (the water contained 0.5–1% of methanol).

The effect of the sample introduction flow rate on the recovery was determined at 30 °C and 50 °C for 250 µl samples. At very low flow rates water will evaporate in the top of the liner and volatile analytes have to be trapped from the gas phase. At high flow rates water will be pushed through the liner as a plug of liquid followed by elimination of the remaining water by evaporation. At intermediate flow rates part of the water will evaporate during introduction whereas the remainder will be pushed through the packing as a liquid, probably in the form of droplets rather than as a continuous plug of liquid. More or less ‘concurrent solvent evaporation’ like-conditions occur at 50 °C and an introduction speed of 10 µl/min. As can be seen from Figure 3, this results in lower recoveries for the most volatile compounds compared to sampling at higher flow rates. These low recoveries seem to be mainly due to the long overall introduction time compared to sampling in the SPE mode. For compounds with higher boiling points (e.g. dimethylphenol, b.p. = 203 °C) the introduction flow rate does not affect the recovery. An exception in this respect is atrazine. For this compound the recovery decreased with increasing introduction flow rate. Apparently, the affinity of atrazine for Tenax is limited when sampling in the SPE mode. Partial evaporation of the water during introduction improves the recovery of this compound. When using evaporative sampling the recovery is quantitative as atrazine is relatively non-volatile.

After introduction of the water sample the liner has to be purged with helium for an additional time in order to obtain complete elimination of water before transfer of the compounds to the GC column in the splitless mode. The drying of the liner was monitored by splitting part of the purge flow from the solvent vent exit to a TCD detector. The splitless transfer was started at the moment the TCD signal was back on its initial background value. Monitoring the water elimination is very important as the drying times were found to show strong fluctuations. This is most likely due to rearrangement of the packing which is repetitively flooded with water and dried. Drying times for a completely flooded liner were as follows: 10 °C = 9–15 min; 30 °C = 6–8.5 min; 50 °C = 3.5–5 min. Incidentally, drying times were much longer. This is probably due to channel formation in the packing. The additional purge time needed for water elimination was also an indication whether or not, at a given temperature and introduction speed, water evaporated partially during injection. In Table 1 average drying times for different introduction flow rates and temperatures are shown.
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**Figure 2**
Evaporation rate of water as a function of liner temperature and purge flow rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction flow rate (µl/min)</th>
<th>Additional dry time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The back flow from the column to the liner during introduction and water elimination in the PTV injector efficiently prevents water from entering the GC column. Even after ca. 200 injections no detecri-
Table 2
Recovery\(^a\) (Rec) and repeatability (RSD%, \(n = 3\)) for several initial liner temperatures.
Sample: 250 \(\mu\)l 80 ppb in water, introduction rate = 100 \(\mu\)l/min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>B.p. °C</th>
<th>10 °C Rec</th>
<th>30 °C Rec</th>
<th>50 °C Rec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylbenzene</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methoxybenzene</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p)-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-dimethylphenol</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-dimethylaniline</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-chloroaniline</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indole</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-dichlorobenzonitride</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-trichlorophenol</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p)-dinitrobenzene</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triflurain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atrazine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phenanthrene</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Recovery in %, reference: cold splitless injection of 1 \(\mu\)l of a 20 ppm solution in methylene chloride.

Recovery in peak shapes were observed for a number of critical test compounds.

The effect of the initial liner temperature on the recovery and repeatability is shown in Table 2 for sampling in the SPE mode. Volatile compounds are best retained on the Tenax liner at 10 °C, although the differences with trapping at 30 °C are not large. This is possibly due to the longer drying times needed at 10 °C. Quantitative trapping for most compounds with boiling points higher than approximately 200 °C is obtained at temperatures of 50 °C or lower. It should be emphasized, however, that retention of the compounds on Tenax is, of course, also affected by their chemical structure. The repeatability (relative standard deviation, RSD of the recoveries) is acceptable (triflurain being an exception), even for the volatile compounds which are not retained quantitatively.

Figure 4
Chromatogram of introduction of 1 ml water sample containing 10 ppb of the compounds given in Table 2. Introduction rate = 100 \(\mu\)l/min, PTV initial temperature = 30 °C.

To study the effect of the sample volume on the recovery sample sizes ranging from 25 to 1000 \(\mu\)l were introduced at a temperature of 30 °C and an injection rate of 100 \(\mu\)l/min. The recoveries of the most volatile compounds (1 to 4 in Table 2) decreased about 7% for a 1 ml injection compared to the 250 \(\mu\)l injection. Also atrazine showed higher losses for increased sample volumes which confirms the assumption that this compound is poorly retained on Tenax from water. For atrazine the recoveries for sample volumes of 25, 250 and 1000 \(\mu\)l were 88, 74 and 62%, respectively. For the other compounds trapping efficiencies of approximately 100% were obtained up to volumes of 1 ml. As an illustration a chromatogram obtained from a 1 ml injection of the test mixture at a 10 ppb level is shown in Figure 4. Even at this very low concentration excellent signal to noise ratios are obtained as is evidenced by the chromatogram in Figure 4. The estimated detection limits of the current set-up are in the sub-ppb range.

The applicability of the system to real-life samples, as well as the use of alternative adsorption materials with higher retention power (for volatile compounds) and less retention power (for expansion of the applicability to higher boiling compounds), and the consequences of introduction of reversed-phase LC eluents (i.e. methanol- or acetonitrile/water mixtures) are currently under investigation.

Conclusions

The system described in this work allows the direct introduction of large volumes of water samples into capillary GC by water elimination in a PTV injector containing a liner packed with Tenax. Sampling in the SPE mode is advantageous over evaporative separation of water and analytes, both in terms of recovery and in terms of sampling time. The use of a TCD detector to monitor water elimination from the liner is essential as drying times are not constant. Quantitative recoveries are obtained for compounds with boiling points higher than approximately 200 °C at introduction temperatures of 30 °C and 50 °C. More volatile compounds are partially lost, but the repeatability of the recoveries remains at an acceptable level.

With the system presented here water samples with volumes up to at least 1 ml can be introduced into capillary GC. This results in detection limits in the sub-ppb range.
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