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Native and some monofunctionally derivatized silica gels have been investigated by $^{29}\text{Si}$ CP MAS NMR spectroscopy with pulse and with cross-polarization (CP) excitation. Contact time variation experiments for some native materials yield results for the siloxane ($Q^4$) groups which cannot be described with a single set of $T_{1\text{HS}}$ and $T_{1\text{MH}}$ values. Quantitative analyses of such silicas with CP excitation require CP curves as standards. For these samples $T_{1\text{HS}}$ values measured with pulse excitation differ from those obtained by CP excitation. $T_{1\text{MH}}$ values depend on the contact time, selected for the experiment. Moreover, multiexponential $T_{1\text{HS}}$ analyses for $Q^3$ and $Q^4$ reveal that the longitudinal relaxation process of native silica gels generally can be separated into at least three different processes, each with its characteristic average value for $T_{1\text{HS}}$. Explanations for CP behavior and $T_{1\text{HS}}$ inhomogeneities are offered in terms of different forms of coexistence in silica gels of relatively mobile amorphous regions. Implications of these results for quantification of surface groups of silicas with cross-polarization MAS NMR are discussed.

Introduction

Solid-state NMR, especially of $^{29}\text{Si}$, has almost developed into a routinely applied technique for the analysis of native and derivatized silica gels. After the first pioneering publication of Maciel and co-workers,1 many papers appeared on the subject. Some of those dealt specifically with qualitative aspects2 and others with the problems of obtaining quantitative results.3 The unique method of signal excitation in solid-state $^{29}\text{Si}$ MAS NMR of silica gels and derivatives is cross polarization.4 An alternative method consists of "classical" Bloch pulse excitation. Its use in the analysis of silica gels was advocated by Fyfe et al.,5 but the example has seen little further development. It is probably due to prohibitively long longitudinal relaxation times of $^{29}\text{Si}$, requiring excessively long pulse interval times to ensure quantitatively dependable results.

One obvious advantage of cross polarization (CP) with respect to pulse excitation is that time gain because interval times are now governed by longitudinal relaxation times of $^1\text{H}$ rather than of $^{29}\text{Si}$. This is true for those $^{29}\text{Si}$ nuclei which are in close contact (maximally four bonds away) with protons. This situation usually prevails for those regions of the silica gel close to the external surfaces, including pore surfaces. For this reason, cross-polarization excitation is the method of choice in the investigation of silica gels because of its surface selectivity. In the present study we are interested primarily in the properties of the silica gel surface.

It is known from chromatographic experiments that silicas of different sources may vary greatly in properties and that even batch-to-batch variations are quite common.6 In the present paper, it is shown that such variations exist also for CP characteristics of silica gel surfaces. The dynamic behavior of these, characterized by $T_{1\text{HS}},{T_{1\text{MH}}}$, and $T_{1\text{HS}}$ values, will be described and some of the possible reasons for the batch-to-batch differences will be discussed.

The primary aim of our investigation was to improve the quantitative aspects of solid-state $^{29}\text{Si}$ MAS NMR of silicas, in particular with CP excitation. An important quantitave-off the present results is that we obtained some silica gel CP curves which cannot be described in terms of one $T_{1\text{HS}}$ and one $T_{1\text{MH}}$ value. This happened in particular for the signals of $Q^3$ silicon atoms (carrying no hydroxyl groups) after the samples had undergone acid pretreatment. The phenomenon is tentatively ascribed to the existence of (small) polycrystalline domains (possibly $\beta$-tridymite). It will be further shown that, in particular with CP excitation, the $^{29}\text{Si}$ magnetization of $Q^3$ and $Q^4$ does not decay simply according to a single-exponential function but rather according to a curve implying the presence of two kinds of silicon nuclei. One kind has a $T_{1\text{HS}}$ value of ca. 25–40 s, the other kind of ca. 0.2 s. Moreover, there seems to be a rather efficient exchange between the two kinds of silicon nuclei (time constant 0.7 ± 0.25) in spite of the isotopic dilution of $^{29}\text{Si}$ nuclei. Finally, multiexponential analysis of pulse-excited inversion-recovery MAS NMR signals of $Q^3$ and $Q^4$ indicates the presence of at least three different types with quite different relaxation rates.

Experimental Section

The sources of the commercially available basis silica gels were Lichrosorb (Merck, Darmstadt, FRG), Alfa products (Alfa products, Danvers, MA), Zorbax (Du Pont, Wilmington, DE), Nucleosil (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, FRG), Cab-O-Sil (Cabot, Tusco, IL), and Hypersil (Shandon, Runcorn, UK). Monofunctional derivatives were synthesized according to known procedures.7 The solid-state $^{29}\text{Si}$ NMR measurements reported here were carried out in Tübingen on a Bruker MSL 200 NMR spectrometer at 4.7 T and in Eindhoven on a Bruker CXP 300 NMR spectrometer at 7.05 T with samples of 200–300 mg in double air bearing rotors of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{ZrO}_2$. Magic angle spinning was routinely carried out at 4 kHz spinning rates. Typically, the proton 90° pulse length was 6 $\mu$s and the repetition time 2 s. For CP curves 1100 fid's for 16 different $\tau$-values between 0.1 and 40 ms were accumulated. To eliminate experimental artifacts, block

of silica surface species are revealed by relaxation parameters in contact time of 8 ms. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 4 ms. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 8 ms. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 16 ms.

averaging was used to spread out over time the individual measurements. All 29Si spectra were externally referenced to liquid tetramethylsilane (Me4Si) and the chemical shifts are given in parts per million.

The pulse sequences used have been described in detail elsewhere.1 The spin–lattice relaxation time T1Si was measured by a spin-temperature-alternated cross-polarization pulse sequence followed by 90° phase shifted ½-½-½-½Si pulse sequence or by Bloch decay. The recycle delays are greater than 5 times T1H of 5 ms. T1Si (Bloch decay). The reproducibilities of our T1Si measurements are ca. 5% for the large signals of Q4, ca. 10% for the silanol signals, and ca. 20% for the small silanediol signals.

The proton relaxation time T1H was measured indirectly by inversion recovery via detection of 29Si resonances.7 The proton relaxation time in the rotating frame T1pH was measured as described by Schaefer and Stejskal.9 A relaxation period of length τ is inserted between the beginning of the 1H spin-lock condition and the beginning of the contact period. By observation of the 29Si intensity at the end of the contact period as a function of τ, T1pH can be determined. T1Si is determined by variation of the contact time.1 Equations Q2/Q4 ratios near the surfaces were obtained by CP excitation for Nucleosil batch 4111 (contact time 5 ms), Nucleosil batch 5051 (contact time 5 ms), and Nucleosil batch 5061 (contact times 5 and 8 ms). Peak intensities were corrected by using the formula(6)

\[ I = I_0 \left[ e^{-T_{1pH}} - e^{-T_{1Si}} \right] / \left[ T_{1Si} - T_{1pH} \right] \]

Peak deconvolution was applied where necessary, using the standard Bruker LINESIM procedure.

Results

A. Cross-Polarization Characteristics. a. Basis Silica Gels.

It has been reported previously2 that 29Si CP MAS NMR provides valuable information about the different surface species of silica. In our efforts to get more insight in the mechanism of the chromatographic process, we were interested in the surface characterization of different types of silica. The dynamic properties of silica surface species are revealed by relaxation parameters in the laboratory and the rotating frame, such as T1H, T1Si, T1pH, and T1pH.

Typical 29Si CP MAS NMR spectrum of a silica gel shows three signals: geminal groups Q4, silanol groups Q4, and siloxane

| Table I: T1pH and T1Si Values for Silica Gels (Bq = 4.7 T) |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| silica gel | species | T1Si | T1pH | T1pH

| Hyperil 5-192 | Q4 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 14.6 |
| Nucleosil (5051) | Q4 | 0.9 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
| Nucleosil (4111) | Q4 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 15.3 |
| Nucleosil (5061) | Q4 | 1.6 | 21.3 | 22.1 |

* In milliseconds; the reproducibilities are ca. 5% for the siloxanes Q4 and the silanols Q4 and ca. 10% for the silanediols Q4. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 4 ms. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 8 ms. Values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 16 ms.

Figure 1. Plot of 29Si CP MAS NMR magnetization of the siloxane unit Q4 of Nucleosil (Batch 5061).

| Table II: Q2/Q4 Ratio for Silica Gels |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| silica gel | Q2/Q4 | Q2/Q4 | Q2/Q4 |
| Nucleosil (5051) | 1/5.3 | 1/5.4 | 1/5.3 |
| Nucleosil (4111) | 1/5.5 | 1/5.6 | 1/5.5 |
| Nucleosil (5061) | 1/5.2 | 1/4.9 | 1/5.0 |

* Determined according to formula 1 with the contact time t of 5 ms and the T1H and T1Si values noted in Table I. T1pH values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 4 ms. T1pH values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 8 ms. T1pH values obtained by the method described by Schaefer7j9 with a contact time of 16 ms.

Typical "maxima" in the CP curves of Q4 and Q4 groups for most silica gels (details not given here) range between 6 and 8 ms and from 8 to ca. 20 ms, respectively. The dependence of the amplitudes of each of the three 29Si NMR signals on the contact (cross polarization) time is governed by formula 1 (see Experimental Section). The T1Si and T1pH values for the three signals were determined by using standard Bruker software (SIMFIT); for results see Table I. The CP curves pass through a maximum value. Maximal signal to noise ratios will be obtained for that particular signal when the contact time is chosen according to the contact time belonging to the maximum in the CP curve. Obviously, the "optimum contact time" depends on both T1Si and T1pH. In most cases, T1pH turns out to be considerably larger than T1Si and in those cases, the optimum contact times are determined largely by the T1Si values.

Typical "maxima" in the CP curves of Q4 and Q4 groups for most silica gels (details not given here) range between 6 and 8 ms and from 8 to ca. 20 ms, respectively. For Hyperil 5-192 the CP curve for the Q4 signal shows a "maximum" near 2–3 ms, signifying significantly shorter T1Si values than for any of the other silica gels studied here.

In most silica gels the siloxane groups Q4 are indicated by signals at -91 ppm, -100 ppm, and -109 ppm, respectively. The dependence of the amplitudes of each of the three 29Si NMR signals on the contact (cross polarization) time is governed by formula 1 (see Experimental Section). The T1Si and T1pH values for the three signals were determined by using standard Bruker software (SIMFIT); for results see Table I. The CP curves pass through a maximum value. Maximal signal to noise ratios will be obtained for that particular signal when the contact time is chosen according to the contact time belonging to the maximum in the CP curve. Obviously, the "optimum contact time" depends on both T1Si and T1pH. In most cases, T1pH turns out to be considerably larger than T1Si and in those cases, the optimum contact times are determined largely by the T1Si values.

Typical "maxima" in the CP curves of Q4 and Q4 groups for most silica gels (details not given here) range between 6 and 8 ms and from 8 to ca. 20 ms, respectively. For Hyperil 5-192 the CP curve for the Q4 signal shows a "maximum" near 2–3 ms, signifying significantly shorter T1Si values than for any of the other silica gels studied here.

In most silica gels the siloxane groups Q4 show similar shapes of CP curves and thus similar T1Si (4.5–6.5 ms) and T1pH values (16–26 ms). As an example, the values of Nucleosil (batch 4111, batch 5051) are presented in Table I. There are only slight differences in the T1pH values determined by an experiment described by Schaefer et al.,11 using different contact times (Table I). In contrast, for batch 5061, in addition to the broad maximum at 22.5 ms a second maximum at 8 ms is found (Figure 1). Here two different T1Si values of 1.7 and 21.5 ms can be determined (Figure 2a) as well as two different T1pH values of 24.7 and 46.9 ms (Figure 2b). A similar situation prevails for Hyperil 5-192 silica gel. In these silicas, there is a strong dependence of the

(9) A. Schaefer, J.; Stejskal, E. O.; Buchdahl, R. Macromolecules 1977, 10, 384.
Table IV: $T_1^*\text{ Values for Silica Gels (}$B_0 = 7.05\text{ T}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$Q^2$</th>
<th>$Q^4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Products-60</td>
<td>$1R^4$</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Products-60</td>
<td>CP$^a$</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleosil (5051)</td>
<td>$1R^4$</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleosil (5051)</td>
<td>CP$^a$</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micorobon SI-60</td>
<td>CP$^a$</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zorbax</td>
<td>$1R^4$</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersil</td>
<td>$1R^4$</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In seconds. $^a$ Measured by the inversion recovery method with $^1H$ decoupling during acquisition; no differences for silica gels vacuum-dried at 100 °C. $^b$ Cross polarization excitation according to Torchia, with contact time 5 ms.

Table V: $T_1^*$ Values for Monofunctional Derivatized Nucleosil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon load, %</th>
<th>Silane</th>
<th>$Q^2$</th>
<th>$Q^4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>silane</td>
<td>31.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>41.9 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>35.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>49.9 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>32.2 (2.8)</td>
<td>44.0 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In seconds, measured by the inversion recovery method with $^1H$ decoupling during acquisition; figures in parentheses are maximum deviations.

**a Monofunctionally Derivatized Silica Gels.** The silane signal (ca. +12 ppm) itself shows relatively large variations in cross polarization behavior. The variations follow more or less those already existing for the $Q^4$ and/or $Q^2$ signals of the respective parent silicas. For example, the silane $^29Si$ CP MAS NMR signal of monofunctionally (octadecyl) derivatized Hypersil has a rather small $T_{1Si}$ value, reflected in an “optimal contact time” near 5 ms. This latter value should be compared with ca. 15 ms for the signal of the correspondingly modified Nucleosil, the $T_{1Si}$ values being quite close. These differences probably reflect different silane mobilities at different silica supports, but could also in part be due to cross effects between silanes and remaining silanols. Further explanations should await a systematic study at different silicas for different degrees of silylation.

**b.** $T_{1Si}$ Values. Measurements of $T_{1Si}$ values have been presented by Fyfe et al. for native and for monofunctionally derivatized Fisher S-157 silica. Values for $T_{1Si}$ were reported for silanediol, silanol, siloxoy, and silane silicas. $^29Si$ NMR $T_{1Si}$ values of quite different magnitudes have been reported for a number of compounds including extremely large $T_{1Si}$ values. Some of these $T_{1Si}$ values were obtained by using CP excitation while other measurements, including those of Fyfe, implied pulse excitation. Although no numbers of scans are mentioned by Fyfe et al., their results appear to indicate that quantitatively useful $^29Si$ MAS NMR spectra can be obtained for native silica gels by using pulse interval times of ca. 100 ms and for monofunctionally derivatized gels with interval times of ca. 200 ms.

$i. With Pulse Excitation.** Our $T_{1Si}$ values for five different silica gels, as summarized in Table IV, indicate considerably larger values than those of Fyfe. $^a$ Monoeponential analysis (see also section C) result in $T_{1Si}$ values ranging from ca. 21 s to ca. 36 s for $Q^2$ and from ca. 25 s to ca. 44 s for $Q^4$. This may very well be caused by the lower $Q^2$ and $Q^4$ contents of our silica gels: typically ca. 1% and 24%, respectively, of the total signal area as measured with Bloch pulse excitation and sufficiently long pulse delays. Fyfe et al. $^a$ report percentages of 6.5 and ca. 37% for $Q^2$ and $Q^4$, respectively. Table V contains our results at 7.05 T for monofunctionally octadecyl-derivatized Nucleosil (batch 4111).

---

(13) Pfeiffer, B.; Albert, K.; Bayer, E. To be published.
with ca. 7% C (total carbon content as determined by elemental analysis) and with ca. 16% C. Apart from the silane $^{29}$Si NMR signal at +12 ppm, the differences in $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values are relatively small. The native silica gel is also included.

ii. With CP Excitation. Cross-polarization excitation is in principle a selective excitation method, in contrast to Bloch pulse excitation with a sufficiently long pulse interval. Silica gels have different degrees of inhomogeneities. Quaternary silicon atoms, for instance, can be subdivided into those having one or two silanol silicas as next neighbors and those having only other quaternary silicas in the first "coordination sphere." It might therefore be expected that different $T_1$ values will be found for different cross-polarization times. This was, however, not borne out by our experimental results: the same value of $T_{1\text{IS}}$ was found for contact times ranging from 0.5 to 8 ms for, e.g., Alfa Products silica and for Nucleosil batch 4111. For clearly inhomogeneous materials, such as Nucleosil batch 5061 (see before), different $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values are found for different contact times, resembling the results of different cross-polarization times.

Measurements at a contact time of 16 ms result for $Q^2$, $Q^3$, and $Q^4$ units 29, 23, 29.4 ± 1, and 43.4 ± 4.7 s, respectively. Measurements at a contact time of 16 ms result for $Q^2$, $Q^3$, and $Q^4$ in 32 ± 2.8, 43.4 ± 2.1, and 53 ± 5.5 s, respectively.

Table IV allows a comparison of the results of single exponential $T_1$'s obtained on silica gel at a contact time of 5 ms with CP and results for Bloch pulse excitation. There are only slight differences in the results for the silanol groups, whereas great differences are found for the siloxanes. The values determined with CP are generally higher. This is evidence that the heterogeneity of the silica gel is mainly due to the siloxanes.

C. Multieponential Analysis of Relaxation Rates. Longitudinal relaxation of $^{29}$Si NMR signals of silica gels is generally a multieponential process, dictated by the nature of the samples (see above). Analyses of relaxation rates in terms of a single-exponential function is, therefore, a simplification. This becomes evident, e.g., when plotting signal amplitudes versus pulse interval times in a log plot as presented in ref. 6. On the other hand, a separation of relaxation rates of $^{29}$Si NMR signals of silica gels into several distinct contributions will be elusive because there will be a very broad range of time constants involved in the overall relaxation rate. In practice, analyses in terms of many time constants will be limited by the number of measurements, e.g., different $\pi/2$-$\pi$ pulse interval times.

In the present study, we choose to analyze the longitudinal relaxation of the $^{29}$Si NMR signal of the Alpha Products silica gel under one set of conditions (dried silica gel, measured in laboratory air, Bloch decay) in terms of three relaxation rates according to the formula

$$S(t) = a_1(1 - e^{-t/T_{11}}) + a_2(1 - e^{-t/T_{12}}) + a_3(1 - e^{-t/T_{13}})$$

(2)

in which $S(t)$ is the total signal as a function of pulse interval time $t$, $T_{11}$-$T_{13}$ are three different relaxation times, and $a_1$-$a_3$ are the respective preexponential factors. For practical reasons (available number of measurements) we are restricted to a three-exponential analysis of the present results. The three different relaxation times represent fast average values for the different ranges. For the $^{29}$Si NMR signal of the quaternary silicon atoms near $\pm 2$ ppm, this results in

$$S(t) = 0.40(1 - e^{-t/65}) + 0.34(1 - e^{-t/19}) + 0.26(1 - e^{-t/2})$$

(3)

This is to be compared with the "standard analysis" in terms of three constants as in (9)

$$S(t) = a_1 + a_2(1 - e^{-t/T_{1w}})$$

(4)

$a_1$ and $a_2$ being constants; eq 4 yields $T_{1\text{IS}} = 26.6$ s for this particular measurement. The division into three new average $T_1$ values may perhaps be rationalized as follows. The values of ca. 67 s and ca. 19 s correspond with quaternary silicons having none and one silanol as a nearest neighbor, respectively. The latter value may very well represent an average for $^{29}$Si nuclei interacting with mobile and those interacting with more rigid silanol protons. The fast relaxing quaternary silicons may be close to paramagnetic centers. As suggested by Klinowski, paramagnetic oxygen also contributes measurably. Longitudinal relaxation of the $^{29}$Si NMR signal assigned to the silanol groups near $-101$ ppm (same sample as above) proceeds according to

$$S(t) = 0.34(1 - e^{-t/80}) + 0.43(1 - e^{-t/12}) + 0.23(1 - e^{-t/2})$$

(5)

to be compared with the "averaged value" (single-exponential analysis) of $T_1 = 19.7$ s. The most remarkable result of this calculation seems to be the presence of a significant contribution of rather slowly relaxing $^{28}$SiOH nuclei ($T_1 \approx 81$ s). Its relative contribution is comparable to that of the slowly relaxing quaternary silicon signals.

The magnitude of the $T_1$ values of silanol groups and quaternary silicons are of the same order. This seems feasible, assuming very small dipolar contribution of silanol protons to the relaxation rates of either type of silicon nucleus, plus the existence of domains with different mobilities.

Discussion

i. Quantification. As already mentioned in the Introduction, pulse excitation has been put forward as an attractive method for obtaining quantitatively dependable $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra of silica gels. In practice, however, typical $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values range from 25 to 50 s; see also Results. For a series of dependable, quantitative NMR measurements, these $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values should be measured in advance since there is a rather large spread in the values. Such a measurement takes typically at least 60 h of instrument time after which a spectrum can be obtained overnight, assuming the largest $T_{1\text{IS}}$ value is ca. 40 s. Without adequate $T_{1\text{IS}}$ measurements for at least one sample of a series of silica gels, one would have to anticipate $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values of perhaps 100 s, requiring pulse delay times of 600 s. In practice, ca. 300 scans are needed for a useful signal to noise ratio, amounting to measurement times of the order of 10 h.

With cross polarization, a typical spectrum can be obtained in ca. 1 h. Here, too, calibration measurements are necessary. The present results indicate that CP curves should be measured at least for one typical member of a series of basis silica gels (ca. 15 h measurement time) since the variation in CP behavior between different silica gels is considerable. A similar situation prevails for monofunctionally derivatized materials. It will be shown elsewhere that no separate CP curves are needed for bifunctionally and trifunctionally silanlated silica gels since in these cases the optimum contact times are independent of the basis silica gel, both for the silanol groups and for the silane signals. In view of the results already in the literature and those presented in the present paper, our conclusion is that CP excitation is always to be recommended for $^{29}$Si MAS NMR of silica gels except in those cases where dependable relative intensities, also including bulk (Q) $^{29}$Si, are required for structural analyses.

In addition, it will be shown in the next paragraphs that the form of the CP curves, especially for basis silica gels, yields additional information, regarding regions of different mobilities in the sample. This information can probably be related to the non-single-exponential behavior of $^{29}$Si longitudinal relaxation.

ii. Cross-Polarization Characteristics. In our view, the spin dynamics of $^{29}$Si and $^1$H nuclei of silica gels should be described in terms of two different proton spin assemblies. One, comprised of the silanediol protons, is coupled to the appropriate silanediol silicon atoms. The other, comprised of the silanol protons, is coupled to the silanol silicon atoms. Both types of protons will yield a contribution to the cross polarization of quaternary silicon nuclei. In contrast to Maciel, we prefer the explanation that the $T_{1\text{IS}}$ values of silanediols and those of silanols differ and that


(16) van de Ven, L. J. M.; de Haan, J. W. To be published.
siloxane silicon nuclei will experience a weighted average of 2.
From the last parts of the CP curves (longer contact times), it becomes clear that different values for \( T_{\text{tipH}} \) are found for \( Q^2 \)
silanol and \( Q^2 \) silanediol protons. Therefore, we assume that there is no fast spin diffusion between the silanol protons on one side and silanediol groups on the other side. There is, however, no way of knowing that all silanol protons have exactly the same \( T_{\text{tipH}} \); the same is true for silanediol protons. The magnetization decays in the CP curves show approximately single-exponential behaviors. The dynamics can then be expressed by a formula of the type

\[
\frac{1}{T_{\text{tipH}}(Q^2)} = \left[ \frac{B}{T_{\text{tipH}}(Q^2)} + \frac{C}{T_{\text{tipH}}(Q^2)} \right] A
\]  

(6)

In formula 6 \( B \) and \( C \) denote the relative amounts of silanol (\( Q^2 \)) and silanediol (\( Q^2 \)) sites, respectively, while \( A \) stands for the interaction efficiency between \( Q^2 \) silicons and protons. This latter interaction is decreased, caused by the larger intermolecular distances. CP curves with more than one maximum were observed for a number of silica gels, especially for \( Q^2 \) signals, independently at two different field strengths (4.7 and 7.05 T). In our view, this has to be ascribed to (surface) regions with different cross-polarization behaviors, characterized by different \( T_{\text{HS}} \) and \( T_{\text{tipH}} \) values. In this description, the experimental CP curves for siloxane silicons, and possibly also for silanol and silanediol silicons, should be considered as composites of two or three different CP curves, each with characteristic values for \( T_{\text{HS}} \) and \( T_{\text{tipH}} \).

A preliminary analysis of the CP curve of the sample shown in Figure 1b delivered two sets of time constants: \( T_{\text{HS}} = 1-2 \) ms and \( T_{\text{tipH}} = 20-25 \) ms and \( T_{\text{HS}} = 30 \) ms and \( T_{\text{tipH}} = 50 \) ms, respectively.

\( T_{\text{HS}} \) (X = \( 29\text{Si} \), \( 13\text{C} \), etc.) values are known to be related to mobilities of the molecular system under study in the 10\(^{-4}\)–10\(^{-5}\) Hz range. The observed different contributions to the “overall CP curve” in this study thus signify a considerable spread in mobilities in the 10\(^{-4}\)–10\(^{-5}\) Hz range in the silicas under study. On the basis of these results, we conclude that there exist two regions consisting of (polymethacrylate \( \beta \)-tridymite characterized by \( T_{\text{HS}} \) values of 1–2 ms and \( T_{\text{tipH}} \) values of 22–25 ms and those comprised of amorphous silica characterized by \( T_{\text{HS}} \) values of 20–38 ms and \( T_{\text{tipH}} \) values of 40–50 ms.

The flexible bound silicon atoms of the amorphous region require more time for polarization than the more rigidly bound silicons of the crystalline region and so the \( T_{\text{HS}} \) and \( T_{\text{tipH}} \) times are longer. The interpretations of our CP curves are based on the surface model of Hockey, which describes that for amorphous silicas the \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signal exhibits essentially the same “optimum contact time” as the basis silica. This result signifies that the overall mobilities of the surface species (\( Q^2 \), \( Q^3 \), \( Q^4 \) units) do not change significantly upon monofunctional substitution.

Differences in CP characteristics of the silane signals probably reflect different silane mobilities at different silica supports but could also in part be due to cross effects between silanes and remaining silanols. Further explanations should await a systematic study at different silicas for different degrees of silylation.

For \( \text{bi-} \) and \( \text{tribunctionally derivatized materials, the situation is complete} \)ly different from monofunctionally derivatized materials. The CP characteristics of the silane silicon now depend almost exclusively on the immediate surroundings of the silicon: bi- or trifunctional. Thereby, the results are practically independent of the silica support. Polyfunctional silanes can form cross links which increase the rigidity of the surface structures and also equalize the different mobilities.

\( iii. \ T_I \) Values. In combination with our CP curves of silica gels, we propose the following explanation. After cross polarization only those \( 29\text{Si} \) atoms having the more efficient dipolar interactions with protons will be excited. These components of the total \( 29\text{Si} \) CP NMR spectrum turn out to have a considerably more efficient longitudinal relaxation than average. This becomes evident in two ways. Firstly, we carried out CP inversion recovery experiments without proton spin temperature alteration and with coherent addition (i.e., one half of the Torchia experiment). After an inversion period of 5–10 s, only “negative” \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signals were observed, signals becoming larger with longer waiting times. Secondly, including short waiting times in the proper CP TI experiments, we consistently observed an “oscillatory” dependence of the \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signal on the waiting period (a typical list of waiting times included 10 values spread out over 0.01–1.5 s). The above-mentioned dependences of \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signals on waiting periods can only be explained by invoking very fast (\( T_I \approx 0.1-0.3 \) ms) relaxation of a large part of the original \( 29\text{Si} \) CP NMR signals, accompanied by much slower (\( T_I \approx 20-40 \) s) relaxation of the other \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signals plus a rather efficient interaction (exchange) between the two types of nuclei (\( T_I \leq 1 \) s). The derivation of the dependence of the \( 29\text{Si} \) NMR signal on the time is as follows

\[
S_I = S_{0e^{-r/T_I}}
\]

(7)
in which \( T_I \) is the longitudinal relaxation time \( T_{\text{IP}} \).
magnetization is exchanged with other nuclei (longitudinal relaxation time $T_1'$) with a time constant $T_0$, eq 7 transforms to eq 8:

$$S_i = S_0 e^{-t / T_0} e^{t / T_1'} = S_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{T_1 + T_d}{T_1 T_d} \right]$$

(8)

This means a faster signal decay. On the other hand, however, signal will be added according to (9):

$$S_d = S_0 \left[ 1 - e^{-t / T_0} \right] e^{-t / T_1'}$$

(9)

The total signal will then be given by the sum of (8) and (9):

$$S = S_i + S_d = S_0 \left[ \exp \left[ -\frac{T_1 + T_d}{T_1 T_d} \right] + (1 - e^{-t / T_0}) e^{-t / T_1'} \right]$$

(10)

Characteristic values for the $Q^4$ signals of Nucleosil batch no. 5061 and for the Alfa Products silica gel are summarized in Table VI. Very similar results were observed for the respective silanol signals. These are also given in Table VI.

The $T_1'$ values should then be compared with the $T_{1 Si}$ values as obtained by CP excitation (long waiting periods) or by pulse excitation. The $T_1$ values of Table VI may well correspond with the short $T_1$ components, resulting from three-exponential analysis of relaxation times by pulse excitation (see before).

In our view, the differences in dipolar interactions between quaternary silicons and silanol protons which lead to the observed spread in $T_{1 Si}$ longitudinal relaxation rates have the same origin as variations observed in cross polarization behavior. Regions with rather high ordering (polycrystalline domains) have rather low SiOH mobilities because in ordered situations the possibilities of forming hydrogen bonding are higher. Lower mobilities in polycrystalline regions are then found over a rather wide frequency range, extending from ca. $10^2$ Hz ($T_{1 HS}$ values small) via ca. $10^3$ Hz ($T_{1 SL}$ values) to ca. $10^4$ Hz ($T_{1 SS}$ values). In the more disordered, presumably amorphous regions the mobilities are higher; hydrogen bonds are less easily formed and larger values of $T_{1 HS}$ and longitudinal relaxation times of $^{29}$Si ($T_{1 SS}$) are found. Our surmise is in agreement with the often published importance of internuclear dipolar interactions in determining $^{29}$Si NMR longitudinal relaxation. It is not, however, the only mechanism. As already suggested by Klinowski, paramagnetic oxygen also contributes measurably to silicon relaxation, the same is true for Fe$^{3+}$ impurities (see before).

Together with the numbers for the monofunctionally derivatized materials a clear pattern emerges: upon substitution of silanols with silanes the remaining silanols relax slightly slower. A stronger trend in the same direction is noticed for the quaternary silicons. This is analogous to results described by Fyfe et al. The differences observed in the present study between derivatized and native silica gel are smaller than those reported by Fyfe, and the absolute values of the $T_1$'s are larger. This is in agreement with a relaxation mechanism for $^{29}$Si nuclei in terms of dipolar interactions with hydroxyl protons with or without invoking the influence of associated water. Nucleosil batch 4111 contains clearly less silanol groups than Fisher S-157 silica gel and is, in this respect, intermediate between the latter silica gel and, e.g., Porasil glass beads or Cab-O-Sil as reported earlier.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the method of contact-time variation in CP MAS $^{29}$Si NMR of silica gels yields additional information regarding the structure of the material. This information cannot be obtained in a simple way by other methods. The most probable explanation is a structure consisting of domains with clearly different mobilities in the $10^4$-$10^5$-Hz range. The non-single-exponential behavior of $^{29}$Si longitudinal relaxation may be described similarly. Finally, cross-polarization excitation remains the method of choice for obtaining qualitatively and quantitatively meaningful $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra of silica gels, provided due care is taken in obtaining appropriate calibration by measuring the CP characteristics in a number of cases.

### Table VI: $T_1$, $T_1'$, and $T_d$ Values Obtained by Simulation According to Formula 10 of $T_{1 Si}$ Measurements with Short Waiting Periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_1$</th>
<th>$T_1'$</th>
<th>$T_d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha Products</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^4$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleosil (5061)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^4$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In seconds.