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Cross-sectional scanning tunneling microscopy was used to study at the atomic scale the double capping process of self-assembled InAs/InP quantum dots (QDs) grown by molecular beam epitaxy on a (311)B substrate. The thickness of the first capping layer is found to play a major role in determining the final results of the process. For first capping layers up to 3.5 nm, the height of the QDs correspond to the thickness of the first capping layer. Nevertheless, for thicknesses higher than 3.5 nm, a reduction in the dot height compared to the thickness of the first capping layer is observed. These results are interpreted in terms of a transition from a double capping to a classical capping process when the first capping layer is thick enough to completely cover the dots. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2771063]

Self-assembled InAs/InP quantum dots (QDs) grown by the Stranski-Krastanow growth mode are attracting great interests from both physical and technological points of view. The main potential application of these structures is as the active region of high performance lasers emitting at 1.55 μm. The long-range optical fiber communication wavelength. For that purpose, an accurate control of the emission wavelength and of the QD size distribution is of a crucial importance. One method to reduce the QD height dispersion is based on a discontinuous capping process, where the capping is performed in two sequences. First, a capping layer is deposited, with a thickness smaller than the QD height. Then, a growth interruption under phosphorous flux is performed, during which the uncovered part of the dots disappears due to As/P exchange, leading, in principle, to an uniform QD height equal to the thickness of the first thin capping layer. Finally, the capping layer growth is completed. This modified capping procedure, named double cap (DC), was shown to allow wavelength emission control and to drastically decrease the photoluminescence (PL) linewidth. Indeed, room temperature lasers based on double-capped InAs/InP QDs with low threshold current density emitting close to 1.55 μm were recently demonstrated on (311)B substrates. On (100) substrates, the DC process was also used for device elaboration by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE). However, it has been recently observed that the preferential growth of the first cap layer occur on the edge of the QDs. This should induce an inaccurate control of the QD heights by the DC process in MOVPE.

The initial stage of the capping process of InAs QDs is a very complex phenomenon, sensitive to many growth parameters. It has mainly been studied for InAs/GaAs QDs, and very little is known in the case of InAs/InP QDs, in which the As/P exchange reaction complicates the description. It is therefore difficult to know what happens during and after the deposition of the first capping layer in the double capping method, and a detailed structural characterization of the process is required.

We have used cross-sectional scanning tunneling microscopy (X-STM) to study the double capping process of InAs/InP QDs grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) at the atomic scale. The effect of first cap layer with different thicknesses and composition has been analyzed.

The samples were grown by gas source MBE on a Si doped (311)B oriented InP substrate. The growth temperature was set to 480 °C. The QDs were formed by depositing 2.1 (100) equivalent monolayers of InAs both on InP and In0.87Ga0.13As0.285P0.715 (lattice matched to InP) buffer layers. In order to ease the analysis, a high As beam equivalent pressure was supplied to the surface during the InAs deposition in order to favor the formation of large QDs. After island formation, a 30 s growth interruption under As flux was performed before the growth of the capping layer. Then, the first capping layer (CL1) was deposited and the growth was interrupted for 60 s maintaining a group V overpressure. Finally, a thick (50 or 100 nm) second capping layer (CL2) was grown. Three different samples were studied. In sample A, three QD layers were grown with different thicknesses of CL1: 1.5, 2.5, and 3.5 nm (from now on called layer 1, layer 2, and layer 3, respectively). The buffer and the capping layers consisted of In0.87Ga0.13As0.285P0.715. In sample B, the buffer, CL1 and CL2 were made of InP. Finally, in sample C, the buffer and CL2 were made of InP, while CL1 consisted of In0.87Ga0.13As0.285P0.715. In samples B and C, four QD layers were grown with different thicknesses of CL1: 2, 3, 4, and 5 nm (layers 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively).

The X-STM measurements were carried out on the [0–11] surface plane of in situ cleaved samples under UHV (p < 4 × 10−11 Torr) conditions by using polycrystalline tungsten tips. The images of the QDs and of the capping layers were obtained in constant current mode during which both the topography and current images were recorded simultaneously. All the images shown are taken at high negative voltage (~3 V). Under these conditions the elec-
average height in layer 1 of CL1. After analyzing 37 dots in this sample, the measured QDs in each layer fit very well with the nominal thickness clear from Fig. 1 that the height of the QDs increases when was 60 nm, which gives aspect ratios as low as 0.04. It is fluctuations in the InGaAsP. Remarkably, the QDs have a states image of this sample. The three QD layers separated buffer and capping layers. Figure 1 shows a large scale filled Fig. 2 is representative of what was observed when CL1 was 2 or 3 nm thick. The dots are similar to the ones in sample A: they have a flattop facet and their height fits very well with the thickness of CL1 (the average heights were 2.2 and 2.9 nm in layers 1 and 2, respectively). Nevertheless, the situation is different when CL1 are 4 and 5 nm thick. In this case, the measured average heights of the QDs are 3.1 and 4 nm, respectively. Thus the QD heights are not accurately controlled by the first cap layer thickness in this range. These results confirm the PL measurement as a function of the thickness of CL1 previously published.5 For CL1 thicker than 4 nm, the PL energy control by the DC process is lost. In sample C, CL1 and CL2 consist of InGaAsP and InP, respectively. The thicknesses of CL1 were set at 2, 3, 4, and 5 nm. Figure 3 shows a filled states topography image of layers 2, 3, and 4. Atomic details are resolved in this image, in which the group V elements, i.e., As and P, are imaged. As the QDs are grown on InP, the trenches are present again, but it is clear from image 3 (c) that it is a global effect affecting the whole WL. CL1 appears as a dark region with inhomogeneous contrast between the wetting layer (WL) and CL2. A bright region in CL1 on top of the QDs is observed in layers 3 and 4, indicating an As and/or In rich region. This is likely due to strain induced composition modulation, as shown in Ref. 16 The thicknesses of CL1 in layers 2, 3, and 4, measured from the top of the WL, are 2.9, 3.8, and 4.8 nm, respectively. This is in good agreement with the nominal values. Figure 3(a) shows how in layer 2 the height of the QD is leveled to the thickness of CL1. This is also the case in layer 1 (not shown). As in the previous sample, when the thickness of CL1 is increased to 4 nm, the height of the QDs is slightly smaller than CL1 [see Fig. 3(b)]. This difference is much bigger when CL1 is 5 nm thick. In this case the height of the QD is more or less half of the thickness of CL1.
covered, the growth interrupt has no effect on them. Since
the dots were thick enough to completely cover the dots. Once the dots are
one step thinner than that value. This can be understood in
Fig. 4 are on average similar for the different samples in that
ences in height dispersion are observed between different
applications.

The solid curve represents the ideal situation where the dot height corre-
sponds exactly to the thickness of CL1. A significant deviation is observed
as the distance to the maximum and minimum values that were measured.

In summary, our X-STM measurements show that the
dot height corresponds exactly to the thickness of CL1 for the three analyzed
samples. The solid curve represents the ideal situation where
the average height of the QDs in each layer is plotted as a func-
tion of the nominal thickness of CL1 for the three analyzed
samples. The error is taken
average for thick first capping layers.

In summary, our X-STM measurements show that the
double capping method works well for first capping lay-
ers up to ~3.5 nm, a re-
duction in the dot height compared to the thickness of the
first capping layer is observed, indicating that the control
over the QD height with the DC process is lost for capping
layers thicker than that value. This can be understood in
terms of a transition from a double capping to a classical
(one step) capping process when the first capping layer is
thick enough to completely cover the dots. Once the dots are
covered, the growth interrupt has no effect on them. Since
the height of similar classically capped QDs has been mea-
sured to be around 3.5 nm, this should be the maximum
possible height obtained and the DC method should not work
for CL1 thicker than that. Indeed, 3.5 nm is approximately
the saturation value observed for thick capping layers in
Fig. 4 (except for the special case of 5 nm in sample C, in
which a strong decrease of the QD density is also observed).

In summary, our X-STM measurements show that the
double capping method applied to InAs/InP (311)B QDs
grown by MBE allows a very accurate control of the QD
height when the thickness of the first capping layer is not higher than ~3.5 nm, independently of the material used in
the capping layer. For higher values a significant reduction of
the QD height is observed. This is explained by the fact that
3.5 nm is the height of classically capped QDs and therefore,
for thicker capping layers the double capping process be-
comes a classical capping process since the QDs would be
completely covered by the capping layer before the growth
interrupt.
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