Rapid variation with remainder and rates of convergence

Citation for published version (APA):

Beirlant, J., & Willekens, E. K. E. (1988). *Rapid variation with remainder and rates of convergence*. (Memorandum COSOR; Vol. 8807). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1988

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science

Memorandum COSOR 88-07

Rapid variation with remainder
and rates of convergence

by

E. Beirland and E. Willekens

Eindhoven, Netherlands

February 1988

Rapid variation with remainder and rates of convergence

by

J. Beirlant

and

E. Willekens

Katholieke Universiteit
Leuven

Technologische Universiteit
Eindhoven

ABSTRACT. The remainder term of the class Γ of rapidly varying functions is considered. Some probabilistic applications to limit laws of extreme value theory and to the estimation of the indexparameter of a regularly varying tail are considered.

AMS Subject Classification: 26A12, 60F05.

Keywords and Phrases: regular variation, rates of convergence, domains of attraction

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a measurable function such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} U(tx)/U(x) = t^\alpha \quad \text{for every } t > 0.$$

Then U is called *regularly varying with index α* ($U \in R_\alpha$). If $\alpha=0$ we say that U is *slowly varying*, while if $\alpha=\infty$ U is called *rapidly varying*. An important class of rapidly varying functions is the so-called class Γ , introduced by de Haan (1970):

let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a measurable function, then $f \in \Gamma$ iff there exists a measurable function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x+u\phi(x))/f(x) = \exp(u)$$

locally uniformly (l.u.) in $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

If (1.1) holds, we call ϕ an *auxiliary function* of f (notation $f \in \Gamma(\phi)$) and it is known that in this case ϕ is *self-neglecting* (see de Haan (1970)):

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x+u\phi(x))/\phi(x) = 1$$

l.u. in $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

At this point, notice that our definition of Γ is somewhat more general than the one given by de Haan (1970) as he restricts the class Γ to monotone functions which satisfy (1.1) pointwise in $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

By far the most important probabilistic application of Γ is the characterization of the domain of attraction of the double exponential law in the maximum-scheme: let $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n}$ denote the order statistics of a sample of size n from a distribution function (df) F . We denote $\bar{F} = 1-F$. Then one can find normalizing constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X_{n:n} - b_n \leq a_n x) \rightarrow \exp(-\exp(-x)) =: \Lambda(x), \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

iff

$$1/\bar{F} \in \Gamma$$

Another characterizing property of Γ concerns the Hill estimator (Hill(1975), Beirlant and Teugels(1987)): If $F \in C := \{F | F(0)=0, F \text{ continuous and eventually strictly increasing}\}$, then Hill's estimate

$$H_{m,n} := m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \log X_{n-i+1:n} - \log X_{n-m:n}$$

is attracted as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the gamma law of $m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m E_i$ ($E_i, i=1, \dots, m$, iid exponential random variables with mean one) iff $1/F \circ \exp \in \Gamma$.

Both examples suggest that that we can obtain second order theorems if we could specify (1.1) up to a remainder term. We therefore consider the following asymptotic relations:

let r be a measurable function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that $r(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$(\Gamma R_1) \quad f(x+u\phi(x))/f(x) = e^u (1+O(r(x))) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{l.u. in } u \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\Gamma R_2) \quad f(x+u\phi(x))/f(x) \sim e^u (1+m(u)r(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{l.u. in } u \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\Gamma R_3) \quad f(x+u\phi(x))/f(x) = e^u (1+o(r(x))) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{l.u. in } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If f satisfies one of the relations (ΓR_i) ($i=1,2,3$), with auxiliary functions ϕ and r , we denote it as $f \in \Gamma R_i(\phi, r)$.

It is well-known that Γ is strongly connected with the class Π of slowly varying functions (de Haan (1970)): If f is non-decreasing, $f \in \Gamma(\phi)$ iff

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f^l(xt) - f^l(x)) / \phi(f^l(x)) = \log(t) \quad \text{for every } t > 0$$

where f^l is the inverse of f . We denote (1.3) as $f^l \in \Pi(\phi(f^l))$.

Similarly as for Γ , we can define remainder versions of Π -variation (see Omey and Willekens(1987)):

for positive measurable functions a and b , consider

$$(\Pi R_1) \quad f(xt) - f(x) - a(x)\log(t) = O(b(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(\Pi R_2) \quad f(xt) - f(x) - a(x)\log(t) \sim h(u)b(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(\Pi R_3) \quad f(xt) - f(x) - a(x)\log(t) = o(b(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Similarly as above, we use the notation $f \in \Pi R_1(a, b)$, $i=1,2,3$.

As one might expect and as was shown by de Haan and Dekkers (1987), the stated relationship between Γ and Π (see (1.3)) maintains (under appropriate conditions) for the remainder versions, i.e.

$$f \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r) \text{ iff } f^t \in \Pi R_1(\phi(f^t), \phi(f^t)r(f^t)), \quad i=1,2,3.$$

In the next section we define a transform which also relates the classes ΓR_1 and ΠR_1 , but which is also valid for non-monotone functions.

The analytic results of section 2 are then applied in section 3 to establish rates of convergence in the previously mentioned examples.

Before starting with section 2, we notice that ΠR_1 is closely related to the concept of slow variation with remainder (SR_1) as defined in Goldie and Smith

(1987). Indeed, if $b(x) \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$), we have for any function f that $f \in \Pi R_1(0, b)$ iff $\exp f \in SR_1(b)$.

2. SOME ANALYTIC RESULTS

As in Goldie and Smith (1987) and Omey and Willekens (1987) it will be appropriate to impose some conditions on the remainder term r in ΓR_1 ($i=1,2,3$). Unless otherwise stated, we will assume that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r(x+u\phi(x))/r(x) = \exp(\gamma u) \quad \text{for every } u \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and some } \gamma \leq 0.$$

Clearly the limit in (2.1) can only be of the stated form. In the proof of our theorems we will frequently use the following proposition, due to Bingham and Goldie (1983).

Proposition. Let ϕ be self-neglecting, g satisfy

$$(2.2) \quad (g(x+u\phi(x)) - g(x))/z(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

with z a measurable function satisfying

$$z(x+u\phi(x))/z(x) \rightarrow \exp(\gamma u) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \gamma \leq 0, u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (2.2) holds uniformly on compact u -sets.

We now define the transform which will be considered in the forthcoming theorem: suppose ϕ is bounded away from zero on any finite interval, and let

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi(x) := \int_0^x dt/\phi(t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then Φ is a strictly increasing continuous function whose inverse is well-defined. Define for any $f \in \Gamma(c\phi)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_0$,

$$A: f \rightarrow A_f = f \circ \Phi^1 \circ \log.$$

It follows from de Haan (1973) that any function f in $\Gamma(\phi)$ can be represented as

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = U(\exp \Phi(x)) \quad \text{with } U \in \mathcal{R}_1.$$

Clearly with the definition of A , (2.4) implies that $A_f = U$ whence $\log A_f \in \Pi(1)$. So the operator A provides an obvious relation between Γ and Π , and it is not hard to imagine that we can expect a similar relation between $\Gamma \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\Pi \mathcal{R}_1$.

Before stating the main theorem of this section, we first consider the function Φ somewhat closer.

By local uniformity in (1.2), we have for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x+u\phi(x)) - \Phi(x) &= \int_x^{x+u\phi(x)} dt/\phi(t) \\ &= \int_0^u \phi(x)/\phi(x+v\phi(x)) dv \\ &= u + o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, fixing any number $u \in \mathbb{R}$, one can find $t=t(x)$ with $t(x) \rightarrow u$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that (cfr. Bingham and Goldie (1983))

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(x+t(x)\phi(x)) = \Phi(x) + u.$$

This relation is very useful and will be used throughout in the sequel of the paper. We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let ϕ be self-neglecting and let r satisfy (2.1). For any $l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (I) $f \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r)$
- (II) $\log A_f \in \Pi R_1(1, A_r)$ and $A_\phi \in SR_1(A_r)$
- (III) $f(x) = \exp \Phi(x) V(\exp \Phi(x))$ with $A_\phi \in SR_1(A_r)$ and $V \in SR_1(A_r)$.

Proof. We first prove the theorem for $l=2$.

(I) $\Rightarrow$ (II). From the definition of A_f we have that $f(x) = A_f(\exp \Phi(x))$. Therefore,

$$f \in \Gamma R_2(\phi, r) \\ \text{iff}$$

$$A_f(\exp \Phi(x+u\phi(x))) / A_f(\exp \Phi(x)) - \exp(u) \sim e^u m(u) r(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

Now with $t(x)$ defined as in (2.5), it follows from local uniformity that

$$(2.6) \quad A_f(e^{\Phi(x)+u}) / A_f(e^{\Phi(x)}) - e^u - e^u(t(x)-u) \sim e^u m(u) r(x) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

We first determine the order of $t(x) - u$. Defining

$$(2.7) \quad R_u(x) := (\log f(x+u\phi(x)) - \log f(x) - u) / r(x),$$

we have that

$$R_{u+v}(x) = R_{v(x)}(x+u\phi(x)) r(x+u\phi(x)) / r(x) \\ + R_u(x) + v[-1 + (\phi(x)/\phi(x+u\phi(x)))] / r(x)$$

with $v(x) = v\phi(x)/\phi(x+u\phi(x))$.
Then by ΓR_2 and (2.1),

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\phi(x+u\phi(x)) - \phi(x))/\phi(x)r(x) \text{ exists.}$$

Denoting the limit in (2.8) as $k(u)$, it is not hard to show that

$$k(u) = a \int_1^{e^u} \theta^{\gamma-1} d\theta.$$

with a a real constant and γ determined by (2.1).
Using Proposition one can show that convergence in (2.8) holds l.u.
in $u \in \mathbb{R}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(x+t\phi(x)) - \phi(x) - t)/r(x) &= (r(x))^{-1} \int_0^t \{(\phi(x)/\phi(x+u\phi(x))) - 1\} du \\ &\rightarrow h(t) := -\int_0^t k(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

l.u. in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This implies that the function $t(x)$ in (2.5) is of the form

$$t(x) = u - h(u)r(x) + o(r(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then clearly from (2.6), after a change of variables ($y = \exp\phi(x)$, $\lambda = e^u$)

$$\log A_f(y\lambda) - \log A_f(y) - \log \lambda \sim (m(\log \lambda) - h(\log \lambda)) A_r(y) \quad (y \rightarrow \infty)$$

showing that $\log A_f \in \Pi R_2(1, A_r)$.

The fact that $A_\phi \in SR_2(A_r)$ follows immediately from (2.8), local uniformity and the definition of $t(x)$.

(II) $\Rightarrow$ (III). Obviously $\log A_f \in \Pi R_2(1, A_r)$ iff $V(x) := \log(A_f(x))/x \in SR_2(A_r)$.

The representation theorem follows then immediately.

(III) $\Rightarrow$ (I). Immediate.

The proof of the theorem for $i=1$ or 3 follows exactly the same lines.

Only the limit relations have to be changed in O - or o -versions. $\square$

Remarks.

1. It follows from (2.5) that r satisfies (2.1) iff $A_r \in R_\gamma$.

Clearly for proving Theorem 2.1 if $l=1$ ($l=3$), the assumption on r in (2.1) can be relaxed to $r(x+u\phi(x))=O(r(x))$ ($o(r(x))$) as $x \rightarrow \infty$. This then implies that A_r is O -regularly varying (see Goldie and Smith (1987)).

2. Theorem 2.1 implies that if $\gamma < 0$ in (2.1), any function f satisfying ΓR_1 is essentially an exponential function. Indeed, if we consider ΓR_2 it follows from $VESR_2(A_r)$ and Seneta (1976) (pp. 73-74) that there exists constants c and $d \neq 0$ such that

$$(2.9) \quad V(\exp \Phi(x)) = d + cr(x) + o(r(x)) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

For the same reason, there exists constants $c_0 \neq 0$ and c_1 such that

$$c_0/\phi(x) = \exp(c_1 r(x) + o(r(x))) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

from which

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi(x) = c_2 + xc_0^{-1} + c_1 c_0^{-1} \int_{c_3}^x r(u)(1+o(1))du.$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10) we have from Theorem (2.1) that

$$f(x) = (d + cr(x) + o(r(x))) \exp(c_2 + xc_0^{-1} + c_1 c_0^{-1} \int_{c_3}^x r(u)(1+o(1))du) \\ (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

3. The proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that from ΓR_2 and (2.1)

$$m(u+v) = m(v)\exp(\gamma u) + m(u) - \alpha v \int_1^{e^u} \theta^{\gamma-1} d\theta \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Hence

$$(2.11) \quad m(u) = \begin{cases} d\gamma^{-1}(\exp(\gamma u) - 1) - a\gamma^{-1} \int_0^u (\exp(\gamma v) - 1) dv & (d \in \mathbb{R}) \text{ if } \gamma \neq 0 \\ cu - au^2/2 & \text{if } \gamma = 0. \end{cases}$$

3. APPLICATIONS IN EXTREME VALUE THEORY

a. Rate of convergence for maxima in domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution.

Let $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n:n}$ be an ordered sample from a df F with $1/(-\log F) \in \Gamma(\phi)$. Take $-\log F(b_n) \leq n^{-1} \leq -\log F(b_{n-})$ and let $a_n = \phi(b_n)$.

Then it is well-known that (see de Haan (1970))

$$(3.1) \quad P(X_{n:n} \leq a_n u + b_n) \rightarrow \Lambda(u) := \exp(-e^{-u}), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As was mentioned in the Introduction, strenghtening the condition $1/(-\log F) \in \Gamma(\phi)$ to $1/(-\log F) \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r)$ for some $1 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $r(x) \rightarrow 0$, will allow us to study the rate of convergence in (3.1). Indeed, it is easily seen that if $f \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \Lambda_n(u) := P(X_{n:n} \leq a_n u + b_n) - \Lambda(u) = O(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

while if $f \in \Gamma R_2(\phi, r)$,

$$(3.3) \quad \Lambda_n(u) = \Lambda'(u)m(u)r(b_n) + o(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Whereas (3.2) and (3.3) give pointwise rates of convergence, the main problem is to show that they hold uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Although many papers have been devoted to the uniform rate of convergence in (3.1), (see e.g. Anderson (1971), Cohen (1982), Omey and Rachev (1987), Resnick (1986)), it is still an open problem to give the most general conditions that imply the right rate; nearly all of the existing results work by

specifying the Von Mises conditions.

The contrary is true when attraction to the Frechet or Weibull law is concerned. Indeed in these cases Smith (1982) formulated best possible conditions in terms of slow variation with remainder.

In the theorem below, we prove that (3.2) ((3.3)) holds uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$ under ΓR_1 (ΓR_2). Using the theory of section 2, we show that ΓR

can be reduced to slow variation with remainder so that we end up exactly with the same problem as was tackled by Smith (1982).

We believe that the present way of proof is properly motivated from the concept of Γ -variation with remainder and that it generalizes the approaches used in the references mentioned above.

The only minor drawback is the following assumption which will be used in the theorem:

$$(3.4) \quad F^n(b_n - \Phi(b_n)\phi(b_n)) = o(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Condition (3.4) holds in most instances and may not be satisfied if ϕ is slowly varying with a specified remainder term. The following lemma ensures this statement.

Lemma 3.1. *If any subsequence $(b'_n)_n$ of $(b_n)_n$ for which*

$$(i) \quad b'_n - \Phi(b'_n)\phi(b'_n) \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \Phi(b'_n)\phi(b'_n)/b'_n \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

satisfies $F^n(b'_n - \Phi(b'_n)\phi(b'_n)) = o(r(b'_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$

then (3.4) holds in case F is concentrated on an interval of the form $[z, +\infty)$.

Proof. In case F is concentrated on intervals of the specified type the only subsequences we have to consider are those for which (i) holds.

If furthermore $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(b'_n)\phi(b'_n)/b'_n < 1$ (3.4) follows from Davis and Resnick

(1986) and the fact that A_γ is O -regularly varying. In case the $\limsup$ equals 1 (3.4) follows from the assumptions. $\square$

The theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose ϕ is self-neglecting

a. If $1/(-\log F) \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r)$ and (3.4) is satisfied, and if there exist constants x_0, θ, b, c all positive such that

$$(3.5) \quad bx^{-\theta} \leq A_r(xt)/A_r(x) \leq c \quad \text{for all } x \geq x_0, t \geq 1,$$

then

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |\Lambda_n(u)| = O(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

b. If $1/(-\log F) \in \Gamma R(\phi, r)$ and (3.4) is satisfied and if $A_r \in R_\gamma, \gamma \leq 0$, then

$$\Lambda_n(u) = \Lambda'(u)m(u)r(b_n) + o(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $m(u)$ is given as in (2.11).

Proof. First notice that we may assume that F is supported on $[z, \infty)$ for some $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, putting $Y_i := \max(z, X_i)$, $i=1, \dots, n$, it is clear that for n large enough

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} |P(Y_{n:n} \leq a_n u + b_n) - P(X_{n:n} \leq a_n u + b_n)| = P(X_{n:n} \leq z) = o(r(b_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of b_n and (3.5). We now estimate

$$\Delta_n(x) := | -\log(-n \log F(a_n \log x + b_n)) - \log x | \quad \text{for some } x > 0.$$

Denoting $-\log F := f$ and $\exp \Phi(b_n) := \nu_n$, we have from (2.5) and the definition of b_n that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(x) &= | -\log(f(a_n \log x + b_n)) + \log f(b_n) - \log x + o(r(b_n)) | \\ &= | -\log A_f(x \exp(\Phi(b_n) + t(b_n))) + \log A_f(\exp \Phi(b_n)) - \log x \\ &\quad + o(r(b_n)) | \\ &\leq | -\log(A_f(\nu_n x)/\nu_n x) + \log(A_f(\nu_n)/\nu_n) + (t(b_n) - \log x) + o(r(b_n)) | \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 2.1, $L(x) := A_F(x)/x \in \text{SR}_1(A_F)$ with $1=1$ or 2 depending on whether a. or b. is satisfied. Using the estimation in (3.6), we can copy the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 of Smith (1982), implying uniform convergence in (3.2) and (3.3) over the region $u = \log x \geq -\log \nu_n + c$, where c is some constant.

Hence the proof is finished if we can show that both $\Lambda(-\log \nu_n)$ and

$F^n(-a \log \nu_n + b_n)$ are $o(r(b_n))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Under the conditions of the theorem, A_F is O -regularly varying such that $\Lambda(-\log \nu_n) = \exp(-\nu_n) = o(A_F(\nu_n)) = o(r(b_n))$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). As to $F^n(-a \log \nu_n + b_n)$, notice that $-a \log \nu_n + b_n = b_n - \Phi(b_n)\phi(b_n)$. Lemma 3.1 applies now. $\square$

b. Rate of convergence of Hill's estimate.

Beirlant and Teugels (1987) showed that if $1/(1-F)\circ \exp$ belongs to Γ , and F is continuous and strictly increasing in a neighborhood of ∞ , Hill's estimate $H_{m,n}$ given in (1.2) is attracted as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the distribution

of $m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m E_i =: E_m$, E_i being iid exponential rv's with mean one.

Let now ϕ be the auxiliary function corresponding to $1/F \circ \exp$,

$$l(u) = \phi(\log F^l(1-u^{-1})), \quad u \in (0, 1); \quad q_n = m/n, \text{ and } p_n^2 = m(n-m-1)/(n-1)^3.$$

Then it was also shown that $n \rightarrow \infty, m_n \rightarrow \infty, m_n = o(n)$, and

$$(3.7a) \quad (m_n)^{1/2} \left(-1 + \int_0^\infty (q_n + p_n z)^{-1} (1 - F(e^{ul(n/m)} F^l(1 - q_n - p_n z))) du \right) \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{l.u. in } z > 0$$

entail that

$$(m_n)^{1/2} (H_{m,n}/l(n/m) - 1) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

If we assume that $1/F \circ \exp \in \Gamma_{1,1}(\phi, r)$ then it is clear that condition (3.7a) can be replaced by the more attractive condition

$$(3.7b) \quad (m_n)^{1/2} r(\log F^l(1-q_n-p_n z)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, m_n \rightarrow \infty, m_n = o(n) \\ \text{l.u. in } z > 0.$$

With the help of the Berry-Esseen theorem

$$\sup_x |P((m_n)^{1/2} (H_{m,n}/l(n/m) - 1) \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \\ \leq \tau_n + Cm^{-1/2}$$

$$\text{where } \tau_n = \sup_x |P((m_n)^{1/2} (H_{m,n}/l(n/m) - 1) \leq x) \\ - P((m_n)^{1/2} (E_m - 1) \leq x)|.$$

To bound τ_n we apply the well-known smoothing inequality:

$$\tau_n \leq \pi^{-1} \int_{-T}^T t^{-1} |\psi_{m,n}(t) - \kappa_m(t)| dt + KT^{-1},$$

where $\psi_{m,n}$, resp. κ_m , denote the characteristic functions of the standardized

versions of $H_{m,n}$, resp. E_m , given in Beirlant and Teugels (1987).

We get by choosing $T = m^{1/2}$ that

$$\tau_n \leq Km^{-1/2} \\ + A(n,m) \pi^{-1} (ml)^{-1} \int_{-m_n}^{m_n} t^{-1} dt \int_0^n (1-v/n)^{n-m-1} v^m \\ |K_n^m(v, m^{1/2}/t l(n/m)) \\ - (1+t \int_0^\infty e^{lw - (wm^{1/2}/t)} dw) m| dv$$

where $A(n,m) = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, m = o(n)$ and

$$K_n(v, u) = 1 + i \int_0^\infty e^{iw} (F(e^{w/u} F^l(1-v/n))) / (F(F^l(1-v/n))) dw.$$

First

$$\max\{|K_n(v, u/l(n/m))|, |1 + i \int_0^\infty e^{iw-w/u} dw|\} \leq 1$$

and if $1/F_{\text{exp}}$ satisfies (ΓR_1) we get as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|K_n(v, u/l(n/m)) - (1 + i \int_0^\infty e^{iw-w/u} dw)| \leq e^{-w/u} O(r(\log F^l(1-v/n)))$$

so that by substituting $t/m^{1/2}$ by u we find

$$\tau_n \leq Km^{-1/2} + Lm \int_{-1}^1 du \int_0^\infty (m l)^{-1} (1-v/n)^{n-m-1} v^m \times |O(r(\log F^l(1-v/n)))| dv$$

for a certain constant L .

So we have derived the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose F is continuous and strictly increasing in a neighborhood of ∞ . Moreover assume that $1/F_{\text{exp}} \in \Gamma R_1(\phi, r)$ and that (3.1b) holds.

Then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(m^{1/2}(H_{m,n}/l(n/m) - 1) \leq x) - \Phi(x)|$$

$$\leq C[m^{-1/2} + m E(\psi_{m,n,F}(mn^{-1}E_{m+1}))]$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, m_n \rightarrow \infty, m_n = o(n)$, where $\psi_{m,n,F}(x) = O(r \circ \log F^l(1-x^{-1}))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The above result generalizes results of Falk (1985), who derives rates of convergence for $H_{m,n}$ in more specific models.

References.

- Anderson C.W. (1971). Contributions to the asymptotic theory of extreme values. Ph. D; Thesis, University of London .
- Beirlant J. and Teugels J.L. (1987). Asymptotics of Hill's estimator. *Th. Probability Appl.* 31, 463-469.
- Bingham N.H. and Goldie C.M. (1983). On one-sided Tauberian theorems. *Analysts* 3, 159-188.
- Bingham N.H., Goldie C.M. and Teugels J.L. (1987). *Regular Variation*. Encyclopedia of Math. and its Applic. 27, Cambridge University Press
- Cohen J.P. (1982). Convergence rates for the ultimate and penultimate approximations in extreme-value theory. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 14, 833-854.
- Davis R. and Resnick S.I. (1986). Extremes of moving averages of random variables from the double exponential distribution. Technical Report, Colorado State University.
- De Haan L. (1970). On regular variation and its application to the weak convergence of sample extremes. *Math. Centre Tract* 32, Amsterdam.
- De Haan L. (1974). Equivalence classes of regularly varying functions. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 2, 243-259.
- De Haan L. and Dekkers A. (1988). On a consistent estimate to the index of an extreme value distribution. To appear *Ann. Probability*.
- Falk M. (1985). Uniform convergence of extreme order statistics. Habilitationstheiss, University of Siegen.
- Goldie C.M. and Smith R.L. (1987). Slow variation with remainder: theory and applications. *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 38, 45-71.
- Hill B.M. (1975). A simple general approach to inference about the tail of a distribution. *Ann. Statist.* 3, 1163-1174.
- Omey E. and Willekens E. (1987). π -variation with remainder. To appear *Journal London Math. Soc.*
- Omey E. and Rachev S. (1987). On the rate of convergence in extreme value theory. To appear *Th. Prob. Appl.*
- Reiss R.D. (1987). Estimating the tail index of the claim size distribution. *Blatter DGVM* 1, 21-25.
- Resnick S.I. (1986). Uniform rates of convergence to extreme value distributions. J. Srivastava (ed.) *Probability and Statistics: Essays in honor of F.A. Graybill*, N. Holland, Amsterdam.
- Seneta E. (1974). Regularly varying functions. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 508. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Smith R.L. (1982). Uniform rates of convergence in extreme-value theory. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 14, 600-622.