Liquid chromatography of dibutyldithiocarbamate degradation products

Citation for published version (APA):

Brandsteterova, E., Lehotay, J., Garaj, J., & Leclercq, P. A. (1987). Liquid chromatography of dibutyldithiocarbamate degradation products. *Journal of Chromatography*, 404(1), 359-364.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673%2801%2986877-1>, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673\(01\)86877-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)86877-1)

DOI:

[10.1016/S0021-9673%2801%2986877-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673%2801%2986877-1)
[10.1016/S0021-9673\(01\)86877-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)86877-1)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1987

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Note

Liquid chromatography of dibutyldithiocarbamate degradation products

E. BRANDŠTETEROVÁ*, J. LEHOTAY and J. GARAJ

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava (Czechoslovakia)

and

P. A. LECLERCQ

Laboratory of Instrumental Analysis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven (The Netherlands)

(First received October 29th, 1986; revised manuscript received May 18th, 1987)

The separations of some dimethyldithiocarbamate derivatives and their degradation products by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were described in our previous papers^{1–3}. A method has been developed for the determination of iron(III) dimethyldithiocarbamate (ferbam) and its degradation products in natural samples. Ethylenethiourea in some fungicides has also been determined by HPLC⁴.

All our results confirmed that many derivatives of dithiocarbamates (DTCs) are not stable and decompose very quickly.

In previous papers^{5,6} we described the formation and the identification of some mixed ligand DTC complexes with different N-alkyl substituents. The values of the equilibrium and the rate constants for ligand exchange in the DTC metal complexes estimated by means of HPLC confirmed some previously published assumptions⁷.

The influence of UV radiation, temperature and oxidizing agents on the decomposition of dimethyldithiocarbamates and the evaluation of the decomposition in real samples was studied using thin-layer chromatography and HPLC⁸. The degradation products of DTC complexes were found to be more biologically effective than the original chelates^{9–11} and their biological activity has been studied in detail^{12–14}.

The determination of dithiocarbamates by liquid chromatography using transition-metal salts as "ion-pair" reagents has been studied, and the examination of different metal ions as potential complexation reagents has been discussed^{15,16}.

In this paper, results are presented on the effects of UV radiation, temperature and time on the degradation of iron(III) dibutyldithiocarbamate. The use of HPLC and mass spectrometry (MS) has improved the identification and determination of this complex and of the products of its transformation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus

A Packard Model 8200 HPLC system with an UV detector operated at 254 and 280 nm) was used for all the separations. The stainless-steel columns (250 mm $\times$ 2.2 mm I.D.) were packed with LiChrosorb RP-18 and RP-8. The mobile phases used were 25% water in isopropanol, 35% water in isopropanol and 20% water in methanol.

All gas chromatographic and mass spectrometric measurements (GC-MS) were performed using a GC-MS Finnigan 4000 instrument in cooperation with Dr. P. Leclercq, Laboratory of Instrumental analysis, University of Technology, Eindhoven. A glass capillary column (30 m $\times$ 0.4 mm I.D.) packed with 3% SE was coupled to a Finnigan 4000 quadrupole mass spectrometer via a 40 cm $\times$ 0.4 mm I.D. Helium was used as the carrier gas. A glass "falling needle" device was used as injector. All experiments were carried out under the following conditions: inlet pressure, P_i = 2.0 bar (absolute); injection temperature = 250°C; column temperature = 230°C; GC-MS interface (GLT) temperature = 240°C; ion source temperature = 250°C. The MS was operated in the electron impact (EI) mode under the following conditions: electron energy = 70 eV; electron current = 0.25 mA; multiplier voltage = 1.70 kV; mass range = 40–550; scan speed = 1 spectrum per s.

A Mettler-Thermoanalyzer, Model 2 was used for the thermal analysis (differential thermal analysis, DTA; thermal gravimetry, TG; differential thermal gravimetry, DTG). All measurements were made with masses of 7 mg in a dynamic atmosphere of oxygen-free nitrogen (7 l h⁻¹) at a constant heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹. Platinum/platinum + rhodium thermocouples were used and alumina was the standard for DTA.

An UV-RI lamp (UVR, Chirana, Stará Turá, Czechoslovakia) was used for the UV irradiation.

Chemicals

Iron(III) dibutyldithiocarbamate¹⁴, tetrabutylthiuramdisulphide (TBTD)¹⁷ and tetrabutylthiuram monosulphide (TBTM)¹⁸ were synthesized by literature procedures. Tetrabutylthiourea (TBTU) was prepared by the reaction of dibutylamine and thiophosgene in benzene¹⁷. The identities and purities of the products were confirmed by elemental analysis and mass spectrometry.

All organic solvents were of analytical reagent grade (Lachema, Brno, Czechoslovakia) and were dried over magnesium perchlorate and redistilled.

Procedures

All components were decomposed upon UV irradiation in the dry state and also in solutions (chloroform, methanol and water) as thin films on glass plates. The times for UV irradiations were 10, 20, 30 and 60 min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The choice of separation conditions for the simultaneous separation of iron(III) dimethyldithiocarbamate and its possible degradation products has been discussed in detail previously^{1–3}.

Fig. 1. GC separation of TBTD and TBTM. Column: SE-30 (30 m $\times$ 0.4 mm I.D.), Temperature: 230°C. Carrier gas: helium; pressure 200 kPa. Peaks: 1 = inert (solvent); 2 = TBTM; 3 = TBTD. UV detection at 254 nm; injected amount, 10 μ l.

The present work confirmed that dibutyldithiocarbamates are also not stable and decompose very quickly. We were interested especially in an HPLC analysis of iron(III) dibutyldithiocarbamate used as an antioxidant in plastic foils applied in agriculture for growing cucumbers.

The rate of the degradation was studied in a series of experiments. Solutions of iron(III) dibutyldithiocarbamate in chloroform and methanol were injected into the liquid chromatographic reversed-phase system after certain time intervals. After only 10 min some degradation product was present. After 3 months the peak area of the degradation product was larger than the peak area of the original antioxidant. The degradation product was identified as TBTD by HPLC, GC and mass spectrometry. All three possible degradation products were synthesized and their purities were monitored by elemental analysis and mass spectrometry. The GC separation of

Fig. 2. DTA and TG diagrams for TBTD. Oxygen flow-rate: 7 l h⁻¹. Heating rate: 10°C min⁻¹. Platinum/platinum + rhodium thermocouples; alumina as the standard.

Fig. 3. HPLC separation of TBTD and TBTM. Column: RP-8 (250 mm $\times$ 2.2 mm I.D.). Mobile phase: 25% water in isopropanol; flow-rate 0.21 cm³ min⁻¹. UV detection: 254 nm. Amount injected: 10 μ l of a mixture of TBTD and TBTM in chloroform (1 mg cm⁻³). Peaks: 1 = inert; 2 = TBTM; 3 = TBTD.

Fig. 4. Mass spectrum of degradation product of heating TBTD.

TBTD was complicated by the fact that, at a temperature of 230°C, TBTD was converted into TBTD (Fig. 1), as was verified by GC-MS and DTA. This method has also been used for the identification of the degradation products.

The DTA and TG diagrams (Fig. 2) confirmed that TBTD decomposes at about 190°C. The conversion of TBTD was performed at about 230°C in a silicon oilbath and the product was then injected into the chromatographic column. Fig. 3 shows the HPLC separation of a model mixture of TBTD and TBTM. The main conversion product of TBTD was identified as TBTM. This was confirmed by mass spectrometry at low voltage (Fig. 4).

Table I shows the capacity factors of all three degradation products of iron(III) dibutyldithiocarbamate in the recommended mobile phases.

The influence of UV radiation was studied in a series of model experiments in solvents with different polarities (chloroform and methanol). After the degradation the samples were injected into the HPLC column. It was evident that decomposition occurred after only 10 min of UV irradiation. The degradation product was identified as TBTD. After 30 min, TBTM was also present as a degradation product. After 120 min the percentage of the complex had decreased to about 6% of the original content. The identification of TBTD and TBTM was also confirmed by mass spectrometry at 12–16 eV.

TABLE I

CAPACITY FACTORS, k' , OF TBTD, TBTM AND TBTU

Degradation product	Separation conditions			
	RP-18, 25% water in isopropanol, 12.4 MPa	RP-18, 25% water in isopropanol, 10.3 MPa	RP-8, 35% water in isopropanol, 13.1 MPa	RP-8, 20% water in methanol, 8.3 MPa
TBTU	1.06	1.12	1.47	1.45
TBTM	1.21	1.68	1.82	2.05
TBTD	1.92	2.42	2.63	3.04

TABLE II

UV DEGRADATION OF IRON(III) DIBUTYLDITHIOCARBAMATE IN CHLOROFORM SOLUTIONS

<i>Time (min)</i>	<i>TBTM (%)</i>	<i>TBTD (%)</i>	<i>Starting complex (%)</i>
10	—	37.64	62.36
20	—	32.88	57.12
30	2.88	46.10	51.02
60	8.15	54.63	37.22
120	12.29	82.67	5.03
180	14.05	83.90	2.05

TABLE III

DEGRADATION OF IRON(III) DIBUTYLDITHIOCARBAMATE WITH TIME

<i>Time</i>	<i>Complex (%)</i>
6 h	91.1
24 h	66.9
48 h	59.8
1 month	42.7
3 month	35.2
6 months	12.3
8 months	5.4

The UV degradation of iron(III) dibutylidithiocarbamate in methanol occurred much more quickly, the original complex none of remaining after 120 min of UV irradiation. Only TBTD and TBTM were present in irradiated samples.

Table II shows the results of UV irradiation of iron(III) dibutylidithiocarbamate in chloroform solutions, and Table III gives the rate of degradation. Only about 5% of the original complex was present after 8 months. However, this degradation is considerably slower than the degradation is considerably slower than the degradation of iron(III) dimethyldithiocarbamate (ferbam)¹.

REFERENCES

- 1 E. Brandšteterová, J. Lehotay, O. Liška, J. Garaj and I. Zacsik, *J. Chromatogr.*, 286 (1984) 339.
- 2 E. Brandšteterová, J. Lehotay, O. Liška and J. Garaj, *J. Chromatogr.*, 291 (1984) 439.
- 3 E. Brandšteterová, J. Lehotay, O. Liška and J. Garaj, *J. Chromatogr.*, 354 (1986) 375.
- 4 J. Lehotay, E. Brandšteterová, O. Liška and J. Garaj, *J. Chromatogr.*, 287 (1984) 192.
- 5 O. Kiška, J. Lehotay, E. Brandšteterová and G. Guiochon, *J. Chromatogr.*, 171 (1979) 153.
- 6 J. Lehotay, O. Liška, E. Brandšteterová and G. Guiochon, *J. Chromatogr.*, 172 (1979) 379.
- 7 J. Lehotay, O. Liška, E. Kolek, J. Garaj and G. Guiochon, *J. Chromatogr.*, 258 (1983) 223.
- 8 E. Brandšteterová, *Ph. D. Dissertation*, Slovak Technical University, Bratislava, 1981.
- 9 A. P. Collins and G. A. Wirse, *J. Am. Pharm. Assoc.*, 44 (1955) 310.
- 10 L. Eldiarn, *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest.*, 2 (1950) 198.
- 11 K. K. Mustakalio and J. L. Saikkonen, *Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand.*, 37 (1955) 398.
- 12 G. D. Thorn and R. A. Ludwig, *Can. J. Chem.*, 32 (1954) 872.
- 13 R. A. Ludwig and G. D. Thorn, *Can. J. Bot.*, 36 (1958) 474.

- 14 G. D. Thorn and R. A. Ludwig, *The Dithiocarbamates and Related Compounds*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1962.
- 15 R. M. Smith, R. L. Morarji and W. G. Salt, *Analyst (London)*, 106 (1981) 129.
- 16 R. M. Smith and L. E. Yankey, *Analyst (London)*, 107 (1982) 749.
- 17 S. Akkerström, *ARK. Kemi*, 14 (1935) 392.
- 18 F. Stechele and J. V. Braun, *Chem. Ber.*, 36 (1903) 2280.