A generalized class of dynamic translinear circuits

Citation for published version (APA):

DOI:
10.1109/82.938360

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/2001

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:
• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher’s website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at: openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.
A Generalized Class of Dynamic Translinear Circuits

J. Mulder, W. A. Serdijn, A. C. van der Woerd, and A. H. M. van Roermund

Abstract—This brief proposes a generalization of the class of “dynamic translinear” or “exponential state-space” circuits. As an illustration of the proposed general class of dynamic translinear circuits, the brief describes the design of a second-order translinear filter that does not fit into the existing classification of exponential state-space filters. The externally linear behavior of the designed filter is demonstrated by means of simulations.

Index Terms—Comanding filters, translinear circuits.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the area of analog continuous-time filters, the design of dynamic translinear (DTL) and log-domain circuits has become a definite (academic) trend [1]–[17]. Translinear (TL) filters were originally introduced by Adams in 1979 [1] and independently by Seevinck in 1990 [2]. The log-domain circuits presented by Adams and Seevinck were first-order. The interest in TL filters really started to increase from 1993, when Frey published a synthesis method enabling the design of higher order log-domain filters. Furthermore, Frey recognized that log-domain filters only constitute a subset of a more general class of “exponential state-space” (ESS) filters [18], [19]. In particular, Frey described the subsets of tanh and sinh filters.

The aim of this brief is to show that the “general” class of ESS or DTL filters proposed in [18], [19] can be generalized even further. As an illustration, the brief describes the design of a second-order TL filter that does not fit into the framework suggested in [18], [19].

Section II first provides a short review of the static translinear (STL) and dynamic translinear principles. Next, Section III treats the generalization of the class of DTL and ESS circuits. The generalization is addressed both in terms of currents and in terms of voltages, as both the current-mode and the voltage-mode approach are used in the literature. A circuit design example is described in Section IV. Finally, Section V presents the conclusions.

II. TRANSLINEAR PRINCIPLES

Translinear circuits can be divided into two major groups: STL and DTL circuits. Static TL circuits realize static transfer functions, both linear and nonlinear; DTL circuits realize frequency-dependent (transfer) functions, i.e., differential equations (DEs). The underlying principles of STL and DTL circuits are reviewed in this section.

Fig. 1. A four-transistor translinear loop.
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![Fig. 2. Principle of dynamic translinear circuits.](image)
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Equation (3) directly states the DTL principle: A time derivative of a current can be mapped onto a product of currents. Now, the product of currents on the right-hand side of (3) can be realized very elegantly by means of the STL principle. In other words, the implementation of (part of) a DE becomes equivalent to the implementation of a product of currents.

### III. Generalization

The specific characteristics of each subset within the class of ESS filters (e.g., log-domain, tanh and sinh filters) can be described either in terms of voltages [19] or in terms of currents [10], [23].

Using the voltage-mode approach, the capacitance voltages \( V_{C,i} \) are chosen to represent the memory of a filter circuit, where \( i \in [1, \ldots, n] \), and \( n \) denotes the order of the filter. In DTL circuits, the capacitance voltages are nonlinearly related to the linear state variables \( x_i \). For the class of ESS filters, the relations between the variables \( x_i \) and \( V_{C,i} \) are given by [19]

\[
x_i = f_i(V_{C,i}) \tag{4}
\]

where the functions \( f_i \) are transcendental and have to be strictly monotonic. Different subclasses of ESS filters result from different choices for the functions \( f_i \). The functions proposed in literature are \( \exp \), \( \tanh \), and \( \sinh \) [19]. The transcendental nature of these functions reveals the internally nonlinear behavior of ESS filters.

Alternatively, using the current-mode approach, the currents \( I_{C,i} \) are chosen to represent the state of a TL filter [10], [23]. The currents \( I_{C,i} \) are linearly related to the state variables \( x_i \). The internally nonlinear behavior of DTL circuits is now revealed by the equations for the capacitance currents \( I_{C,i} \). The current-mode equivalent of (4) is given by

\[
I_{C,i} = g_i(I_{C,i}, I_{E,i}) \tag{5}
\]

Different choices for the nonlinear functions \( g_i \) result either in \( \log \)-domain, tanh or sinh filters. For example, note that (2) is a special case of (5). Obviously, there exists a very tight correspondence between the functions \( f_i \) and \( g_i \).

In (5), each capacitance current \( I_{C,i} \) is a function of only one state current \( I_{E,i} \) and its first-order derivative. This restriction to a dependence on one state current only is not fundamental. Equation (5) can be generalized by allowing each capacitance current \( I_{C,i} \) to be a function of all state variables and their first-order derivatives, which are represented by the vectors \( \bar{I}_E \) and \( \bar{I}_x \), respectively. This yields

\[
I_{C,i} = g_i(\bar{I}_E, \bar{I}_x) \tag{6}
\]

Equation (6) constitutes a class of DTL circuits more general than the class of ESS filters proposed in [18], [19]. The voltage-mode equivalent of (6) is given by

\[
x_i = f_i(\bar{V}_C) \tag{7}
\]

where \( \bar{V}_C \) is the vector of capacitance voltages \( V_{C,i} \).

As an example, consider the definitions of two capacitance currents \( I_{C,1} \) and \( I_{C,2} \), given by

\[
I_{C,1} = C U_T \left( \frac{I_x}{I_x} + \frac{I_{out}}{I_{out}} \right) \tag{8}
\]

\[
I_{C,2} = C U_T \left( \frac{I_x}{I_x} - \frac{I_{out}}{I_{out}} \right) \tag{9}
\]

where the currents \( I_x \) and \( I_{out} \) are (strictly positive) linear state variables. It is easily seen that (8) and (9) do not fit into the framework suggested by (5), as both \( I_{C,1} \) and \( I_{C,2} \) are functions of both state currents \( I_x \) and \( I_{out} \).

The voltage-mode equivalents of (8) and (9) are given by

\[
V_{C,1} = U_T \ln \frac{I_x I_{out}}{I_x^2} \tag{10}
\]

\[
V_{C,2} = U_T \ln \frac{I_x I_{out}}{I_x^2} \tag{11}
\]

where \( I_x \) is the saturation current of the bipolar transistor. Note that (10) and (11) do not fit into the general ESS framework of (4).

A possible implementation of (8) and (9) is depicted in Fig. 3(b) and (a), respectively. It is assumed that the transistors are somehow properly biased at the currents \( I_x \) and \( I_{out} \). These subcircuits can be used as parts of a complete TL filter implementation.

### IV. Design Example

As an illustration of the proposed generalization, we now describe the design of a second-order TL filter, based on (8) and (9). Starting with a suitable DE, the current-mode synthesis path of DTL circuits comprises the following design steps: definition of capacitance currents, translinear decomposition and hardware implementation [23].

A possible state-space description of a second-order Butterworth low-pass filter is given by

\[
2 C U_T I_{out} = I_x (I_x - 2 I_{out}) \tag{12a}
\]

\[
C U_T I_x = I_y (I_y - I_{out}) \tag{12b}
\]

where \( I_x \) is a dc current, \( I_{out} \) is the input current and \( I_{out} \) is the output current. The (linear) state variables are \( I_x \) and \( I_{out} \). The filter cutoff frequency \( \omega_c \) equals \( I_x / (\sqrt{2C U_T}) \).

#### A. Definition of Capacitance Currents

The first step toward the TL implementation of (12a) and (12b) is the definition of two capacitance currents. These capacitance currents are used to implement the derivatives \( I_{out} \) and \( I_x \). We use (8) and (9) to obtain a circuit that is not a member of the class of ESS filters. These definitions are valid if \( I_x \) and \( I_{out} \) are class-A biased, i.e., if they are strictly positive. Assume that \( I_{in} \) contains a dc component \( I_{in,dc} \). Then, as a result, \( I_{out} \) and \( I_x \) contain dc components equal to \( I_{in,dc} \) and \( 2 I_{in,dc} \), respectively. Consequently, for a sufficiently “small” ac signal swing \( \Delta I_x \) of \( I_{in} \) (i.e., \( |\Delta I_{in}| < I_{in,dc} \)), \( I_{out} \) and \( I_x \) are strictly positive and can be implemented by collector currents (see Fig. 3).

Solving (8) and (9) for \( I_{out} \) and \( I_x \) and substituting in (12a) and (12b) yields two current-mode polynomials

\[
I_{out}(I_{C,1} - I_{C,2}) = I_x (I_x - 2 I_{out}) \tag{13a}
\]

\[
I_x (I_{C,1} + I_{C,2}) = 2 I_x (I_{in} - I_{out}) \tag{13b}
\]

#### B. Translinear Decomposition

To implement (13a) and (13b) using STL circuit techniques, suitable TL decompositions have to be derived, resulting in TL loop [21], [23]. In principle, many TL decompositions exist for (13a) and (13b). The
solution described below is only one of many possibilities and not necessarily the best or most simple one.

First, we derive a TL decomposition for (13a). Addition of a redundant term \(2I_\text{o}I_\text{out}\) to both sides of (13a) directly yields a valid TL loop equation

\[
I_{\text{out}}(2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} - I_{C_2}) = I_\text{o}I_x.
\]

(14a)

Note that all linear factors in (14a) are strictly positive. Hence, they can be mapped directly onto collector currents; compare Fig. 1 and (1).

A second TL decomposition, for (13b), can be derived by adding a term \(2I_\text{o}I_x\) to both sides of (13b). This yields

\[
I_x(2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} + I_{C_2}) = 2I_x(I_{\text{in}} - I_\text{out} + I_x).
\]

(14b)

All factors in (14b) are again strictly positive making (14b) a valid TL loop equation.

C. Hardware Implementation

Next, (14a) and (14b) have to be mapped on TL loops and a proper biasing scheme has to be designed. This step requires quite a bit of heuristics and the implementation depicted in Fig. 4 is again only one of many possible implementations. The only aim here is to demonstrate the existence of a more general class of DTL circuits.

Equation (8) for \(I_{C_1}\) is implemented by \(Q_6, Q_7\), and \(C_1\). The collector currents of these two transistors are the state variables, i.e., \(I_{C_1} = I_{\text{out}}\) and \(I_{C_2} = I_x\). Note that the loop \(Q_6-Q_7-C_1\) is identical to the subcircuit shown in Fig. 3(b).

The second capacitance current is implemented in a different way. Combining (9) and (14a), an alternative expression for \(I_{C_2}\) is obtained

\[
I_{C_2} = C_{UT} \frac{I_{C_1} - I_{C_2}}{2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} - I_{C_2}}.
\]

(15)

Equation (15) is implemented by the loop \(Q_1-Q_2-C_2\), where the collector current of \(Q_2\) equals \(I_{C_1} = I_{\text{in}} + I_{C_1} = I_{C_2}\). Note that the dc bias current of \(Q_1, I_{C_1} = I_{\text{in}},\) does not affect \(I_{C_2}\); compare \(V_{\text{const}}\) shown in Fig. 2.

Translinear loop (14a) is implemented by transistors \(Q_0-Q_10-Q_0-Q_9-Q_2-Q_1\), where \(I_{C_1} = I_\text{o}, I_{C_2} = I_x\), and \(I_{C_3} = I_x\). The second TL loop, (14b), is not implemented directly. Instead, combining (14a) and (14b) results in an alternative second TL loop equation, given by

\[
I_{\text{out}}(2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} - I_{C_2})(2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} + I_{C_2}) = 2I_x^2(I_{\text{in}} - I_{\text{out}} + I_x).
\]

(16)

This third-order loop is implemented by transistors \(Q_1-Q_2-Q_3-Q_4-Q_5-Q_6,\) where \(I_{C_1} = 2I_\text{o} + I_{C_1} + I_{C_2}, I_{C_2} = I_x,\) and \(I_{C_3} = I_{\text{in}} - I_{\text{out}} + I_x\). The factor 2 at the right-hand side of (16) is realized by the scale factor of \(Q_3.\) All unnumbered n-p-n transistors (and also \(Q_8\) and \(Q_9\)) constitute simple level shifts and nullor implementations, required for biasing purposes. The p-n-p transistors implement a current mirror, which is also used for biasing the TL loops. Note that the subtraction of \(I_{C_1}\) from \(I_{C_2}\) and \(I_{C_2}\) equals \(2I_{C_2},\) and the addition of \(I_{C_1}\) and \(I_{C_2}\) implemented by the p-n-p mirror, equals \(2(I_{\text{o}} + I_{C_1}).\)

The output current \(I_{\text{out}}\) of the filter is the collector current of \(Q_6.\) A copy of \(I_{\text{out}}\) can be obtained by connecting an additional n-p-n transistor in parallel with \(Q_6\).
and circuits designed using the compensation–poles of the traditional circuits. Internally nonlinear behavior is a general characteristic of circuits which employ a very small number of only into the generalized class of dynamic translinear circuits. For example, a second-order translinear filter has been designed, that fits exponential state-space circuits, that is more general than the existing principle for continuous-time monolithic filters, in Proc. ISCAS, vol. 1, 1995, pp. 311–314.

5. Conclusion

This brief has described a class of dynamic translinear circuits, or exponential state-space circuits, that is more general than the existing classification found in literature. The proposed generalization has been articulated both in terms of voltages and in terms of currents. As an example, a second-order translinear filter has been designed, that fits only into the generalized class of dynamic translinear circuits.
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Active-R Design Using CFOA-Poles: New Resonators, Filters, and Oscillators

Abdesh K. Singh and Raj Senani

Abstract—Recently, the active-R circuits utilizing the current feedback op-amps (CFOA)-pole have been shown to be superior alternatives to the active-R circuits designed using the compensation–poles of the traditional voltage-mode op-amps. The object of this brief, is to present some new CFOA-pole-based active-R circuits which employ a very small number of active and passive components, and yet, offer features which have not been attained simultaneously from any of the previously known active-R circuits using CFOA-poles such as, noninteracting controls of parameters, and the availability of the intended properties, in spite of the presence and consideration of all the parasitics (even other than those forming CFOA-pole). The workability of the proposed circuits has been confirmed by PSPICE simulations and hardware implementations based on AD844 type CFOAs.

I. Introduction

The transimpedance op-amps, popularly known as current feedback op-amps (CFOAs), are receiving growing attention as alternative building blocks for analog circuit design, because they offer several advantages over the traditional voltage–mode op-amps (VOAs). These advantages include, wider bandwidth (relatively independent of the closed loop gain), very high slew rates, and ease of realizing...