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Asymmetric bias voltage dependence of the magnetoresistance of Co/Al$_2$O$_3$/Co magnetic tunnel junctions: Variation with the barrier oxidation time
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Recently it has been observed that the magnetoresistance (MR) of plasma oxidized exchange biased Co/Al$_2$O$_3$/Co tunnel junctions can have a strongly asymmetric bias voltage ($V_{bias}$) dependence. In this article we report on the dependence of this phenomenon on barrier oxidation time ($t_{ox}$). For junctions based on 1.5 nm Al, $t_{ox}$ was varied from 20 to 120 s. For $t_{ox} = 20$ s, for which the MR is approximately 20% at $V_{bias} = 0$, and for $t_{ox} > 90$ s symmetric MR($V_{bias}$) curves are found, with the MR decreasing monotonically with $|V_{bias}|$. A strong asymmetric bias voltage dependence was observed for intermediate oxidation times, which correspond to essentially full oxidation of the Al layer, but almost no formation of stoichiometric CoO at the bottom electrode. Samples with $t_{ox} = 60$ s show even an asymmetric double peak in MR($V_{bias}$). Due to its strength, it has an important consequence for device applications: for a series of junctions with variable $t_{ox}$ the maximum signal voltage (at a fixed current) is not necessarily obtained for junctions which have the largest MR ratio at $V_{bias} = 0$. © 2001 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1375805]

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs) consisting of two ferromagnetic electrodes separated by an insulating barrier show a sizable magnetoresistance (MR) effect when the mutual alignment of the magnetization of the two layers is changed in the presence of an external field. The effect is the result of spin dependent tunneling, its application for low field magnetoresistive thin film read heads for high density magnetic recording and magnetic random access memory cells is being considered. The realization of a good tunnel magnetic recording and magnetic random access memory element or memory element at a fixed sense current is optimized in order to achieve the largest MR ratios.

When applying a magnetic tunnel junction as a sensor element or memory element at a fixed sense current $I_{sense}$ or bias voltage $V_{bias}$ the maximal signal voltage $V_{signal}$ or signal current $I_{signal}$, respectively, are given by

$$
\Delta V_{signal}^{max} = \left( \frac{R_0 - R_{sat}}{R_{sat}} \right)_{max} \times I_{sense} \times R_{sat}
$$

and

$$
\Delta V_{signal}^{max} = \left( \frac{R_0 - R_{sat}}{R_{sat}} \right)_{max} \times \frac{V_{bias}}{R_{sat}}
$$

with $R_0$ the zero magnetic field resistance, $R_{sat}$ the resistance at high field, and where the MR ratio ($\frac{(R_0 - R_{sat})}{R_{sat}}$) and $R_{sat}$ are taken at the applied sense current or bias voltage, respectively. It is well known that the MR ratio of tunnel junctions decreases with increasing bias voltage. An indication of the size of decrease of the MR with bias voltage is given by the voltage $V_{half}$ at which the MR is half its zero bias value. In the literature values for $V_{half}$ of 500 up to 800 mV are reported for single barrier systems. From Eq. (2) we observe that the largest signal output at constant bias voltage is obtained when the right-hand side of the equation is optimized with respect to the $V_{bias}$. A large MR at $V_{bias} = 0$ is not the only issue when high signals are desired, the value of $V_{half}$ must be large as well. This is of importance for future applications utilizing magnetic tunnel junctions. A similar discussion, with $I_{half}$ instead of $V_{half}$, would apply in the case of operation at a constant sense current [Eq. (1)].

In this article we report on the results of a study on the influence of the plasma oxidation time ($t_{ox}$) of the barrier on the resistance and magnetoresistance of exchange biased magnetic tunnel junctions. A series of junctions with various oxidation times was fabricated and is characterized by means of electrical transport measurements, magnetoresistance measurements, and investigations of the MR versus applied bias voltage. A key result is that within a certain interval of oxidation time $t_{min} < t_{ox} < t_{max}$ the shape of the MR versus...
$V_{\text{bias}}$ shows a double peak structure. Such a shape is beyond the asymmetric polarity dependences predicted by commonly used models for magnetotransport across asymmetric barriers. The asymmetric bias dependence is shown to give rise to a higher maximum signal voltage than for junctions which have a similar or even higher MR at $V_{\text{bias}} = 0$, but which have been oxidized for a time $t_{\text{ox}} < t_{\text{min}}$, and which have a symmetric dependence of the MR. The results of these measurements confirm that the oxidation of the barrier proceeds in four phases.

II. FABRICATION

A series of exchange-biased magnetic tunnel junctions was fabricated with the use of an in situ shadow mask system with a cross-bar geometry. The junction electrodes are prepared by dc magnetron sputtering in an ultrahigh vacuum deposition system with a base pressure of $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa (1 \times 10^{-9} Torr). The deposition procedure is as follows. First, a 200 \( \mu \)m wide bottom electrode is deposited on a Si substrate with the following structure: 3.5 nm Ta/3.0 nm Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$/20.0 nm Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$/2.5 nm Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$/1.5 nm Co. Then a 1.5 nm Al layer is deposited without mask and subsequently oxidized in a separate chamber ($P_{\text{base}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa) with a glow discharge in an oxygen atmosphere of 9.2 Pa. The glow discharge is ignited from a ring-shaped cathode at a voltage of $-1.6$ kV with respect to the grounded counter electrode. In one run 24 junctions are fabricated on a wafer, using a shadow mask that was subdivided in four quadrants with each a series of six nominally identical junctions. Six series were fabricated, with the total oxidation time equal to 20, 30, 40, 60, 90, and 120 s. Then, again through a shadow mask, a 200 \( \mu \)m wide top electrode consisting of 4.0 nm Co/10 nm Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$/3.5 nm Ta is deposited. After deposition, the exchange-biasing direction of the 2.5 nm Ni$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$/1.5 nm Co layer that is in direct contact with the Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ layer is defined by heating the junction to 420 K (the blocking temperature of Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$) and slowly cooling in a field of 12 kA/m (150 Oe) parallel to the bottom electrodes. During deposition a field of approximately 16 kA/m is applied in the direction of the electrode stripes, naturally resulting in junctions with a crossed anisotropy. This configuration is preferable for magnetic field sensing devices, as it results in a low coercivity hard-axis magnetization loop for the free (unbiased) electrode layer.

III. COMPOSITION

With the use of Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS) and elastic recoil detection (ERD) the amount of oxygen incorporated in the Al layer of a series of planar samples has been determined. These samples consist of 20 nm Co/1.5 nm Al+$t_{\text{ox}}$O$_2$/15 nm Al on Si, with $t_{\text{ox}}$ varying from 5 to 600 s. Details of these measurements are described elsewhere. Here, we will limit the discussion to the results. In Fig. 1 the amount of O incorporated in the Al obtained by these two techniques, is shown for the samples with varying $t_{\text{ox}}$. Given the (measured) amount of Al atoms in the layer ($10 \times 10^{15}$ at/cm$^2 \approx 1.5$ nm Al) the amount of O needed to form stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$ is $15 \times 10^{15}$ at/cm$^2$. This quantity is indicated in the figure as a dashed line. The figure shows that after approximately 30 s the amount of oxygen atoms incorporated would be sufficient for the formation of stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$. The figure also shows that incorporation of O continues after $t_{\text{ox}} = 35$ s. This suggests that for longer $t_{\text{ox}}$ the Co bottom layer participates in the oxidation process. We note that these analysis methods yield the Al:O ratio, but cannot reveal a possible variation of the Al:O ratio within the barrier.

A transmission electron microscopy (TEM) study of a multilayer structure, (Co/1.5 nm Al+$t_{\text{ox}}$O$_2$/Al), in which $t_{\text{ox}}$ increases with successive repetition, has revealed that the thickness of the oxide layer increases monotonically up to $t_{\text{ox}} \approx 10$ s to 2.2+0.1 nm. This is only slightly larger than the thickness of 1.9 nm that would be expected when 1.5 nm Al is oxidized into unstrained crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$. The actual amorphous oxide is expected to be slightly less dense than crystalline Al$_2$O$_3$, which would explain the difference. The layer thickness increases again for $t_{\text{ox}} \approx 100$ s.

Additional investigations of oxidized planar Al films on top of a Co bottom layer were carried out with in situ x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The spectra show well-separated Al 2p core level peaks, corresponding to metallic Al and AlO$_x$, of which the intensity ratios vary with the composition. The Al-peak intensity has already halved after $t_{\text{ox}} = 4$ s, and the peak disappears for 30 s<$t_{\text{ox}}<$40 s. At $t_{\text{ox}} = 40$ s all the Al is oxidized. Unfortunately, the Co and Co$_3$O$_4$ 3p core level peaks are less well separated, and the sensitivity to these deep lying layers is relatively weak, making an analysis of the oxidation of the top surface of the Co bottom layer less easy. A preliminary analysis suggests that CoO formation starts around 40 s. A more detailed analysis will be published elsewhere.

Another method to investigate the formation of CoO is to perform low temperature magnetization measurements of oxidized planar 1.5 nm Al films on a Co bottom layer. At low temperature CoO is known to be an antiferromagnet, resulting in an exchange biasing of the underlying unoxidized Co layer, for temperatures below the blocking tem-
temperature \( T_B \approx 280 \) K. Magnetization measurements as a function of magnetic field were taken for four samples with \( t_{\text{ox}} \) = 20, 40, 80, and 250 s, both at \( T = 10 \) K and room temperature. For \( t_{\text{ox}} = 20 \) s the coercivity of the Co layer did not change upon cooling to \( T = 10 \) K. However, at \( t_{\text{ox}} = 40 \) s a small increase of the coercivity of the Co layer is already observed, while for longer oxidation times a large exchange biasing is visible. These results indicate that at \( t_{\text{ox}} = 40 \) s the formation of CoO is beginning.

These RBS, ERD, TEM, XPS, and magnetization measurements results will be compared in Sec. V with our magnetoresistance measurements in order to correlate the observed MR data with the oxidation process of the Al layer.

**IV. RESISTANCE AND MAGNETORESISTANCE**

Before presenting the observed asymmetric bias voltage dependence in Figs. 5 and 6, which is the main subject of this article, we first discuss the magnetoresistance at zero bias voltage. Since electrical measurements at exactly zero bias voltage are not possible, we define the MR measured at a \( V_{\text{bias}} \) below 10 mV as the MR value at \( V_{\text{bias}} = 0 \).

All the junctions fabricated showed a wide plateau in the magnetoresistance, indicating that the antiparallel alignment of the two magnetization directions of the electrodes is reached. The resulting magnetoresistance is well defined, which makes it possible to study the junction magnetoresistance as a function of \( t_{\text{ox}} \). As an example we show in Fig. 2(a) the magnetoresistance result at room temperature of a junction with a bottom electrode of 3.5 nm Ta/3.0 nm Ni\textsubscript{80}Fe\textsubscript{20}/20.0 nm Fe\textsubscript{50}Mn\textsubscript{50}/2.5 nm Ni\textsubscript{80}Fe\textsubscript{20}/1.5 nm Co, a barrier consisting of a 40 s oxidized 1.5 nm Al layer, and the top electrode of 4.0 nm Co/10.0 nm Ni\textsubscript{80}Fe\textsubscript{20}/3.5 nm Ta. The observed magnetoresistance, defined as \( 100 \times (R_{\text{AP}} - R_{\text{P}})/R_{\text{P}} \), is 21%. Due to the growth of the junction with a crossed anisotropy configuration, the free layer magnetization is expected to rotate to the hard axis direction when a sufficiently large field is applied parallel to the (exchange-biased) bottom electrode. From the figure it is seen that the free layer magnetization change is in fact the combination of a rotation process (gradual) and domain wall movement processes (sudden), visible as small (reproducible) jumps in the MR curve. The latter can be reduced by decreasing the (4.0 nm) Co layer of the top electrode. In the inset an enlargement of the MR curve is shown, showing that the exchange-biasing field is equal to 17 kA/m (220 Oe). The magnetoresistance curves from the junctions grown in the same run, as well as those oxidized at different oxidation times resembled these curves. The coercivity is observed to be independent of \( t_{\text{ox}} \), as is shown in Fig. 2(b). For the junction shown in Fig. 2(a) a coercivity of \( \sim 1 \) kA/m is observed for the free layer. A small shift of the MR curve (free layer switches) from zero field is observed. This can be explained by a small ferromagnetic coupling of the Co/ Ni\textsubscript{80}Fe\textsubscript{20} top electrode to the exchange-biased bottom electrode. In the case of this junction, the coupling field is 0.6 kA/m (7.5 Oe).

As is shown in Fig. 3(a) the junction resistances varied from 150 \( \Omega \) (resistance-area product RA = 6 M\( \Omega \mu \)m\(^2\)) to 200 k\( \Omega \) (RA = 8000 M\( \Omega \mu \)m\(^2\)), depending on the oxidation time. The sheet resistance of the leads is 20 and 30 \( \Omega \)/square for the top and bottom electrode, respectively, so no current distribution effect on the measured resistance is expected.\(^{14}\) For the series oxidized for 20, 30, or 40 s the resistances obtained for the 24 junctions fabricated in the same run showed a variation of a factor of two or less. For longer oxidation times the resistances of the junctions in the same run and situated close to each other on the wafer varied only a factor of two or less. However, junctions situated at a larger distance from each other on the wafer could differ a factor of ten in the resistance. These large resistance differences were observed to be systematic in the sense that on each wafer this variation was observed. We return to this issue below. The results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 and discussed below are all taken from a series of six junctions situated close to each other and are believed to have comparable structural properties of the bottom electrodes. The series shown are from a row of junctions which have the lowest junction resistance compared with the other junctions on the wafer, those obtained for the three other quadrants.

Figure 3(a) shows that, initially, the resistance increases logarithmically with oxidation time. For oxidation times above 90 s the increase of the resistance is weaker, on the logarithmic scale used in this figure. Since the tunnel resistance is an exponential function of the barrier thickness, the logarithmic increase of the resistance would be consistent with a linear increase of the barrier thickness as a function of...
oscillation. However, if the barrier formed would consist of a laterally uniform layer of stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$, this would be inconsistent with the observation of a logarithmic increase of the O content with time as deduced from Fig. 1. A possible complication is that the incorporation of O with oxidation time does not lead immediately to a stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$ layer but to a thicker substoichiometric AlO layer, with a gradient of x across the layer thickness, leading to a different dependence of the resistance with oxidation time. Also, the fact that the tunnel resistance is dominated by the smallest barrier thicknesses and barrier height and therefore does not necessarily represent the mean barrier thickness might contribute to the observed difference. The MR ratio at a low bias voltage is shown in Fig. 3(b). The MR ratio is found to decrease initially almost linearly with oxidation time, and drops above 90 s to nearly zero.

Reproducibility is a strict requirement for carrying out useful studies of the oxidation process, and will be one of the critical factors with regard to the applicability of tunnel junctions. We therefore put much effort in a search for the origin of the observed systematic variation of the resistance across the wafer. The possible difference between the junction stacks at different locations on the wafer has been investigated with scanning Auger microscopy (SAM). In these experiments the junction stack is slowly sputter etched and a depth profile of the junction composition is obtained with Auger electron spectroscopy. In Fig. 4 the depth profile of two junctions ($t_{ox}=60$ s) from different wafer regions taken under identical circumstances are shown. The spectra reveal a difference in the width of the Al, O, and Co peaks (the widths of the Fe, Mn, and Ta peaks were identical within the measurement accuracy). This may be interpreted as a difference in roughness of the initial Al layer as well as a difference in intermixing of the Al with the Co bottom electrode.

The junctions of the wafer region showing the broadest Al and O peaks, i.e., the largest intermixing, were found to have the lowest resistance values than junctions at the positions elsewhere on the wafer. Recent calculations presented by Bardou have shown that increased roughness and thickness variations of the junction barrier result in lower junction resistances. This may explain the lower resistance values for our junctions with the observed larger intermixing. The underlying cause of this difference in intermixing is most likely an inhomogeneous sputtering process. A detailed study of the fabrication aspects causing these barrier variations is beyond the scope of the work presented in this paper.

For the same junctions the current–voltage ($I$–$V$) characteristics were taken. In Fig. 5 the $I$–$V$ characteristics are shown for four junctions with different oxidation times. In the same figure, on the right-hand side, the differential $dI/dV$ characteristics are given, which were deduced from the measured $I$–$V$ curves. The positive branch of the $I$–$V$ characteristic represents the situation of electrons tunneling through the tunneling layer, i.e., the bottom electrode was positively biased. The $I$–$V$ curves shown in the figure were measured with the magnetization directions of the two electrodes aligned parallel by the presence of an external magnetic field. The graphs with the $dI/dV$ curves show the curves for both the parallel (P) as well as the antiparallel (AP) alignment of the magnetization of the electrodes. The differential $dI/dV$ curve for the junction with $t_{ox}=20$ s shows a conductance minimum almost at zero bias voltage. The conductance minimum for the 40 s oxidized junctions is shifted to positive bias indicating an asymmetry in the $I$–$V$ characteristic. For an oxidation time of 60 s the $I$–$V$ characteristic is largely asymmetric. The conductance of the 60 s oxidized junction shows a local minimum at zero bias voltage and a global minimum at approximately 200 mV. Asymmetric conductance dependencies on $V_{bias}$ in MTJs have been observed by others, however the occurrence of two conductance minima in tunnel junctions has, to our knowledge, not been reported before. For the longest oxidation time, 120 s, the $I$–$V$ curve is symmetric but much more nonlinear compared with the previous curves. The conductance of this junction has a single minimum at zero $V_{bias}$ and is also symmetric around zero. We note that the characteristics of the 90
s oxidized junctions were similar to the 120 s oxidized junctions.

In Fig. 6(a) the bias voltage dependencies of the magnetoresistance of the series of tunnel junctions are given. The magnetoresistance is normalized with respect to the value at zero bias. The MR value is obtained within a constant current experiment in which the voltage difference between parallel and antiparallel magnetization alignment is measured. $V_{\text{bias}}$ is defined here as the voltage $V_P$ in the situation of parallel alignment. For the junctions with an asymmetric conductance, an asymmetric bias dependence of the MR is observed: the peak value of the MR is not at zero bias, but shifted to the positive side (electrons tunneling to the bottom electrode). The bias dependence of the 60 s oxidized junction shows even two peaks, one at zero bias and an additional peak at $V_{\text{bias}} = 175$ mV. For the 60 s oxidized junctions grown in the same run, this additional peak varied in height and occurred at voltages between 150 and 300 mV. We recall that as was shown in Fig. 5 the conductance of the 60 s oxidized junction also showed two minima for both the parallel and antiparallel magnetization alignment.

A commonly used measure of the MR bias dependence is the voltage at which half of the MR is obtained ($V_{\text{half}}$). In Fig. 6(b) $V_{\text{half}}$ is given for both polarities. It is found that for negative bias voltages $V_{\text{half}}$ decreases with oxidation time, while for positive bias voltages $V_{\text{half}}$ first increases slightly and drops to the same values as for the negative bias voltages for oxidation times above 60 s. We note that our measured values of $V_{\text{half}}$ (above 0.4 V) are comparable to what is presently reported in the literature for plasma oxidized single barrier systems (see for instance the overview table in Ref. 9). The asymmetry introduces much larger ($V_{\text{half}}$) values at positive bias and the change in the voltage $D = V_{AP} - V_P$ at constant current is thus larger for positively biased junctions. For completeness the near zero bias value of the MR of these junctions is also indicated in Fig. 6(b).

We remark that although the largest value of $V_{\text{half}}$ is found for $t_{\text{ox}} = 60$ s and a positive $V_{\text{bias}}$, the largest output signal is obtained for shorter oxidized junctions. This can be seen from Fig. 7, which shows the voltage difference $V_{AP} - V_P$ that arises in a constant-current experiment upon switching the electrode magnetizations from antiparallel to parallel, as a function of $V_P$ for the 20–60 s oxidized junctions. The 40 s oxidized junction shows the largest voltage change, corresponding to $\Delta V = 42$ mV at $V_P = 400$ mV. The highest voltage output is always obtained when the junctions are biased positively, which is due to the shift of the peak in the MR bias dependence. These considerations are of importance when the magnetic tunnel junctions are used in an application where a high output is desired.
V. ANALYSIS

The $I–V$ curves of symmetric and asymmetric tunnel junctions can be analyzed by fitting the data to the models of electron tunneling formulated by Simmons$^{16}$ and Brinkman,$^{17}$ respectively. From these fits the barrier thickness $d$, and the average height $\bar{\phi}$ are obtained, and, if present, the barrier asymmetry $\Delta \phi$. The asymmetric barrier model of Brinkman would be more appropriate for our 30–60 s oxidized junctions with an asymmetric conductance and magnetoresistance. With this model the $I–V$ curves of the 20 and 30 s oxidized junctions could be fitted, resulting in a barrier asymmetry of 0.4 and 0.8 eV, respectively, with the highest barrier at the interface between the bottom electrode and the oxide. For the 40 and 60 s oxidized layers a consistent fit was not obtained. For the 40 s oxidized junction this may be the result of the asymmetry $\Delta \phi$ being larger than the mean barrier height $\bar{\phi}$, for which the Brinkman model does not give a good approximation. For the 60 s oxidized junction we recall that the conductance showed two minima, a phenomenon that the Brinkman model cannot account for. The Simmons fits of the $I–V$ curves of the two longer oxidized junctions of 90 and 120 s resulted in good fits, with $d=2.6$ and 3.1 nm and $\phi=0.9$ and 0.7 eV, respectively.

The double peak structure of the MR bias dependence for an asymmetric barrier has not been observed before. The dependence of the MR on the voltage bias is an intrinsic property of magnetic tunnel junctions. However, the origin of the MR bias dependence itself is not yet understood perfectly. For symmetric junctions the effect has been related to: excitations of magnons$^{18,19}$ or phonons$^{18}$ inelastic tunneling processes via defects,$^{18,20}$ electron interactions at the electrode–barrier interface,$^{21}$ and the influence of the electric field on the barrier shape (and on the spin dependent transmission coefficients).$^{18,22,23}$

The latter explanation, which was supported by model calculations of the bias dependence by assuming free electron like electrode metals, can be extended to the case of an asymmetric barrier.$^{22,23}$ We have performed such calculations assuming for the ferromagnetic electrodes an internal potential (with respect to the Fermi level) equal to $-1.1$ eV and $-0.2$ eV for majority and minority spin electrons respectively, a mean barrier height $\bar{\phi}$ of 2.5 eV and a barrier thickness of 1.5 nm. We note that this model and these parameters are merely an assumption and will not exactly describe our electrodes and barrier. The results must be interpreted only as a first indication of the effect of the asymmetry of the potential barrier asymmetry of the MR versus bias voltage. The barrier asymmetry $\Delta \phi$ is defined as the difference in barrier height between the bottom and top electrode: $\Delta \phi = \phi_{\text{bottom}} - \phi_{\text{top}}$. The definition of positive voltage is taken as in the previous section, i.e., positive voltage means a positive biased bottom electrode. In Fig. 8 the results of these calculations are given for various barrier asymmetries. For a barrier asymmetry of 2.5 eV (highest barrier on the bottom electrode side) we find for the maximum of the MR($V_{\text{bias}}$) curve to a shift to $+70$ mV, approximately the same as found experimentally at $t_{\text{ox}}=40$ s (see Fig. 8), and also with the same polarity. This model could explain the observed asymmetric bias dependence found by Sato et al.$^9$ Annealing at $275^\circ$C of junctions with a rf plasma oxidized Al layer as a barrier resulted in a shift of the MR bias dependence curve with $+70$ mV. Although the detailed mechanism of this shift is unclear, the authors consider the Co–Al interdiffusion and change in bandstructure as a possible cause. However, such a model cannot explain the occurrence of a double peak in the MR($V_{\text{bias}}$), as observed for $t_{\text{ox}}=60$ s. Moreover, it is difficult to give a physical justification for the extremely large barrier asymmetry required to obtain the observed shift for $t_{\text{ox}}=40$ s.

A possible explanation of the double peak would be in terms of a model of the energy and spin dependent electronic structure of the relevant states at the interface between the bottom electrode and the oxide layer. Recent results obtained for asymmetric junctions, with an additional thin nonmagnetic layer next to the Al$_2$O$_3$ barrier$^{24}$ or the use of a composite Ta$_2$O$_5$/Al$_2$O$_3$ barrier$^{25}$ show that for asymmetric bar-

FIG. 7. Resulting voltage difference in a constant-current experiment when the junction electrodes are switched between antiparallel and parallel alignment for junctions with oxidation times 20–60 s. The bias voltage given is equal to $V_p$.

FIG. 8. The asymmetric bias dependence of a 40 s oxidized junction (squares with solid line), compared with the results of free electron calculations. The calculations are carried out for various barrier asymmetries. It is found that an asymmetry of 2.5 eV (indicated as a solid line) resembles the experimental observed bias dependence asymmetry reasonably well. The inset shows a schematic drawing of the potential landscape used in the calculations.
The second oxidation stage (i.e., the first plasma oxidation stage) ends when the oxygen is incorporated across the entire Al thickness. This moment can be deduced from the results from TEM experiments, and is reached after an oxidation time of ~10 s. Experiments on junctions that were oxidized with \( t_{\text{ox}} < 10 \) s could not be done due to the occurrence of an inhomogeneous current distribution. 14 The resistance of 20 s oxidized junctions is only 5 times the sheet resistance, and shorter oxidation times will certainly result in resistances of the order of the sheet resistance of the contact leads. The MR ratio during the first and second stage is expected to gradually increase due to the decrease of the amount of metallic Al in the barrier. Others have indeed observed that the MR is lower for junctions with unoxidized Al left over in the barrier. 7 We do not observe an initial increase of the magnetoresistance for short oxidation times, indicating that for \( t_{\text{ox}} \approx 20 \) s not much metallic Al is present. This indicates that the second oxidation stage has ended before \( t_{\text{ox}} = 20 \) s, which is consistent with the TEM results. During the third stage the Al:O ratio increases, while the barrier thickness remains almost constant. XPS and RBS/ERD measurements show that at \( t_{\text{ox}} = 30–35 \) s the overall Al:O ratio corresponds to Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). Earlier, after \( t_{\text{ox}} \approx 20–25 \) s, the barrier becomes asymmetric and an MR bias voltage dependence with a maximum shifted from zero or with a double peak structure is obtained. The barrier asymmetry ends at some time between 60 and 90 s oxidation. The third stage ends at \( t_{\text{ox}} = 90 \) s when the oxidation front starts to move forward again. A first possible reason for the observed asymmetry and double peak structure of the MR versus the bias dependence, while a second type gives rise to an asymmetric MR bias dependence with a shift of the maximum MR to positive \( V_{\text{bias}} \). Superposition of these two regions may lead to a bias dependence with two peaks, which is indeed observed in Fig. 6 (double peak structure around 60 s). The presence of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Co type, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Al type, and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/CoO type interface regions could play a crucial role in determining the.

### Table I. Overview of observations made with several techniques of the oxidation of a 1.5 nm Al layer on a Co bottom electrode during the third stage (10–90 s of plasma oxidation). MR and MH indicate the magnetoresistance and magnetization measurements, respectively and \( Z(\omega) \) the ac impedance measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Measurement technique</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>oxygen is incorporated across full Al layer thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>magnetoresistance curve develops an asymmetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>RBS/ERD</td>
<td>oxygen content corresponds to stoichiometric Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>start of oxidation of the Co bottom electrode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>( Z(\omega) )</td>
<td>a second dielectric component contributes to the impedance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>( Z(\omega) )</td>
<td>a third dielectric component contributes to the impedance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>oxidation of Al completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>asymmetry of MR curves, with a very large second peak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>MR</td>
<td>asymmetry has disappeared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>( Z(\omega) )</td>
<td>a fourth dielectric component contributes to the impedance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>oxidation front starts to move forward again</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
asymmetry of the $I-V$ and MR bias dependence curves. The barrier height at the Al$_2$O$_3$/Co interface is expected to be higher than that of Al$_2$O$_3$/Al or CoO/Co, and the apparent barrier height may even be enhanced when roughness is present at this interface. A second possible explanation for the observed asymmetric barrier is the existence of a lateral variation on a scale smaller than the barrier thickness of the oxygen content in the barrier or of a lateral variation of the concentration of metal ions (e.g., Al$^{3+}$ and Co$^{2+}$) in the barrier. In this case the equipotential planes in the barrier are not parallel and thus simple models of the tunnel current do not hold. As far as we know no models beyond these pseudo-one dimensional models are available.

During the fourth stage at all lateral positions the Co bottom electrode participates in the oxidation process and a CoO layer is formed. At room temperature CoO is a paramagnet within which spin-flip scattering can take place, leading to a further decrease of the magnetoresistance. The possibility of the plasma oxidation of Al being divided into two steps (stage 2 and 3) has recently been put forward by LeClair et al. An XPS study of samples with varying Al thicknesses and oxidized for 100 and 200 s, revealed that for both oxidation times the vanishing of the metallic Al 2p peak occurs at the same thickness. This is explained by an initial rapid oxidation of Al, for instance via grain boundaries, while at longer times the Al is further oxidized to Al$_2$O$_3$. This observation agrees with the results of our study. A quantitative comparison between both studies cannot be carried out since the oxidation conditions (e.g., geometry, oxygen pressure) for both series of samples differ.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A series of exchange biased tunnel junctions was prepared with a varying plasma oxidation time. The resulting junctions were characterized by means of transport and magnetoresistance measurements. For junctions oxidized up to 40 s we find MR ratios of 20% combined with a large, but polarity dependent, $V_{\text{half}}$ up to 430 mV. For the 30, 40, and 60 s oxidized junctions the $I-V$ and $dI/dV$ characteristics are asymmetric, which resulted in an asymmetric MR bias dependence. For Al$_2$O$_3$ based magnetic tunnel junctions such large asymmetric bias dependencies have not been reported so far. For positive $V_{\text{bias}}$ the signal voltage $V_{\text{signal}}$ is higher then for negative $V_{\text{bias}}$. As a result of the asymmetric MR bias dependence, the junction with the highest $V_{\text{bias}}$ did not have the highest initial MR. The results of these experiments have been described in terms of an oxidation process of the junction that takes place in four stages. The asymmetric $I-V$ characteristics and at $t_{\text{ox}}=60$ s even a double peak in the bias voltage dependences are observed in the third stage of the oxidation process, from 10 s $\leq t_{\text{ox}} \leq 90$ s, within which oxidation proceeds without an increase of the barrier thickness as observed from TEM. The asymmetry and double peak are likely to be related to a mesoscopic- or microscopic-scale lateral variation of the composition and structure near the bottom electrode interface induced during deposition (Fig. 4), but activated after >20 s oxidation (Figs. 1 and 6). However, an alternative explanation in terms of an energy dependent electronic structure and effective polarization of the unoccupied states of the bottom electrode cannot be disregarded.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge A. E. T. Kuiper for discussions, S. Toussaint for SAM analysis, and M. Maolyakefu for magnetization measurements. M. van Kampen and A. A. Smits are acknowledged for their contributions to the calculations.

18. M. van Kampen, R. J. M. van de Veerdonk, and A. A. Smits, unpublished results.