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ABSTRACT

Zinc electrode shape change, the redistribution of zinc material over the electrode during repeated cycling, has been identified as one of the main life-limiting factors for alkaline nickel oxide/zinc secondary batteries. To investigate this phenomenon in situ, a radiotracer, $^{65}$Zn, is incorporated in the battery and its movement monitored during repeated cycling of the battery. The changes in the distribution of $^{65}$Zn over the electrode during battery operation are attributed to the displacement of radioactive zincate ions via the battery electrolyte. It is shown that the spatial distribution of $^{65}$Zn offers a reliable indication for the zinc material distribution over the electrode, provided an electrode with uniformly specific radioactivity is used in the measurements. Radiotracer experiments using zinc electrodes containing 2 weight percent HgO as an additive and uniformly labeled with $^{65}$Hg have revealed that during battery cycling no substantial net transport of mercury species occurs. It is concluded that it is highly unlikely that the mercury additive detaches from or moves over the zinc electrode surface during the cycling process. Also, these experiments show that zinc material transport as a result of detachment of electrode mass did not occur.

The nickel oxide/zinc secondary battery is still the most promising candidate for electric vehicle propulsion purposes. It has a relatively high operating voltage and a high energy and power density. Furthermore, it can be used within a wide temperature range, is relatively cheap, and does not constitute an environmental threat as many other battery systems do.

The main disadvantage of this battery is its limited cycle life, due to the degradation of the zinc electrode. Shape change, dendrite shorting, and to lesser extent passivation and densification of the zinc electrode have been identified as the principal causes for the poor cycle life of the zinc electrode. The problem of dendrite formation has been largely overcome by improvements in separators and by additives to the electrode and electrolyte which mitigate dendritic growth of zinc.

Shape change refers to the reduction of the electrochemically active surface area of the zinc electrode during repeated cycling of the battery, as a result of the redistribution of zinc material over the electrode. Studies about shape change have revealed that cell and electrode geometry, depth of discharge, type of separator, and additives to the zinc electrode and battery electrolyte are the most important factors which influence the extent and rate of shape change. As yet, however, a solution to shape change is not envisaged.

The solution to shape change must come from a thorough understanding of the fundamental phenomena involved in the redistribution of zinc material over the electrode. Therefore, the aim of this study is to elucidate the process and mechanism of shape change, leading to a model, which can account for the observed phenomena and, hopefully, can indicate how shape change can be prevented. These aspects of our study will be treated in part II (Process and Mechanism) of this paper. In this part (part I), the monitoring of shape change during repeated cycling of the nickel oxide/zinc secondary battery is investigated. This is essential to gain insight in the rate and direction of the zinc material transport in the battery.

The study of zinc electrode shape change has been performed by ex situ and in situ techniques (1-8). In the elaborate ex situ and, hence, “post-mortem” analysis of the shape change phenomenon, the zinc and zinc oxide distribution over the zinc electrode is determined gravimetrically and colorimetrically (1-3). The in situ techniques are based on the determination of the superficial current density distribution over the zinc electrode. A decrease of the local current density implies a loss of active material on that part of the electrode and, inversely, an increase of current density implies an accumulation of active material. However, this approach does not account for changes in current density distribution due to other causes, like alteration of electrolyte conductance or passivation and densification of the electrode.

Furthermore, the current density distribution is not measured directly, but is deduced from the determination of the potential distribution over the zinc electrode (4, 5) or from the current density distribution over a sectioned counterelectrode (6-8). Thereby, it is assumed that the measured parameter and the current density distribution are continually related in a straightforward way, which is certainly untrue.

An even more serious drawback of this technique, in fact of all techniques applied up till now, is that information is obtained on the overall process rather than on the movement of zinc species over the electrode during the cycling
Zinc electrode preparation and cell assembly.—Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-bonded zinc oxide (12) is used for the preparation of the zinc electrodes. This PTFE-bonded zinc oxide is pressed onto a silver-plated nickel electrode. Also, zinc in this study (part I) homogeneously labeled electrodes, i.e., electrodes with uniform specific radioactivity (radioactivity per unit weight of labeled material) are used, the measured radioactivity distribution should indicate the distribution of zinc over the electrode. However, with the radiotracer technique it is virtually impossible to distinguish between zinc species in the electrolyte and on the electrode or between metallic zinc and oxidized zinc species. Thus zinc material refers to all species which contain zinc, whether on the electrode [e.g., Zn, ZnO, Zn(OH)₂, K₂Zn(OH)₄ or in solution [e.g., Zn(OH)₆³⁻, Zn(OH)₂⁻], polymeric zincate ions].

Recently, Hietbrink and Hill investigated the movement of the electrolyte in the battery and the redistribution of zinc during repeated cycling of the secondary battery. The role of the mercury additive with initially nonradioactive electrodes. The battery electrolyte is prepared from nonradioactive ZnO.

Electrolyte is prepared from doubly distilled water, AnalR grade potassium hydroxide and zinc oxide (11). The origin of this deleterious effect is scarcely understood in spite of the fair amount of studies (11-13). The role of the mercury additive with respect to shape change can also be studied with the radiotracer technique. ³²⁰Hg (half-life 46.8 days) is incorporated in the zinc electrode and the spatial distribution of the radiotracer over the electrode is monitored during repeated cycling of the secondary battery.

Experimental

Zinc electrode preparation and cell assembly.—Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-bonded zinc oxide (12) is used for the preparation of the zinc electrodes. This PTFE-bonded zinc oxide is pressed onto a silver-plated nickel electrode. The thickness of about 0.7 mm and a theoretical capacity of about 1.3 Ah (5). The electrode is wrapped in a separator, Rai P-2291 40/20, a weak cationic membrane, and sandwiched between two sintered nickel oxide electrodes (35 × 39 × 0.8 mm, 0.4 Ah in capacity each, Varta, Germany). The battery is assembled in the discharged state.

The experiments are carried out, using polycrystaline cells (internal dimensions, h × l × w, 100 × 37 × 4 mm) in which the electrodes are placed parallel to the earth gravitational field. The batteries are operated in the flooded and vented mode.

The battery electrolyte is prepared from doubly distilled water, AnalR grade potassium hydroxide and zinc oxide with initial concentration of 3, 1 and 1M, respectively. Since zinc oxide is quantitatively converted to zincate according to reaction [1]

\[
\text{ZnO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2 \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}_3^{2-} \tag{1}
\]

the hydroxyl ion concentration decreases from 10 to approximately 8M.

The air in the electrolyte and electrodes is removed by placing the assembled cell in a vacuum chamber for at least 30 min. The ⁶⁵Zn and ³²⁰Hg radiotracers are prepared by neutron irradiation of (PTFE-bonded) zinc oxide and mercuric oxide, respectively. The irradiations are performed at the Interfacility Reactor Institute at Delft. The irradiated samples are stored for about four weeks to allow induced short-lived activity to decay, e.g., ⁶⁵mZn (13.9 h), ⁶⁵⁷Hg (64 h), and ³²⁰mHg (24 h). After this decay, the radionuclide purity of the radiotracer is checked by Ge(Li) gamma spectrometry. The specific radioactivity of the radioactive samples is in the order of 50 GBq kg⁻¹ for ⁶⁵Zn and 300 GBq kg⁻¹ for ³²⁰Hg. Three series of experiments are performed:

1. Zinc-labeled electrode: Radioactive PTFE-bonded zinc oxide is thoroughly mixed with nonradioactive PTFE-bonded zinc oxide. This mixture is used to prepare an electrode with uniform specific radioactivity. The electrode is prepared from nonradioactive ZnO.

2. Zinc-labeled electrolyte: The battery is assembled with initially nonradioactive electrodes. The battery electrolyte is prepared from radioactive zinc oxide.

3. ³²⁰Hg-labeled electrolyte: Radioactive mercuric oxide is thoroughly mixed with PTFE-bonded zinc oxide and this mixture is used to prepare a uniformly labeled electrode containing 2 weight percent (w/o) HgO.

Battery cycling tests.—The nickel oxide/zinc batteries are cycled galvanostatically with a current of 0.2A (73 A m⁻²). The cycling experiment starts with a charge half-cycle. Cell voltage and zinc electrode potential (vs. a Hg/HgO reference electrode), during charge and discharge, are recorded for all batteries. A device is developed for automatically cycling of the batteries. The charge process is ended if the preset time of 2.5h or if the cell cutoff voltage of 2.1V is exceeded. The discharge process is continued until the cell voltage drops below 1.2V.

The detection of radioactivity.—⁶⁵Zn disintegrates with a half-life of 244 days via electron capture and emission of a gamma ray and/or emission of a positron to stable ⁶⁴Cu. A simplified decay scheme is presented in Fig. 1A. The gamma ray, used for detection of ⁶⁵Zn, has a energy of 1.116 MeV and an emission probability of 50.7%. The annihilation of the positron produces two gamma rays with an energy of 0.511 MeV each.

Figure 1B shows the decay scheme of ²⁰³Hg, which disintegrates with a half-life of 46.8 days to stable ²⁰³Tl, via emission of β⁻ particles and a gamma ray with an energy of 0.279 MeV and an emission probability of 81.5%.

The radiotracer measurement are performed during the rest period of the battery cycling regimen. The experimental setup for the gamma ray measurement is given in Fig. 2. The cell is positioned stepwise by a set of blocks, thus enabling the measurement of the radioactivity at nine regions on the zinc electrode. These nine regions on the zinc electrode are depicted in Fig. 3 for two types of collimators, a "conical" and a "rectangular" one.

The conical collimator consists of a brick of lead (200 × 100 × 100 mm) in which a conical hole is made. The radius of the circular cross section at the cell side is 5.5 mm

\[
1.116 \text{MeV} \quad \text{EC1 (50.7\%)}
\]

\[
0.511 \text{MeV} \quad \text{EC2 (47.8\%)}
\]

\[
0.279 \text{MeV} \quad \text{β⁻ (81.5\%)}
\]
and at the detector side 40 mm. The geometrical efficiency, i.e., the ratio of the surface area of the detector which can detect radiation directly, and the surface area of an imaginary sphere with a radius equal to the distance of the radiation source from the detector, for the conical collimator is about 1%. Due to this relatively “high” efficiency low activities can be measured over acceptable time intervals. With the positioning of the cell in front of this collimator activities can be measured over acceptable time intervals.

The 1.116 MeV gamma ray of $^{65}$Zn and the 0.279 MeV gamma ray of $^{203}$Hg are detected with a cylindrical NaI(Tl) scintillation crystal with a radius of 38.1 mm and a length of 76.2 mm. For $^{65}$Zn the pulse-height analyzer is set to measure photons with an energy between 1.05 and 1.25 MeV, for $^{203}$Hg the setting is between 0.255 and 0.305 MeV. These settings reduce interference in the measurements of lower energy (Compton or scattered) photons. All measurements are performed with a preselected time interval. Its magnitude depends on the observed counting rate, i.e., the number of measured pulses in the photopeak per second, to obtain a statistical error lower than 5%. The observed counting rate at a region on the electrode, $n_r$, is corrected for the background counting rate due to natural radiation, $n_b$, and for the decay of the radionuclide. Thus, the background and decay corrected counting rate, $n_{rc}$, is calculated from the formula

$$n_{rc} = (n_r - n_b) \cdot \exp (-2 \cdot \Delta t/t_{1/2}) \quad [2]$$

In this equation, $\Delta t$ is the time elapsed since the start of the cycling experiment and $t_{1/2}$ the half-life of the radionuclide. The exponential term in Eq. [2] corrects the radioactivity data for the decay of the radionuclide since the start of the cycling experiment. Therefore, the radioactivity data of a certain region on the electrode can be interpreted directly. From the background and decay corrected counting rate the radioactivity at a certain region on the electrode, $A_e$, (in Bq), is calculated from the formula

$$A_e = n_{rc} \cdot \lambda \quad [3]$$

where $\lambda$ is the conversion factor, including the efficiency of the experimental setup and the emission probability of the radionuclide. The efficiency of the experimental setup is determined from the measurement of the counting rate of a $^{60}$Co or $^{203}$Hg calibration source, of which the activity is accurately known at the time of the measurement.

The gamma rays, in particular the $^{65}$Zn gamma rays, originating from regions surrounding the region being measured cannot be shielded completely by the collimators. In a separate study this matter is investigated (14): it is shown that application of corrections of the radioactivity data for radiation from surrounding regions yields plots which showed trends similar to the plots based on the uncorrected data. At the start of the experiment the differences in radioactivity at the nine regions tend to decrease when the corrections are applied. However, since the correction procedure itself introduces additional errors, the gain in accuracy is only marginal. Therefore, it was decided to omit the correction step, the more so, as the changes in the curves are more important than the absolute values.

Ex situ electrode analysis.—At the end of the cycling tests the batteries are disassembled and photographed taken from the zinc electrodes. Then, the radioactivity distribution over the zinc and nickel electrodes is determined separately. The electrolyte radioactivity is also examined. The electrode mass is scraped from the nine fictitious regions (13.00 $\times$ 11.67 mm) on the zinc electrode. The nine samples are weighed and their radioactivity, specific radioactivity, and zinc and PTFE content established.

**Results and Discussion**

$^{65}$Zn distribution at open-circuit potential.—To establish the rate of exchange of radioactive ZnO on the electrode with nonradioactive zincate ions in the electrolyte, a battery is assembled with a freshly prepared electrode of which a small part is made radioactive: a spot electrode [the preparation of this type of electrode is described in part II (15)]. This battery is not cycled, but is kept at open-circuit potential over more than four months. The radioactivity of the radioactive spot remains virtually constant during this period, and the radioactivity of the electrolyte at the end of the test is less than 2% of the radioactivity at the spot. This indicates that though the battery electrolyte is not ZnO saturated, the chemical dissolution rate of ZnO and the exchange with zincate ions in solution is small, as was found also by Dirkse et al. (16). Hence, during repeated cycling any change in radioactivity over the electrode must be the result of the charge and discharge processes in the battery.

Cycling experiment with $^{65}$Zn in the electrode.—In situ monitoring of the shape change pattern.—An electrode with uniform specific radioactivity is continuously cycled in a vertical cell filled with nonradioactive electrolyte. The change in the relative capacities during the cycling process is given in Fig. 4. The relative capacity is defined here as the ratio of the charge output and the predetermined charge input (i.e., 0.5 Ah). It is observed that the battery can accept the predetermined amount of charge for about nine cycles. The current efficiency is better than 95%, except for the first cycles. The relative capacity drops to about 40% in the next 20 cycles and remains at this level for another 20 cycles. At the end of the cycling test, after 52 cycles, the electrode is taken from the cell and shape change is observed.

During the cycling experiment the distribution of the radiotracer over the electrode is monitored with the conical collimator. The change in the radioactivity in each of the nine regions on the electrode is depicted in Fig. 5. The figure shows that the movement of the radiotracer occurs from the start of the experiment. During cycling, the radioactivity at the three top regions decreases, whereas at the bottom regions it increases. The rate of change in the

---

**Fig. 3.** Subdivision of the surface of the zinc electrode for measurements with the conical (A) and rectangular collimator (B).
measured radioactivity over the electrode becomes smaller as battery cycling proceeds.

The radioactivity at a certain region on the electrode is related to the amount of labeled material at that region. Since, the specific radioactivity over the electrode is uniform from the very beginning, it will remain constant in time (the chemical and physical properties of the radiotracer are, apart from its radioactivity, identical to nonradioactive zinc). Indeed, at the end of the cycling experiment, ex situ analysis of the zinc electrode shows that the specific radioactivity over the electrode is uniform. So, the amount of labeled material at a particular region on the electrode presents an indication for the total amount of zinc material at that region.

At the start of the cycling experiment the measured radioactivity at the individual regions differ. This can be attributed to inaccuracy in the measurement of the radioactivity, and possibly, to a minor extent to small differences in zinc electrode thickness and to local inhomogeneities in specific radioactivity. Nevertheless, changes in the spatial distribution of the measured radioactivity must be due to changes in the zinc material distribution, as was concluded from a detailed analysis of the accuracy of our radiotracer experiments (14).

Therefore, the radioactivity distribution presented in Fig. 5 shows that during cycling significant changes of the zinc material distribution occur. The top of the electrode loses zinc material which agglomerates at the bottom of the electrode. Thus, the redistribution of zinc material over the electrode, i.e., zinc electrode shape change, can be monitored in situ.

**Ex situ determination of the shape change pattern.**—The radiotracer technique can also be applied for ex situ analysis of the zinc material distribution over the electrode. After 52 charge-discharge cycles the electrode was taken from the cell and the radioactivity over the electrode was measured. In Fig. 6 the relative radioactivity distribution is presented, which may be compared with the relative electrode mass distribution and the photograph of the electrode, given in Fig. 7 and 8, respectively. The zinc material distributions derived from these three figures are in general agreement.

The photograph shows that shape change has occurred. It offers a general impression of how zinc material is distributed over the zinc electrode surface. The electrode mass distribution, given in Fig. 7, offers a less qualitative picture of the zinc material distribution, but the relation between zinc material and electrode mass distribution is only semi-quantitative, because PTFE and probably water and KOH are embedded in the electrode mass. In fact, it is found that for all electrodes the amount of PTFE per region is nearly equal over the electrode and close to the theoretically expected value. Apart from the fact that PTFE is not transported over the electrode, this result confirms that the electrode mass distribution is only a very rough estimate for the zinc material distribution. The radioactivity distribution is quantitatively related to the zinc material distribution provided the specific radioactivity over the electrode is uniform. Hence, the radiotracer technique also provides a nondestructive and nonelaborate method for the ex situ determination of the shape change pattern on the zinc electrode.

At the end of the cycling process the radioactivity distribution ($^{65}$Zn) over the nickel electrodes was also determined. Roughly, a similar distribution is found as for the zinc electrode except that the amount of radioactivity is much smaller.

![Fig. 4. Relative battery capacity for the battery with the zinc electrode with uniform specific radioactivity, as a function of cycle number. Solid curve: battery with a HgO-free zinc electrode. Dashed curve: battery with a 2 w/o HgO containing zinc electrode.](image)

![Fig. 5. The change in radioactivity ($^{65}$Zn) at the nine regions on the electrode (cf. Fig. 3) with uniform specific radioactivity during cycling. Measurements are performed with the conical collimator.](image)

![Fig. 6. Relative radioactivity ($^{65}$Zn) distribution at the nine regions on the electrode (cf. Fig. 3) with uniform specific radioactivity, measured after 52 cycles. The radioactivity is measured with the conical collimator.](image)
Fig. 7. Relative electrode mass distribution at the nine regions on the zinc electrode (cf. Fig. 3) with uniform specific radioactivity after 52 cycles.

Typically, about 5 to 10% of the total radioactivity is found on the nickel electrodes, about 2-4% in the battery electrolyte and the balance on the zinc electrode. This indicates that during one cycle approximately 0.1% of the total amount of $^{65}$Zn is transported from the zinc electrode towards the nickel electrode compartment, assuming a negligible transport in the opposite direction.

Cycling experiment with $^{65}$Zn in the electrolyte.—For a uniformly labeled electrode the contribution of radiation from radioactive zincate ions in solution to the measured radioactivity over the electrode is expected to be negligible, since the amount of zinc material is much larger in the electrode than in the electrolyte. However, the radioactivity distribution as observed in Fig. 5 changes drastically within a few cycles, which is attributed to the movement of zincate ions in solution. Though during charge the zincate concentration will be small, it may rise appreciably during discharge.

The influence of radioactive zincate ions in solution on the measured radioactivity distribution is examined by performing a cycling experiment with a battery assembled with an initially nonradioactive zinc electrode and a radioactive ($^{65}$Zn) electrolyte. After 52 cycles the cycling experiment is discontinued and zinc electrode shape change is observed.

The radioactivity distribution during the cycling test is determined using the conical collimator and is given in Fig. 9. Similar to the results depicted in Fig. 5 the top regions lose and the bottom regions gain radioactive material. However, in contrast with the results of the experiment with a uniformly labeled electrode, during the first five cycles the radioactivity at all regions on the electrode increases, most noticeably at the three top regions. Since the increase in radioactivity is observed at all regions, the radioactivity increase at the three top regions cannot be attributed to the movement of radioactive zincate ions from the bottom or middle regions towards the top of the electrode. It must be caused by the deposition of radioactive zincate ions, which are supplied by the electrolyte just over the top of the electrodes: the electrolyte reservoir, which is not seen by the detector, and hence, not taken into account in the radioactivity measurement.

During these first cycles the specific radioactivity over the zinc electrode and in the battery electrolyte will change markedly, due to mixing of nonradioactive zinc material on the electrode with radioactive zinc material in the electrolyte. After these first cycles, the changes become gradually less pronounced. However, since the deposition of zincate ions differs for different regions on the electrode and since at the top regions a large electrolyte reservoir is present, from which radioactive zincate ions can be supported, the specific radioactivity over the electrode will not be constant. Also, the specific radioactivity will be affected by the extent of penetration of radioactive zincate ions into the porous electrode, by the thickness of the electrode and by the redissolution of zinc. Thus, though the trend of the plots in Figs. 5 and 9 are similar, at least after the first few cycles, only an estimate of the zinc material distribution can be obtained from the experiment with the battery with initially only radioactive zinc material in the electrolyte.

The increase of radioactivity at the top positions at the start of the cycling experiment indicates that the existence and position of an electrolyte reservoir is of crucial importance for zinc electrode shape change. It also shows that zincate ions are transported over the electrode via the battery electrolyte.

Hietbrink and Hill (10) pointed out that electrolyte movement in the battery plays an important role with respect to shape change. The results of their experiments with $^{137}$Cs added to the battery electrolyte, which was used as a substitute for radioactive potassium, showed that elec-
trolyte is transported out of and into the electrode pack due to forced convection rather than by diffusion.

The mercury additive and zinc electrode shape change.—When mercuric oxide is added to the zinc battery electrode, it is reduced to metallic mercury at the beginning of the first charge half-cycle. The shape of the zinc electrode becomes depleted in additives, due to detachment of electrode mass. However, the results given in Fig. 10 do not show that mercury species are displaced over the electrode. As the radiotracer distribution remains virtually unchanged, it is unlikely that the mercury additive is transported over the electrode. Hence, the mercury-zinc ratio decreases at positions where zinc material is depleted in additives, due to detachment of electrode mass. The codeposition of mercury is highly unlikely; since, if this type of transport occurs, mercury transport must be observed.

Conclusions
The radiotracer experiments with mercury-containing zinc electrodes is attributed to the more rapidly developing shape change process. Other authors (11, 13) observed that during repeated charge-discharge cycling the mercury-zinc ratio decreases at positions where zinc material is depleted in additives. This is observed clearly from the cell voltage and zinc electrode potential which are both constant during repeated cycling, it is unlikely that the mercury additive is transported over the electrode. The experiments with 202Hg are carried out with electrodes with uniform specific radioactivity, i.e., a constant ratio of 202Hg radioactivity to the total mercury material, using the rectangular collimator in the measurements. Figure 10 presents the 202Hg radioactivity distribution over the zinc electrode as a function of cycle number. During cycling the distribution of the radioactivity changes only marginally and thus, the spatial distribution of mercury does not alter significantly. Hence, it can be concluded that the mercury additive is not transported over the electrode. Also, these experiments show that zinc material transport as a result of detachment of electrode mass is highly unlikely; since, if this type of transport occurs, mercury transport must be observed.

The shorter cycle life of batteries with mercury-containing zinc electrodes is attributed to the more rapidly developing shape change process. Other authors (11, 13) observed that during repeated cycling the zinc electrode becomes depleted in additives, due to detachment of zinc material and loss to the electrolyte and separator, which occurs more rapidly than zinc electrode shape change. However, the results given in Fig. 10 do not show that mercury species are displaced over the electrode. As the radiotracer distribution remains virtually constant during repeated cycling, it is unlikely that the mercury additive is transported over the electrode.

The capacity curve differs from the curve observed for additive-free batteries, cf. Fig. 4. A steeper decline and almost no plateau value of the relative capacity are observed. Also, a shorter battery cycle life is found for the mercury containing electrodes. After dismantling of the cell, zinc electrode shape change is observed. It was noticed that part of the silver-plated current collector was amalgamated, most noticeably at regions depleted in zinc material.

The experiments with 203Zn on the electrode show that during repeated charge-discharge cycling the
redistribution of zinc material over the electrode, and so, shape change of the zinc electrode, can be monitored in situ. The results display that during repeated cycling zinc material is displaced gradually from the top towards the bottom of the electrode.

The mercury radiotracer experiments clearly indicate that mercury is not displaced over the zinc electrode during cycling of the battery.
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ABSTRACT

The process and mechanism of zinc electrode shape change is investigated with the radiotracer technique. It is shown that during repeated cycling of the nickel oxide/zinc battery zinc material is transported over the electrode via the battery electrolyte. During charge as well as during discharge zinc material is transported over the electrode. The direction of the zinc material transport during charge is opposite its direction during discharge. The amount of zinc material displaced over the electrode during charge is smaller than during discharge, so that after one charge-discharge cycle the net zinc material transport is observed in the direction as found during discharge. A new model for shape change is presented: the density gradient model. The model is based on the occurrence of an electrolyte flow during repeated charge-discharge cycling of the zinc secondary battery, which transports zinc material over the electrode. During battery cycling this electrolyte flow arises as a result of density gradients in the solution layer adjacent to the zinc electrode and of volume variations of the battery electrolyte.

The principal failure mode of nickel oxide/zinc secondary batteries is the gradual degradation of the zinc electrode, mainly as a result of the redistribution of zinc material over the electrode during repeated charge-discharge cycling, i.e., zinc electrode shape change. Two models for zinc electrode shape change have been developed: the membrane pumping model, due to Choi et al. (1), and the concentration cell model, due to the McBreen (2). Choi et al. (1) state that electro-osmosis and osmosis cause forced convection in the zinc electrode compartment. The properties of the membrane separator, usually a weak cationic membrane, the presence and relative position of an electrolyte reservoir and the electrolyte between the battery plates determine the direction and rate of this convective flow. The changes in zincate concentration and flow direction during the charge and discharge half-cycles result in a net movement of zinc species in the direction