EPR evidence for ruthenium variable valence states in active oxidative catalysts

Citation for published version (APA):

Kiwi, J., & Prins, R. (1986). EPR evidence for ruthenium variable valence states in active oxidative catalysts. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 126(6), 579-582. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614\(86\)80177-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(86)80177-4)

DOI:

[10.1016/S0009-2614\(86\)80177-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(86)80177-4)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1986

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EPR EVIDENCE FOR RUTHENIUM VARIABLE VALENCE STATES IN ACTIVE OXIDATIVE CATALYSTS

J. KIWI

Institut de Chimie Physique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

and

R. PRINS

*Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology,
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands*

Received 14 February 1986; in final form 14 March 1986

Experimental proof is provided by EPR for the presence of Ru^{3+} ions in a $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ highly dispersed catalyst. A model is proposed for the mode of intervention of $\text{Ru}^{3+}/\text{Ru}^{4+}$ states in oxidative processes.

1. Introduction

The problem of oxygen evolution in the dark is a topic of relevant interest in several laboratories working in the area of the conversion of light to chemical energy [1]. RuO_2 , having a low overvoltage for oxygen evolution, has already been suitably stabilized on TiO_2 [2–6] and has been used as a redox catalyst to mediate O_2 evolution in the reaction

This system consists of Ce^{4+} solution in 1 NH_2SO_4 . In reaction (1), when an $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ particle is in contact with a Ce^{4+} -containing solution, the situation developed is similar to an electrocatalyst under anodic bias. The electrochemical potential imposed on the $\text{RuO}_2\text{--TiO}_2$ particle determines the rate of H_2O oxidation and Ce^{4+} reduction. On the other hand, catalytic properties originate from the state of the surface species, and the observed oxygen evolution in reaction (1) will depend on the exact nature of these surface sites. The present study examines more closely the nature of the $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ catalyst by EPR techniques. The interest in such a study is that redox catal-

ysis has been reported to be facilitated by variable valence states [7] encountered on the catalyst surface. But until now, only a few studies have appeared giving evidence for this assumption. Of the few examples known, we could mention studies involving Fe_2O_3 [8], WO_3 [9] and GaAs [10]. Ru^{3+} -states in RuO_2 as reported in this study lend support to the concept of variable valency being important in the field of redox catalysis.

2. Experimental

The titanium dioxide used was TiO_2 Degussa P25 with a surface area of $50 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$. RuO_2 was deposited on TiO_2 P25 by hydrolysis of $\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ Alfa Ventron [4]. In order to determine the RuO_2 particle diameter, TEM was carried out[†]. The average RuO_2 particle diameter had a size of $20 \text{ Å} \pm 15\%$. This small cluster size is advantageous both from the point of view of mass transport of the active species as well as

[†] We thank Dr. W. Hoffmann, Nukem GmbH Karlstein, FRG for having carried out the TEM on the $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ samples. The magnification used was 500000.

of surface area per gram of catalyst employed [7]. EPR spectra (X-band) were recorded with a Varian E-15 spectrometer equipped with a TE-104 dual sample cavity and a liquid-helium flow cryostat. An in situ cell was used [11] and the temperature of the sample was kept constant at 10 K with a Cryoson CE 5348 temperature controller. Signal intensity and position were calibrated with the aid of the Varian strong pitch ($g = 2.0028, 3.01 \times 10^{15}$ spins cm^{-1}).

3. Results and discussion

The $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ EPR spectra contained several signals. Fig. 1 shows a Ru^{3+} signal at $g = 2.08$ for a 1.58% $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ sample. Also a signal at $g = 1.92$ was observed. Such a signal has previously [12] been reported for many noble metal-loaded TiO_2 samples and has been assigned to surface Ti^{3+} ions. For the sample presented in fig. 1 (1.58% $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$), the amount of Ru^{3+} shown has been quantified according to the EPR spectrum obtained. The experimental results obtained indicate that 20% of the Ru is present as Ru^{3+} . A 3.07% $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ sample showed the same signal height of Ru^{3+} , at a receiver gain which is twice as low, indicating that there is also 20% Ru^{3+} present in this sample. The same holds true for a

Fig. 1. X-band EPR spectrum of a 1.58% $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ catalyst recorded at 10 K showing Ru^{3+} , O_2^- and Ti^{3+} species at g values of 2.08, 1.98 and 1.92 respectively.

0.78% $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ sample. The signal at $g = 1.98$ in the EPR spectrum (fig. 1) might correspond to O_2^- on the surface of the RuO_2 . Similar observations have been reported recently [13].

In the Ru samples one sees the Ru^{3+} state at the surface of the RuO_2 particles. It is unlikely that Ru^{3+} will be present as Ru_2O_3 , because in this case the Ru^{3+} ions would be very close together and might couple antiferromagnetically or might broaden the EPR signal dramatically. This was not observed in fig. 1. Although Ru^{4+} is paramagnetic, normally it does not show an EPR signal. The Ru^{4+} ion has a $4d^4$ configuration and either a strong zero-field splitting and/or a strong relaxation because of the strong coupling between spin and orbital momentum in an orbitally degenerate state, will make it impossible to observe an EPR signal. Therefore all one sees in Ru samples is the Ru^{3+} state.

An increase in catalytic activity [5] has been reported for O_2 evolution in reaction (1) and concomitant paramagnetic character of the $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ samples up to $\approx 4\%$ $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$. The $\text{Ru}^{3+}/\text{Ru}^{4+}$ states responsible for the observed catalysis may find themselves in an even distribution in the RuO_2 existing on TiO_2 . The fact that higher loaded samples of $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ intervene more favorably in reaction (1) further substantiates the role of Ru^{3+} (existing in 20% abundance) up to 3.07% Ru on TiO_2 . Since Ru^{3+} and Ru^{4+} are both paramagnetic states [14], it follows that the combined effect of these two states is effective in facilitating the interaction of H_2O and $\text{Ce}^{4+}/\text{Ce}^{3+}$ on $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ dispersions.

Ru^{3+} states in RuO_2 structures, as observed in our case, are not surprising [15]. Ru^{3+} states have been detected by optical absorption measurements and correspond to d-d acceptor transitions in this oxide. Ru^{3+} has been reported to be present in fully oxidized Ru-doped TiO_2 [15], to compensate for substitutional trivalent impurities.

Such variable-valence ions [16] are often found in materials that occur in non-stoichiometric form and generally involve ionic rather than covalent bonds. Aliovalent Ru^{3+} would then induce a difference in electronic densities on the catalyst surface [7-9], providing density fluctuations favorable for charge transfer [17].

A model is hereby suggested to explain the mode of intervention of the $\text{Ru}^{3+}/\text{Ru}^{4+}$ states in the oxida-

tion process. A high density of charges is set on the oxide surface and dipoles $\text{Ru}^{\delta+}-\text{Ru}^{\delta-}$ are formed with a potential gradient. Electron migration would then take place at potential values >1.23 V in reaction (1). When water is oxidized, electrons would accumulate on $\text{RuO}_2(\text{Ru}^{4+})$. Such a reaction cannot continue for any length of time, and the Ru^{3+} state will allow the discharge of these electrons, causing Ce^{4+} oxidation. The catalysis taking place will then be regulated by the electrochemical potential of $\text{Ce}^{4+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ couple [6]. The catalysis is then seen to involve a lower oxidation state of Ru. The highly electrostatic Ru^{4+} state may preferentially interact with the less charged water dipole across the double layer. The Ru^{3+} states will then interact with Ce^{4+} ions across this layer. Invoking elementary electrostatic considerations, the system will minimize in this way the energy of repulsion and decrease charge accumulation at the interface.

The existence of Ru^{3+} ions on $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ also has implications for the Fermi level of the system under consideration. It has been shown that the density of states for metals decreases abruptly above the Fermi level for metals with available d-electrons like: Ru^{3+} , Ru^{4+} , Pt^{4+} , Rh^{3+} [18]. The density of states at the Fermi level for Ru^{3+} (five d-electrons) is expected to be lower than that of Ru^{4+} (four d-electrons) and, as the d-orbitals of the Ru atoms become more occupied (Ru^{3+} state), a smaller number of them would be available to interact with water dipoles as proposed in such a model. This effect has been reported to be important in highly dispersed metals and oxides [19] and Ru^{3+} states, with their characteristic unpaired electrons, would shift the $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ Fermi level in reaction (1).

A last point to examine is the validity of Ru^{3+} and Ru^{4+} states intervening in reaction (1). Ru has been reported to exist with valence states $3+$ to $8+$ [20]. Intervention of an Ru^{2+} state is discarded since it is not easy to reduce the initial RuCl_3 used to prepare the catalyst [4] to Ru^{2+} . The d bands associated with Ru^{2+} (six d electrons) [21] are at an energy level that is too high for this to occur. Ru^{3+} [20] on the other hand, is a stable species in strong acid media. Oxidation of Ru to compounds with valence greater than four begins at 1.45 V, the redox potential of the Ce^{4+} ion containing solution [20]. In reaction (1) the corrosion of RuO_2 is inhibited by stabilizing it on TiO_2

[3,4]. Low initial Ce^{4+} (3.3×10^{-3} M) and RuO_2 concentrations (5×10^{-5} M) as used in reaction (1) also make corrosion of a 3.07% $\text{RuO}_2-\text{TiO}_2$ catalyst very unlikely. Therefore, only $\text{Ru}^{4+}/\text{Ru}^{3+}$ states seem to play a role in the catalysis shown is reaction (1). Furthermore, by cyclic voltammetry [22] evidence has been provided for oxygen evolution on RuO_2 anodes at a potential of 1.13 versus SCE, a value considerably smaller than 1.45 V versus SCE shown to be necessary to corrode Ru oxides.

In conclusion, RuO_2 has been suspected for a long time to have oxidation states affording different valence states intervening in redox processes. This study has provided experimental proof for the existence of two ruthenium states on a $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ highly dispersed catalyst.

Acknowledgement

Financial support for this work by the Swiss National Science Foundation is appreciated. Some suggestions by M. Grätzel on the manuscript are appreciated.

References

- [1] J. Kiwi, K. Kalyanasundaram and M. Grätzel, *Struct. Bonding* 49 (1982) 37; M. Grätzel, ed., *Energy resources through photochemistry and catalysis* (Academic Press, New York, 1983).
- [2] J. Kiwi and M. Grätzel, *Chimia* 33 (1979) 289.
- [3] A. Mills, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* (1982) 213.
- [4] G. Blondeel, A. Harrimann, G. Porter, D. Urwin and J. Kiwi, *J. Phys. Chem.* 87 (1983) 2629.
- [5] P. Baltzer, R. Davidson, A. Tseung, M. Grätzel and J. Kiwi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 106 (1984) 1504.
- [6] J. Kiwi, M. Grätzel and G. Blondeel, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* (1983) 2215.
- [7] G. Somorjai, *Chemistry in two dimensions* (Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, 1981); M. Schiavello, ed., *Photoelectrochemistry, photocatalysis and photoreactors*, *Nato ASI Series* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985).
- [8] D. Cahen, J. Manassen and G. Hodes, *Solar Energy Mat.* 1 (1979) 343; M. Grätzel, J. Kiwi, C. Morrison, R. Davidson and A. Tseung, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I* 81 (1985) 1883.
- [9] A. Nozik, ed., *Photoeffects in semiconductor electrolyte interfaces*, *ACS Symp. Ser.* 146 (Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, 1981) p. 207, and references therein.

- [10] S.R. Morrison, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol.* 15 (1978) 1417.
- [11] A.J.A. Konings, A.M. van Doorn, D.C. Koningsberger, V.H.J. de Beer, A.L. Farragher and G.C.A. Schmit, *J. Catal.* 54 (1978) 1.
- [12] T. Huizinga and R. Prins, *J. Phys. Chem.* 85 (1981) 2156; 87 (1983) 173.
- [13] R. Howe and M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem.* 89 (1985) 4495.
- [14] *Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, Perkin-Elmer Corp., Eden-Prairie, MN (1979).
- [15] P. Kofstad, *Non-stoichiometry, diffusion and electrical conductivity in binary metal oxides* (Wiley, New York, 1982);
P. Triggs and F. Lévy, *Phys. Stat. Sol.* 129b (1985) 363.
- [16] E. Verwey, P. Haaijman, F. Romeijn and G. Osterhout, *Philips Res. Dept.* 5 (1979) 173.
- [17] G. Somorjai and L. Poliakoff, *Proc. NY Acad. Sci.*, to be published.
- [18] J. Gay, J. Smith and F. Arlinghaus, *J. Phys. Rev.* B25 (1982) 643;
V. Nemoskalenko, V. Antonov, W. John, H. Wohn and P. Zieche, *Phys. Stat. Sol.* 111b (1982) 11.
- [19] M. Vannice and G. Boreskov, in: *Catalysis*, Vol. 3, eds. J. Anderson and M. Boudart (Springer, Berlin, 1982) chs. 2, 3;
R. Baetzold and F. Hamilton, *Progr. Solid State Chem.* 15 (1983) 1.
- [20] M. Pourbaix, *Atlas d'équilibres électrochimiques* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1963).
- [21] C. Bamford and C. Tipper, eds., *Chemical kinetics* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1972) ch. 3.
- [22] R. Kötz, H. Lewerenz and S. Stucki, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 130 (1983) 85.