Surface reaction probability during fast deposition of hydrogenated amorphous silicon with a remote silane plasma
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The surface reaction probability $\beta$ in a remote Ar–H$_2$–SiH$_4$ plasma used for high growth rate deposition of hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) has been investigated by a technique proposed by D. A. Doughty et al. [J. Appl. Phys. 67, 6220 (1990)]. Reactive species from the plasma are trapped in a well, created by two substrates with a small slit in the upper substrate. The distribution of amount of film deposited on both substrates yields information on the compound value of the surface reaction probability, which depends on the species entering the well. The surface reaction probability decreases from a value within the range of 0.45–0.50 in a highly dissociated plasma to 0.33±0.05 in a plasma with ~12% SiH$_4$ depletion. This corresponds to a shift from a plasma with a significant production of silane radicals with a high (surface) reactivity ($\text{SiH}_x, x<3$) to a plasma where SiH$_3$ is dominant. This has also been corroborated by Monte Carlo simulations. The decrease in surface reaction probability is in line with an improving a-Si:H film quality. Furthermore, the influence of the substrate temperature has been investigated. © 2000 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-8979(00)01307-4]

I. INTRODUCTION

An important parameter in the fundamental study of thin film growth by means of (plasma enhanced) chemical vapor deposition is the sticking, or more generally, the surface reaction probability of the different gas phase species on the surface. Knowledge of these parameters yields insight in the growth process of the films, which is beneficial for process and film quality optimization. Apart from being essential for modeling studies, knowledge of these probabilities enables determination of the contribution of different species to film growth from density measurements in the gas phase.

Although sticking and surface reaction probabilities depend on the nature of the surface, which can in principle depend strongly on the substrate and gas phase or plasma conditions, multiple efforts have been made in the field of plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition of hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) to determine both probabilities as more or less universal constants. This work was largely put into shape by the efforts of Gallagher, Perrin, and Matsuda.1–5 They have tried to formulate a kinetic growth model for a-Si:H deposition, in which they concentrated on the incorporation of SiH$_3$ in the film. From several experiments they concluded that this radical is dominantly contributing to a-Si:H film growth. They proposed a surface reaction probability $\beta$ of SiH$_3$ which is composed of a probability for sticking of SiH$_3$ on the surface (probability $s$) and a probability for recombination of SiH$_3$ at the surface to form, e.g., Si$_3$H$_4$ with other species on the surface (probability $\gamma$). In reality, usually only $\beta$, the probability that a radical or ion gets lost in its original form at the surface ($\beta=s+\gamma$), is or can be experimentally determined. Many techniques have been applied to study this $\beta$ under all kinds of circumstances. These techniques vary from relative approximate techniques yielding a more macroscopic $\beta$ to more sophisticated methods concentrated on one type of radical. An overview of $\beta$’s determined for silane radicals under different conditions is given in Table I.

Several techniques listed in Table I yield in principle only a compound value of $\beta$ (referred to as “overall surface reaction probability”) because probably several species in the plasma contribute to growth. The value of $\beta$ found is usually assigned to a particular radical by proving or making plausible that it is far dominant in the gas phase. This can, however, be troublesome as the dominance of a certain radical is concluded from density measurements and the density itself depends on $\beta$. For instance, species with a high surface reactivity will not easily build up a large gas phase density just because of their high loss probability at the surface, but they can of course contribute significantly to film growth.

Table I shows that a lot of data are available for $\beta$ of SiH$_3$. All data show agreement on the fact that $\beta$ of SiH$_3$ is relatively low compared to $\beta$ of SiH, which is measured with high accuracy. For SiH$_2$ up to now less convincing data are available, but it is generally accepted that its $\beta$ is rather high and at least higher than for SiH$_3$ (Robertson and Rossi realize that their value of 0.15 is unexpectedly low12). Therefore, in the case of a-Si:H deposition, usually a division is made into very reactive species like SiH$_3$, SiH, etc., with a high $\beta$ (>0.5) and SiH$_2$ with a smaller $\beta$ (<0.3). Furthermore, it is generally accepted that good a-Si:H film quality is related to a dominant contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth while a considerable contribution of the silane radicals with a high (surface) reactivity is expected to produce films with inferior quality.1,6,7
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Because of this rather clear division in magnitude of $\beta$'s in the case of a-Si:H, it can be useful to determine the overall $\beta$. Such technique is usually much easier to apply than a manifold of techniques, in order to determine $\beta$ of all different species present in the plasma separately. Moreover, it is easier to link the overall $\beta$ directly with film quality. By comparing the experimentally found $\beta$'s to those listed in Table I information about the species contributing to film growth can be obtained. Starting from this point, an overall $\beta$ can give insight in film growth and in the contribution of very reactive species. For this reason, the overall $\beta$ has been determined in the expanding thermal plasma (ETP) setup for different plasma settings. This remote deposition technique has proven to be able to deposit solar grade a-Si:H at a growth rate of 10 nm/s.\textsuperscript{19,20} It uses an Ar/H\textsubscript{2} plasma created in a thermal plasma source (cascaded arc) for downstream \textsuperscript{13}SiH\textsubscript{4} dissociation in a low-pressure region. Characteristic for this technique and, as will be shown later advantageous for the determination of $\beta$, is the absence of ion bombardment of the surface due to the low electron temperature.\textsuperscript{21} The determination of $\beta$ in this plasma is part of a project in which the plasma chemistry, the fluxes of reactive species like ions and radicals to the substrate and their contribution to film growth is investigated.\textsuperscript{20–21} This is subsequently related with the quality of the films in terms of structural and opto-electronic properties\textsuperscript{20} yielding more information on a-Si:H film growth, especially at elevated growth rates.

The technique used to determine $\beta$ is the so-called "aperture-well assembly," which has been explored by Doughy et al.\textsuperscript{7} It's principle and design are described in Sec. II as well as the method of analysis. A Monte Carol method is presented to obtain theoretical insight in the resulting $\beta$ when for example different species contribute to film growth (Sec. III). In Sec. IV, $\beta$ is given for different plasma conditions as well as for different substrate temperatures. These results and their implications for a-Si:H film growth in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$ (substrate temperature)</th>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>(Dominant) radical</th>
<th>Technique applied</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.10±0.01 (RT)-</td>
<td>Hg photo-CVD, SiH\textsubscript{4} with Hg</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>grid</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21±0.01 (350 °C)</td>
<td>rf triode, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>grid</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.26±0.02 (240 °C)</td>
<td>dc triode, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>grid and trench</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33 (20–250 °C)</td>
<td>remote magnetron sputtering, Ar–H\textsubscript{2}–SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>resonance enhanced multiphoton ionization</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>infrared laser absorption</td>
<td>8,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5±0.5 (RT)-</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{4} in afterglow, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>infrared laser absorption</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7±0.05 (500 °C)</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3} appearance potential mass spectrometry</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>appearance potential mass spectrometry</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05±0.01 (RT)</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3} appearance potential mass spectrometry</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>appearance potential mass spectrometry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28±0.03 (300 °C)</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{3} (5%)</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>macroscopic trench</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95±0.05 (200 °C)</td>
<td>remote magnetron sputtering, Ar–H\textsubscript{2}–SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>resonance enhanced multiphoton ionization</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05±0.05 (200 °C)</td>
<td>remote magnetron sputtering, Ar–H\textsubscript{2}–SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>resonance enhanced multiphoton ionization</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.97±0.05 (200 °C)</td>
<td>photolysis reactor, n-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{9}SiH\textsubscript{3} /Si\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05±0.05 (200 °C)</td>
<td>photolysis reactor, n-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{9}SiH\textsubscript{3} /Si\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{3} near-effusive beam from inductively coupled plasma, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.94 (RT)</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{3} near-effusive beam from inductively coupled plasma, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95±0.05 (RT)</td>
<td>rf diode, SiH\textsubscript{3} near-effusive beam from inductively coupled plasma, SiH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>laser induced fluorescence</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>Atomistic</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>SiH\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>simulations using</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>SiH</td>
<td>molecular dynamics</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
expanding thermal plasma are discussed in Sec. V followed by conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. EXPERIMENT

In the “aperture-well assembly” (see Fig. 1), a well is created by two substrates with in the upper substrate an aperture (slit). Species in the plasma can enter the well through the aperture and collide with the bottom substrate assuming no gas phase collisions to occur inside the well. If only one type of radical (or ion) with $\beta=1$ is present in the plasma, all radicals (ions) entering the well are lost at the lower substrate and only deposition takes place at the bottom of the well (assuming a sticking probability $s \neq 0$). If $\beta < 1$, the radicals can undergo reflection (probability $r = 1 - \beta$) towards the underside of the upper substrate where they can deposit or reflect once again to the bottom substrate, etc. For a flux $F$ of one type of radicals entering through the aperture of area $A$, the number of radicals deposited at the bottom substrate $M_b$ and at the underside of the top substrate $M_t$ can be calculated by summing up the different contributions:

$$M_b = \frac{s F A}{1 - r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad M_t = \frac{s r F A}{1 - r^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The surface reaction probability can now either be calculated from the ratio of the total amount of film deposited inside the well and the amount which would have been deposited at the area of the aperture if it had not been there ($s F A$):

$$\beta = 1 - r = \frac{s F A}{M_t + M_b}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

or by comparing the amount of film deposited at the underside of the top substrate to the amount of film deposited at the bottom substrate:

$$\beta = 1 - r = 1 - \frac{M_t}{M_b}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Doughty et al. has applied the first method, in this article, the second method is chosen.

Several criteria have to be met in the design of the aperture-well assembly for applying above equations. A 0.50 mm thick copper spacer ring (see Fig. 1) separates the two stainless steel substrates creating the well. The distance $d$ between the two substrates is therefore smaller than the mean free path for momentum transfer of the species in the expanding thermal plasma avoiding gas phase collisions (the mean free path is 1.3 and 2.5 mm when the gas temperature is set equal to the temperature of the substrate or to the temperature in the center of the plasma beam, respectively). A comparison with experiments with $d$ is 0.25 and 1.00 mm is made. The distance between the center of the well and its edges (formed by the copper spacer ring) is 30 mm, such that film deposition at the edges can be neglected. The aperture in the top substrate is a slit with a width of 0.10 mm and a length of 8 mm. This is sufficiently small to limit “bouncing out” of reactive species in a sufficient extent as evidenced by a three-dimensional Monte Carlo simulation (see Sec. III). Although the information on $\beta$ can be obtained by applying Monte Carlo simulations when above-mentioned restrictions are not satisfied, a method yielding direct information on $\beta$ has been preferred. The top substrate is rather thick (0.50 mm to improve heat conduction and temperature control. The heat flux ($\sim 0.4$ W cm$^{-2}$) in the expanding thermal plasma will heat up to a too thin top substrate considerably during deposition leading to substrate fracture. Furthermore, the films deposited on top of the well need to be thick (10 $\mu$m) to obtain a considerable amount of film inside the well through the small aperture and this leads consequently to a high stress. The slit in the upper substrate is knife-edged shaped (at an angle of 60°) at the side facing the plasma. This reduces the effective thickness of the upper substrate to less than 0.1 mm at the position of the slit. In this way, the angular distribution of the species arriving inside the well is not disturbed seriously. Furthermore, it reduces deposition on the edges of the slit. The latter can lead to a distorted composition of the flux of reactive species inside the well as the most reactive species will be lost more easily on these edges and will be filtered out.

The well is mounted on top of a substrate holder in the expanding thermal plasma setup, described in detail in Refs. 21 and 22. An adequate temperature control of the substrates between 100 and 500 °C is usually obtained by using a small helium back flow. The temperature control in the aperture-well assembly is inferior and therefore the temperature of both substrates was monitored by means of thermocouples under similar, but nondepositing plasma conditions. For a substrate holder temperature of 400 °C, the temperatures are 357 and 384 °C for the upper and lower substrate, respectively, before plasma ignition. After plasma ignition, both substrates increase less than 40 °C in temperature on the time scale for deposition (6–10 min), while the difference remains smaller than 30 °C. This is supposed to be a fairly good temperature control (during the greater part of the deposition time the substrate temperatures are close to 400 °C). The determination of $\beta$ is most probably not disturbed, anticipating on the fact that $\beta$ is not heavily temperature dependent (see Sec. V). Most experiments have been carried out at 400 °C, which yields $a$-Si:H with optimum structural and opto-electronic properties for the ETP technique. At lower temperatures (250 and 325 °C), the temperature control is somewhat poorer than at 400 °C especially in the initial period of deposition.

The amount of film deposited inside the well at both substrates is determined by means of an optical technique schematically represented in Fig. 2. Diffusive, monochromatic light is projected at the substrate with film and the...
The film thickness is obtained from the fringes by using Eq. 3. The reflected light is projected by means of a beam splitter on a lens focused at a charge coupled device (CCD) camera. Multiple reflections within the film lead to interference and therefore regions with maximum and minimum intensity are observed in the CCD video frame. As the cross sections of the deposition profiles perpendicular to the slit’s long dimension are independent of the position along this dimension (except for the slit’s ends), the deposited profiles are analyzed at only three positions. This is done by reading out one pixel line of the CCD array, corresponding with a cross section of the profile, by means of an oscilloscope. Accurate positioning of the substrate is achieved by the computer video frame. The observed oscilloscope image shows fringes corresponding to differences in thickness of the profile as illustrated in Fig. 3. The film thickness is obtained from the fringes by using Eq. (4), where the thickness between a maximum $d_{\text{max}}$ and a minimum $d_{\text{min}}$ of a fringe is given by

$$d_{\text{max}} - d_{\text{min}} = \frac{\lambda}{4n},$$

with $\lambda$ the wavelength of the light used and $n$ the corresponding refractive index of the material. From this, a cross section of the deposition profile can be obtained (see Fig. 3) by assuming that that thickness of $a$-Si:H is zero at the edges of the profiles where the light is reflected by the stainless steel. Two monochromatic light sources have been used: a sodium lamp ($\lambda = 584$ nm) leading to a large number of fringes but suffering from absorption for thicker parts of the $a$-Si:H and an infrared source. The latter is composed of light emitting diodes (LEDs) ($\lambda = 950$ nm). This combination leads to an unambiguous interpretation of the fringes. The thickness profiles are fitted with Lorentzians from which the total amount of film is calculated. The refractive index and wavelength drop out in the calculation of $\beta$ as only the ratio of the material deposited at both substrates is considered. Using the refractive index as obtained from ellipsometry ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm) from Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, the increase in thickness between a minimum and maximum in intensity is approximately 34 and 69 nm for the sodium and infrared source, respectively (for $a$-Si:H deposited at 400 °C). Furthermore, for one experiment, the deposition profiles at both substrates have also been determined by particle-induced x-ray emission (PIXE) analysis. As shown in Fig. 4, the thickness profiles obtained show a very good agreement with those obtained by the optical technique demonstrating the adequacy of the optical analysis.

III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

A three-dimensional model for the aperture-well assembly using the Monte Carlo method to simulate the trajectories of reactive particles (radicals or ions) has been set up to get acquainted with the influence of different parameters and to test assumptions important for correct interpretation of the experimental data. The simulation itself is not used to retrieve $\beta$ from the experimental data. Several fluxes of different reactive species with different surface reaction probabilities $\beta$ and sticking probabilities $s$ ($s \leq \beta$) can be implemented to get insight in the resulting overall $\beta$. Only interactions of the reactive species with the surfaces are taken into account: the particles can either react or reflect from the surface. For the latter, the cosine distribution is assumed. The reaction probability at the surface is assumed to be independent of the angle of incidence of the particles and no surface diffusion is taken into account. Typically, $10^6$–$10^7$ particles are used per calculation and from the distribution of the particles deposited at both substrates (the overall $\beta$) is calculated. The simulation has been tested for different cases. The cross sections of the distribution of the deposited particles can very well be approximated by Lorentzian profiles. As expected the number of particles bouncing out of the well through the slit decreases for increasing $\beta$. For $\beta > 0.2$, less than 6% of the particles bounce...
out and no significant influence of this effect on the calculated \( \beta \) has been found. Yet it illustrates the necessity of the aperture being as small as possible. Also a considerable amount of particles reach the edges of the well for very low values of \( \beta \) and this amount increases with increasing well height (distance between the two substrates). The width of the profiles of deposited materials show a linear increase with increasing well height. The increasing importance of gas phase reactions can however not be observed in the simulation, as these are not taken into account. The determination of \( \beta \) is hardly influenced by the fact that the top substrate is not infinitesimal thin. Furthermore, the overall \( \beta \) obtained from simulations taking several species into account with different combinations of \( \beta \) and \( s \) showed excellent agreement with theoretical calculations.

IV. RESULTS

Depositions with the aperture-well assembly have been performed under different conditions. An arc current of 45 A and an \( \text{Ar}, \text{SiH}_4, \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) flow of respectively 55, 10, and 10 sccs (standard cubic centimeters per second) are standard. The corresponding downstream pressure is 0.20 mbar and the substrate temperature is set at 400 °C. This parameter setting with a resulting growth rate of 10 nm/s yields the best film quality. The typical deposition time for the aperture-well setting with a resulting growth rate of 10 nm/s yields the best deposition time on \( \beta \) has been observed, but shorter times is hardly influenced by the fact that the top substrate is not infinitesimal thin. Furthermore, the overall \( \beta \) obtained from simulations taking several species into account with different combinations of \( \beta \) and \( s \) showed excellent agreement with theoretical calculations.

To obtain an indication of the accuracy of the technique and to eliminate possible artifacts in the interpretation of the separate data, the aperture-well assembly experiment has been repeated at least three times for every condition. The experiment has been repeated 14 times for the standard condition. From the distribution of the values of \( \beta \), the uncertainty in the data has been estimated.

The obtained \( \beta \)'s are shown in Fig. 6 for different \( \text{H}_2 \) flows in the plasma source while the other parameters are kept fixed at their standard values. The figure shows a decreasing overall \( \beta \) with increasing \( \text{H}_2 \) flow. Changing the \( \text{H}_2 \) admixture in the cascaded arc leads a drastic change in the downstream plasma chemistry. It has been shown that increasing the \( \text{H}_2 \) flow leads to an increase in the contribution of \( \text{SiH}_3 \) to film growth. The latter is created by hydrogen abstraction of \( \text{SiH}_4 \) by atomic hydrogen emanating from the cascaded arc. The ion fluence from the arc is low for these conditions and the \( \text{SiH}_4 \) consumption is \( \sim 12\% \). At low \( \text{H}_2 \) flows however, a large fraction of \( \text{SiH}_4 \) is dissociated (\( \sim 60\% \)), mainly due to the large ion fluence emanating from the source. Under these conditions, very reactive (poly)silane radicals, created by ion induced reactions, contribute significantly to growth. The relative contribution of \( \text{SiH}_3 \) is significantly smaller than for high \( \text{H}_2 \) flows. The contribution of silicon containing positive ions or cationic clusters \( \text{Si}_m\text{H}^+ \), created by sequential ion-silane reactions, is rather independent of the \( \text{H}_2 \) flow as discussed in Ref. 21. The decreasing value of \( \beta \) is in qualitative agreement with the fact that with

FIG. 5. Data points show experimental cross section of the thickness profile for both the (a) upper and (b) lower substrate. The corresponding \( \beta \) is 0.36. The profiles obtained from a Monte Carlo simulation are given by lines.

FIG. 6. Overall surface reaction probability \( \beta \) as a function of \( \text{H}_2 \) flow admixed in the plasma source. The \( \text{Ar} \) and \( \text{SiH}_4 \) flow are, respectively, 55 and 10 sccs. The arc current is 45 A, the downstream pressure 0.20 mbar, and the substrate temperature is 400 °C.
to thermal desorption of hydrogen at the surface. An influence of thermal desorption is also not expected: the growth rate for the ETP technique is much higher (10 nm/s) than for the technique of Matsuda et al.\textsuperscript{3} This means that thermal desorption will only become significant at very high temperatures and that it also cannot explain the possible increase of $\beta$ for temperatures over 325 °C.

V. DISCUSSION

It has been shown that the aperture-well assembly is a relative simple technique yielding the overall $\beta$ with a fairly good reproducibility. Yet the variation of $\beta$ with $H_2$ flow or substrate temperature is relatively small such that the interpretation of the data is somewhat limited by the experimental uncertainty. It has also been shown that the experiment is rather sensitive to certain assumptions setting critical demands on the design of the experiment and complicating the interpretation of the data. Some final aspects should still be addressed. First, it is assumed that the substrate material does not influence the experiment, which is plausible as no significant difference in $a$-$Si:H$ growth rate on stainless steel and crystalline silicon substrates has been observed. Furthermore, it is assumed that the probabilities $s$ and $\beta$ are growth flux independent. It is, e.g., assumed that thermal desorption of surface hydrogen is also negligible for the film deposited inside the well as the growth rate in that region is still larger than 0.1 nm/s. At last, it should be remarked that the absence of a severe ion bombardment on the surface in the expanding thermal plasma is favorable for the aperture-well assembly experiment. Due to the low electron temperature in the downstream region, the energy gained by the ions in the plasma sheath is less than 2 eV,\textsuperscript{21} and therefore the experiment is not disturbed by the fact that such a bombardment would only take place at a small region of the bottom substrate directly in line of sight with the plasma. A sudden increase in thickness at the center of the bottom profile due to enhanced growth site creation by ion bombardment is therefore also not observed in Figs. 4 and 5.

In Sec. IV, it has been shown that $\beta$ decreases with increasing $H_2$ flow in agreement with a decreasing contribution of very reactive radicals (as measured indirectly) and an increasing contribution of $SiH_3$ (measured directly).\textsuperscript{20,30} The interpretation of the overall $\beta$ is complicated by the fact that no absolute fluxes of species contributing to film growth are known yet. It is nevertheless possible to go more into detail on basis of $\beta$’s for the different species available in literature. For that, it has to be assumed that $\beta$ does not depend heavily on the nature of the film surface but mainly on the species contributing to growth. In literature it is assumed that $\beta$ is rather temperature independent and mainly determined by steric factors of the radicals as long as the hydrogen coverage of the surface is high.\textsuperscript{3,7} This means that the obtained values of $\beta$ can be compared to those obtained in other experiments where also high quality $a$-$Si:H$ is obtained.

The surface reaction probability for the plasma setting yielding the best film quality is 0.33±0.05. It approaches the reported $\beta$’s for $SiH_3$ in literature (see Table I). Especially when the temperature effect on $\beta$ in Fig. 7(a) is real: for
lower temperatures (but typical for \(a\)-Si:H deposition) even a lower \(\beta\) is obtained. It is, however, not reasonable to assume that the deposition under this condition is completely due to \(\text{SiH}_3\). It is, e.g., known that for this plasma setting, the total contribution of cationic silicon clusters is about 7% of the growth flux.\(^{21,23}\) This percentage is based on the assumption that for the cationic clusters and ions \(s = \beta = 1\), which is a fair assumption as concluded from a classical molecular dynamics study.\(^{31}\) The influence of these clusters and other very reactive species on the overall \(\beta\) can be illustrated by Monte Carlo simulations. These showed that a flux of 5% of species with \(s = \beta = 1\) with a main flux of \(\text{SiH}_3\) with \(\beta = 0.30\) leads to an overall value of 0.33. In this case, the contribution of the very reactive species to film growth is 15%. Under these suppositions, the \(\beta\) at \(T_s = 0\) sccs can be explained by a contribution of 50% by species with \(s = \beta = 1\). For both cases, a possible larger contribution of very reactive polymeric radicals can be compensated for by a \(\beta < 1\) for these species. This shows that the obtained overall \(\beta\) for the settings yielding the best film quality can very well be explained by a flux of mainly \(\text{SiH}_3\) with a minor contribution of other radicals and ions.

Up to now, it has been assumed that for all species contributing to film growth \(s = \beta\). But what are the consequences if for one type of species \(s < \beta\)? For example, from experiments by Matsuda et al.,\(^3\) it has been concluded that \(s/\beta\) is \(\sim 0.4\) for \(\text{SiH}_3\) at substrate temperatures lower than \(350^\circ\text{C}\).\(^{32}\) This means that 60% of the \(\text{SiH}_3\) lost at the surface does not contribute to deposition but leads for example to \(\text{SiH}_4\) and \(\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6\) generation at the surface. From Monte Carlo simulations, it has been derived that assuming \(s = 0.12\) and \(\beta = 0.30\) \((s/\beta = 0.4)\) the allowable flux of very reactive species is only 2% of the total flux to obtain an overall \(\beta\) of 0.33. Yet the resulting contribution of species with \(s = \beta = 1\) to film growth in terms of Si atoms deposited is still about 15%. From more simulations using other values for the probabilities and fluxes, it is concluded that this is generally true: the fact that for some species \(s < \beta\) does not lead to other conclusions about the contribution of the specific species to film growth. It only influences the ratio of fluxes towards the substrate as the reactive species can get lost by recombination as well.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The aperture-well assembly technique has been used to gain information about the (overall) surface reaction probability in a remote \(\text{SiH}_4\) plasma generated by the expanding thermal plasma setup. It has been shown that caution in the design and the interpretation is required for this simple method but that it nonetheless gives considerable insight in the \(a\)-Si:H film growth. Especially, when the data are combined with data on fluxes or densities of species in the plasma.

For the expanding thermal plasma setup, it has been shown that the overall \(\beta\) increases with decreasing contribution of \(\text{SiH}_3\) and increasing contribution of radicals with a high surface reactivity such as \(\text{SiH}_n\_x, x<3\). The overall \(\beta\) at a substrate temperature of 400 °C is 0.33±0.05 for plasma set-

tings in which the \(a\)-Si:H film quality is optimal (solar grade quality). For these settings, the deposition can be explained by a dominant contribution of \(\text{SiH}_3\) with a minor contribution of radicals and ions with a high surface reactivity as corroborated by Monte Carlo simulations. For conditions with a higher \(\beta\), relatively poor \(a\)-Si:H is obtained according to higher contribution of very reactive species. This shows that there is a relation between a small \(\beta\), high contribution of \(\text{SiH}_3\) and a high \(a\)-Si:H film quality, for films deposited at much higher growth rates than by conventional techniques as well.

The experiments suggest a somewhat lower \(\beta\) at substrate temperatures of 250 and 325 °C than at 400 °C, however, not unambiguously due to the relative large experimental uncertainty. The total Si growth flux decreases slightly for increasing substrate temperature at constant plasma setting suggesting a decreasing sticking probability with temperature. However, no increase in deposition rate (Si growth flux) due to thermal desorption of hydrogen at the surface has been observed, which is explained by the high growth rate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge J. Bastiaanssen, G. Toto, C. Smits, C. Vitarella, and R. ter Riet for their contribution to the measurements. Dr. P. Mutsaers is thanked for the PIXE analysis and M. J. F. van de Sande, A. B. M. Hüsken and H. M. M. de Jong for their technical assistance. This work has financially been supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Research (NWO Prioriteit), the Netherlands Agency for Energy and Environment (NOVEM) and the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM-Rolling Grant).

19. R. J. Severens, F. van de Pas, J. Bastiaanssen, W. M. M. Kessels, L. J. van Ijzendoorn, M. C. M. van de Sanden, and D. C. Schram, Proceedings of
the 14th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition, Barcelona, Spain, 1997, p. 582.
25 The technique yields in fact a profile of the optical path length in the $a$-Si:H, which is more appropriate than the pure thickness as it takes also variations of the Si density into account. The latter is in good approximation linear in the refractive index.
27 D. Maroudas, W. M. M. Kessels, and E. S. Aydil (unpublished).
32 In the experiments of Matsuda et al. both $\beta$ and $s$ for SiH$_3$ have been found to be independent of substrate temperature below 350 °C. However, in the determination of $s$ the growth rate has been used instead of the net Si growth flux. The Si density of $a$-Si:H usually increases with substrate temperature which means that the $s$ and therefore $s/\beta$ presumably slightly increases with temperature.