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Abstract—Two important aspects of short-term thermally stratified energy storage, thermocline mixing and thermocline thickness, are studied analytically, experimentally and numerically. The storage detrimental aspects are investigated for a simplified configuration, i.e. an adiabatic box containing a quasi-stationary thermocline. Numerical finite difference/volume simulations agree well with experiments. The dissipation-free 1D analytical model shows a large discrepancy. It appears that mixing inside thermally stratified stores is a two-stage process. First fluid is withdrawn from the thermocline by viscous drag. Subsequent mixing takes place by stretching and folding of fluid particles, thereby enabling diffusion to become active. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hot and cold water can be stored simultaneously inside a single containment due to their difference in density. Thermally stratified storage saves space and material. As the stable stratification inhibits mixing and entropy production, the system performance increases in comparison with a non-stratified storage. The application ranges from small \( O(10 \text{m}^3) \) close-in boilers for residential usage, to large \( O(10^4 \text{m}^3) \) cogeneration and/or district heat storage.

Notwithstanding the present-day application of thermally stratified energy storage, little is known about the mixing phenomena that occur in such a storage. This applies especially to situations in which stratification is hard to achieve: short cycle times, low temperatures and small temperature differences. In these cases stratification is weak and easily distorted by high inflow inertia forces. Due to insufficient theoretical insight, design guidelines for short-term thermally stratified stores are still mostly empirically based.

The current research concerns a two-layer store in its simplest form, a rectangular-shaped tank, charged and discharged directly by means of top and bottom linear slot inlet and outlet diffusers. The general evolution of such a store from fully charged to fully discharged (or vice versa) is treated in Van Berkel (1994). However, in actual practice a store is rarely operated in a full charge–full discharge cycle. In order to avoid strongly varying discharge temperatures, the storage mode is reversed before the thermocline reaches an outlet port. In this control strategy, two important phenomena affect the storage performance negatively (short-term storage heat loss is not considered).

The first one is ineffective usage of storage volume. As a result of the finite height of the thermocline (the steep temperature gradient zone separating the bulk water bodies) and the inlet and outlet ports (which cannot be swept by the thermocline), the storage volume cannot be fully exploited (see Fig. 1(a)). The total ineffective storage volume may amount to up to 25% (Hoogendoorn et al., 1985).

The other loss is due to entrainment of the thermocline. Preliminary laboratory tests showed that the global flow pattern resembles a jet-like inflow colliding with the thermocline (see Fig. 1(b) and Hussain and Wildin (1991)). At the point of collision with the thermocline, less buoyant fluid is removed (entrained into the inlet side bulk fluid), thereby preserving the gradient zone. Entrainment causes the thermocline to proceed faster through the storage than on the basis of the storage throughput. The associated mixing means that the fluid cannot be withdrawn from a store at the same temperature as it was injected.

The aim of the current research is to develop models within which the thermocline thickness and entrainment rate can accurately be predicted. In the future these models will serve as design tools to study the effect of storage geometry (aspect ratio, inlet/outlet area) on storage performance, finally leading to an optimized storage configuration.

In the past, much research has been done on turbulent entrainment in oceans, lakes and large solar ponds (Turner, 1973; Christodoulou,
1986). It is shown that for very small density differences the effect of stratification is negligible and, as in the non-stratified case, entrainment is caused by the "engulfment mechanism". On the interface, large eddies develop which engulf fluid and advect into the bulk fluid. When stratification becomes stronger, turbulent motions are suppressed and the interface may exhibit only wave-like perturbations, occasionally forming cusps which may be detached by eddies present in the turbulent bulk layer. At very strong stratification, advection from the fluid interface is blocked entirely. For practical conditions, diffusion of heat does not affect the rate at which entrainment takes place (Turner, 1973).

Despite this increased insight, the current theory is not directly applicable to the entrainment process in stores, as in this case the entrainment source is localized.

For easy observation and analysis of entrainment, the two-layer thermally stratified storage has been abstracted to a conceptual, numerical and material model, in which the thermocline advances due to entrainment only (see Fig. 2).

The model consists of an adiabatic box enclosing two fluid layers of different temperature. Water is injected through a slot in the bottom plate and is withdrawn through the permeable bottom plate exactly at the same rate.

As a research spin-off this investigation is directly applicable to related topics: jet mixing in chemical reactors and jet ventilation in oil tanks, lakes or rooms.

2. SIMPLE APPROXIMATE MODEL

A rough estimate of the entrainment rate can be derived by application of the potential energy concept to the stratified box contents (Cardoso and Woods, 1993). If an interfacial slice of fluid is mixed with the lower layer, its mass density deficit \(4\Delta h\Delta \rho\) must be replenished from the lower layer. Hence the potential energy of the box contents increases by:

\[
dE_{\text{pot}} = A\Delta h\Delta \rho \frac{h}{2}
\]

where \(E_{\text{pot}}\) is the potential energy (J), \(A\) is the plan area (m\(^2\)), \(h\) is the inlet layer height (m), \(\Delta \rho\) is the density difference between the two layers (kg/m\(^3\)) and \(g\) is acceleration due to gravity (m/s\(^2\)).

Neglecting the kinetic energy of the outflow and viscous dissipation of mechanical energy, the change rate of potential energy must equal the single supply of mechanical energy: the jet kinetic energy, i.e.

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} - P_{\text{pot}} = A_{\text{in}}\Delta h\Delta \rho \frac{h}{2} = P_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A_{\text{in}} u_{\text{in}}^2
\]

where \(P_{\text{kin}}\) is the kinetic energy flux of the jet (W), \(A_{\text{in}}\) is inlet area (m\(^2\)) and \(u_{\text{in}}\) is inlet velocity (m/s). Equation (2) yields the entrainment rate

\[
E = u_{\text{e}}/u_{\text{in}}
\]

where \(u_{\text{e}}\) is the entrainment velocity dh/dt:

\[
u_{e} = \frac{A_{\text{in}}\rho \frac{1}{2} u_{\text{in}}^2}{A \Delta h \Delta \rho h \frac{h}{2}} = \frac{A_{\text{in}} \rho u_{\text{in}}}{A \Delta \rho h} = \frac{A_{\text{in}}}{A} \frac{1}{Ri_{0}^{-1}}
\]

where \(Ri_{0}\) is the overall Richardson number.
the ratio of potential energy (buoyant work) to specific kinetic energy:

$$R_i = \frac{\gamma g h}{\rho u^2}.$$  

(4)

Equation (3) fits the general expression $E = aR_i^{-n}$ to which many data are fitted (Christodoulou, 1986). Though it seems that $R_i$ is the main governing parameter, the Reynolds number also has some effect (Shy, 1995).

In a storage tank, the thermocline velocity $u_{th}$ is a superposition of the entrainment velocity $u_e$ and the fluid displacement velocity ($u_{in}\Delta A/A$):

$$u_{th} = u_e + u_{in} \frac{A}{A}.$$  

(5)

When $u_e \ll u_{th}$, combination of equations (3) and (5) yields:

$$\frac{u_e}{u_{th}} = Ri^{-1}.$$  

(6)

This equation can be used to determine roughly the range in which an efficient store must be operated. If it is demanded that the entrainment velocity of the thermocline must be less than 5% of the fluid displacement velocity, the Richardson range is limited by $R_i > 20$. Of course, equation (6) provides a maximum entrainment rate. In actual practice the entrainment rate will be less due to viscous dissipation of the jet kinetic energy.

The thermocline thickness can be estimated by considering that the upward-moving thermocline poses a moving temperature boundary condition to the stagnant upper layer (Fig. 8).

On the interface the advection of thermal energy equals the diffusion rate:

$$A u_e \rho C \Delta T = \lambda A \frac{\Delta T}{\delta_{th}}.$$  

(7)

where $\Delta T$ is temperature difference between the two layers (K), $\lambda$ is coefficient of heat conduction (W/m K), $C$ is specific heat capacity (J/kg K) and $\delta_{th}$ is thermocline thickness (m).

Equation (7) simply results in:

$$\delta_{th} = \frac{\alpha}{u_e}.$$  

(8)

where $\alpha$ is the coefficient of thermal diffusivity ($m^2/s$). Equation (8) is equivalent to stating that $P_e = u_e \delta_{th}/\alpha = 1$. It implies that the thermocline thickness is inversely proportional to the entrainment velocity. Though being approximate, the previous analysis can be used to find an optimum between loss of useful energy due to entrainment and loss of space due to the thermocline thickness.

3. EXPERIMENTS

Figure 3 shows the experimental set-up. The domain of interest is sized $44.5 \times 44.5 \times 24$ cm (width x height x depth). The centrally placed, well-rounded slot has a width of 1.5 cm. Withdrawal takes place through $8 \times 12$ uniformly distributed, 1-mm diameter holes on either side of the slot. Flow stabilization chambers ensure uniform withdrawal and injection. Water is circulated through the tank and a flow measurement device by a variable speed centrifugal pump. Two-layer stratification is attained by means of an immersed electrical heater which heats up the top layer nearly uniformly to a temperature of 40°C. During testing an insulation plate floating on top of the free surface prevents excessive heat loss. The lower layer has a temperature equal to the ambient temperature of about 20°C.

Flow visualization is done by fluid colouring and the shadow graph technique. Temperatures are measured using $\varnothing 0.5$ mm type K thermocouples, having a response time of less than 0.1 s. The centre-line temperature is recorded using a vertical linearly traversing probe comprising a ceramic tube with a co-axial, protruding thermocouple. The tube is suspended by a cord and a manually operated winch, of which the electrically recorded angular position provides the position of the probe.

After preparation of the two-layer stratification, the circulation pump is switched on and the entrainment process starts. After about 10 min (depending on the set-up) the process has stabilized. Figure 4 shows a simultaneous front and side view shadow graph. The light source is positioned right behind the box. The side view is obtained by using two mirrors to direct light from the source, sidewards through the box, to the camera in front of the box.

The shadow graph resembles a symmetric, mushroom-shaped submerged fountain, colliding on the thermocline, as sketched in Fig. 2. In the collision region, the thermocline is smooth
and sharp, whereas it becomes wavy and diffuse on the edges. Sometimes Kelvin–Helmholtz-like engulfment events are observed on the shear layer interface (see Fig. 5).

From the front and side views (Fig. 4) it may be concluded that under the conditions shown \((\text{Ri}) \approx 20\) the flow pattern is essentially two dimensional. Hence it is expected that the simple 1D approximation is an over-simplification of the true nature of jet-induced entrainment. Numerical 2D simulation is expected to provide accurate results. Here it is important to notice that the time and length scales of fluid motions, estimated from observations, have a magnitude of \(O(1)\) s and \(O(10^{-2})\) m, respectively. Given these values, direct numerical simulation of the (turbulent) fluid motion becomes in reach.

4. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

Numerous numerical models of thermally stratified stores have been developed in the past; an overview will be given by van Berkel (1997). Here the thermocline entrainment process is simulated using a 2D finite difference/volume method. Discretization in space is done on an equidistant square mesh for the momentum equation (with staggered orientation of pressure and velocity points) and finite volumes for the energy equation. For a large part the code
comprises the Marker-and-Cell (MAC) formulation developed by Harlow and Welch (1965). The numerical code is an adapted version of the code originally written by Nieuwstadt and modified by Bastiaans et al. (1994). The code has been selected for its simplicity, accuracy, computational efficiency and extension facilities to 3D. The lack of geometrical flexibility, posed by the Cartesian spatial discretization, is not felt as a very serious drawback since the thermocline/jet collision process under consideration is assumed to be marginally affected by boundary geometry. The core of the method is the Poisson equation for pressure, obtained by taking the divergence of the Navier-Stokes equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial x_i^2} \bigg|_{n-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{u_{l,n-2} - u_{l,n}}{2\Delta t} \right) \right] - \frac{\partial (u_i u_j)}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{n-1} + \alpha \frac{\partial^2 (T)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \beta g(T_{sv} - T_{ax}) \delta_{ij} \bigg|_{n-1}
\]

where \(\pi\) is the kinematic, reduced pressure (J/kg), \(u\) is the velocity field (m/s), \(x\) is the space coordinate system, \(\Delta t\) is the time step (s), \(n, n-1\) are the time levels, \(\beta\) is the coefficient of thermal expansion (K\(^{-1}\)), \(T_{sv}\) the temperature at a vertical velocity point (K) and \(\delta\) is the Kronecker delta function. Continuity is accounted for by eliminating the second term \(\frac{1}{2\Delta t} \frac{\partial u_{l,n}}{\partial x_i}\) of equation (9).

After implementation of the boundary conditions the pressure is solved directly using a five-point finite difference approximation on a staggered grid (Schumann and Sweet, 1976). The pressure is substituted into the Navier-Stokes equation to provide the non-stationary velocity term, at time level \(n-1\), with which the velocity at the future time step \(n\) is computed using the time-central second-order accurate leap-frog scheme. To suppress time splitting, a time filter is applied to couple odd and even time levels. Sequentially to the combined Navier-Stokes and continuity equations, the non-stationary energy equation is evaluated:

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial (u_i T)}{\partial x_i} + \alpha \frac{\partial^2 (T)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}
\]

(10)

Table 1. Boundary conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Everywhere</td>
<td>(\partial \pi/\partial n = 0)</td>
<td>(\partial T/\partial n = 0)</td>
<td>(\phi T/\partial n = 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walls</td>
<td>(u = 0, w = w_{in})</td>
<td>(u = 0, w = w_{in})</td>
<td>(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -w_{out} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet</td>
<td>(u = 0, w = w_{in})</td>
<td>(u = 0, w = w_{in})</td>
<td>(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -w_{out} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the simulations presented here the flow domain consists of 89 \times 89 cells, each cell having dimensions of 5 \times 5 \text{mm}^2, which is smaller than the observed thermocline motion length scale. In this set-up the required CPU time amounts to a few hours on a fast personal computer.

Given the spatial resolution, the inlet slot is represented by three adjacent cells and the outlet by 2 \times 8 equidistant single cells. It is expected that the rather coarse discretization of the inlets and outlets does not seriously affect the jet/thermocline collision process. The outlet boundary temperature condition is based on the 1D advection equation, enabling passage of a temperature gradient.

Special attention is given to ensure accurate discretization of the advective terms, which is of great importance as it is associated with the concept of artificial diffusion (of momentum and energy) which may make numerical simulation highly inaccurate (Leonard and Drummond, 1995).

Advection of momentum is discretized in the conservative form, according to
\[
\nabla (u' \cdot u) = u' \cdot \nabla u + u (\nabla \cdot u),
\]
yielding the second-order accurate central difference scheme of Arakawa (Arakawa, 1966): a nine-point stencil enclosing the velocity grid point.

The energy advection term is discretized using Van Leer’s nearly second-order accurate advection scheme (Van Leer, 1974), which in effect is a solution adaptive modified second-order Lax–Wendroff scheme. In comparison with the first-order upwinding (in which the temperature of the cell face is taken as the upstream cell centre value), Van Leer’s scheme adds a second-order term which is multiplied by a limiter \( L \). This limiter gradually modifies the second-order term, depending on the monotonicity or regularity of the solution. For smooth solutions the limiter equals 1, invoking second-order accurate advection. First-order upwinding is used only when (1) a wiggle is observed, (2) the curvature of the solution is so small that first-order upwinding is sufficiently accurate, or (3) the second-order correction term might become singular through a zero divide.

Figure 6 illustrates in one dimension the effect of higher order upwinding on advection/diffusion of an initial temperature step for conditions representative for thermal storage. Van Leer’s scheme is found to agree well with the analytical solution, whereas the deviation of the first-order scheme is considerable. Indeed, Taylor series expansion shows that the rate of artificial-to-physical diffusion equals \( Pe_{\lambda_1}/2 \), indicating that first-order upwinding is highly inaccurate.

Figure 7 shows the flow and temperature field obtained by 2D simulation of the adiabatic box using Van Leer’s scheme.

The simulation indicates a somewhat meandering jet and at least two counter-rotating vortices at the inlet side of the store, a finding which is in correspondence with the single vortex pair observed in a store with a horizontal side inlet by Baines et al. (1983).

It appears that mixing inside the store is a two-stage process. At the stagnation point where the two vortices meet the thermoline (coordinates (20,30) and (70,30)), fluid is drawn into the bulk by virtue of viscous (shear) forces. Occasionally overturning may take place, also observed in the shadow graph of Fig. 5. When drawn into the lower layer, stretching and folding takes place. Due to the increased interface area and decreased normal width, diffusion of heat becomes active, resulting in the actual mixing of thermal energy.

5. TENTATIVE MODEL COMPARISON

To compare the simulations and experiments quantitatively, Fig. 9 shows the momentary temperature profiles during entrainment. Figure 9 shows that for the experiment the quasi-steady-state profile is sharper than the initial profile (which establishes itself during preparation of the experiment). For the simulation the quasi-steady-state profile is more diffuse than the quite arbitrarily set initial profile. Rough estimates for the thermocline thickness and entrainment rate may be derived from Fig. 9. However the measured entrainment rate is determined more accurately on the basis of long-term measurements, for which the entrainment relation \( E = 0.008 R_t^{-1} \) was found (Bindels, 1994). For the simulation the entrainment rate is accurately determined on basis of the rate of decrease of thermal energy content. Table 2 shows the thermocline velocity and thickness.

The results show that the dissipation-free analytical model fails to predict the thermocline quantities accurately. The 2D-simulation shows good agreement with the experiments. It appears that the 2D-simulated thermocline thickness is somewhat larger than the measured one. This effect cannot be attributed to artificial diffusion of thermal energy. One-dimensional advection/diffusion tests (of which Fig. 7 is one example) showed that the accuracy of Van Leer's...
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Fig. 6. Advection/diffusion of a temperature step. 100 elements, $\Delta x = 1 \text{ cm}$, $u = 0.2 \text{ mm/s}$, $\Delta t = 25 \text{ s}$, Courant number $c = u \Delta t/\Delta x = 0.5$, 140 time steps (tend = 3500 s). $R_Pe = 13.3$. The analytical solution for the developing temperature profile is the error function.

Fig. 7. Left: isotherms ($^\circ\text{C}$); and right: streamlines ($\times 10^5 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$), 3000 s after flow initiation. The isotherm intervals are more densely distributed at lower temperatures, giving more insight in the sub-thermocline temperature field. The streamline contours are uniformly distributed. Top layer temperature 40$^\circ\text{C}$, lower layer temperature 20$^\circ\text{C}$, inlet velocity 0.025 m/s, $R_e = 22.5$, $Re_{inj} \approx 400$ ($\Delta t = 0.005 \text{ s}$, 89$^2$ cells, $\Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$).

Fig. 8. Simplified representation of temperature distribution.

The advection scheme does not deteriorate for time steps as small as encountered in the 2D simulation (van Berkel, 1997). The slightly higher entrainment rate might be caused by a 3D effect. Due to the absence of front and back walls in the simulation, the dissipation rate of mechanical energy is less, leaving more jet kinetic energy for conversion into potential energy. This might also explain the more oscillating behaviour of the simulated thermocline. Figure 10 shows the spectral power density of the temperature signals during thermocline passage.

The spectra indeed show that the time scale $\tau$ of fluid motion is larger than 1 s. Figure 10 also shows a larger signal/noise ratio for the simulation. Furthermore, it may indeed be noticed that for the simulation slightly more wave power is present at lower wave numbers ($< 0.1 \text{ Hz}$). The overall spectrum however shows a good agreement, indicating that the simulation accurately represents the thermocline dynamics. The comparison shows that, after validation, the 2D numerical model may serve as a valuable design tool for future optimization of thermally stratified energy stores.

6. CONCLUSIONS

(1) Application of higher order advection schemes are of vital importance for accurate
Fig. 9. Centreline temperature profiles at (bottom to top) 3000, 3600, 4200, 4800, 5400, and 6000 s after flow initiation. Left, simulation; right, experiment. Note that the initial profiles differ with respect to temperature range, thickness and location, yielding different Ri numbers: 22.5 (simulation) and 18.1 (measurement).

Table 2. Results overview for $Ri_0 = 20$, on the basis of the measurement and simulation, corrected for $Ri_0$-deviation assuming linear dependencies equations (6) and (7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>2D simulation</th>
<th>1D analytical approx.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_h$ (cm)</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_e$ (μm/s)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Spectral power density of the simulated (solid line) and measured (dashed line) temperature signal during thermocline passage, using the Welch method (Press et al., 1992).

simulation of short-term thermally stratified energy storage.

(2) Tentative model comparison shows that the 2D numerical model predicts the thermocline-entrainment rate and thickness fairly well. It also shows that the dissipation-free analytical model fails.

(3) The observed time and length scales enable direct numerical simulation.

(4) Entrainment is caused by viscous shear exerted on the thermocline by vortices. Subsequent mixing takes place by stretching and folding of fluid particles at the inlet side bulk fluid.

Future work will comprise 2D particle-tracking velocity measurement, investigation of simulation program convergence, application of the program to real stores and finally optimization of storage geometry with respect to thermocline entrainment and thermocline thickness.
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