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Simple and efficient scanning tunneling luminescence detection at low-temperature

J. G. Keizer, J. K. Garleff, and P. M. Koenraad

Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
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We have designed and built an optical system to collect light that is generated in the tunneling region of a low-temperature scanning tunneling microscope. The optical system consists of an in situ lens placed approximately 1.5 cm from the tunneling region and an ex situ optical lens system to analyze the emitted light, for instance, by directing the light into a spectrometer. As a demonstration, we measured tip induced photoluminescence spectra of a gold surface. Furthermore, we demonstrate that we can simultaneously record scanning tunneling microscope induced luminescence and topography of the surface both with atomic resolution. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3274675]

I. INTRODUCTION

Briefly after the introduction of the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) as an instrument to study (semi)conductive surfaces at the atomic scale by Binnig et al.1,2 in 1982, it was realized that its high spatial resolution can be extended into the optical domain. Six years later, light emission from the STM was reported by Coombs et al.3 and Gimzewski et al.4 In scanning tunneling microscopy luminescence (STL) photons created by the recombination of injected minority carriers in semiconductive samples5 or by the decay of a locally excited plasmon state on metallic samples6 are collected and analyzed. Since the processes of luminescence emission are governed by the position and size of the STM tip, STL has the potential to study the optical properties of a surface on the atomic scale. Several STL-modes of operation have been reported in literature ranging from relatively straightforward intensity measurements7−8 to spectral and spatial resolved photon mapping.6,9 In the latter technique luminescence spectra are collected during scanning, resulting in a map of spectra which can be directly linked to the topographic information. In this paper we report the adaptation of a commercially available Omicron low temperature STM for this purpose. Test measurements are performed on an Au(110)(1×3) reconstructed surface. We demonstrate that it is possible to simultaneously record topography and spectrally resolved photon maps with atomic resolution with the employed luminescence collection system. Although, the current paper describes the implementation of a STM induced luminescence collection system in a widely used Omicron low temperature STM, the design is easily adapted to fit other types of STMs such as “beetle” STMs.10

II. INSTRUMENT DESIGN

A. Scanning tunneling microscope

The STM used is a commercially available Omicron low temperature STM that can be operated at LN$_2$ and LHe temperature. The Omicron SPM PRE 4 is used as a current preamplifier. This preamplifier allows the amplification of tunneling currents up to 333 nA. The STM is used in conjunction with the Omicron MATRIX control platform. The Omicron low temperature STM consists of a preparation chamber (p<5×10$^{-10}$ mbar) in which the samples and tips can be prepared, and a measuring chamber (p<5×10$^{-12}$ mbar), which houses the STM head. The entire system is placed on a structurally isolated platform which physically decouples the STM from the building to reduce unwanted vibrations.

B. Collection system

The collection system we designed consists of two parts: two in situ lenses each providing an optical access point, see Fig. 1, and an ex situ optical collection system, see Fig. 2. The STM head of the Omicron low temperature STM provides two optical access points that are tilted at an angle of 20° toward the sample face, see Fig. 1, which hold the in situ lenses. The diameter of the used lenses (Thorlabs al2520, f=200 mm, numerical aperture=0.543) was trimmed to 10 mm to fit a special designed lens holder which in turn fits into the optical access point on the STM head. The lenses were selected to direct the STM-induced luminescence in a parallel bundle through a viewport out of the vacuum chamber. At the moment, only one of the arms is used for luminescence collection. We plan to use the other arm in future excitation experiments. Once outside the vacuum chamber the bundle enters a lens system where it is directed into a CCD camera, three lenses, diaphragm, beamsplitter, collimator, fiber, and monochromator, see Fig. 2. Once the luminescence is coupled into the optical fiber (Thorlabs, d=600 μm) it is directed into a monochromator, Acton Research Corporation SpectraPro-300i, which is fitted with a liquid nitrogen cooled 576×384 Si CCD camera operated at 100 K. In order to ease alignment, the lens system was designed with three translational and two rotational degrees of freedom, see Fig. 3. The
five degrees of freedom facilitate the focusing of the beam onto the fiber. Although not necessary for STM-induced luminescence collection, the beam splitter (8:92) and CCD camera have the advantage that they allow visual tracking of the tip during coarse approach with a resolution of approximately 2 μm. In Fig. 4, a screenshot of the STM tip and its reflection on the sample surface is shown. The STM and the entire collection system, including the monochromator, are placed inside a box to reduce unwanted collection of stray light.

The main advantage of the current design compared with a similar state-of-the-art lens detection system is its simplicity; only one in situ lens has to be installed on the STM head, making the use of any moveable parts inside the vacuum chamber redundant. In addition, the fact that the lens is mounted directly on the STM head, which is cooled, eliminates the need to install an additional cooling system to prevent radiative heating of the STM by the lens and its holder stage. Since in situ moving parts and additional cooling systems are unnecessary in the current design, the system is relatively robust, low cost, and straightforward to install. Due to the use of ex situ real free space optics, the fixed in situ lens does not restrict the luminescence collection to a specific point inside the STM head and allows greater freedom in the ex situ optical analysis. In fact, the five degrees of freedom of the ex situ free space optics allow luminescence collection from a 4×4×4 mm³ volume, allowing the use of a variety of sample holders. Another advantage of the current design over others is the presence of a second in situ lens, see Fig. 1, which can be used separately from the lens that is used for luminescence collection. This opens the possibility to do excitation experiments such as low temperature tip enhanced Raman spectroscopy. The ability to do polarized measurements without the need to install in situ polarization filters and rotation mounts is a further advantage of the current and other designs over designs that employ an in situ optical fiber to collect the luminescence.

C. Detection efficiency

Analysis of the detection efficiency can be split into two parts: (1) collection yield of the first optical component and (2) collection efficiency of the remainder of the optical system. In our case the first optical component is the in situ lens, which has a diameter of 10 mm and is placed 15.7 mm from the tunnel contact. This results in a collection solid angle of ~0.3 sr, which corresponds to ~4.8% of the hemisphere. In order to quantify the collection efficiency of the remainder of the optical system we assume that each electron that is injected by the tip induces a plasmon which decays radiatively with a quantum efficiency of ~1×10⁻⁴. Given the collection yield of the in situ lens, this implies that at a current setpoint of 10 nA a total of ~1.5×10⁴ photons s⁻¹ enter the optical system. At this current setpoint we achieved count rates up to 4×10⁴ s⁻¹. Thus, the efficiency of the collection system is estimated to be ~27%. It should be noted that this value includes the losses in the monochromator and the detection efficiency of Si CCD camera in the wavelength range 500–750 nm. At the cost of the spectral information the collection efficiency can be greatly increased by using a photomultiplier. Given the collection yield of the in situ lens and the estimated collection efficiency of the optical system, the total detection efficiency, i.e., the number of collected photons divided by the number of total emitted photons, is estimated to be ~1.3%, which is comparable to the estimated
collection yield values ($\approx 2.5\%$) of the lens detection system described by Hoffmann et al.$^{11}$

**D. Experimental procedure**

In order to spatially resolve the tip induced luminescence signal, the normal STM topography mode is interrupted at a grid of points. During these interruptions of topography scanning, the feedback loop is kept on resulting in the tip being fixed at a constant height above the surface. In this static situation the luminescence signal is collected. The advantage of this procedure is that both the topography and luminescence information can be extracted in one scan, eliminating the influence of drift and consequently the need for a post-scan overlay correction of topography and luminescence data. The topography information is typically collected at scan speeds in the order of 50 nm/s and the duration of the scan interruptions for luminescence collection is at the order of one second during which a few thousands of electrons are collected, comparable to count rates reported elsewhere in literature.$^{21-25}$ For a $50 \times 50$ nm$^2$ image consisting of 500 topography lines and a $100 \times 100$ luminescence grid the total measuring time would amount to approximately 3 h. Note that the time needed for measuring the topography amounts only to 10% of the total measuring time.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The sample measured consisted of a clamped glass plate on which an approximately 1 $\mu$m thick gold layer was deposited. The sample was exposed to ambient conditions during transfer to the STM. In order to remove possible contaminants, the sample was heated to 150 °C in the preparation chamber before transfer to the measuring chamber. All measurement presented here were done at a temperature of 5 K. Electrochemically etched tungsten tips were used. The tips were heated in the preparation chamber to approximately 1200 K and subsequently bombarded with argon ions to remove the oxide layer.

A first result of the luminescence measurements is shown in Fig. 5, which shows a photon map of a 40 $\times$ 40 nm$^2$ area of the gold surface (top) and a typical luminescence spectrum (bottom). The data was collected at $V=+3.2$ V and $I=100$ nA. The photon map consists of 90 $\times$ 90 pixels. Each pixel of the photon map is obtained by integrating the recorded counts over the wavelength range of 500–750 nm. The average photon count rate is approximately $1.5 \times 10^3$ counts/s.

As it turned out the surface in the current measurement is a Au(110) surface with a $(1 \times 3)$ surface reconstruction. This is illustrated in Fig. 6, which shows a $100 \times 100$ nm$^2$ topography image (top), $V=0.8$ V and $I$=500 pA of the gold surface and a schematic side view of the Au(110)$(1 \times 3)$ reconstruction. The $(1 \times 3)$ reconstruction is clearly visible; the peak to peak distance is 1.2 nm, which is in agreement with the value, 1.26 nm, reported in literature.$^{27}$ The diagonal line in the images is not a scan artifact but a dislocation in the gold surface.

In order to test the spatial resolution of the luminescence emission measurement we analyzed a photon map that was simultaneously recorded with both a topography and a current image which show the $(1 \times 3)$ reconstruction on the sample surface. In Fig. 7 the results are depicted. In the topography image (left) the $(1 \times 3)$ reconstruction is again clearly visible. This is also the case in the current image (middle) and more interesting also in the photon map (right). Comparison between the three rules out the possibility that the atomic resolution in the luminescence measurement is due to over- or undershoot of the tip during scanning; white regions (undershoot, increased current) and dark regions (overshoot, decreased current) at the step edges and dislocation lines in the current image (indicate by the arrows) both result in a decrease in the luminescence emission, see Fig. 7. Therefore, we conclude that current variations, $\pm 15\%$, imposed by the settings of the feedback loop are of little importance for luminescence measurements on this sample system.

To further investigate the spatial resolving power in the luminescence signal, we compared an averaged line section of the topography of the Au(110)$(1 \times 3)$ surface with the corresponding averaged line section in the photon map, see Fig. 8. The peaks and troughs on the plateau in the topography signal (solid line) correspond to the peaks and troughs in the luminescence signal (dashed line). The dotted vertical
lines indicate the theoretical periodicity, 1.26 nm of the surface reconstruction. As can be seen this is in good agreement with both the topography and luminescence data. Multiple explanations are given in literature to explain the increased luminescence on top of the atomic rows. One of them ascribes it to the modulation of the electromagnetic coupling in the cavity formed by the tip and sample, and another to changes in emission spectra, and thus in intensity, due to different excitation mechanisms. However, a recently put forward model by Hofmann et al. might provide the best explanation at the moment. In this model the luminescence intensity modulations, both at a step edge and on the atomic rows, are attributed to different spatial distributions of the local density of states in the elastic and inelastic tunneling channels. Measurements on the Au(110)(1×3) surface might provide evidence to support this model but this is outside the scope of the current paper.

The measurements presented in this paper show that it is possible to implement luminescence detection into a commercially available Omicron low temperature STM. With our optical system we have shown that it is possible to spectrally resolve the luminescence emission and simultaneously record photon maps and topography with atomic resolution.
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