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Due to large surface to volume ratios in microfluidic setups, the roughness of channel surfaces must not be neglected since it is not any longer small compared to the length scale of the system. In addition, the wetting properties of the wall have an important influence on the flow. Even though these effects are getting more and more important for industrial and scientific applications, the knowledge about the interplay of surface roughness and hydrophobic fluid-surface interaction is still very limited because these properties cannot be decoupled easily in experiments. We investigate the problem by means of lattice Boltzmann (LB) simulations of rough microchannels with tunable fluid-wall interaction. We introduce an “effective no-slip plane” at an intermediate position between peaks and valleys of the surface and observe how the position of the wall may change due to surface roughness and hydrophobic interactions. We find that the position of the effective wall, in the case of a Gaussian distributed roughness depends linearly on the width of the distribution. Further we are able to show that roughness creates a non-linear effect on the slip length for hydrophobic boundaries.

1 Introduction

The influence of the surface topologies and wetting behaviour of confining geometries in microfluidic systems is of great importance for the understanding of novel techniques using micro- or nanoscale geometries. Such systems allow to handle microliter or nanoliter quantities of liquid for production and analysis processes in the chemical and pharmaceutical industry, for scientific purposes or medical applications. Due to the small length scales in the system, the surface to volume ratio becomes more important. Assuming the surfaces to be perfectly flat and non-interacting is even on molecular scales an invalid assumption which can lead to large errors in experimental measurements. In this report we utilize lattice Boltzmann simulations to investigate the combined influence of roughness and wettability on the fluid flow. This leads to the question which boundary condition has to be applied at a surface in order to treat the surface topology properly. For more than a hundred years the no-slip boundary condition was successfully applied in engineering applications. Nevertheless, Navier\(^1\) introduced a slip boundary condition

\[
v(x = 0) = \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
\]

saying that the fluid velocity \(v\) at the boundary \(x = 0\) is proportional to the velocity gradient \(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\). The constant of proportionality is given by the slip length \(\beta\). \(\beta\) depends on many parameters like the wettability, the surface roughness or fluid properties like the viscosity or molecular interactions. Therefore, it has to be seen as an empirical length that contains many to some extend unknown interactions. However, for simple liquids the measured slip lengths are commonly of the order of up to some tens of nanometers.

The influence of surface variations on the slip length \(\beta\) has been investigated by numerous authors. On the one hand roughness leads to higher drag forces and thus to no-slip on
macroscopic scales. Richardson showed that even if on a rough surface a full-slip boundary condition is applied, one can determine a flow speed reduction near the boundary resulting in a macroscopic no-slip assumption\(^2\). This was experimentally demonstrated by McHale and Newton\(^3\). On the other hand, roughness can cause pockets to be filled with vapour or gas nano bubbles leading to apparent slip\(^4\). Varnik et al.\(^5\) applied the lattice Boltzmann (LB) method to show that even in small geometries rough channel surfaces can cause flow to become turbulent. Recently, Sbragaglia et al. applied the LB method to simulate fluids in the vicinity of microstructured hydrophobic surfaces\(^6\). In an approach similar to the one proposed by us, they modelled a liquid-vapour transition at the surface utilising the Shan-Chen multiphase LB model\(^7\). The authors were able to reproduce the behaviour of the capillary pressure as simulated by Cotin-Bizonne et al. using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations quantitatively\(^8\).

During the last two years, we published a number of papers in which we presented a model to simulate hydrophobic surfaces with a Shan-Chen based fluid-surface interaction and investigated the behaviour of the slip length \(\beta\)\(^9,10\). We showed that the slip length \(\beta\) is independent of the shear rate, but depends on the pressure and on the concentration of surfactant added. Recently, we presented the idea of an effective wall for rough channel surfaces\(^11\) and investigated the influence of different types of roughness on the position of the effective boundary. Further, we showed how the effective boundary depends on the distribution of the roughness elements and how roughness and hydrophobicity interact with each other\(^12\). In this report, we revise our previous achievements.

2 Simulation Method

We use a 3D LB model as presented in\(^13,9\) to simulate pressure driven flow between two infinite rough walls that might be wetting or non-wetting. Since the method is well described in the literature we only shortly describe it here.

The lattice Boltzmann equation,

\[
\eta_i(x + c_i, t + 1) - \eta_i(x, t) = \Omega_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, b, \quad (1)
\]

with the components \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, b\), describes the time evolution of the single-particle distribution \(\eta_i(x, t)\), indicating the amount of quasi particles with velocity \(c_i\), at site \(x\) on a 3D lattice of coordination number \(b = 19\), at time-step \(t\).

We choose the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) collision operator

\[
\Omega_i = -\tau^{-1}(\eta_i(x, t) - \eta_i^{eq}(u(x, t), \eta(x, t))), \quad (2)
\]

with mean collision time \(\tau\) and equilibrium distribution \(\eta_i^{eq}\)\(^14\). We use the mid-grid bounce back boundary condition and choose \(\tau = 1\) in order to recover the no-slip boundary conditions correctly. Interactions between the boundary and the fluid are introduced as mean field body force between nearest neighbours as it is used by Shan and Chen for the interaction between two fluid species\(^7,9\):

\[
F_{\text{fluid}}(x, t) \equiv -\psi_{\text{fluid}}(x, t) g_{\text{fluid, wall}} \sum_{x'} \psi_{\text{wall}}(x', t)(x' - x). \quad (3)
\]

The interaction constant \(g_{\text{fluid, wall}}\) is set to 0.08 if not stated otherwise. The wall properties are given by the so-called wall density \(\eta_{\text{wall}}\). This enters directly into the effective mass
\[ \psi^i = 1 - e^{-\frac{\eta_i}{\eta_0}}, \] with the normalized mass \( \eta_0 = 1 \). With such a model we can simulate slip flow over hydrophobic boundaries with a slip length \( \beta \) of up to 5 in lattice units\(^9\). It was shown that this slip length is independent of the shear rate, but depends on the interaction parameters and on the pressure.

Here, we model Poiseuille flow between two infinite rough boundaries as shown in Fig. 1. Simulation lattices are 512 lattice units long in flow direction and the planes are separated by 128 sites between the lowest points of the roughness elements \( h_{\text{min}} \). Periodic boundary conditions are imposed in the remaining direction allowing us to keep the resolution as low as 16 lattice units. A pressure gradient is obtained by setting the pressure to fixed values at the in- and outflow boundary. The highest point of one plane gives the height of \( h_{\text{max}} \), while the average roughness is found to be \( R_a \) (see Fig. 2). In the case of symmetrical distributions \( R_a = h_{\text{max}}/2 \).

The position of the effective boundary can be found by fitting the parabolic flow profile

\[ v_z(x) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \left[ d^2 - x^2 - 2d\beta \right] \]  

via the distance \( 2d = 2d_{\text{eff}} \). With \( \beta \) set to 0 we obtain the no-slip case. The viscosity \( \mu \) and the pressure gradient \( \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \) are given by the simulation. To obtain an average value for \( d_{\text{eff}} \), a sufficient number of individual profiles at different positions \( z \) are taken into account. The so found \( d_{\text{eff}} \) gives the position of the effective boundary and the effective height \( h_{\text{eff}} \) of the rough surface is then defined by \( d_{\text{max}} - d_{\text{eff}} \) (see Fig. 2).

3 Flow Along Rough Surfaces

Panzer et al. calculated the slip length \( \beta \) analytically for Poiseuille flow in the case of small cosine-shaped surface variations\(^{15}\). It is applicable to two infinite planes separated by a distance \( 2d \) being much larger than the highest peaks \( h_{\text{max}} \). Surface variations are
determined by peaks of height $h_{\text{max}}$, valleys at $h_{\text{min}}$ and given by $h(z) = h_{\text{max}}/2 + h_{\text{max}}/2 \cos(qz)$. Here, $q$ is the wave number. Since the surfaces are separated by a large distance, the calculated slip length is equal to the negative effective boundary $h_{\text{eff}}$ that is found to be

$$h_{\text{eff}} = -\beta = \frac{h_{\text{max}}}{2} \left( 1 + k \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2} k^2 + \frac{19}{4} k^4 + O(k^6)}{1 + k^2(1 - \frac{1}{4} k^2) + O(k^8)} \right). \tag{5}$$

The first and $k$ independent term shows the linear behaviour of the effective height $h_{\text{eff}}$ on the average roughness $R_a = h_{\text{max}}/2$. Higher order terms cannot easily be calculated analytically and are neglected. Thus, Eq. 5 is valid only for $k = qh_{\text{max}}/2 \ll 1$. However, for realistic surfaces, $k$ can become substantially larger than 1 causing the theoretical approach to fail. Here, only numerical simulations can be applied to describe arbitrary boundaries. In Fig. 3 the normalized effective height $h_{\text{eff}}/R_a$ obtained from our simulations is plotted versus $k$ for cosine shaped surfaces with $h_{\text{max}}/2 = k = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ (symbols). The line is given by the analytical solution of Eq. 5. For $k < 1$ the simulated data agrees within 2.5% with Panzer’s prediction. However, for $k = 1$ a substantial deviation between numerical and analytical solutions can be observed because Eq. 5 is valid for small $k$ only. In the case of large $k > 1$, the theory is not able to correctly reproduce the increase of $\beta$ with increasing $h_{\text{max}}$ anymore. Instead, $2\beta/h_{\text{max}}$ becomes smaller again due to missing higher order contributions in Eq. 5. Our simulations do not suffer from such limitations allowing us to study arbitrarily complex surface geometries$^{11}$. We showed that the position of the effective boundary height is depending on the shape of the roughness elements, i.e., for strong surface distortions it is between 1.69 and 1.90 times the average height of the roughness $R_a = h_{\text{max}}/2^{11}$. By adding an additional distance between roughness elements, $h_{\text{eff}}$ decreases slowly, so that the maximum height is still the leading parameter. We are also able to simulate flow over surfaces generated from AFM data of gold coated glass used in microflow experiments by O.I. Vinogradova and G.E. Yakubov$^{16}$. We find that the height distribution of such a surface is Gaussian and that a randomly arranged surface with a similar distribution gives the same result for the position of the effective boundary although in this case the heights are not correlated. We
Figure 3. Effective height $h_{\text{eff}}$ normalized by the average roughness $R_a$ versus $k = h_{\text{max}}/2q$ for a cosine geometry. Symbols denote numerical data and the line is given by Eq. 5. For $k > 1$ the theory fails simulations are still valid in this regime (from\textsuperscript{12}).

Figure 4. Simulated $h_{\text{eff}}$ versus $R_a$ for gold coated glass and a randomly generated surface with Gaussian distributed heights. The background image shows the gold surface (left) and the artificially generated structure (right)\textsuperscript{11}.

...can set the width of the distribution $\sigma$ and the average height $R_a$. By scaling $\sigma$ with $R_a$ we obtain geometrically similar geometries. This similarity is important because the effective height $h_{\text{eff}}$ scales with the average roughness in the case of geometrical similarity\textsuperscript{11} (see Fig. 4). As an extension of our previous work, we investigate Gaussian distributed heights with different widths $\sigma$. In Fig. 5 the effective height $h_{\text{eff}}$ is plotted over the average height $R_a$ for $0.054 < \sigma/R_a < 0.135$. The height of the effective wall depends linearly on $\sigma$ in the observed range as can be seen in the inset\textsuperscript{12}. The effective height $h_{\text{eff}}$ ranges from $1.15R_a$ to $1.45R_a$. These values are lower than the effective heights for an equally distributed roughness ($1.84R_a$).

4 Wettability and Roughness

We also investigate how roughness and the surface wettability act together by performing simulations with rough channels to which we assign a fluid-wall interaction as given in the
introduction (Eq. 3, \(\eta_{\text{wall}} = 0.5, 1, \) and 5). For perfectly smooth surfaces we determine \(\beta\) to be 0.65, 1.13, and 1.3. Fig. 6 depicts the effective height of rough hydrophobic walls versus \(R_a\). For \(R_a > 4\) we find a linear dependence between \(R_a\) and \(h_{\text{eff}}\). The slope for different \(\eta_{\text{wall}}\) varies because the fluid-surface interaction does not cause a simple offset on the effective height \(h_{\text{eff}}\). Instead, non-linear effects are playing a role.

To decouple the effects of roughness and wettability we determine the slip length by setting the effective distance \(d_{\text{eff}}\) in equation (4) to the effective distance for a rough no-slip wall. We then fit the corresponding velocity profile via \(\beta\). In Fig. 7 we can see that the slip length \(\beta\) for the strong fluid-wall interaction (\(\eta_{\text{wall}} = 5\)) first decreases with the average roughness and then rises. For a lower interaction, the slip length is constantly growing and leads to an increase of the slip length for weak fluid wall interaction (\(\eta_{\text{wall}} = 0.5\)) by a factor of more than three. There are two counteracting effects in this system and their interplay can explain the observed behaviour. The decrease of the slip length \(\beta\) is due to an increased friction near the boundary at moderate roughness. The increase has its
reason in the reduced pressure near the hydrophobic rough surface, so that the fluid “feels” a smoothed effective surface. For a more detailed study on superhydrophobic surfaces, the strong surface variation as well as the liquid-gas transitions have to be taken into account. This is ongoing work and will be reported on in the future.

![Figure 7. Slip length $\beta$ over average roughness $R_a$ for equally distributed height elements with different fluid-wall interaction $\eta_{wall}$.](image)

5 Conclusion

In this report we summarized our work on fluid flow along rough and hydrophobic surfaces which has been performed during the last two years. We demonstrated that there is a linear dependence of the effective height on the average roughness and that the average height scales linearly with the width of the distribution of heights $\sigma$. We successfully applied our simulations to experimental data and showed that neglecting roughness can lead to substantial errors in experimental measurements. Currently, we investigate the interplay between roughness and hydrophobic fluid-wall interactions and presented preliminary results. They show that there exist non-linear interactions between roughness and hydrophobicity leading to an increase of the slip length and eventually to superhydrophobic effects.
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