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Quantifying microbubble clustering in turbulent flow from single-point measurements
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Single-point hot-wire measurements in the bulk of a turbulent channel have been performed in order to detect and quantify the phenomenon of preferential bubble accumulation. We show that statistical analysis of the bubble-probe colliding-time series can give a robust method for investigation of clustering in the bulk regions of a turbulent flow where, due to the opacity of the flow, no imaging technique can be employed. We demonstrate that microbubbles ($R_0 \approx 100 \mu m$) in a developed turbulent flow, where the Kolmogorov-length scale is $\eta = R_0$, display preferential concentration in small scale structures with a typical statistical signature ranging from the dissipative range, $\mathcal{O}(\eta)$, up to the low inertial range $\mathcal{O}(100\eta)$. A comparison to Eulerian–Lagrangian numerical simulations is also presented to further support our proposed way to characterize clustering from temporal time series at a fixed position. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2911036]

I. INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon of preferential concentration of small particles and bubbles in turbulent flows attracted much attention in recent years, from experimental works,1–6 to numerical investigations,7–18 and theoretical developments.19 The preferential accumulation is an inertial effect. Particles heavier than the fluid are on average ejected from vortices, while light buoyant particles tend to accumulate in high vorticity regions. Small air bubbles in water (below 1 mm, typical Reynolds number of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$) can be regarded as a particular kind of nondeformable light spherical particles with density negligibly small compared to the fluid one. In fact, in this size range, shape oscillations or deformations, and wake induced effect can be reasonably neglected. Strong preferential bubble accumulation in core vortex regions is therefore expected according to the inertia mechanism, and indeed observed experimentally2 and numerically.20,21 Next to the added mass force and gravity also drag and lift forces can affect the clustering. Moreover, the coupling of the disperse phase to the fluid flow can affect the clustering. Moreover, the coupling of the disperse phase to the fluid flow (two-way coupling) and the finite-size effect of particle-particle interaction (four-way coupling) may also result in non-negligible factors of perturbation for preferential concentration of particles and bubbles in highly turbulent flows.

Both the lift force, the two-way coupling, and the four-way coupling are notoriously difficult to model in numerical simulations and a validation of the models against numerical simulations is crucial. However, experimental measurements on bubbly laden turbulent flows are challenging, as even at very low void fractions ($\approx 1\%$ in volume) the fluid is completely opaque and difficult to access with external optical methods, especially in the bulk region of the flow. To experimentally explore the bubble clustering in the bulk at high void fraction one therefore has to fall back on intrusive hot-wire anemometer measurements. Such measurements had earlier been employed to determine the modification of turbulent spectra through bubbles.22–24 For the calculation of the velocity spectra bubbles hitting the probe had first to be identified in the hot-wire signals25,26 and then filtered out. In the present paper, we employ the very same hot-wire time series to obtain information on the bubble clustering in the turbulent flow. An alternative method to obtain local information on the bubble distribution may be phase doppler particle analyzers.27

One could object that measurement from one fixed point in space are too intrusive because they can destroy the clusters, or that they are ineffective in extracting features of the bubble trapping in turbulent vortical structures. The aim of this paper is to demonstrate that this is not the case, when using appropriate statistical indicators for the analysis of series of bubble colliding times on the hot-wire probe. We show that it is possible to detect and quantify the microbubble clustering from a one-point measurement setup. We compare experimental findings with results from numerical simulations based on Eulerian–Lagrangian approach. Due to limitations that we will discuss later, only a qualitative agreement among numerics and experiments is expected. Nevertheless, we show how this comparison is helpful in clarifying the trend in the clustering at changing the turbulent conditions.

II. DETAILS OF THE EXPERIMENT METHODS

The experimental setup is the Twente water channel, a vertical duct of square cross section with dimension $200 \times 45 \times 45 \text{ cm}^3$. We refer to Rensen et al.22 for a detailed description. An array of porous ceramic plates, positioned on
the top of the channel, is used to generate coflowing small bubbles of average radius, $R_0 \approx 100 \, \mu m$, as described in Ref. 23. Fluid turbulence is generated by means of an active grid, positioned immediately downstream the bubble injection sites. The typical flow is characterized by a large mean flow, $U$, with turbulent fluctuations, $u' = (u(t) - \bar{U})^{1/2}$, of smaller amplitude. The condition $u'/U \ll 1$ assures that Taylor’s frozen-flow hypothesis can be applied. The dissipative Kolmogorov scale measures typically $\eta = 400-500 \, \mu m$, while the Taylor microscale and the integral one, are, respectively, $\lambda \approx 30 \, \eta$, and $L_0 \approx 500 \, \eta$. The typical bubble size is of the same order, or slightly smaller, than $\eta$.

We consider microbubble signals extracted from a hot-film anemometry probe ($300 \, \mu m$ in size) fixed at the center of the channel. Detection of microbubbles is less ambiguous than for large bubbles where probe piercing and breakup events are highly probable.28 A microbubble hitting the probe produces a signal with a clear spike. The bubble can be identified by thresholding of the velocity time-derivative signal, producing a signal with a clear spike. The bubble can be identified as preferential concentrations in the experimental records is to compute the probability density function (pdf) of the distance, $\Delta r$, between two consecutive bubbles. Whether the particles distribute homogeneously in space, their distribution would be a Poissonian distribution and hence the distance between two consecutive bubbles would be given by the well known exponential expression: $\rho \exp(-\rho \Delta r)$, where $\rho = f/U$ is the number of bubbles per unit length (i.e., their density).29 Due to the presence of turbulence, we expect that, in general, the spatial distribution of the bubbles will differ from a Poissonian distribution: in any case, it is natural to expect that for separation scales large enough the exponential form of the pdf should be recovered. In fact, pairs of successive bubbles with large separations $\Delta r$, larger then any structures in the flow, are expected to be uncorrelated, memoryless, events.

Due to the possible accumulation on small scales (clustering of bubbles), the long tail of the pdf may have an exponential decay rate that is different from the global mean, $\rho$. The tail of the experimentally measured pdf can be fitted with an exponentially decaying function, $A \exp(-\rho \Delta r)$, with a rate that we call $\rho_{h}$, where $h$ stands for homogeneous. In the case of small-scale clustering, we expect $\rho_{h}$ to be smaller than $\rho$. As an indicator of the fraction of bubbles accumulated in turbulent structures, we use the coefficient $C = 1 - \rho_{h}/\rho$, whose value varies in between 0 and 1.

The test, so far, introduced is useful but only provides an indication on how homogeneously distributed the bubbles are at small scales, while it gives no indication on their possible “large-scale” correlations. Here, we introduce a second, more comprehensive, statistical test particularly convenient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_0$ (cm)</th>
<th>$U$ (cm/s)</th>
<th>$u'$ (cm/s)</th>
<th>Re$_b$</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{diss}}$ (s)</th>
<th>$\tau_\eta$ (ms)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (\mu m)</th>
<th>$u_\eta$ (mm/s)</th>
<th>Re$_p$</th>
<th>$R_0/\eta$</th>
<th>St</th>
<th>$g R_p/u_\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>388.0</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>489.0</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to reveal the scales at which the inhomogeneity develops. The idea is to compute the coarse-grained central moments of the number of bubbles, on a window of variable length $r$, $\mu_r^b = (n-(\mu_r))^p$. The length of the window $r$ will be the scale at which we study whether the distribution resembles a homogeneous one. We will focus on scale dependent kurtosis and skewness excesses, respectively: $K(r) = \mu_r^4 / (\mu_r^2)^2 - 3$ and $S(r) = \mu_r^3 / (\mu_r^2)^{3/2}$. A random distribution of particles spatially homogeneous with mean density $\rho$ corresponds to the Poissonian distribution: $p(n) = \exp(-\rho r) / \rho r^n n!$, where $r$ is the length of the spatial window and $n$ is the number of expected events. Therefore, once the particle space rate $\rho$ is given, the value of any statistical moment can be derived for the corresponding window length $r$. A spatially Poissonian distribution of particles implies the functional dependences $K(r) = (\rho r)^{-1}$ and $S(r) = (\rho r)^{-1/2}$. Furthermore, we note that at the smallest scale, when $r = \Delta_{\min}$, we reach the singular limit (shot-noise limit) where for any given space window, we can find none or only one bubble and all statistical moments collapse to the same value. This latter limit, which is by the way coincident with Poisson statistics, represents our minimal detectable scale. We are interested in departures from the shot-noise/random-homogeneous behavior for the statistical observables $K(r)$ and $S(r)$.

IV. RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS ON EXPERIMENTAL DATA

In Fig. 1, we show the computed pdf($\Delta r$) for the two data samples considered. Deviations from global homogeneity are clear if the shape of the histogram is compared to the solid line representing the pdf $\exp(-\rho \Delta r)$. These deviations are slightly more pronounced in the more turbulent case (a) as compared to case (b). Nevertheless, one can notice that the pure exponentially decaying behavior, i.e., homogeneity, is recovered from distances of the order of $O(100\eta)$ up to the large scales. The dotted line on Fig. 1, represents the linear fit on the long homogeneous tail in the interval $[10^4, 2 \times 10^3\eta]$, and the inset boxes, where the pdf is compensated by the fit, shows this latter feature. The evaluation of the coefficient $C$ leads to values for the relative bubbles excess in clusters corresponding to 19% for case (a) ($Re_a \approx 206$) and 10% for case (b) ($Re_a \approx 180$), confirming the trend of stronger concentration in flows with stronger turbulence level. In Fig. 2, we show the kurtosis and skewness behavior, evaluated for the two cases (a) and (b), in a comparison with the Poissonian dependence. We observe, in both cases, a clear departure at small scale from the scaling implied by the global homogeneity, which is only recovered at the large scale ($\approx L_0 \approx 500\eta$) where the data points falls roughly parallel to the Poisson line. The departure from the Poisson line, that is noticeable already at the scales immediately above $\Delta_{\min}$ is an indication that bubbles form clusters even at the smallest scale we are able to detect, that is even below $5\eta$ for case (a) or $3\eta$ for case (b). We observe that for the less turbulent case, (b), the departure from the homogeneous scaling is less marked. A comparison to synthetic Poisson samples of an equivalent number of bubbles, that we have tried, shows that the available statistics is sufficient to validate the deviations from the homogeneity discussed so far. Scale dependent deviation from Poissonian distribution is an evidence of the fact that the dispersed microbubbles are trapped within the dynamical vortical structures of turbulence. Furthermore, we observe that gravity plays a minor role in this dynamics. In fact, on average the bubbles are swept down by the mean flow and $g \tau_u/ u_\eta \sim O(1)$ (see Table I), which implies that even the smallest vortical structures of the flow may trap bubbles. Therefore, it is mainly the inertia that drives the bubble accumulation in the flow.

V. RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS ON NUMERICAL DATA

To give further evidence for the robustness of the suggested statistical analysis of the hot-wire time series, we now repeat the very same procedure with numerical simulation data. We employ standard numerical tools already described and discussed in details in Refs. 13 and 14. In short, we integrate Lagrangian pointwise bubbles evolving on the background of an Eulerian turbulent field. The equation for the evolution of the pointwise bubble is the following:

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = 3 \frac{D\eta}{Dt} - \frac{1}{\tau_b} (v-u) - 2g - (v-u) \times \omega,$$

where $u$ and $\omega$ are, respectively, the fluid velocity and vorticity computed at the bubble position and constitute a sim-
The bubble radius is of order \( a \). As (and actually even more than) in the experiment, the role of gravity is marginal. In Table II, we report details of the numerical simulations, these are chosen trying to match the experimental numbers. However, we could not reach the same scale separations as in the experiments. In the bottom panel of Table II, we translated the numerical units to their physical equivalent. We note that in the numerics the Stokes number, \( St = \frac{\tau_b}{\tau_p} \), which is an indicator of the degree of bubble interaction with turbulence, cannot be as low as in the experiments. To achieve the same, \( St \) would require too much CPU time. For practical reasons, the Stokes values adopted in our numerics are roughly one order of magnitude larger than in the experiments, although always much smaller than unity, \( St \ll 1 \). Under this conditions, simple spatial visualization shows strong bubble accumulation in nearly one-dimensional elongated structures in correspondence to high enstrophy regions (identified as vortex filaments). As already stated, our goal is to use the numerics to confirm the behavior of the suggested observables. To this end, we put 128 virtual pointwise probes in the flow and recorded the hitting times of the bubbles, which we give a virtual radius \( R_0 \). The bubble radius is related to the bubble response time \( \tau_p \), namely, \( R_0 = (9 \tau_p \nu)^{1/2} \) when assuming no-slip boundary conditions at the gas-liquid interface.

An important difference between the experiments and the numerics is the mean flow: it is present in the experiment while intrinsically suppressed in the simulations. In the numerical simulations the time is connected to space displacements through the relation \( \Delta R = \Delta t \cdot u' \), where \( u' \) is the root mean square velocity.

The level of turbulence, given the available resolution, has been pushed as high as possible (\( Re_b = 900 \)) to obtain a better analogy with the experiment. Also, in the numerical simulations, two cases with different Reynolds numbers are considered, see again Table II.

In Figs. 3 and 4, we show the results of the statistical analysis of clustering from the time series obtained from the numerical virtual probes. These two figures should be compared to the analogous experimental findings already discussed and shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Some qualitative similarities are striking. First, starting from Fig. 3, we observe that deviations from random and homogeneous, i.e., pure exponential behavior, are relevant at small scales. This feature is confirmed by the scale dependent kurtosis and skewness.

![FIG. 2. Scale dependent kurtosis, \( K(r) \), for cases (a) (top) and (b) (bottom). Dotted lines represent the Poissonian behavior, that is \( K_p(r) = (\rho r)^{-1} \). Notice that the Poisson scaling behavior is reached for large \( r \) windows only scaling wise. In the insets, the scale dependent skewness, \( S(r) \), behavior is shown. Again, the Poissonian relation is drawn \( S_p(r) = (\rho r^{-1/2} \) (dotted line).](image)

Table II. Relevant turbulent scales and bubble characteristics for the two numerical simulation performed. The top part reports the actual values in numerical units from the simulation, the bottom part shows for comparison the corresponding physical equivalent quantities for air bubbles in water, this is to better appreciate similarities/differences with the experimental conditions of Table I. The values on the bottom part are computed starting from the dimensionless quantities \( Re_b, \tau_p, St \), and by assuming \( \nu = 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( g = 9.8 \, \text{m} \, \text{s}^{-2} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( L_0 ) (cm)</th>
<th>( u' ) (cm/s)</th>
<th>( Re_b )</th>
<th>( \tau_{eddy} ) (ms)</th>
<th>( \tau_p ) (ms)</th>
<th>( \eta ) (μm)</th>
<th>( u' ) (cm/s)</th>
<th>( Re_b )</th>
<th>( R_0/\eta )</th>
<th>( St )</th>
<th>( g \tau_p/\nu' )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a')</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b')</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a')</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b')</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ness of Fig. 4, where departure from the Poisson scaling already starts below $\eta$ scale. Second, the most turbulent case is the most clustered, (a') ($Re_\lambda \approx 94$) more than (b') ($Re_\lambda \approx 87$). The evaluation of the fraction of clustered bubbles, based on the fit of the pdf($\Delta r$) as in the experiment, gives the value 29% for (a') and 37% for (b'). Though the qualitative behavior of the statistical indicators is the same, also some important differences arise in this comparison. First of all, full homogeneity in the numerics seems to be recovered already at scales of order $O(10\eta)$, whereas in the experiments it was only recovered at $O(100\eta)$. Furthermore, the deviations from the Poisson distribution and the fraction of clustered bubbles are definitely stronger in the numerics. There are several possible interpretation for this mismatch, including the possible incompleteness of the employed model Eq. (1): first, some physical effects have been neglected: the fluid-bubble and the bubble-bubble couplings and the associated finite-size effects (in the present conditions bubbles can overlap!). A second reason can be the different degree of bubble interaction with turbulence, a quantity that is parametrized by the Stokes number $St = \tau_b/\tau_p$. The estimated $St$ in the experiment is roughly one order of magnitude smaller than in the simulation. This corresponds to bubbles that react faster to the fluid velocity changes and hence to bubbles that closely follow the fluid particles and accumulate less. Such a trend is also confirmed by our numerics.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed statistical tests in order to detect and quantitatively characterize the phenomenon of preferential bubble concentration from single-point hot-wire anemometer measurements in the bulk of a turbulent channel. Our tools clearly show that the experimental records display bubble clustering. The fraction of bubbles trapped in such structures is indeed considerable and can be estimated to be of the order of 10%. The scale dependent deviations from random-homogeneous distribution, that we associate to typical cluster dimension, extends from the smallest detectable scale, $O(\eta)$, to scales in the low inertial range, $O(100\eta)$. Accumulation of bubbles is enhanced by increasing the turbulence intensity. Comparison with present Eulerian–Lagrangian simulations, where pointlike bubbles strongly accumulate in vortex core regions, shows similar qualitative features and trends.

We hope that our explorative investigation will stimulate new dedicated experiments and numerical simulations to further quantify the clustering dynamics as function of Reynolds number and particle size, type, and concentration. The challenge is to further develop and employ quantitative statistical tools to allow for a meaningful comparison between experiment and simulations, in order to validate the modeling of particles and bubbles in turbulent flow.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Stefan Luther and GertWim Bruggert for extensive help with the experimental setup and the measurements, and for useful discussions. We are grateful to Kazuyasu Sugiyama for useful remarks. This work is part of the research program of the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie FOM, which is financially supported by the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek NWO.

18We have recently studied preferential concentration in E. Calzavarini, M. Kerscher, D. Lohse, and F. Toschi, Dimensionality and morphology of particle and bubble clusters in turbulent flow, J. Fluid Mech. (to be published), see arXiv:0710.1705.