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Using the path integral Monte Carlo technique we show that semiconductor quantum rings with up to six electrons exhibit a temperature, ring diameter, and particle number dependent transition between spin ordered and disordered Wigner crystals. Because of the small number of particles the transition extends over a broad temperature range and is clearly identifiable from the electron pair correlation functions.
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Nanoscopic semiconductor quantum rings (QRs), which recently have been experimentally realized by Lørke et al. [1], are next to quantum wires probably the best prototypes of quasi-one-dimensional quantum systems. QRs can be viewed as rotating Wigner crystals with promising features for application in microelectronics.

While mesoscopic QRs have been investigated theoretically and experimentally in depth [5], nanoscopic rings with strong quantum effects are of increasing interest. Koskinen et al. [6] reported configuration-interaction calculations of rotational and vibrational excitations of nanoscopic QRs with up to \( N = 7 \) electrons. They claim that QRs behave like rather rigid molecules or Wigner crystals with antiferromagnetic order in the ground state. Ahn et al. [7] considered stacked nanoscopic rings and found an \( N \)-dependent Stark effect. Experimentally it has been found that the emission energies of QRs change abruptly whenever adding an electron [8].

In this Letter we present the results of path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) simulations of single nanoscopic QRs with up to eight electrons and different radii \( r_0 \). We show that they undergo a temperature, radius, and particle number dependent spin order-disorder transition. Furthermore, the influence of quantum effects on the spatial electron distribution as well as the addition energies \( \Delta E \) are given. Our results for the addition energies, i.e., the energy that is needed to place an additional electron in a ring, is compared to the experimental results of Warburton et al. [8].

In contrast to Hartree-Fock and spin density functional theory PIMC samples without any approximation the full many body wave function instead of single or sums of Slater determinants. Especially for highly correlated electron systems this is a major advantage of PIMC. Another benefit of PIMC is the possibility to study temperature dependent phenomena. For quantum dots the problems of different density functional approaches have intensively been discussed in the past [2,9–11]. The so-called fermion sign problem is still a topic of actual research and restricts the application of PIMC to a limited number of fermions and for QRs to a temperature of at least 10 K.

The Feynman path integral for an \( N \)-electron system with position eigenket \( |r_1,s_1\rangle \) (\( s_1 = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) for spin-up and spin-down electrons) in an external potential can be rewritten as [12]

\[
Z = \int \prod_{\gamma=1}^{M} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\gamma_i(\gamma) \prod_{\delta=1}^{M} \det(A(\delta,\delta+1)) \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{M} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} V(r_1(\alpha),\ldots,r_N(\alpha))\right) + O\left(\frac{\beta^3}{M^2}\right)
\]

with

\[
A(\alpha,\alpha+1)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
|r_1(\alpha)| \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{M} \frac{p_i^2}{2m}\right) |r_1(\alpha+1)) : s_i = s_j , \\
0 & : s_j \neq s_j ,
\end{cases}
\]

and the boundary condition \( r_j(M+1) = r_j(1) \). For \( M \to \infty \) Eq. (2) becomes exact. Standard Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) techniques can be utilized to evaluate the integral in (2).

The basic quantities reflecting the spatial structure of the electron configuration are the electron-electron (distance) pair correlation functions \( \Gamma_{ij}(r) = \langle \delta(r - |r_i - r_j|) \rangle \), the angular pair correlation functions \( \Gamma_{ij}(\phi) = \langle \delta(\phi - \phi_i - \phi_j) \rangle \), and the radial electron density \( \rho_i(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \langle \delta(r - |r_i|) \rangle \), from which all energies can be calculated using the hypervirial...
Our FORTRAN code is completely parallelized using MPI overall error of all energy expectation values below 0.3%.

To investigate the ring size dependence we performed additional calculations for 

In our simulations we controlled the systematic error arising from the limited number of time slices $M$ and the statistical MC error carefully. By choosing $M \times T = 600$ and using up to $10 \times 10^{10}$ MC steps per run we pushed the overall error of all energy expectation values below 0.3%. Our FORTRAN code is completely parallelized using MPI and Lapack, and most calculations have been performed on a Cray T3E with 64 processors.

We fixed the strength of the harmonic potential $\hbar \omega_0 = 12$ meV, resulting in effective atomic units for the Hartree energy $E_H = 10.995$ meV and the Bohr radius $a_0 = 10.1886$ nm. A ring diameter $r_0 = 14$ nm = $1.37a_0$ then corresponds to the experimental setup of Lorke et al. [1]. To investigate the ring size dependence we performed additional calculations for $r_0 = 50.94$ nm = $5a_0$.

Figures 2(b) and 2(c) display the pair correlation functions of QRs with $N = 6$, $S = 0$, and ring diameters $r_0 = 1.37a_0$ and $5.0a_0$ at $T = 15$ K. As expected for both diameters peaks at $\varphi = \pi/3$ and $\varphi = 2\pi/3$ occur in $\Gamma(\varphi)$. For $r_0 = 5a_0$ the angular pair correlation functions for electrons with equal and unequal spin are almost identical; i.e., the Pauli principle does not play an important role in this case. In contrast, for $r_0 = 1.37a_0$ the pair correlation functions show a strong spin dependence. The electrons arrange on the ring with antiferromagnetic order. Such spin density waves have been predicted by Koskinen et al. [6,11]. The role of quantum effects is reflected as well in Fig. 2(a). For the smaller ring size the radial electron density is much broader and nonvanishing at the ring center, implying that the system does not behave like a quasi-1D system. In a perfect hexagonal Wigner crystal the equilibrium distances of the electrons would be $r = 1$, $1.73$, and $2.0r_0$. For $r_0 = 5.0a_0$ the distance pair correlation function is approximately a properly weighted superposition of Gaussians centered at these distances. For $r_0 = 1.37a_0$ the pair correlation function depends on the total spin of a pair and is broadened up to $4r_0$. From Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) we infer that the most probable configuration is one where the electrons are ordered on a zigzag line around the circle; i.e., the electrons arrange alternately in the inner and the outer parts of the ring.

Figure 3 displays the angular spin density pair correlation functions for both ring diameters, temperatures $T = 15$, $30$, and $90$ K, and particle numbers $N = 4$ and $6$. In all cases the large angle correlations disappear with increasing temperature and at $T = 90$ K only at small angles a spin correlation is still visible. For $r_0 = 5.0a_0$ the negative correlation at small angles increases with increasing temperature. However, this is simply due to the fact that the values of both correlation functions at small angles become larger with increasing temperature. The spin correlations for the small ring are about 1 order of magnitude larger than those in the ring with radius $r_0 = 5.0a_0$ (note the different scalings of the abscissas). A comparison between $N = 4$ and $N = 6$ shows that the spin correlation is smaller for the larger system. A probable reason for this is that for $N = 6$ the contribution of the Coulomb repulsion is much larger (see Table I) freezing the electron in the Wigner crystal and — thinking in the picture of one-particle wave functions — making the overlap between one-particle wave functions smaller.

In summary, from Fig. 3 it can be inferred that a spin order-disorder transition appears with increasing temperature, increasing electron number, and increasing ring size.
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TABLE I. Total, kinetic, potential, ring, and Coulomb energies

\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
N & r_0 & T & E_{\text{tot}} & E_{\text{kin}} & E_{\text{pot}} & E_{\text{ring}} & E_c \\
4 & 1.37 & 10 & 57.2 & 23.0 & 34.2 & 16.1 & 18.1 \\
 & & 25 & 59.3 & 24.4 & 35.0 & 16.8 & 18.2 \\
 & & 90 & 85.1 & 40.6 & 44.4 & 26.5 & 17.9 \\
5 & 10 & 32.7 & 15.0 & 17.7 & 11.4 & 6.3 \\
 & & 25 & 36.1 & 17.7 & 18.4 & 11.6 & 6.8 \\
 & & 90 & 62.0 & 35.4 & 26.6 & 18.5 & 8.1 \\
6 & 1.37 & 10 & 117.8 & 39.4 & 78.4 & 31.1 & 47.3 \\
 & & 25 & 122.5 & 42.6 & 79.9 & 32.9 & 46.9 \\
 & & 90 & 160.9 & 66.7 & 94.2 & 48.4 & 45.8 \\
5 & 10 & 61.0 & 25.3 & 35.7 & 17.3 & 18.3 \\
 & & 25 & 65.7 & 28.8 & 36.9 & 17.8 & 19.1 \\
 & & 90 & 105.8 & 55.3 & 50.6 & 29.1 & 21.5 \\
\end{array} \]

Next we consider the temperature dependence of the
spin order-disorder transition in some more detail. Figure
4 displays the mean values of the angular separation of
the electrons with equal and unequal spin for \( N = 4 \) and
6, \( S = 0 \), and \( r_0 = 1.37a_0 \) as a function of temperature.
As expected from the results presented above, the overall
differences between the expectation values for equal
and unequal spins approach zero with increasing tempera-
ture; i.e., the Pauli principle becomes less important. In
addition, the values for \( N = 6 \) are smaller than those for
\( N = 4 \). Obviously, this is because the available portion
per particle of the ring volume is smaller for a larger num-
ber of electrons and the Coulomb repulsion is unable to
disperse the particles. At \( T = 10 \) K and \( N = 4 \) the contri-
bution of the Coulomb term to the total potential energy
is 53% for \( r_0 = 1.37a_0 \) and only 36% for \( r_0 = 2a_0 \), while
for \( N = 6 \) the difference between the different diameters
is with 60% and 51% substantially smaller (see Table I).

The slope of \( \langle \varphi^{(l)} \rangle \) for \( N = 4 \) can be understood as fol-
lows. Up to 40 K \( \langle \varphi^{(l)} \rangle \) increases due to spin disordering.
At higher temperatures \( \langle \varphi^{(l)} \rangle \) decreases due to increasing
thermal fluctuations.

Finally, we calculated the second energy differences
\( \Delta E = E_{N+1} - 2E_N + E_{N-1} \), also called addition en-
ergies, which are an indicator of the stability of a quantum
ring with a given number of electrons. For quantum dots it
was claimed that the electron configurations are given by
Hunds rule [11] and consequently magic numbers occur at
\( N = 2, 6, 12, \) and 20. Here we calculated only the addi-
tion energy up to \( N = 7 \) for 25 K and \( N = 5 \) for 10 K. As
expected due to the Pauli principle and the Wigner crys-
tal structure, a strong odd even effect occurs. The general
behavior of this effect does not change for 25 K. How-
ever, for higher temperatures significant differences can be
expected (see above). Warburton et al. [8] argued that the
general features of shell effects occurring in QRs are the
same as in quantum dots. As can be inferred from Fig. 5,
our calculations confirm this for \( N = 6 \). Furthermore,
the addition energies are in the same range as those from
photoluminescence measurements at 4 K [8]. Because
of the strong Coulomb repulsion in QRs, which grows
with increasing electron number, it can be expected that
shell effects become less important with increasing particle
number.

The effects described above are reflected quantitatively
in Table I presenting the total energy \( (E_{\text{tot}}) \), kinetic energy
\( (E_{\text{kin}}) \), total potential energy \( (E_{\text{pot}}) \), the energy due to the
ring potential \( (E_{\text{ring}}) \), and the Coulomb energy \( (E_c) \).

FIG. 3. Angular spin density correlation function \( \Gamma^{(\ell)}(\varphi) - \Gamma^{(\ell')}(\varphi) \) for \( T = 10, 30, \) and 90 K and (a) \( N = 4 \), \( S = 0 \), and
\( r_0 = 1.37a_0 \), (b) \( N = 6 \), \( S = 0 \), and \( r_0 = 1.37a_0 \), (c) \( N = 4 \),
\( S = 0 \), and \( r_0 = 5a_0 \), and (d) \( N = 6 \), \( S = 0 \), and \( r_0 = 5a_0 \).

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the mean angles \( \langle \varphi^{(l)} \rangle \) and
\( \langle \varphi^{(l')} \rangle \) for \( N = 4 \) and 6, \( S = 0 \), and \( r_0 = 1.37a_0 \).
In conclusion, we presented the results of full many body wave function calculations for QRs with up to eight electrons. We found that the properties of the rings depend in an intriguing manner on the ring diameter, the particle number, and the temperature, which in turn is due to spin correlation, Coulomb ordering, and the general strength of quantum effects. QRs exhibit a parameter dependent spin order-disorder transition. By variation of the ring diameter the system can be tuned from a quasi-1D Wigner crystal to a 2D structure. The accessible parameter ranges can be used to tune the properties of quantum rings to desired values. Because of the ring diameter as an additional parameter, this qualifies them as even better candidates than quantum dots for possible applications in microelectronics. The addition energies calculated using PIMC are in good agreement with the experimental results of Warburton et al. [8] and reflect the predicted shell effects.
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[3] The value of $\kappa = 12.9$ for GaAs is slightly different from the experiments of Lorke et al., which has the more appropriate value of $\kappa = 14.5$ for InAs. We have chosen this value for easier comparison to previous quantum dot calculations.