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Film growth precursors in a remote SiH\textsubscript{4} plasma used for high-rate deposition of hydrogenated amorphous silicon
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The SiH\textsubscript{4} dissociation products and their contribution to hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) film growth have been investigated in a remote Ar–H\textsubscript{2}–SiH\textsubscript{4} plasma which is capable of depositing device-quality a-Si:H at 10 nm/s. SiH\textsubscript{2} radicals have been detected by means of threshold ionization mass spectrometry for different fractions of H\textsubscript{2} in the Ar–H\textsubscript{2}-operated plasma source. It is shown that at high-H\textsubscript{2} flows, SiH\textsubscript{4} dissociation is dominated by hydrogen abstraction and that SiH\textsubscript{3} contributes dominantly to film growth. At low-H\textsubscript{2} flows, a significant amount of very reactive silane radicals, SiH\textsubscript{4−x}(x≤2), is produced, as concluded from threshold ionization mass spectrometry on SiH\textsubscript{2} and optical emission spectroscopy on excited SiH and Si. These radicals are created by dissociative recombination reactions of silane ions with electrons and they, or their products after reacting with SiH\textsubscript{4}, make a large contribution to film growth at low-H\textsubscript{2} flows. This is corroborated by the overall surface reaction probability which decreases from ~0.5 to ~0.3 with increasing H\textsubscript{2} fraction. The film properties improve with increasing H\textsubscript{2} flow and device-quality a-Si:H is obtained at high H\textsubscript{2} fractions where SiH\textsubscript{3} dominates film growth. Furthermore, it is shown that at high-H\textsubscript{2} flows the contribution of SiH\textsubscript{4} is independent of the SiH\textsubscript{4} flow while the deposition rate varies over one order of magnitude. © 2000 American Vacuum Society.

I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand for clean energy sources has initiated a lot of research on hydrogenated amorphous-silicon (a-Si:H) and its application in thin-film solar cells. To increase their competitiveness with conventional energy sources, the work in this field is mainly focused on increasing the solar cells’ (stable) efficiency, the application of new low-cost materials, and the improvement of the production processes, which should all be compatible with large-area deposition and a high throughput. In this respect, one of the central questions is whether it is possible to deposit a-Si:H suitable for the application in thin solar cells at high growth rates (preferably >1 nm/s). Several investigations have already addressed this question,\textsuperscript{1–10} and recently it was shown that device-quality a-Si:H can be obtained even at a rate of 10 nm/s with the expanding thermal plasma.\textsuperscript{11,12} This technique combines a high-pressure thermal plasma source with a low-pressure deposition chamber and is, therefore, a real remote plasma. The a-Si:H obtained has some remarkable properties, such as an enhanced hole drift mobility, and the good film quality is confirmed by the results on the first, not yet optimized, solar cells which contained intrinsic a-Si:H deposited at a rate of 7 nm/s.\textsuperscript{11,12}

To have insight into the conditions under which good-quality a-Si:H can be obtained at high-deposition rates and what are the limitations, the reactions in the plasma and at the film surface are studied for the expanding thermal plasma. It yields a better understanding of the deposition process of a-Si:H and the resulting film properties, which enables further process and film quality optimization. This knowledge is also of importance for other (low-deposition rate) SiH\textsubscript{4} plasmas, and it can yield insight into how to increase the growth rate in these plasmas while maintaining good film quality.

This article, which is part of a project aiming at a good understanding of the plasma processes and film growth in the expanding thermal plasma, deals with the plasma chemistry which has already been addressed globally in previous work.\textsuperscript{13} Here, the dissociation reactions of SiH\textsubscript{4} are considered in more detail and information on the contribution of the specific reaction products to film growth is obtained from applying several plasma diagnostics. This is done for different plasma conditions and the results are related to the obtained film properties, which are presented in detail in another article.\textsuperscript{14} It is focused on the silane radicals (SiH\textsubscript{4−x}, x≤3) because an extensive investigation of the ion chemistry showed that the contribution of Si\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{m} ions is less than 10%, in terms of Si atoms deposited, for all conditions.\textsuperscript{13,16} The contribution of the different radicals is expected to have important implications for the film quality because the film properties are strongly dependent on the plasma parameters while the contribution of the ions remains about constant. The radicals are studied by a combination of diagnostics, such as threshold ionization mass spectrometry, optical emission spectroscopy, and aperture–well experiments, which have been applied to two parameter studies: the variation of the plasma source operation by changing the H\textsubscript{2} flow in the source and the variation of the downstream SiH\textsubscript{4} density.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Deposition setup and plasma source operation

The deposition setup is depicted schematically in Fig. 1 and it consists of a cascaded arc plasma source and a depo-
probe measurements have revealed both Thomson-scattering experiments as well as Langmuir-electron density and relatively low electron temperature. Operated on pure Ar, the downstream plasma has a high expansion from the cascaded arc into the deposition chamber. Blowers with a high pumping capacity. Therefore, the plasma in the deposition chamber is kept low.\(^\dagger\)

-\(\text{SiH}_4\) by electron processes is, therefore, negligible in the Ar–H\(_2\)–operated plasma source. The deposition setup and dissociation by the reactive species emanating from the reactions between Ar and H\(_2\) is mainly by Ar atomic particles. When the source is operated on pure Ar this is mainly by Ar\(^+\).

When H\(_2\) is admixed to the Ar in the arc, \(n_e = 10^{22}\) m\(^{-3}\) and an electron and heavy-particle temperature \(T_e = T_h = 1\) eV.\(^\dagger\) The pressure in the deposition chamber is kept low (\(\sim 0.20\) mbar) by means of roots blowers with a high pumping capacity. Therefore, the plasma expands from the cascaded arc into the deposition chamber. Operated on pure Ar, the downstream plasma has a high electron density and relatively low electron temperature: both Thomson-scattering experiments as well as Langmuir-probe measurements have revealed \(n_e = 10^{19}\) m\(^{-3}\) and \(T_e = 0.3\) eV for the presented conditions.\(^\dagger\)\(^\ddagger\) The dissociation of \(\text{SiH}_4\) by electron processes is, therefore, negligible in comparison with dissociation reactions by reactive ionic and atomic particles. When the source is operated on pure Ar this is mainly by Ar\(^+\).

When H\(_2\) is admixed to the Ar in the arc, \(n_e\) in the downstream region is drastically reduced (a factor of 40 going from 0 to 100 sccs H\(_2\)) due to associative charge transfer reactions between Ar\(^+\) and H\(_2\) followed by dissociative recombination with electrons.\(^\dagger\)\(^\ddagger\)\(^\S\) \(T_e\) decreases to 0.1–0.2 eV (Refs. 16 and 20) and the dominant ion in the downstream region changes to H\(^+\).\(^\ddagger\) Furthermore, the H\(_2\) in the cascaded arc is effectively dissociated due to the high gas temperature (\(\sim 1\) eV) in the plasma source.\(^\ddagger\) Consequently, for a considerable fraction of H\(_2\), the cascaded arc acts predominantly as an atomic hydrogen source.

For different H\(_2\) fractions, the total ion flow emanating from the arc has been determined by radial Langmuir-probe measurements just after the arc exit. It revealed an ion fluence of almost 3 sccs for a pure Ar plasma and 0.07 sccs for a plasma with 10 sccs H\(_2\).\(^\dagger\)\(^\S\)\(^\ddagger\) The amount of H in the downstream region has been quantified by means of two-photon absorption laser-induced fluorescence (TALIF) under similar conditions in a different reactor.\(^\ddagger\) The determination of the total fluence of H available for \(\text{SiH}_4\) dissociation from these measurements is more complicated. Although it is expected that the H\(_2\) in the cascaded arc is nearly fully dissociated, it has been shown that a significant amount of H is lost by recombination in the nozzle of the plasma source and by fast radial escape of H from the shock. The forward flow of H has been estimated at roughly 1–2 sccs for an injected H\(_2\) flow of 10 sccs.\(^\ddagger\)

Apart from the fact that \(\text{SiH}_4\) is not dissociated by electrons, other important differences with conventional plasma deposition techniques are the low energy that ions gain in the plasma sheath (\(\sim 1–2\) eV) and the relatively high gas temperature (\(1000–1500\) K (Refs. 23 and 24)). The low ion energy is a consequence of the low \(T_e\) and it saves the deposited films from severe ion bombardment (additional ion energy can be obtained by applying an external rf bias on the substrate). The high gas temperature is due to the fact that the plasma is thermal in origin.

### B. Threshold ionization mass spectrometry

The substrate holder has been replaced by a mass spectrometer (see Fig. 1) to analyze the flux of radicals towards the substrate. The mass spectrometer, with a similar geometry as the substrate holder, is a modified version (PSM Upgrade) of the Hiden Analytical EPIC 300, which suffered from detection of plasma photons because its detector was in direct line of sight with the plasma source.\(^\ddagger\) In the upgrade, the detector is blocked from photons from the source by adding a Bessel-box type of energy analyzer. Gas extraction

---

**Table I. Setup conditions of the expanding thermal plasma for high-rate deposition of \(\alpha\)-Si:H.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ar flow</td>
<td>55 sccs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2) flow</td>
<td>0–15 sccs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc current</td>
<td>45 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc voltage</td>
<td>70–140 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc pressure</td>
<td>(-400) mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{SiH}_4) flow</td>
<td>1–20 sccs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream pressure</td>
<td>0.18–0.20 mbar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1.** (a) Schematic of the expanding thermal plasma and plasma diagnostics. The mass spectrometer is located at the usual position of the substrate holder. (b) The cascaded arc plasma source.
takes place by a knife-edged extraction orifice with a diameter and thickness of 50 μm. This leads to an effusive flow of the plasma species in the mass spectrometer, which is differentially pumped by a 56 l/s turbopump. During plasma operation with a typical pressure of 0.20 mbar, the pressure in the mass spectrometer is within the range 7×10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁶ mbar. The ionizer region with the filament is located at about 24 mm from the extraction orifice. The linear filament is made of thoriated iridium and yields a high electron emission current \( I_e \) at relatively low temperatures: \( I_e \) is actively controlled at 50 μA, corresponding with a filament temperature of about 1800 K. Behind the ionizer the Bessel box is located, which is followed by the mass filter (with rf-driven pre- and postfilter) and channeltron (DeTech 415).

To distinguish ionization of radicals in the mass spectrometer from dissociative ionization of SiH\(_4\) the threshold ionization or appearance potential method has been used. This method is described in detail in the literature.\(^{25,26}\) Briefly, the energy of the electrons emitted by the filament is scanned in the vicinity of the ionization potential of the radical of interest, while monitoring the intensity at its mass-over-charge ratio. At electron energies \( E \) higher than the ionization potential \( E_i \) of the radical but lower than the potential for dissociative ionization of the parent molecule into the specific ionic channel (appearance potential \( E_a \)), the measured intensity \( I(E) \) is a measure for the radical density \( n \) in the plasma:

\[
I(E) = \alpha I_e \sigma(E) n, \quad \text{with} \quad E_i < E < E_a, \tag{1}
\]

with \( \sigma(E) \) the cross section for ionization and \( \alpha \) a geometry and mass-dependent proportionality constant. The measured radical intensity is corrected for the contribution by pyrolysis, i.e., thermal decomposition, of SiH\(_4\) on the filament by subtracting the signal at \( E < E_a \) obtained for the "plasma off" condition. Herein, differences in the SiH\(_4\) signal at \( E \approx E_a \) during "plasma on" and "plasma off" (due to SiH\(_4\) consumption and/or gas temperature effects) are taken into account.\(^{27}\)

Quantification of the radical density takes place by linking the radical intensity to the measured intensity of the parent SiH\(_4\) molecule at \( E \approx E_a \).\(^{25,26}\) The latter can directly be related to the known partial pressure of SiH\(_4\) during "plasma off." In this method, besides the difference in cross sections for electron-induced ionization of the radical and parent molecule (at the appropriate electron energies), also the difference in surface reactivity should be taken into account. SiH\(_4\) is not reactive and will lead to a residual partial pressure in the mass spectrometer, whereas silane radicals are rather reactive\(^{28}\) and are easily lost on their way to and in the ionizer. Therefore, practically only SiH\(_4\) radicals in the beam of the effusive flux that reaches the ionizer without wall collisions (determined by the acceptance angle) contribute to the SiH\(_4\) intensity (beam component), whereas the measured intensity for SiH\(_4\) has both a beam and residual density component. This difference can be taken into account by a correction factor \( C \) that can be estimated from the density \( n_{\text{beam}} \) of SiH\(_4\) in the beam originating directly from the extraction orifice and the density \( n_{\text{res}} \) of residual SiH\(_4\) at the position of the ionizer:

\[
C = \frac{n_{\text{beam}} + n_{\text{res}}}{n_{\text{beam}}}, \tag{2}
\]

For a SiH\(_4\) density \( n \) and a thermal velocity \( v \) in front of the extraction orifice with area \( A \), these densities in the ionizer at a position \( l \) from the extraction orifice are given by\(^{29}\)

\[
n_{\text{beam}} = \frac{nA}{4\pi l^2} \quad \text{and} \quad n_{\text{res}} = \frac{2\pi l A v}{S}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( S \) is the pumping speed for SiH\(_4\) at the position of the ionizer. For the present setup, this yields \( C \approx 35 \) when using \( S = 56 \text{l/s}. \) This high value of \( C \) means that the residual SiH\(_4\) density in the ionizer is much higher than the density of SiH\(_4\) in the beam directly originating from the extraction orifice. The residual gas density in the ionizer can be reduced by applying double partial pumping as done by Kae-Nune \emph{et al.}\(^{26}\) Furthermore, our case differs from the one described by Singh, Coburn, and Graves\(^{30}\) by the fact that for silane radicals the surface loss probability is much higher than for the radicals studied in their work.

In this procedure it is important that the emission current \( I_e \) is independent of electron energy \( E \). This requires that \( I_e \) is controlled at rather low currents because at higher currents space charge can easily build up around the filament for low-\( E \) values, as described by the Child–Langmuir law. This can cause \( I_e \) to deviate considerably from the demanded \( I_e \) and to depend on \( E \). This effect has experimentally been investigated yielding that for \( I_e = 50 \mu A \) the influence of space charge is negligible down to \( E = 5 \text{ eV}. \)

Furthermore, space-charge effects, as well as the thermal energy distribution of emitted electrons, the potential drop along the filament, and contact and surface potentials of the electrodes, lead also to an electron energy which is not purely monoenergetic. This causes an apparent onset of the signal below the ionization potential and troubles the determination of the ionization potential and/or calibration of the electron energy scale. For the Hiden system the half width at half maximum value is estimated to be within 0.5 eV. The electron energy scale has been calibrated by means of the ionization potential of noble gases and applying the commonly used linear extrapolation method.\(^{31}\) This revealed that the electron energies are ~1.4 eV lower than indicated by the energy scale.

### C. Emission spectroscopy

Emission spectroscopy has been applied to monitor the emission by excited SiH and Si radicals. For SiH the \( A^2Delta-X^2II \) electronic transition band at around 414 nm has been analyzed and for Si I the \( 4s^1P_0-3p^1S \) transition at 390.6 nm. The emission spectroscopy setup is a modified version of the one described in Ref. 32, which dealt with measurements under less relevant plasma conditions in terms of resulting \( a\)-Si:H quality. By means of a high-grade fused-

---
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silica fiber (Oriel 77532), the total (i.e., nonlocal) emission by the plasma in the region from the substrate to ~20 cm upstream has been collected and focused on a Czerny–Turner monochromator (Jobin–Yvon HR 640) with a focal length of 640 mm and a dispersion of 1 nm/mm. The entrance slit used is 250 μm and a charge-coupled-device camera (SBIG ST-6 Optohead), recording a wavelength range of ~11 nm, has been used as the detector.

Emission by Ar in a pure Ar plasma is used as a reference to correct for reduced transmission due to deposition on the spectroscopic window. Furthermore, the integration time is chosen such that the correction for this reduced transmission is rather constant for the different plasma conditions. Moreover, the reactor is cleaned by means of an Ar–CF4 plasma after every measurement.

Only nonlocal and relative measurements of the emission intensity have been performed, and from fitting simulations of the SiH emission spectrum to the experimental data the vibrational and rotational temperatures of the excited radical have been extracted. This technique, explored by Perrin and Delafosse, is applied by using an improved version of the program described in Ref. 32.

D. Other diagnostics

The other diagnostics will be presented only briefly as they are described extensively in previous articles. The depletion or net consumption of SiH4 for the different plasma conditions has been determined by a residual gas analyzer (Balzers Prisma QMS 200) located at the side of the deposition chamber. The difference in the measured signal I due to SiH4 (at m/e = 28–32) when the plasma is on and off can be attributed completely to the consumption of SiH4. The equivalent flow of SiH4 that is consumed by the plasma $\Phi_{\text{SiH}_4,\text{cons}}$, is calculated by multiplying the fraction of SiH4 that is consumed with the initial SiH4 flow $\Phi_{\text{SiH}_4}$:

$$\Phi_{\text{SiH}_4,\text{cons}} = \frac{I_{\text{off}} - I_{\text{on}}}{I_{\text{off}}} \Phi_{\text{SiH}_4}. \quad (4)$$

The overall surface reaction probability of the species contributing to growth has been determined from so-called “aperture–well” experiments. This technique uses a well, created by two substrates, in which reactive particles from the plasma entering through a small slit in the upper substrate are trapped. From the distribution of amount of film deposited on both substrates an overall surface reaction probability $\beta_0$ can be calculated, which depends on the relative fluxes of the reactive species entering the well and their particular surface reaction probability $\beta$. More information can be found in Ref. 28.

III. RESULTS

A. H2 flow series

In this section, the dissociation of SiH4 is considered for different operating regimes of the cascaded arc as presented in Sec. II A. The SiH4 flow is kept fixed at 10 sccs. The net consumed SiH4 flow is given in Fig. 2 as a function of the H2 flow in the cascaded arc. For zero H2 flow, the SiH4 consumption is very large (~60%). This can be attributed to ion-induced reactions as mainly Ar+ emanates from the plasma source. For increasing the H2 admixture the SiH4 consumption first decreases due to decreasing ion fluence while for H2 flows >3 sccs the reactions with H take over. From that point, the SiH4 consumption increases slightly due to an increasing H flow from the arc. Apparently, the consumption of SiH4 for the H-dominated region is lower than for the ion-dominated region. In Fig. 2, also the corresponding deposition rate for a substrate temperature of 400 °C is given. From the fact that the deposition rate (when corrected for the Si atomic film density) scales very well with the consumption of SiH4, it can be concluded that the consumed SiH4 is mostly converted into a-Si:H and not into polysilanes, as also corroborated by the small fraction of Si2H6 and Si3H8 observed.

At high-H2 flows, it is expected that mainly SiH3 will be created as the reaction of H with SiH4 leads to hydrogen abstraction of SiH4:

$$\text{H} + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_3 + \text{H}_2. \quad (5)$$

The rate of this reaction is given by $1.8 \times 10^{-16} \times \exp(-1925/T) \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for a gas temperature $T$ (in K) in the range 290–660 K, while at higher temperatures rates higher than predicted from this relation have been proposed. Therefore, SiH3 has been measured by threshold ionization mass spectrometry. An electron energy scan at $m/e = 31$ corresponding with the SiH3+ ion is given in Fig. 3. The used integration time per step in $E$ is 1.5 s. At low values of $E$ a hump due to direct ionization of SiH3 can clearly be seen, while at higher energies the signal due to dissociative ionization of SiH3 comes up. The depicted electron energy scale is not rescaled, and the too high ionization and appearance potential of SiH3 and SiH4 are in fair agreement with the shift of +1.4 eV obtained from the calibration procedure. For high $E$, the signal for “plasma on” is normalized to the one

![Fig. 2. Consumption of SiH4 expressed in equivalent flow as a function of H2 admixture in the cascaded arc. The SiH4 flow is 10 sccs. The corresponding deposition rate for a substrate temperature of 400 °C is given on the right axis.](image-url)
for “plasma off” such that the signal for “plasma off” due to pyrolysis (at $E < E_a$) can be subtracted directly from the radical signal.

The densities of SiH$_3$ obtained for different H$_2$ flows in the arc are given in Fig. 4(a). A complication arises from the fact that the gas extraction orifice becomes easily clogged due to the high-deposition rate. This is (partly) circumvented by monitoring a reference signal, but especially the SiH$_3$ measurements at very low H$_2$ flows, with deposition rates up to 60 nm/s, were cumbersome. The absolute values of the SiH$_3$ densities have been estimated by the method described in Sec. II B. The partial ionization cross sections of SiH$_4$ have been taken from Ref. 36. Information about ionization cross sections for SiH$_x$ radicals has been only recently available from work by Tarnovsky, Deutsch, and Becker who determined ionization cross sections for SiD$_x$ radicals. The latter cross sections for SiD$_3$ and SiD$_2$ radicals at $E = 10$ eV are, respectively, a factor of ~4 smaller and ~2 larger than those estimated by Kae-Nune et al. We note that the absolute scale of the SiH$_3$ density in Fig. 4(a) has a considerable uncertainty, mainly due to the uncertainty of $S$ (and, consequently, $C$) for SiH$_3$ at the position of the ionizer.

The SiH$_3$ density shows a steep decrease going from zero to a small H$_2$ flow, followed by a gradual increase for higher flows. The latter is in agreement with an increasing flow of H from the cascaded arc. For the interpretation of the SiH$_3$ density at low-H$_2$ flows, it is important to realize that at these flows much more SiH$_4$ is consumed. The SiH$_3$ density at 0 sccs H$_2$ is about equal to the one at 15 sccs H$_2$, yet the net consumed SiH$_4$ flow is at least a factor of 4 higher. It is, therefore, better to consider the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth. Determination of the absolute value of the contribution from the densities is not accurately known within a factor of 2. Therefore, another method is proposed which is, in our opinion, more reliable. However, not generally applicable: as the increase of the H$_2$ flow from 10 to 15 sccs leads only to more H from the arc (the ion flow slightly decreases), it is plausible that the increase in SiH$_4$ consumption and a-Si:H deposition rate between 10 and 15 sccs (see Fig. 2) is completely due to a higher SiH$_3$ density. Linking the increase in deposition rate to the increase in SiH$_3$ signal, a direct relation between the SiH$_3$ signal and the deposition rate is obtained, from which the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth, as given in Fig. 4(b), can be calculated. The absolute value of the presented contribution relies, of course, fully on the above assumption with respect to the increase in deposition rate between 10 and 15 sccs H$_2$. However, as will be shown below, this assumption is also plausible on the basis of the other results with respect to the plasma chemistry and the surface reaction probability. It is, therefore, expected that the presented values of the absolute contribution are much more accurate than estimations on the basis of the SiH$_3$ density. We note however, that both methods yield the same relative dependence of the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth, while the absolute
values of the contributions in Fig. 4(b) are not in contradiction with those calculated from the SiH₃ densities in Fig. 4(a) when reasonable values for \( v \) and \( s \) of SiH₃ are applied. In Fig. 4(b) there is still some uncertainty in the exact contribution, mainly due to the fact that the deposition rate and SiH₃ density have been determined in different experiments, but it is clear that the contribution of SiH₃ increases with H₂ flow and saturates at higher H₂ flows (where it dominates film growth with a contribution of around 90%).

Figure 4(b) shows that at low-H₂ flows, other species than SiH₃ contribute significantly to film growth. As mentioned above, at these flows SiH₄ dissociation is governed by reactions induced by positive ions emanating from the plasma source. Ar⁺ and H⁺ can undergo dissociative charge transfer with SiH₄ leading to silane ions \( \text{SiH}_n^+ \) \( (n \leq 3, \text{SiH}_4^+ \) is unstable\( ^{16} \)).

\[
\text{Ar}^+(H^+) + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_n^+ + pH + qH + \text{Ar} \quad (n \leq 3).
\]

The reported reaction rates \( k_{\text{ch.ex.}} \) for Ar⁺ are in the order of \( 10^{-11} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) and at thermal energies mainly SiH₃ is created.\(^{46} \) For H⁺ only a rate for the reaction leading to SiH₄ has been proposed, which is equal to \( 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \).\(^{40} \) Silane ions initiate cationic cluster SiH₄ formation by sequential ion–molecule reactions with SiH₄:

\[
\text{Si}_n\text{H}_m^+ + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow \text{Si}_n\text{H}_m^+ + \text{SiH}_4, \quad (n \leq 2),
\]

with reaction rates \( k_{\text{ion-mol}} \) estimated at \( \sim 10^{-16} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \). It has been shown that the contribution of the positive ions to film growth is less than 10% in terms of Si atoms deposited.\(^{16} \) The previously used assumption that \( s = 1 \) for these ions has recently been justified by molecular dynamics studies which yielded \( s > 0.85 \) for all types of hydrogenated Si surfaces.\(^{42} \) Remarkable is that the contribution of the ions is rather independent of the H₂ flow admixed in the arc, while the ion fluence from the arc is heavily dependent on this H₂ flow.\(^{16} \) This can be understood from dissociative recombination reactions of silane ions with electrons:

\[
\text{Si}_n\text{H}_m^+ + e \rightarrow \text{Si}_n\text{H}_m + p\text{H} + q\text{H}_2 \quad (n \leq 2),
\]

with reaction rates \( k_{\text{rec}} \) in the order of \( 10^{-13} T_e^{1/2} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} (T_e \text{ in eV}) \).\(^{40} \) These reactions compete with the ion–molecule reactions (7), but as long as the product of the reaction rate for dissociative recombination and the electron density is much larger than the product of the reaction rate for ion–molecule reactions and the SiH₄ density, i.e., \( k_{\text{rec}} n_e \gg k_{\text{ion-mol}} n_{\text{SiH}_4} \), dissociative recombination will prevail. This is certainly the case at low-H₂ flows where \( n_e \) is high\(^{16} \) and dissociative recombination occurs almost instantaneously after reaction (6), leading to a significant production of radicals like SiH₂, SiH, and Si. The rather constant contribution of the cationic clusters is explained by the fact that the ion–molecule reactions can only get important when \( n_e \) is significantly reduced by reaction (8). For a SiH₄ density of \( \sim 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3} \) this is expected to occur at \( n_e \approx 10^{17} \text{m}^{-3} \), which is roughly equal to the value of \( n_e \) at higher H₂ flows.

From reaction (6) and (8), the relatively high SiH₃ density at low-H₂ flows can also be understood. In both reactions, H can be generated which can subsequently react with SiH₄ creating SiH₅ \( [\text{reaction (5)}] \). This can lead to a considerable density of SiH₅ under conditions where the SiH₃ consumption is high, and it explains the initial decrease of the SiH₃ density at low-H₂ flows. Another possible reaction that can generate SiH₅ from the reaction products of reaction (6) is

\[
\text{SiH}_3^+ + \text{SiH}_4 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_5^+ + \text{SiH}_3.
\]

On the basis of the aforementioned reasoning, this reaction with a rate of \( \sim 10^{-13} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \) (Ref. 40) will become as important as dissociative recombination when \( n_e \) is reduced to \( \sim 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} \).

In order to measure the radicals created in reaction (8), threshold ionization mass spectrometry has been applied. SiH₂ radicals could only be detected for H₂ = 0 sccs, where the SiH₃ production is also expected to be at maximum. As shown in Fig. 5, the residual signal is rather weak (estimation of the SiH₂ density on the basis of the procedure described in Sec. II B yields \( \sim 10^{15} \text{m}^{-3} \) at 0 sccs H₂) and the SiH₃ density in front of the substrate holder is apparently too low for the measurements at nonzero H₂ flows. It should be noted that detection of SiH₂ is more complicated than the detection of SiH₃. First, SiH₂ has a higher surface reaction probability than SiH₃,\(^{28} \) which can lead to a higher loss of SiH₂ during extraction from the plasma. Second, the difference between the ionization potential of SiH₃ and the appearance potential of SiH₂⁺ from SiH₄ is smaller,\(^{28} \) while at \( m/e = 30 \) a strong residual signal due to contamination in the mass spectrometer appears at slightly lower electron energies than the appearance potential (see Fig. 5). This residual signal, which is not related to the SiH₄ flow, is measured in pure Ar. Although its origin is still unclear, NO⁺ created by ionization of NO is a likely candidate. This radical, possibly created at the hot filament from residual N₂ and O₂, has an ionization potential around 10 eV.\(^{45} \)

The presence of contamination together with a relatively low density in front of the mass spectrometer is probably
also the reasons why SiH and Si radicals could not be detected. The low radical densities can be due to a small production rate or to a large loss rate, e.g., by fast reactions with SiH₄ as will be addressed in Sec. IV. Furthermore, for the present setup the detection limit of the radicals is rather high due to the interfering high residual gas density in the mass spectrometer, as discussed in Sec. II B.

Although the reaction pathway proposed is not counter to the fact that SiH₃ has only been detected for 0 sccs H₂ and that SiH and Si could not be observed at all, optical emission spectroscopy has been applied to find more confirmation. The A'Δ → X″Π electronic transition band of SiH at 414 nm and the Si I 4s¹p⁰ → 3p¹S transition at 390.6 nm, represented, respectively, by SiH* and Si*, have been studied. Unlike conventional plasmas, Tₑ in the downstream region is too low for the creation of these electronically excited species by electron-induced excitation or dissociation to be significant. Accordingly, Si* and SiH* are mainly created by dissociative recombination of SiH₄ with electrons [reaction (8)], where enough energy is available for electronic excitation of the produced silane radicals. Therefore, it is believed that the emission of these excited species is an indication of the occurrence of reaction (8). Because of their fast radiative decay [radiative lifetime τ for Si I 4s¹p⁰ and SiH A'Δ is, respectively, 4.1 and 534 ns (Ref. 44)], their emission is expected to be proportional to the number of recombination events. On the basis of this, the emission intensity per unit of time displayed in Fig. 6(a), reveals that more dissociative recombination takes place at low-H₂ flows when more ions emanate from the arc. The fact that both the SiH* and Si* emissions show the same dependence validates the assumption that a fixed percentage (i.e., independent of H₂ flow) of the reaction products in reaction (8) are SiH* and Si*. In Fig. 6(b), the emission intensity is corrected for the total amount of SiH₄ consumed showing that reactions (6) and (8) are relatively more important at low-H₂ flows, in agreement with the reaction pathway proposed.

The assumption that the emission by SiH* and Si* displays in fact the recombination of silane ions can roughly be validated by relating the emission intensity to the ion fluence from the arc. From stationary rate equations for reactions (6), (7), and (8) a local radiative decay rate for the excited species can be calculated:

$$\frac{n_{\text{SiH*}}}{\tau} = \frac{n_{\text{Ar*}}/H^+/n_{\text{SiH}}}{} \cdot n_{\text{ch.ex.}} \cdot \frac{n_{\text{rec}}}{N_{\text{ion-mol}}}$$

where n_{SiH*} is the density of the excited species and n_{Ar*}/H+ the density of Ar* and H+ from the arc. At low H₂ flows the ion–molecule reactions (second term in the denominator) can be neglected compared to dissociative recombination which leads to a radiative decay rate linear in the Ar*/H+ ion density. Assuming a similar global behavior, it is expected that at low-H₂ flows the total radiative decay rate is linear in the ion fluence from the arc. This is indeed true for the emission intensity per unit of time (integrated over a large part of the downstream region), as can be seen in Fig. 7, where the slope of the fit in the double-log plot is almost equal to 1 (0.90).

The rotational and vibrational temperatures of the excited SiH radical are shown in Fig. 8 and do not show a clear dependence on the H₂ flow. This implies an excitation mechanism that is independent of the H₂ flow. The vibrational temperature is around 3000 K and the rotational temperature is between 1400 and 1500 K. The gas temperatures in the expanding thermal plasma are in the range 1000–1500 K.²,²³

The increasing contribution of SiH₃ and the decreasing production of SiHₓ₄(x≈2) radicals for increasing H₂ flow are expected to affect the overall surface reaction probability β₀, which depends on the relative contribution of the different species and their surface reaction probability β. For SiH₃ the reported values of β are within the range 0.1–0.3, while for SiHₓ₄(x≈2), values in the range 0.6–1 have been reported.²⁸ This explains the decrease of β₀ with increasing H₂ flow, as shown in Fig. 9. Values of β₀ estimated on the basis of the presented contributions of SiH₄ and SiₓHₓ₄ and the production of SiHₓ₄(x≈2) radicals are also given in Fig. 9. These values are obtained by the procedure described in Fig. 6. (a) Emission intensity of SiH*(A'Δ → X″Π) and Si*(4s¹p⁰ → 3p¹S) per unit of time. The SiH₄ flow is 10 sccs. (b) Emission intensity divided by SiH₄ consumption (in arbitrary units).
Ref. 28 using $s = \beta = 0.28$ for SiH$_3$, $s = \beta = 1$ for Si$_x$H$_{4-x}$, and $s = \beta = 0.6$ for SiH$_x$(x$\approx 2$), independent of the H$_2$ flow. The values from this simplified estimation show fairly good agreement. The rather low $\beta$, which needs to be assumed for SiH$_x$(x$\approx 2$), can possibly be explained by the fact that these radicals are very reactive with SiH$_4$ and can have reacted to polysilane radicals (with possibly a lower $\beta$) before reaching the substrate (see Sec. IV).

B. SiH$_4$ flow series

The influence of the partial pressure of SiH$_4$ on the plasma processes has been studied by varying the SiH$_4$ flow while keeping the plasma source conditions fixed. The H$_2$ flow in the arc is set at 10 sccs because for this condition mainly H emanates from the source and the best a-Si:H film properties have been obtained.

The consumed SiH$_4$ flow and corresponding deposition rate are given in Fig. 10. The SiH$_4$ consumption increases with SiH$_4$ flow and it can roughly be divided into two regions. Up to $\sim$3 sccs the increase is steeper than at higher flows where the SiH$_4$ consumption increases only gradually with SiH$_4$ flow. It means that at low-SiH$_4$ flows a larger fraction of the SiH$_4$ is consumed than at higher flows. This behavior suggests that the amount of H available for SiH$_4$ dissociation is the limiting factor, whereas the total flow of SiH$_4$ consumed at high-SiH$_4$ flows is about equal to the forward flow of H as estimated from the TALIF measurements (see Sec. II A). It corroborates that a considerable amount of H from the cascaded arc is lost before it can dissociate SiH$_4$.22

In Fig. 11(a) the SiH$_3$ density is given, showing the same dependence on the SiH$_4$ flow as the SiH$_4$ consumption. Consequently, the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth, as shown in Fig. 11(b) and calculated by the method described in Sec. III A, is fairly constant for the different SiH$_4$ flows. This means that SiH$_3$ dominates film growth independent of the SiH$_4$ flow and for deposition rates ranging from 1 to 10 nm/s.

Fig. 7. Emission intensity of SiH* and Si* from Fig. 6(a) as a function of the ion fluence emanating from the arc. The line is a fit to the data points for H$_2$<5 sccs, where the ion–molecule reactions can be neglected compared to dissociative recombination reactions.

Fig. 8. Vibrational and rotational temperatures of SiH* obtained from the fit to the experimental spectrum of the $A^2\Delta - X^2\Pi$ transition. The SiH$_4$ flow is 10 sccs.

Fig. 9. Overall surface reaction probability $\beta_0$ as determined from aperture–well experiments for 10 sccs SiH$_4$ and varying H$_2$ flows. The line represents estimations of $\beta_0$ on the basis of the contributions of the different species to film growth. Further explanation is given in the text.

Fig. 10. Consumption of SiH$_4$ expressed in equivalent flow for constant H$_2$ flow (10 sccs) in the arc. The corresponding deposition rate for a substrate temperature of 400 °C is given on the right axis.
The rather constant contribution of SiH₃ has implications for reactions that affect the SiH₃ density. Hydrogen abstraction by H from SiH₃:

\[
H + \text{SiH}_3 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_2 + \text{H}_2, \tag{11}
\]

has a considerable reaction rate at 300 K \( (2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}) \) in comparison with reaction (5), and the rate for the disproportion reaction between two SiH₃ radicals:

\[
\text{SiH}_3 + \text{SiH}_3 \rightarrow \text{SiH}_2 + \text{SiH}_4, \tag{12}
\]

is even higher \( (-10^{-16} \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{ at } 300 \text{ K}) \) (Refs. 40 and 45). These reactions lead to SiH₂ production, and especially reaction (12) can become very important at high-SiH₄ flows as it is quadratically dependent on the SiH₃ density. These reactions are apparently not very important, as they would lead to an increasing contribution of SiH₂, or its reaction products (polysilane radicals) with SiH₄, to film growth at the expense of the contribution of SiH₃. This is not obvious in Fig. 11(b).

The missing fraction of film growth in Fig. 11(b) takes place by positive ions and radicals other than SiH₃. As addressed in Refs. 15 and 16, the contribution of ions is almost independent of the SiH₄ flow and less than 7%, while the average number of Si atoms per ion increases with SiH₄ flow. The ion size has implications for the products created by dissociative recombination of the ions. Although less frequent, recombination will still take place under this condition with relatively low \( n_e \) and it is expected that the rate for dissociative recombination of the ions with electrons does not heavily depend on the size of the ions.\(^{16,40}\) At low-SiH₄ flows there are relatively more silane ions SiH\(_n^+\) and their recombination leads to SiH\(_x\) (\( x \leq 2 \)) radicals (and, thus, to SiH\(^+\) and Si\(^+\)) while the recombination of the cationic clusters at larger SiH₄ flows most probably leads to larger neutral fragments. It is, therefore, expected that the emission of SiH\(^+\) and Si\(^+\) is relatively stronger at low-SiH₄ flows. This is indeed the case, as can be seen in Fig. 12, and it provides more evidence for the reaction pathway for cationic cluster formation proposed in Ref. 15. Furthermore, the rotational and vibrational temperatures of the excited SiH radical (not shown) do not show a clear dependence on the SiH\(_2\) flow and are, respectively, about 1500 and 3000 K, as in Fig. 8.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

From the combination of the results obtained by the different diagnostics, the dissociation mechanisms of SiH₄ in the expanding thermal plasma are fairly well understood. For the H₂ flow series, it is convincingly shown that the contribution of SiH₃ increases with H₂ flow and becomes constant for H₂ flows > 7.5 sccs. This is independent of the assumption used to determine the absolute contribution to film growth. The latter assumption, which leads to the conclusion that SiH₃ dominates the deposition process for high-H₂ flows with an estimated absolute contribution of around 90%, seems, however, very plausible and is corroborated by the value of the overall surface probability \( \beta_0 \) of \( \sim 0.3 \) at high-H₂ flows. The absolute SiH₃ density in Fig. 4(a) can fully account for the presented contribution of SiH₃ to film growth, but the calculation of the contribution of SiH₃ on the
basis of this density leaves too much freedom in the absolute value due to uncertainties in the absolute density of SiH$_3$ itself (mainly due to the factor C) as well as in v and s. It is therefore, expected that the presented method is much more accurate, although the estimation of the exact contribution is, of course, very sensitive on the accuracy and reproducibility of the deposition rate and SiH$_3$ signal.

At lower H$_2$ flows, it is shown that there needs to be a significant contribution of radicals other than SiH$_3$ as the contribution of positive ions does not compensate for the lower contribution of SiH$_3$. Figure 6(b) suggests that the production of SiH$_4$(x$\leq$2) from dissociative recombination is about a factor of 6 larger at H$_2$=0 sccs than at H$_2$=10 sccs. This roughly compensates the decrease in contribution of SiH$_3$, as shown in Fig. 4(b), but it is premature to conclude that SiH$_4$(x$\leq$2) radicals govern film growth at low-H$_2$ flows. First of all, the emission intensity in Fig. 6 is not collected in the whole deposition chamber, making it difficult to speculate about how many more recombination events there are at low-H$_2$ flows. Second, in the determination of the contribution of SiH$_3$ it is assumed that $\beta$ and $s$ of SiH$_3$ are independent of the H$_2$ flow. It can, however, not be excluded that $\beta$ and $s$ are somewhat higher at low-H$_2$ flows due to a higher reactivity of the a-Si:H surface. For example, the higher contribution of SiH$_4$(x$\leq$2) radicals can lead to more surface dangling bonds. This would also contribute to the increase of $\beta_0$ in Fig. 9. But, most important is that the emission intensity is only an indication of the recombination of silane ions. It does not reveal information on the specific species created, and finally contributing to film growth. As mentioned before, the radicals produced in reaction (8) have a rather high reactivity with SiH$_4$ and can be converted into other species before reaching the substrate. Contrary to SiH$_3$, which is not very reactive with SiH$_4$ [reaction rate $<4\times10^{-20}$ m$^3$/s$^{-1}$ at 300 K (Ref. 45)], reaction rates in the order $10^{-16}$–$10^{-17}$ m$^3$/s$^{-1}$ [at $\sim0.2$ mbar and 300 K (Refs. 40, 46, and 47)] have been proposed for SiH$_2$, SiH, and Si. This means that for a typical SiH$_4$ density of $\sim10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, the radicals have reacted with SiH$_4$ within 50–500 $\mu$s, and this is shorter than the transport time of the radicals to the substrate ($\sim500$–1000 $\mu$s). This shows that the influence of the radicals created from reaction (8) cannot be derived simply from Fig. 6 and that additional, more extensive investigations are required.

Although still some questions remain unanswered, a correlation between the reaction products of SiH$_4$ dissociation and the a-Si:H film quality can already be made. This will be discussed only briefly, as it will be addressed more extensively in another article, where also the film properties for the different plasma conditions are given. As mentioned earlier, a considerable H$_2$ flow in the arc is necessary to obtain good film properties (the optimum film quality is obtained with 10 sccs H$_2$ and 10 sccs SiH$_4$ flow), which shows the importance of the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth. The optoelectronic film properties depend strongly on the H$_2$ flow while the structural film properties only become inferior at very low-H$_2$ flows (<2 sccs). Under these conditions, a-Si:H is obtained that contains a considerable void fraction. This can be understood from a significant contribution of radicals with a very high $\beta$ and is also in line with the higher surface roughness of the films as determined by in situ ellipsometry.46 That film growth dominated by SiH$_3$ does not automatically lead to good film quality can be seen from the deterioration of the film properties for decreasing SiH$_4$ flow.

Under these conditions, the contribution of SiH$_3$ to film growth is about constant, while both structural and optoelectronic properties are inferior at low-SiH$_4$ flows (<3 sccs). This is less understood, but it can possibly be attributed to the fact that at low-SiH$_4$ flows the reaction products from dissociative recombination are smaller. Their impact on the film quality could be different from the impact of larger neutral fragments created by recombination of cationic clusters at larger SiH$_4$ flows. For example, the small Si and SiH radicals can penetrate the subsurface region$^{49}$ and introduce dangling bonds in the film, which can degrade the film properties.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The dissociation of SiH$_4$ in the expanding thermal plasma used for high-rate deposition of device-quality a-Si:H has been investigated by different plasma diagnostics. The density of SiH$_3$ has been determined by threshold ionization mass spectrometry and the contribution of SiH$_3$ to a-Si:H film growth has been determined without using estimates on the SiH$_3$ thermal velocity and sticking probability. It is shown that at high-H$_2$ flows SiH$_4$ dissociation mainly takes place by hydrogen abstraction by H emanating from the Ar–H$_2$-operated plasma source. Under these conditions, film growth is dominated by SiH$_3$ (estimated contribution $\sim90\%$) and the best a-Si:H film quality is obtained.

The contribution of SiH$_3$ decreases with decreasing H$_2$ flow and at low-H$_2$ flows the dissociation of SiH$_4$ is dominated by reactions with ions from the plasma source. As confirmed by optical emission spectroscopy on excited Si and SiH, this causes strong dissociative recombination of silane ions, producing a considerable amount of very reactive radicals, such as SiH$_2$, SiH, and Si. The contribution of these radicals and/or their reaction products is expected to cause the inferior a-Si:H film properties obtained at low-H$_2$ flows. This is corroborated by the decrease in overall surface reaction probability for increasing H$_2$ flow, while the overall surface reaction probability of $\sim0.3$ at high-H$_2$ flows corresponds to film growth dominated by SiH$_3$.

For a fixed H$_2$ flow of 10 sccs in the cascaded arc, the consumption of SiH$_4$ has been investigated for varying SiH$_4$ flows. That SiH$_3$-dominated film growth does not guarantee good film quality is shown from the fact that the contribution of SiH$_3$ is independent of the SiH$_4$ flow, while the film properties are inferior at low-SiH$_4$ flows. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the neutral products created by dissociative recombination of positive ions are smaller at low-SiH$_4$ flows, as expected from the smaller size of the ions at these flows.
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27 Consumption of SiH 4 usually leads to a decrease of the SiH 3 signal when the plasma is turned on. This is, however, not the case for all measurements reported here. This effect, which cannot be explained by a change in SiH 4 flux into the mass spectrometer due to a change in gas temperature, has no implications for the radical measurements.