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Eddy-Current Losses in Laminated and Solid Steel Stator Back Iron in a Small Rotary Brushless Permanent-Magnet Actuator
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The ever-increasing necessity to miniaturize smooth torque rotary actuators has placed severe constraints on the current slotless permanent-magnet technology. These constraints are mainly the consequence of the relatively large effective magnetic airgap which limits the achievable magnetic loading and, therefore, the torque density of slotless permanent-magnet rotary actuators. Further, the miniaturization has to be achieved at ever-decreasing costs and, therefore, it would be advantageous to implement solid back irons. However this, even at reduced magnetic loading, still results in considerable eddy-current losses. Therefore, a careful selection of the various actuator dimensions and most appropriate soft magnetic laminated or solid material is a prerequisite for applications that require smooth speed and torque characteristic. This paper will identify the influence of various parameters of the slotless permanent-magnet actuator on the eddy-current loss in the back iron using both 2-D and 3-D finite-element analysis.

Index Terms—Actuators, brushless machines, eddy currents, iron losses, permanent magnet (PM).

I. INTRODUCTION

THERE is a growing interest in employing small rotary slotless permanent-magnet actuators for direct-drive low-cost servo systems (i.e., demanding precision dynamic characteristics), due to their simple geometry, torque ripple reduction, and possibility for self-bearing operation [1]. Although the power density of slotless actuators may be lower than that for equivalent slotted machines, the emergence of ever higher energy permanent magnets and arrangements (e.g., Halbach) has promoted a renewed interest in slotless actuators [2].

In these slotless actuators, the winding differs from conventional machines which are inserted in the slots. For example, the windings in slotless motors can be printed-circuit coils placed in the airgap [3] or various types of surface windings fixed on the inside of the stator iron core. In general, commercial brushless ac servo motors use the rhombic winding technology, because its winding end occupies the valid axial length of the actuator and results in a sinusoidal back EMF [4]. However, the main disadvantage of the slotless topology is that it has relatively low self- and mutual-winding inductances, which influence the steady-state and transient dynamic performance.

Since industrial applications require miniaturization at low costs, one possibility is to use a solid back iron for slotless permanent-magnet (PM) actuators. However, this can result in considerable eddy-current losses which, together with the low winding inductances, compromise the dynamic performance of the slotless PM actuator. This paper, therefore, discusses the influence of using various lamination grades or solid materials as a stator back iron, for example, substituting the laminations by a solid material for a rotary actuator (Fig. 1) used for the $\theta$ axis in a commercial ($X, Y, Z$, and $\theta$ axes) multiaxes pick-and-place robot [5].

II. IRON LOSS IN SOLID BACK IRON

Numerous research efforts have been undertaken on the interaction of PMs with ferromagnetic or nonmagnetic solid back irons. As such, some authors have investigated eddy-current losses in a plate [6], [7]. Commonly, a finite-element analysis is used to investigate iron losses in solid structures, for example, a PM motor with solid armature [8]. Additionally, also finite-element model validations have been implemented (e.g., [9] describes the analysis of a setup with PMs and an aluminum rotor).

Further, also simplifications have been researched to provide a 2-D problem for a transverse flux linear motor [10]. For example, this paper describes a Fourier transformation to identify the magnetic flux density frequency analysis within each element of the meshed model. Consequently, the iron losses are calculated from the summation of the loss components in each element and the total iron loss by the summation of the iron losses in all of the elements. The same approach is currently available in the postprocessor of the commercial finite-element software package of Cedrat [11].

However, model accuracies pose a problem when thick laminations or solid back irons are used. Therefore, this paper presents the extent to which this method can be applied to the particular rotary actuator of Fig. 1, where the rotor inner diameter $D_{r}$, magnet outer diameter $D_{out}$, the stator inner diameter $D_{i}$, and the outer diameter $D_{out}$, are 4.0 mm, 10.5 mm, 14.2 mm, and 17.8 mm, respectively. The axial length of the actuator is 40 mm.
is the fundamental frequency, is \((W/(\text{Hz/average flux density})\). The instantaneous flux density \([16]\). The as-
tained and detailed finite-element simulations and sophisticated
loss models are applied on an element-by-element basis to field
solutions \([12], [13]\).

Considerable efforts have been devoted to analyze and im-
prove the loss characteristics of laminated and soft magnetic
materials \([14]\). These iron losses are a major roadblock in
the effort to miniaturize low-cost slotless electrical actuators
(i.e., \((0.1–10 \text{ W})\). The motion profile of the pick-and-place ap-
lication (considered for this paper) is translated into the con-
stant average speed of \(1200 \text{ r/min} \) (20 Hz) that is used in all of
the calculations.

The classical approach to model the iron losses is explained
by the three well-known loss phenomena, namely, hysteresis
loss, classical eddy-current loss, and the excess eddy-current
loss \([15]\) as

\[
P_{\text{Fe}} = P_{\text{hysteresis}} + P_{\text{excess}} + P_{\text{eddy}}. \quad (1)
\]

The iron loss in watts per meter \(^3\) is given by

\[
P_{\text{Fe}} = K_{B} B^{2} f + \frac{1}{T_{r}} \int_{0}^{T_{r}} \left[ \frac{K_{\text{exc}}}{8.67} \left( \frac{\text{dB}(t)}{\text{dt}} \right)^{3/2} \right. \]
\[+ \left. \frac{\sigma d^{2}}{12} \left( \frac{\text{dB}(t)}{\text{dt}} \right)^{2} \right] \text{dt} \quad (2)
\]

where \(f\) is the fundamental frequency, \(d\) is the lamination thick-
ness, \(\sigma\) is the electrical conductivity, \(K_{B}\) is \((W/(T^{2} \text{ kg}))\) the
hysteresis coefficient, \(K_{\text{exc}}\) is \((W/(T^{3/2} \text{ kg}^{3/2}))\) the excess co-
efficient, and \(B\) is the instantaneous flux density \([16]\). The as-
sumption in this model is that the rotational flux density can be
taken into account by calculating the losses in each element and
separately summing the loss due to the radial and tangential flux
density components. It is worth noting that this model does not
take the existence of any minor loop into account due to the near
sinusoidal shape of the flux density waveforms in this slotless
rotary actuator.

The iron-loss calculation has been undertaken for various
lamination grades and thicknesses, as summarized in Table I,
where Table II shows the results of the iron loss and eddy-cur-
lent losses at a constant speed of \(1200 \text{ r/min}\). This clearly shows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness mm</th>
<th>A1010</th>
<th>M1000-100A</th>
<th>M800-50A</th>
<th>M300-35A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity (\Omega \text{m})</td>
<td>1.4x10(^{-7})</td>
<td>3.0x10(^{-7})</td>
<td>2.3x10(^{-7})</td>
<td>5.0x10(^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (\text{kg/m}^{3})</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>7650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux density at (10,000 \text{A/m}) ((T))</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

that the losses increase with the lamination thickness; however,
the manufacturability and the cost price significantly reduce
with increasing lamination thickness \((\text{REF SURA})\).

Most conventional laminated electrical machines are manu-
factured using various grades of silicon iron with a silicon con-
tent of \(\sim 3\%. In these laminations, the classical eddy-current
loss is well documented with well-proven expressions for small
lamination thicknesses. However, for solid materials, the afore-
mentioned analysis cannot be used, and 3-D analysis should be
undertaken, although 2-D approximation could be used as will
be explained in Section IV-C.

IV. SOLID BACK-IRON EDDY-CURRENT LOSSES

The classical eddy-current loss represents the power-loss
component due to the Joule effect heating of the induced eddy
currents within the conducting electrical steel.

A. Semianalytical Eddy-Current Calculation

Very elegant semianalytical solutions exist to investigate the
eddy currents loss in a cylindrical configuration, the solid stator
back iron, with a permanent magnet \((\text{e.g., [17]}\). However,
the main disadvantage of these methods is that they assume the
stator material to be linear. Therefore, the method cannot in-
clude the case when the stator is made of a saturated ferro-
magnetic material; hence, for this slotless actuator, where mate-
rial costs are at a premium, therefore, a finite-element software
package is used to investigate the various parameter influences
on the eddy-current loss \([11]\).

B. 3-D Versus 2-D Finite-Element Analysis

Initially, a 3-D model has been prepared to calculate the eddy-
current loss within the slotless motor, as shown in Fig. 2. How-
ever, some assumptions are needed for 2-D and 3-D modeling,
where: 1) steady-state operation is considered; 2) the laminated
and solid core assume homogeneous conducting with isotropic
permeability (hence, no temperature effects); 3) iron saturation
is taken into account (nonlinear BH characteristic); 4) with
the 2-D analysis, end effects are neglected; hence, \(R \theta\) and
Z-field source and eddy-current components are orthogonal and
independent of each other.

Although the eddy-current losses occur in all parts of the ma-
chine, in this model, only the eddy-current losses in the back
iron are considered. For the solid stator back iron, nonlinear
mild steel A1010 is used. The permanent magnet is a NdFeB
permanent magnet, with a remanent flux density of \(1.2 \text{ T}\) and
a relative permeability of \(1.05\). The electric resistivity of the ma-
terial, used for the back iron, is set to a value \(1.4 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \text{m}\).

The use of 3-D finite-element analysis enables the complete
investigation of the eddy currents, where even the influence of
temperature effects on the material resistivity could be incorporated. However, this is very time-consuming; therefore, from a design point of view, a faster, however, less accurate, 2-D model would be favorable.

It is worth noting that many authors have initiated a correction factor in addition to the 2-D analysis to account for the end effects [18], [19] by means of analytical or empirical results. However, this research was mainly initiated for end effects on the side of the rotor within induction machines, where the dynamic behavior occurs within the rotor. In slotless machines, the dynamic effect results in the stator due to the rotating permanent-magnetic field of the rotor.

In this paper, the eddy-current loss is presented without correcting the end effects to clearly identify the limitations of the 2-D analysis. This means that instead of eddy-current loops, the induced currents are assumed to flow solely in the axial direction. This assumption is reasonable in brushless permanent-magnet machines, where the axial length is much larger than the outer diameter. Therefore, 3-D FE analysis has been undertaken which extends the ratio between axial length and diameter, providing valid modeling of the eddy currents in 2-D FE.

Clearly, from Fig. 3, it can be seen that 2-D and 3-D converge to similar values although 2-D overestimates the eddy-current losses by about 30% in the case of an axial length and outer diameter of 40 mm and 17.8 mm, respectively.

C. 2-D Implemented Finite-Element Model

All of the initial simulations used to investigate the influence of different materials on the eddy-current loss are performed at a fixed speed and the hysteresis losses and excess losses in the back iron are calculated to be 50 mW. Further, all simulations for eddy-current losses at no load and with current applied to the windings are performed. The conclusion from these simulations is that the extra eddy-current losses caused by the applied current are negligible. Therefore, all results presented here are at no load.

To calculate the total eddy-current losses, the back iron is split into 20 rings. In each ring, the current density is assumed to be constant in the radial direction. Therefore, 3-D FE analysis has been undertaken which extends the ratio between axial length and diameter, providing valid modeling of the eddy currents in 2-D FE.

VI. INFLUENCE OF ACTUATOR DIAMETER

The influence of varying the outer diameter on eddy-current loss and damping torque is shown in Table IV. Considering the
dependency of the eddy-current loss on the frequency and the flux density, it could be concluded that increasing the outer diameter would reduce the eddy-current losses. However, the relatively small reduction in the flux density levels does not counteract the increase in iron volume. Further, reducing the outer diameter causes saturation within the back iron. Therefore, an optimum exists between the eddy-current loss and the saturation level. On the contrary, increasing the outer diameter in the laminated back iron reduces the eddy-current losses (e.g., an outer diameter of 17.8 mm and 19.5 mm provides 32.3 mW and 27.0 mW, respectively).

VII. INFLUENCE OF SPEED

To provide a complete eddy-current loss analysis, the influence of reduced speed in the actuator is also investigated. This is summarized in Table V, where a constant electric resistivity of $1.4 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \cdot m$ is considered.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In most publications, iron-loss analysis efforts are limited to making the stator back iron into thinner laminae or adding more silicon elements to steel boards in order to reduce iron loss. Although the benefits of employing higher electrical resistivity materials are indeed evident, viz. $1.4 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \cdot m$ and $6.0 \times 10^{-7} \Omega \cdot m$ give, respectively, 995-mW and 227-mW eddy-current losses, although this comes at a considerable premium in material costs.

From this paper, it can be concluded that using a solid steel back iron is not feasible unless improved steel quality is used; hence, a lamination thickness of 1.0 mm (e.g., M 1000–100 A) provides a reasonable compromise between cost and performance.
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