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We report on detailed magnetic investigations of big \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) single crystals with sizes of \( \sim 1 \text{ mm} \). The \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) crystals were prepared by doping \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) crystals in rubidium vapor. Experiments with different annealing times and various annealing temperatures and temperature gradients were made to assess the optimal conditions for homogeneous doping. The crystals were characterized by ac magnetization measurements. Doping at 463 K with 10% excess of rubidium and annealing at 685 K for 27 days was found to produce the best crystals with almost 100% of the pure \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) phase. The temperature dependence of the upper and lower critical fields was obtained, the latter by the trapped magnetic moment method. The coherence length and the penetration depth at \( T=0 \) and close to the transition temperature were evaluated and the irreversibility line was determined. The results are compared to those obtained on powder and polycrystalline samples.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fullerene superconductors have attracted enormous interest of researchers for more than a decade since superconductivity in electron-doped \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) was discovered in 1991.\(^1\) In the mean time, a large number of electron-doped fullerene superconductors was synthesized and thoroughly investigated (for a review see, e.g., Ref. 2). In spite of many years of the intensive research, the basic magnetic superconducting characteristics of these materials, such as the coherence length, \( \xi \), the penetration depth, \( \lambda \), the upper, \( H_{\text{c}2} \), and the lower, \( H_{\text{c}1} \), critical fields, are not well established for almost all of these materials, except probably for \( \text{K}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \).\(^3\) Precise measurements of these properties turned out to be difficult mainly because fullerene based superconductors are extremely sensitive to air, thus preventing direct experiments requiring electrical contacts. Therefore, almost all of the results were obtained from magnetization measurements. In this type of experiment, a sample is placed into a coil in an external magnetic field and the magnetic moment measured. Because the superconductor expels external magnetic fields, the magnetic moment is diamagnetic. The field penetrates the sample on the distance of the penetration depth, \( \lambda \). If the sample radius, \( r \), is much larger than the penetration depth, the measurements will lead to correct results. In other cases, \( r<\lambda \) or even \( r\sim\lambda \), the experiments are difficult to interpret, because any external magnetic field will penetrate the sample almost completely, however small the field is. This implies that the sample size is a critical parameter for magnetization measurements. Previous investigations of \( \text{K}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) and preliminary measurements on \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) have shown that the penetration depths of these materials are of the order of 1 \( \mu \text{m} \).\(^4\) If we assume that the sample size has to be, at least, by one or two orders of magnitude larger, we end up with a minimum sample size of several tenths of a millimeter. However, almost all previous experiments were made on powders with a grain size of the order of 10 nm or on tiny crystals, which were not much larger than that. This may be the reason for the large scatter of results obtained by different groups (see Table 1 in Ref. 5).

In this paper, we report on detailed magnetic investigations of \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) single crystals with volumes between 1 and 6 \( \mu\text{m}^3 \), i.e., their dimensions are much larger than the penetration depth of this material. The samples were characterized by ac and dc magnetic measurements. Measurements of the main superconducting parameters, such as the critical fields and the characteristic lengths, as well as of pinning related parameters were carried out. We investigated samples of different quality with various degrees of nonsuperconducting imperfections, in order to determine the influence of these factors on the superconducting properties.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION

The superconducting fullerides can be prepared from the corresponding molar ratio of alkali metals and \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) compounds, either directly from the vapor phase by two or multicomponent cosolidification,\(^6\) or by mixing and heating well-defined \( \text{M}_x\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) and \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) powders,\(^7\) or by doping pure \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) solids by the vapor of alkali metals.\(^8\) Sufficiently large fulleride single crystals can only be prepared by the last method. For this reason we chose the method of vapor phase doping for the preparation of \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) single crystals.

\( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) crystals with weights between 0.5 and 12 mg were selected for the doping experiments in an argon box. A few crystals with a total weight between 14 and 26 mg were placed into reaction tubes, together with a glass micropipette containing a certain amount of pure (99.6%\( ^\text{g} \)) rubidium. Rubidium corresponding to \( \sim 110\% \) of the stoichiometric amount in \( \text{Rb}_3\text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) was used. The \( \text{C}_6\text{O}_6 \) crystals and the micropipette with rubidium were situated in the central part of the tube, which was visible through a window. The temperatures at both ends of the tube, \( T_{\text{endo}} \), and at its central part, \( T_{\text{cen}} \), were controlled independently. The conditions \( T_{\text{endo}}>T_{\text{cen}} \) and \( T_{\text{cen}}>T_{\text{Rb}} \) were kept during the whole experiment.

At first the doping temperature was adjusted. During this stage the central part of the reaction tube with the crystals and the micropipette were at \( T_{\text{cen}}=T_{\text{dop}}=430–500 \text{ K} \). When
TABLE I. Doping parameters and superconducting characteristics of three samples R3 from batch I, R28 from batch II, and R6 from batch III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch (sample)</th>
<th>Rb/C$_{60}$ ratio (%)</th>
<th>$T_{C60}$ (K)</th>
<th>$T_{R3}$ (K)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>$T_{cry}$ (K)</th>
<th>Time (d)</th>
<th>Shielding fraction (%)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
<th>$\Delta T_c$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I (R3)</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (R28)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (R6)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

all rubidium had evaporated from the pipette, the temperature was increased to the annealing temperature $T_{cen}=T_{ann}$. The crystals were kept at $T_{ann}=685$ K for ~0.5–1 month. After this time the temperature was slowly decreased to room temperature.

Several batches of samples were prepared under different conditions. The variables were the stoichiometric molar ratio Rb/C$_{60}$, the doping temperature, the temperature gradient between Rb and C$_{60}$, and the annealing time. These parameters as well as the superconducting parameters of the crystals for the best three batches are shown in Table I. The first batch is represented in this work by sample R3, the second by R28, and the third by R5 and R6.

The reaction tube was then opened in an argon box. Selected crystals were inserted into 4 mm outer diameter (o.d.) quartz tubes. The crystals were fixed at the end of the tube by quartz wool. Then they were sealed at a length of about 3.5 cm. More details of the sample preparation can be found in Ref. 9.

III. SAMPLE CHARACTERIZATION

In order to obtain information about the homogeneity of the doping, magnetic measurements were performed at low temperatures. The “shielding fraction” of the sample was calculated from the value of the diamagnetic moment $m$ of the specimen is a bulk superconductor with almost no weak links for supercurrent flow. There is still a small integrant peak in R5, compared to the main one, which can be observed at a temperature of about 24 K. We assume that the sample is mainly a bulk crystal with some amount of small grains.

In contrast to samples R5 and R6, samples R3 and R28 exhibit a much broader transition in $m(T)$, R3 shows even two steps, which clearly indicates heavy granularity. As for R28, a complicated structure of $m'(T)$ is observed. The same sharp peak as for R5 and R6 at $T=T_c$, is also observed for R28. However, several other peaks can clearly be seen at lower temperatures. These peaks are attributed to dissipation due to weak links. Such granularity appears in the samples because of nonsuperconducting impurities (undoped C$_{60}$ or most probably R × C$_{60}$) between the superconducting grains.

Summarizing the results for all three batches, the ac measurements show that all crystals from batch III are superconducting with a superconducting fraction close to 100% and no heavy granularity for current flow. The samples from batch II are very different. First, not all of them are superconducting. Those, which are superconducting, show a rather strong intergranular behavior in $m'(T)$. All crystals from batch I, although all of them are superconductors, show a two-step transition in $m(T)$ and heavy granularity in $m''(T)$.

In order to estimate the average size of the grains in samples R3, R28, R5, and R6, whose physical
dimensions are approximately $2.6 \times 1.4 \times 1.1 \text{ mm}^3$, $3.3 \times 2.7 \times 1.3 \text{ mm}^3$, $2 \times 1.2 \times 1.1 \text{ mm}^3$, and $1.8 \times 1.5 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$, respectively, we use the method suggested by Angadi et al. and later on developed for fullerene superconductors in Ref. 11. As discussed in Ref. 10, the initial slope of the magnetic moment $\frac{dm}{dH}$, along the reverse leg of a hysteresis loop right after field reversal, is a direct measure of the grain size $R$. This holds only over a very small field range and, therefore, requires the measurement of the magnetization in closely spaced field steps after the field reversal. For a uniform disk of radius $R_s$, $R_s = R$, and thickness, $t$, the initial slope of the reverse leg in Eq. (1) is given by

$$\frac{dm}{dH} = -\frac{\pi^2 R^3}{\Theta_1}$$

where $\Theta_1 = \ln(8 \frac{R}{t}) - 1/2$. The experimental uncertainty in the ratio $R_s/t$ does not lead to large errors in $R$ (for a single crystal with $R_s/t = 1$, e.g., $\Theta_1 \sim 1.5$, and for a thin film with $R_s/t = 103, \Theta_1 \sim 6.5$).

A granular sample with radius $R_s$ contains $N$ regions with large critical currents connected by low-$J_c$ weak links. This can be approximated by a sample consisting of an array of $N$ circular islands, each with radius $R$. The initial slope of the reverse leg for this system is given by

$$\frac{dm}{dH} = -\frac{\pi^2 R^3}{\Theta_1} - \frac{R_s^2 R}{\Theta_1^2}$$

where $[\Theta_1 = \ln(8 \frac{R}{R_s}) - 1/2]$. Equation (2) is appropriate for both granular ($R_s \ll R$) and nongranular ($R_s = R$) samples and will be used further on.

The experimental magnetization loops on sample R6 are shown in Fig. 2, where the solid line in the insert shows the slope $dm/dH$. The reverse leg for R6 is smooth and wide. Similar results are found for sample R28, while R3 shows a sharp steplike return leg of the hysteresis. As shown in Ref. 11 for $K_3C_{60}$, the return leg of the hysteresis follows an exponential law in a sample with $R \sim R_s$, while the magnetic moment changes linearly with field in a granular sample ($R \ll R_s$) and is much steeper. These features are exactly displayed by the reverse legs found here. From Eq. (2), the corresponding radii are found to be $R \approx 500 \mu m$ for R5, $R \approx 200 \mu m$ for R6, $R \approx 350 \mu m$ for R28, and $R \approx 20 \mu m$ for R3.

From these results, we conclude that sample R3 is heavily granular even though its superconducting phase is close to or equal to 100%. The very big sample R28 has obviously several big grains of the order of several tenths of a millimeter and, most probably, extensive granular regions. The samples R5 and R6 consist of several grains, separated either by cracks or by block boundaries. The properties of these samples are also indicated for each sample.

FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the real and the imaginary part of the susceptibility obtained for R3, R28, R5, and R6 at an external magnetic field of $\mu_0 H = 0.1 \text{ mT}$ ($\mu_0 H = 0.5 \text{ mT}$ for R5). The solid symbols represent the real part of the susceptibility and the lines represent the imaginary part of the susceptibility. The shielding fraction is also indicated for each sample.
samples may be compared to those of melt-textured high-\(T_c\) superconductors, which also exhibit small misorientations between grains, but no granularity for the superconducting current flow. Therefore, the last two samples are considered to be suitable for the magnetic measurements of the superconducting parameters of \(\text{Rb}_3\text{C}_{60}\).

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. The upper critical magnetic field**

The temperature dependence of the upper critical magnetic field was obtained from field cooled (FC) curves. \(H_{c2}\) was determined in these experiments from the crossing point of extrapolations of the linear part of the magnetization \(M(T)\) in the superconducting state and the small normal state magnetization neglecting fluctuation effects. It was shown on different \(K_3\text{C}_{60}\) samples, that the result of this experiment did not depend on the quality of a sample.

The \(H_{c2}(T)\) dependence of samples R5 and R6 in fields up to 8 T is shown in Fig. 3. It is linear at these temperatures with a slope \(\delta H_{c2} / \delta T = -4.4\) T/K, and is the same for both samples. This slope is at the upper limit of data obtained by other groups (\(-2\) T/K < \(\mu_0 H_{c2} / \delta T < -4\) T/K, see Table 1 in Ref. 6). The extrapolation of \(H_{c2}(T)\) to zero temperature is subject to considerable uncertainty and depends on the fitting scheme. To do so, the standard theory by Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH)\(^{12}\) is usually employed (for its applicability to \(\text{C}_{60}\)-based materials, cf. Ref. 2, p. 731). The upper critical field at zero temperature \(H_{c2}(0)\) can be evaluated from the relation (clean limit)

\[
\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = -0.69 T_c \frac{\delta \mu_0 H_{c2}}{\delta T}.
\]

We obtain \(H_{c2}(0)=93\) T [as compared to 40 T < \(H_{c2}(0)\) < 90 T, see Table 1 in Ref. 5]. The Ginzburg-Landau relation (where \(\Phi_0=h/2e\) is the flux quantum and \(\xi\) is the coherence length) leads to \(\xi(T=0)=1.9\) nm (compared to 2 nm < \(\xi\) < 3 nm, Table 1 in Ref. 5). These values are very close to those obtained by Sparn et al.\(^{13}\) on powder samples.

The upturn of \(H_{c2}(T)\) at temperatures very close to \(T_c\), that was observed in almost all experiments on fullerene superconductors, represents another interesting effect. As we discussed in Ref. 3, the upturn is very likely to be a consequence of the anisotropy of the Fermi surface in fullerene superconductors.\(^{14}\) Strong effects of the anisotropy on the magnetic properties of conventional superconductors, specifically on \(H_{c2}(T)\), are well known.\(^{15}\)

**B. Irreversibility line**

From the characteristic magnetic field, at which the critical current density drops below the resolution of our superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, we define the irreversibility field \(H_{irr}\). The critical current density is directly proportional to the width of the hysteresis loop \(\Delta M\). Therefore, we take as \(H_{irr}\) the field at which both positive and negative branches of an experimentally obtained hysteresis loop merge. Typical hysteresis loops are shown in Fig. 4. By measuring loops at different temperatures, the temperature dependence of the irreversibility field \(H_{irr}(T)\) can be assessed. The irreversibility line obtained in this way for R5 and R6 (solid symbols) is shown in Fig. 3.
C. The lower critical magnetic field

The determination of the lower critical field by different methods, such as the first deviation from the linear \( M(H) \) dependence,\(^{14} \) from Bean’s relation \( \Delta M \sim H^2 \),\(^{16} \) from the reversible part of \( M(H) \) at intermediate and high magnetic fields,\(^{17} \) from the measurements of irreversibility in \( M(T) \) at low fields,\(^{18} \) etc., led to a large scatter of data. Small lower critical fields below \( 5 \) mT were usually attributed to breaking Josephson junctions between grains. However, the experimental results on \( H_{c1} \) were usually significantly decreasing with increasing precision of the method employed.

In order to avoid these problems, we obtained the lower critical field from measurements of the trapped magnetization, \( m_{tr} \).\(^{3} \) This method is very sensitive due to the cancellation of the linear part of the magnetization. As shown for the cuprates,\(^{19} \) the trapped magnetization could clearly be observed at fields, where no deviation from linearity of \( M(H) \) was visible. Furthermore, it is a direct measurement of the field penetrated into the sample and does not require any fitting parameters.

The experimental \( m_{tr} \) versus external magnetic field dependence obtained on R6 is shown in Fig. 5. For R6 at \( T=5 \) K, the trapped magnetization is close to zero up to \( \mu_0 H \approx 0.6 \) mT (the lower critical field at this temperature) and, at larger fields, grows proportionally to the square of the magnetic field (not shown in Fig. 5, cf. e.g., Ref. 4). This parabolic dependence confirms that the field penetrates the bulk, and not between grains.\(^{19} \) At \( T=27 \) K, \( m_{tr} \) starts to grow at significantly lower fields (see Fig. 5). The temperature dependence of \( H_{c1} \) is shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen there, no significant difference of results exists between these two samples, i.e., the influence of the (very weak) granularity is negligible, in agreement with the results of Ref. 4.

From Fig. 6, the lower critical field at zero temperature is estimated to be \( \mu_0 H_{c1}(0)=0.91\pm0.2 \) mT. The smallness of \( H_{c1} \) cannot be attributed to the breaking of weak links between grains because of the good sample quality and the absence of granularity, as confirmed by the ac measurements.

FIG. 4. Magnetization vs external magnetic field for R5 at different temperatures.

FIG. 5. Trapped magnetization vs external field for R6 at \( T=5 \) K (open symbols) and \( T=27 \) K (solid symbols). Both dashed lines are drawn to guide the eye.

FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the lower critical magnetic field for R5 (open symbols) and R6 (closed symbols). The dashed line is drawn to guide the eye.
and, therefore, represents an intrinsic property of this material.

The penetration depth $\lambda$ at zero temperature is obtained from the equation

$$\mu_0 H_c = \frac{\Phi_0}{4\pi \lambda^2 \ln \kappa}. \quad (5)$$

With $\xi(0)=1.9$ nm, $\lambda(0)=1100$ nm, and, therefore, the Ginzburg-Landau parameter for Rb$_3$C$_{60}$ is $\kappa=580$. This result is close to the upper limit of the data obtained by other groups ($320<\lambda<850$ nm). The closest is the result obtained by optical measurements. Considering the indirect evaluation procedure to obtain $\lambda$ from magnetization and $\mu$SR measurements, the difference between these results is not very large.

**V. SUMMARY**

In the present work, the magnetic properties of single crystalline Rb$_3$C$_{60}$ fullerene superconductors with different shielding fractions of up to 100% were investigated. ac magnetic susceptibility measurements proved the absence of granularity for the supercurrents in samples with 100% shielding fraction. An estimation of the average grain size from the slope of the reverse leg of a hysteresis loop right after field reversal, shows that some crystals did not exhibit granularity for supercurrent flow and may be considered as “true” single crystals, while others were heavily granular.

From dc magnetic measurements the upper critical field was determined and $\mu_0 H_c(0)$ found to be 93 T, the slope being $\delta \mu_0 H_c / \delta T = -4.4$ T/K close to $T_c$. No influence of the sample quality on $H_c(T)$ was found. The value of the coherence length at zero temperature is $\xi=1.9$ nm.

The irreversibility line was assessed from the merging point on the hysteresis loop and found to be rather close to the upper critical field curve.

From “trapped magnetic moment” measurements the lower critical magnetic field was found to be not larger than 1 mT, the penetration depth is above 1000 nm. The Ginzburg-Landau parameter for Rb$_3$C$_{60}$ is $\kappa \approx 600$.
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