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Coordination of Voltage Regulation in Active Networks

P. H. Nguyen, J. M. A. Myrzik, and W. L. Kling, Member, IEEE

Abstract—This paper gives an introduction about the Active Network concept that can be managed by a multi-agent system (MAS). Voltage regulation, one of Active Network’s services, is then presented. The autonomous voltage control within each feeder (Cell) can be deployed by a combination of active and reactive power supports of distributed generators (DG). The coordination voltage control defines the optimal tap setting of the on-load tap changer (OLTC) while comparing amounts of control actions in each Cell. The test results show that the voltage regulation in Active Network can help to integrate more DGs and mitigate voltage violation effectively. The optimal solution can be reached within a small number of calculation iterations.

Index Terms—Active Networks (AN), voltage regulation, multi-agent system (MAS), distributed generation (DG), power distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

The power delivery system has been changed gradually from the downstream unidirectional scheme to an equally bidirectional scheme due to a large-scale implementation of distributed generation. Consequently, the network needs to be efficient and flexible to cope with arising problems in operation such as bidirectional power flow, voltage rise, short-circuit current increase, or stability issue. The structure of the network should be designed in an adjustable and scalable way for varying needs in the future. Another required characteristic of the network is intelligence, in order to self-adjust and be adaptable in autonomous operations. Hence, the balancing between supply and demand will be controlled precisely in both normal and disturbance states. Last but the most important factor is the sustainable criterion that requires concepts and technologies for future grids in social accepted environments.

Regarding these requirements, several promising concepts and technologies for future network are selected and evaluated in [1]. Among them, the Active Network seems to be the most suitable concept, while a Multi-Agent System (MAS) is able to act as a potential control technology to enable that concept. This paper will introduce a detail structure of the Active Network that is managed by MAS technology.

One of Active Network’s services, the voltage regulation is then presented by a combination of DG’s active and reactive power generation. This control action is implemented autonomously within Cells (feeders) of the Active Network. Furthermore, the cooperation of Cells can alleviate control actions within Cells and approach suitable settings for the on-load tap changer (OLTC).

II. FUTURE POWER DELIVERY SYSTEM

A. Active Networks (AN)

Limitations of the existing distribution network to cope with a large-scale deployment of DGs in the future, led Van Overbeeke and Roberts to propose the vision of “Active Networks” concept as facilitators for DG in [2]. The solution is based on three main points: interconnection, local control areas (cells), and system services.

While the first point is to provide more than one power flow path, manage congestion by re-routing power and isolate faulted areas effectively, the third point organizes system services and charges to individual customers.

However the most revolution part is proposed in the second point, the local control areas or “Cells”. Hence, one more control level will be installed for each Cell component to manage and to control the power inside and across the cell boundaries. It can be deployed with different typical actuators such as voltage and reactive power controllers, FACTs devices, remotely controllable loads and generators.

In fact, the Active Network covers ideas of MicroGrids on low voltage (LV) or Autonomous Controlled parts of medium voltage (MV) networks. However, the Active Network is not only focusing on local areas (cells) but is also concerned with interconnecting between cells and managing the demand side. Therefore, this concept is able to adapt with requirements of sustainability, efficiency, flexibility and intelligence.

The transition to the Active Network does not require an intensive physical change of the existing infrastructure. The most investment is needed for control strategies and communication topologies of the power system [3]. This concept could be considered as a backbone for the future power delivery system.

B. Multi-agent Systems (MAS)

Multi-Agent Systems have been introduced recently as a potential technology for many fields of power system applications. In [4], basic definitions of the MAS concept and its approaches for power application have been presented.
simplest level of MAS is the “agent” that is defined as an entity (software or hardware) able to work autonomously on a component in the network. The intelligent agent extended from the single agent concept is not only able to react to change in its environment but is also able to interact with other intelligent agents. The MAS concept is a combination of more than one intelligent agent and other agents.

Belonging to the area of computational intelligence, MAS can offer a certain degree of intelligent behaviors in autonomous systems [5]. Different applications of MAS in the power system include disturbance diagnosis, restoration, and protection. Furthermore, power flow management can be implemented based on MAS [6]. Another example is power balancing through an electronic market based on local agents, the so called “Power Matcher” [7].

Important technical issues to implement MAS include platforms and communication languages [4]. In a number of platforms for MAS, the Java Agent Development Framework (JADE) is popular in power engineering applications. However, JADE has some disadvantages in the large-scale implementation. Agent communication languages are set by the Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) international standard. Other necessary issues are intelligent agent design and data standardization.

C. Constructing Active Networks with support of MAS

The transition from the existing distribution network to the Active Network can start from the traditional radial network concept. The radial network is divided into separate sub networks (feeders). Hence, it is possible to establish a local control area (cell) for each feeder. Within a Cell, each controllable component, i.e., controllable generators and loads will have an agent that can operate autonomously with local targets or cooperate with other agents to achieve area tasks. A superior agent is installed for each Cell as a Moderator to manage autonomous actions as well as to communicate with other Cells. Communication requirements for the MAS can be a phone-based communication with 56kbaud [8] or the internet-based communication. Fig.1 shows the structure of the Active Network with MAS control in the radial network.

As mentioned before, the Active Network can perform different system services. Under scope of this study, voltage control will be investigated.

III. VOLTAGE REGULATION IN THE ACTIVE NETWORK

Voltage fluctuation is one of the big barriers that mitigate DGs penetration. Main solutions for voltage control problems in a distribution network can be summarized as follows [9]
- Voltage control by the on-load tap changer of the HV/MV transformer (OLTC)
- Reactive power control with DGs and compensators
- DG active power control

Many works have been implemented to quantify suitable solutions that facilitate connecting more DGs. Some works focus on intelligent control of the HV/MV transformer [10-12]. Alternative approaches concern local voltage control at DG’s connecting point [13; 14]. An evaluation of different control solution has been presented in [15]. In [16], centralized and local voltage controls are compared in detail. Centralized voltage control based on an active management scheme that first estimates the power system state by several measurement equipments, and then dispatches DGs according to the OPF. Local voltage control is deployed by combining automatic voltage regulation (AVR) and power factor control (PFC) of DGs.

![Fig.1. Active Network managed by MAS](image)

In this paper, another approach to coordinate voltage regulation by cell-based theory and multi-agent technology is presented.

A. Active and reactive power control

The sensitivity of bus voltages due to the changes of active and reactive power generation can be represented by the linear equations as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta P \\ \Delta Q / V^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{p\theta} & J_{p\varphi} \\ J_{q\varphi} & J_{q\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \theta \\ \Delta \varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

(1)

Due to the fact that MV networks may include mainly cable lines with a relative high R/X ratio, (1) is impossible to be decoupled with the assumptions that \( \Delta P \) is more sensitive to the \( \Delta \theta \), and \( \Delta Q \) is more sensitive to the \( \Delta V \).

However, the effect of active and reactive power generation change to bus voltages can be considered separately from (1) by the equations:

$$\Delta P = [J_{p\theta} - J_{p\varphi} J_{q\varphi}^{-1} J_{q\theta}] \Delta V = [A] \Delta V$$

(2)

and,

$$\Delta Q / V^0 = [J_{q\varphi} - J_{q\theta} J_{p\theta}^{-1} J_{p\varphi}] \Delta V = [B] \Delta V$$

(3)

These equations stand for the capability of DG in the MV network to contribute to voltage regulation by varying active and reactive power generation.

The relationship between the bus voltage and reactive power generation has been mentioned in [8]. With the assumption that the power load and active power output of the DGs will not change, (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta Q_g / V_g^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta V_L \\ \Delta V_g \end{bmatrix}$$

(4)
Hence, \[
\Delta V_g = [D] \Delta Q_g \| V_g^0 \]
(5)
where \[
D = [C_{21} C_{11}^{-1} C_{12} - C_{22}]^{-1}
\]
(6)
Using (6), a reactive power sensitive factor \(\beta_j\) is determined as
\[
\beta_j = D_{ij} / V_j^0
\]
(7)
that presents voltage sensitivity of bus \(i\) with reactive power output change of generator \(j\). The bus voltages therefore can be controlled by a reactive power dispatch based on ranking of the sensitive factors \(\beta_j\).

Similarly, the relationship between the bus voltages and active power generation can be possibly established. This control action occurs when the reactive power generated by the DGs reaches the limit. While \(\Delta Q = 0\), active power change will be obtained from (2) as follows:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\Delta P_g
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
E_{11} & E_{12} \\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta V_L \\
\Delta V_g
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(8)
Hence, \[
\Delta V_g = [F] \Delta P_g
\]
(9)
where \[
F = [E_{21} E_{11}^{-1} E_{12} - E_{22}]^{-1}
\]
(10)
Using (10), an active power sensitive factor \(\gamma_j\) is determined as
\[
\gamma_j = E_{ij}
\]
(11)
Consequently, the active power dispatch by comparing sensitive factors \(\gamma_j\) can also control the bus voltages.

Voltage control using reactive power generation has some disadvantages to regulate the bus voltage at the end of the feeder in case of voltage drops. It often requires generating great amounts of reactive power that might be out of generators’ limits. While the active power dispatch scheme can only cope with voltage rises when active power output can only be curtailed. Therefore, the combination of active and reactive power dispatch schemes may be a better solution that can deal with different kinds of voltage changes.

In order to integrate active and reactive power dispatches, a comparative relationship between MW and MVAr change should be considered. Thus, weighting factors \(w_P\) and \(w_Q\) are introduced as a proportion of cost for curtailing 1MW and generating (absorbing) 1MVAr. The optimization problem for voltage regulation can be written as follows:
\[
\text{Min } f = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( w_P \Delta P_{gj} + w_Q \Delta Q_{gj} \right)
\]
(12)
\[
s.t 
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \gamma_j \Delta P_{gj} + \beta_j \Delta Q_{gj} / V_j^0 \right] = \Delta V_i
\]

\[
P_{gj}^\text{min} \leq P_{gj}^0 + \Delta P_{gj} \leq P_{gj}^\text{max}
\]
\[
Q_{gj}^\text{min} \leq Q_{gj}^0 + \Delta Q_{gj} \leq Q_{gj}^\text{max} \quad j = 1, m
\]

where \(m\) is the number of DGs in the feeder; \(Q_{gj}, Q_{gj}^\text{min}, \) and \(Q_{gj}^\text{max}\) are reactive power outputs and its limit for each DG; \(P_{gj}, P_{gj}^\text{min}, \) and \(P_{gj}^\text{max}\) are real power outputs and its limit for each DG.

B. Autonomous voltage control in Cells

When voltage violation occurs within a Cell, an autonomous voltage control algorithm can be implemented as follows:

1. The moderator sends a Request for Proposal (RFP) message to every DG agent. Content of the message includes the desired voltage change \(\Delta V_i\) and control message \(b\).

2. After receiving RFP, each DG agent \(A_{ij}\) updates the value of the sensitive factors \(\beta_j\) and \(\gamma_j\) that can be calculated from (7) and (11). Although each DG can change both real and reactive power output, only one scheme is applied due to the assumption of considering \(P_g\) and \(Q_g\) separately. Therefore, the comparison of \(w_P / \beta_j\) and \(w_Q / \gamma_j\) is needed to point out the economic control action. The DGs respond message to the moderator including identification of 1 - reactive power control or 2- real power control, current \(Q\) (P) outputs, and its limits.

3. The moderator decides the dispatch order of DGs based on comparing ratios of the weighting factors to the sensitive factors. This process is repeated until the \(\Delta V_i\) is less than \(\epsilon\) (0.001) or DGs real and reactive power outputs both reach their limit. Voltage control coordination with the OLTC and other Cells can be implemented in the next step to achieve better results.

C. Voltage control coordination

It has been known that OLTC is the most effective way to control voltage in distribution networks. However, with a large number of DGs, it is a difficult task to reach optimal setting points of the OLTC, especially when the HV/MV transformer is connected with a certain generation feeder and other load feeders.

This work proposes a searching method to obtain the setting point of the OLTC comparing the amounts of control actions in the Cells (outgoing feeders). The control algorithm can be implemented by MAS supporting as follows:

1. The moderator of Cell where a voltage violation occurs \((M_i)\) sends a RFP message to other Moderators \((M_j)\). Content of the message requires the calculation of the amounts of autonomous control actions \((A_i)\) if there is any voltage violation within them.

2. \(M_i\) collects the total amount of control actions \((A_{ij})\) including \(A_i\) to compare with the total amount of previous tap settings \((A_{i-1})\). If there is no previous setting or \((A_{ij} < A_{i-1})\) then move to Step 3. Otherwise, the optimal solution is the previous tap setting and the progress is stopped.

3. If a voltage rise occurs in Cell \(i\) then the tap setting = tap setting + 1; else the (voltage drop) tap setting = tap setting -1. \(M_i\) then sends a RFP message to the HV/MV transformer to realize the new setting point.

4. If, after the OLTC has moved to the new setting point, a voltage violation occurs in the Cells then the voltage is controlled autonomously within the Cells. Repeating with step 1.
IV. SIMULATIONS

The test system is a typical medium voltage grid in Netherlands as in Fig.2. The network includes two feeders which can be connected by a normal-open point (NOP). Generation and load buses are shown in Table 1.

![Model of medium voltage feeders](image)

**Table 1: Generation and Load Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>Feeder 1</th>
<th>Feeder 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P(MW)</td>
<td>Q(MVAR)</td>
<td>P(MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(MVAR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q(MVAR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other network information:
- HV/MV transformer: OLTC ±5%, tap 2.5%.
- Line section: \( r = 0.25 \text{p.u, } x = 0.178 \text{p.u, and } b = 0.00033 \text{p.u.} \)
- Generator: \( P_{\text{max}} = 3 \text{MW; } P_{\text{min}} = 30% P_{\text{max}} ; \cos \phi_{\text{ind}} = 0.95; \cos \phi_{\text{cap}} = 0.9 \).
- Ratio of weighting factors \( w_P/w_Q = 2 \).

The initial point of the OLTC is set at \( V_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{p.u.} \) Voltage profiles of two feeders are shown in Fig.3. The bus voltages are all in the acceptable range.

The two studied scenarios are: a voltage rise when more generators (G8) are installed in the feeder 1 and a voltage drop when one generator (G19) is out of service in the feeder 2. As can be seen in Fig. 3, voltage violations occur in both cases. Voltage regulation actions will be applied to reject these problems.

**A. Voltage rise**

In this case, the voltage control will facilitate the network to integrate more DGs at buses of the end of the feeder 1 (G8, G9, and G10) without any voltage exceeding. Fig. 4 shows the increase of the feeder voltage when more DGs are connected (dashed lines). After the autonomous voltage control process, bus voltages are in the acceptable range (continuous lines).

Table 2 shows the power generation dispatch of DGs to adapt with voltage rise. When generator at bus 8 (G8) is connected, only the reactive power dispatch is applied for G5, G6, G7, and G8. However, when G9 is connected, there is a comparison of \( w_P/w_Q \) and \( w_P/w_Q \). Because of a less value, the active power curtailment of G9 is selected. Similarly, installing G10 leads to curtail the active power of G10 and G9.

Regarding these results, the combination of active and reactive power support can cope with voltage rise at the end of the feeder effectively. A suitable amount of active power is curtailed instead of much greater amount of reactive power absorbing. It depends on the comparison of sensitive factors at the generation buses and the ratio of the weighting factors. Locations of curtailed generators are quite near to the violated voltage bus what makes control actions more sensitive.

![Effect of autonomous voltage control in case of voltage rise](image)

**Table II: Power Generation Dispatch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G8</th>
<th>G9</th>
<th>G10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.0840</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.9367</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.9367</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.9367</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen 9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.9367</td>
<td>1.6365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Convergence in case of voltage rise](image)
In addition, these control actions can give converged solution within two steps, as can be seen from Fig. 5. The remarkable result opens possibility to implement this technique in real-time (on-line) application.

**B. Voltage drop**

Due to a contingency, G19 in the feeder 2 is out of service. Remaining DGs will coordinate to keep the bus voltages in the acceptable range. As it is impossible to generate more active power of DGs, only the reactive power control scheme is used in this case.

After two steps, all bus voltages of feeder 2 are within the acceptable range. Gen 15 generates 0.7041 MVAr while Gen 17 has to produce the maximum amount of reactive power generation (1.3 MVAr). Voltage profile of feeder 2 for each control step is shown in Fig. 6.

**C. Voltage control coordination**

Voltage rise due to connecting more DGs in the feeder 1 will be reinvestigated with the voltage control coordination. Voltage profiles of both feeders in the different cases are shown in Fig. 7. Table III summarizes results of total DGs active and reactive power output changes in the two feeders as well as the tap position when installing G8, G9, and G10.

As can be seen from Table III, installing G8 with the voltage coordination can reduce dramatically the amount of control actions (ΔQ). In this case, the tap setting will move to the position 1 without any voltage violation of the feeder 2. When G9 is connected, the voltage control coordination compares the total amount of control actions in case of autonomous dispatch in the feeder 1, no voltage violation in the feeder 2, tap position 1 and in case of autonomous control in both feeders, leading to tap position 2. As the second case requires more control actions, the first case is selected for coordination. Similarly, installing G10 with voltage control coordination tap position 2 is selected and autonomous control is required in both feeders.

As an alternative to centralized voltage control, this coordination might approach the optimal solution. In the further work, it will be compared with the OPF results that have been mentioned in [16]. Other voltage control techniques such as line drop compensation (LDC) or line voltage regulator (VR) will also be considered.

**VI. REFERENCES**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Feeder 1</th>
<th>Feeder 2</th>
<th>Tap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ΔP₁</td>
<td>ΔQ₁</td>
<td>ΔP₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8 - no coordination</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.3840</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8 - with coordination</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0123</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9 - no coordination</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3719</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9 - with coordination</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3719</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10 - no coordination</td>
<td>1.7298</td>
<td>5.6202</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10 - with coordination</td>
<td>0.3550</td>
<td>5.6202</td>
<td>2.0201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Effect of autonomous voltage control in case of a voltage drop

Fig. 7. Effect of voltage control coordination
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