Complete flux scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source

Citation for published version (APA):

ten Thije Boonkkamp, J. H. M., Rathish Kumar, B. V., Kumar, S., & Pargaei, M. (2016). *Complete flux scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source*. (CASA-report; Vol. 1601). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/02/2016

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

CASA-Report 16-01
February 2016

Complete flux scheme for conservation laws
containing a linear source

by

J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp, B.V. Rathish Kumar, S. Kumar, M. Pargaei

Centre for Analysis, Scientific computing and Applications
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Eindhoven University of Technology
P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
ISSN: 0926-4507

Complete flux scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source

J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp, B.V. Rathish Kumar, S. Kumar, and M.Pargaei

Abstract We present an extension of the complete flux scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source. In our new scheme, we split off the linear part of the source and incorporate this term in the homogeneous flux, the remaining nonlinear part is included in the inhomogeneous flux. This approach gives rise to modified homogeneous and inhomogeneous fluxes, which reduce to the classical fluxes for vanishing linear source. On the other hand, if the linear source is large, the solution of the underlying boundary value problem is oscillatory, resulting in completely different numerical fluxes. We demonstrate the performance of the homogeneous flux approximation.

1 Introduction

Conservation laws are ubiquitous in science and engineering, describing a wide variety of phenomena, such as chemically reacting flow, electrical discharges in gases, transport in porous media etc. These conservation laws are often of advection-diffusion-reaction type, describing the interplay between different processes such as advection or drift, diffusion or conduction and (chemical) reactions or impact ionization. We restrict ourselves to stationary conservation laws.

Numerical simulation of these equations requires sophisticated space discretization methods and efficient (iterative) solvers for the resulting algebraic system. For space discretization of the conservation law we employ the finite volume method (FVM); see [2] for a detailed account. For the numerical fluxes in the discrete conservation law there exist many schemes. Basic schemes are the central difference and upwind schemes. The central difference scheme is prone to spurious oscillations for dominant advection while the upwind discretization is too diffusive. To remedy this, exponentially fitted schemes were introduced, see [6] and the many references therein. These schemes combine the central difference and upwind schemes in such a way that the resulting discretization reproduces the exact solution of a local one-

J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology,
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands, e-mail: j.h.m.tenthijeboonkkamp@tue.nl

B.V. Rathish Kumar, S. Kumar, and M.Pargaei

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,
Kanpur 208016, India, e-mail: bvrk{sunilku}{meenap}@iitk.ac.in

dimensional and homogeneous boundary value problem (BVP). Exponentially fitted schemes are especially useful for singularly perturbed problems [4]. Moreover, these schemes are applied in various disciplines in computational physics, such as the numerical simulation of reactive flow or plasmas. In the field of semiconductor device simulation the exponentially fitted scheme is often referred to as the Scharfetter-Gummel scheme; see e.g. [7] for a general introduction. An ingenious generalization to nonlinear convection-diffusion problems was introduced in [3], where an (iterative) procedure is proposed to compute the numerical flux from a nonlinear, but homogeneous, BVP. Another generalization is the complete flux scheme, where the flux is derived from a local BVP for the *entire* equation, including the source term [8]. Consequently, the numerical flux can be written as the superposition of a homogeneous flux, which is the exponentially fitted flux corresponding to the advection-diffusion operator, and an inhomogeneous flux, taking into account the effect of the source term.

In this contribution, we extend the derivation of the complete flux scheme to conservation laws containing a linear source. We split off the linear part and incorporate this term in the *homogeneous* flux. To that purpose, we solve the corresponding homogeneous boundary value problem, which describes the balance between advection, diffusion and a linear source. The remaining (nonlinear) part of the source is included in the inhomogeneous flux, as usual. The modified homogeneous and inhomogeneous fluxes reduce to the classical fluxes when the linear source vanishes. On the other hand, for a dominant linear source, the solution of the underlying boundary value problem exhibits oscillatory behaviour, resulting in completely different fluxes. A similar scheme is presented in [5] for the special case that the characteristic equation of the local BVP has two distinct real roots. Our scheme also allows for double real or complex (conjugate) roots.

Thus, we consider the model advection-diffusion-reaction equation

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(u\varphi - \varepsilon \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right) = c\varphi + s(\varphi), \quad (1)$$

where, for example, u is an advection velocity, $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_{\min} > 0$ a diffusion coefficient, $c\varphi$ the linear part of the source, and $s(\varphi)$ the remaining (nonlinear) source. The unknown φ might be the mass fraction of one of the constituent species in a reacting flow or plasma. Associated with (1) we introduce the flux f , which is defined by

$$f = u\varphi - \varepsilon \frac{d\varphi}{dx}. \quad (2)$$

The conservation law (1) can be concisely written as $df/dx = c\varphi + s(\varphi)$ with the flux f defined in (2). In the FVM we cover the domain with a finite number of control volumes (cells) I_j of size Δx . We choose the grid points x_j , where the variable φ has to be approximated, in the cell centres. Consequently, we have $I_j := [x_{j-1/2}, x_{j+1/2}]$ with $x_{j+1/2} := \frac{1}{2}(x_j + x_{j+1})$. Integrating the equation over I_j and applying the midpoint rule for the integral of $c\varphi + s(\varphi)$, we obtain the discrete conservation law

$$F_{j+1/2} - F_{j-1/2} = \Delta x (c \varphi_j + s(\varphi_j)), \quad (3)$$

where $F_{j+1/2}$ and φ_j are the numerical approximation of the flux f at the cell edge $x_{j+1/2}$ and of the unknown φ at the grid point $x = x_j$, respectively. The complete flux approximation $F_{j+1/2}$ is the sum of the homogeneous flux $F_{j+1/2}^h$ and the inhomogeneous flux $F_{j+1/2}^i$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{j+1/2} &= F_{j+1/2}^h + F_{j+1/2}^i \\ &= \alpha_{j+1/2} \varphi_j - \beta_{j+1/2} \varphi_{j+1} + \Delta x (\gamma_{j+1/2} s(\varphi_j) + \delta_{j+1/2} s(\varphi_{j+1})). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The coefficients $\alpha_{j+1/2}$ and $\beta_{j+1/2}$ depend on the homogeneous differential operator, containing the advection-diffusion operator as well as the linear source, and the coefficients $\gamma_{j+1/2}$ and $\delta_{j+1/2}$ depend on the nonlinear source $s(\varphi)$.

We have organized our paper as follows. In Section 2 we derive expressions for the homogeneous flux, and subsequently in Section 3, we outline the derivation of the inhomogeneous flux. For the latter we reformulate equation (1) and relation (2) together as a first order ODE-system. In Section 4 we demonstrate the performance of the homogeneous flux scheme, and finally we present conclusions in Section 5.

2 Modification of the homogeneous flux

In this section we present the extension of the homogeneous flux scheme to equation (1). We assume in the sequel of this paper that u , ε and c are constant. The expression for the homogeneous flux $F_{j+1/2}^h$ is then derived from the following local BVP

$$\varepsilon \varphi'' - u \varphi' + c \varphi = 0, \quad x_j < x < x_{j+1}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\varphi(x_j) = \varphi_j, \quad \varphi(x_{j+1}) = \varphi_{j+1}, \quad (5b)$$

including the linear source term $c\varphi$, where the prime ($\prime$) denotes differentiation with respect to x . Although the source term $c\varphi$ is included, we refer to the resulting numerical flux as homogeneous, since equation (5a) is homogeneous. The inhomogeneous flux takes into account the effect of the nonlinear source $s(\varphi)$ and will be discussed in the next section.

The characteristic equation of equation (5a) reads $\varepsilon \lambda^2 - u \lambda + c = 0$ and has discriminant $D = u^2 - 4\varepsilon c$. Let us introduce the auxiliary variables

$$d = \frac{\varepsilon c}{u^2}, \quad P = \frac{u \Delta x}{\varepsilon}, \quad S = \sqrt{\frac{|c|}{\varepsilon}} \Delta x. \quad (6)$$

In (6), P is the well-known Péclet number and S is a dimensionless parameter measuring the reaction-diffusion ratio. Moreover, in the presentation that follows we encounter the following functions:

$$B(z) = \frac{z}{e^z - 1}, \quad \sinhc(z) = \frac{\sinh(z)}{z}, \quad \text{sinc}(z) = \frac{\sin(z)}{z}. \quad (7)$$

Based on the sign of the discriminant D , we can distinguish the following three cases. First, for $D > 0$, or equivalently $d < \frac{1}{4}$, the characteristic equation has two distinct real roots $\lambda = u(1 \pm r)/(2\varepsilon)$ with $r = \sqrt{1 - 4d}$. We can solve the BVP (5) and subsequently compute the numerical flux from (2). We find

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} (C(P; r)B(-Pr)\varphi_j - C(-P; r)B(Pr)\varphi_{j+1}), \quad (8a)$$

$$C(P; r) = e^{P(1-2r)/4} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{1}{4}Pr\right) + \frac{1}{4}P \sinhc\left(\frac{1}{4}Pr\right) \right). \quad (8b)$$

Note that the numerical flux in (8) is reminiscent of the classical homogeneous flux, and contains 'correction factors' $C(P; r)$ and $C(-P; r)$. Second, for $D = 0$, and hence $d = \frac{1}{4}$ and $r = 0$, the characteristic equation has the double real root $\lambda = u/(2\varepsilon)$. We find for the numerical flux

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} (C(P)\varphi_j - C(-P)\varphi_{j+1}), \quad (9a)$$

$$C(P) = e^{P/4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}P \right). \quad (9b)$$

Note that the numerical flux (8) reduces to (9) for $r = 0$. Finally, for $D < 0$, or equivalently $d > \frac{1}{4}$, the characteristic equation has two complex (conjugate) roots $\lambda = u(1 \pm ir)/(2\varepsilon)$ with $r = \sqrt{4d - 1}$. We simply have to replace in the expressions in (8) r by ir . For the numerical flux, for example, we find

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} (C(P; ir)B(-iPr)\varphi_j - C(-P; ir)B(iPr)\varphi_{j+1}), \quad (10)$$

so it seems as if the numerical flux is complex-valued! However, using Euler's formula, we can show that the numerical flux is real and is given by

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} (C(P; r)\varphi_j - C(-P; r)\varphi_{j+1}), \quad (11a)$$

$$C(P; r) = e^{P/4} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{1}{4}Pr\right) + \frac{1}{4}P \text{sinc}\left(\frac{1}{4}Pr\right)}{\text{sinc}\left(\frac{1}{2}Pr\right)}. \quad (11b)$$

Note that this expression is valid provided $\frac{1}{2}|P|r < \pi$.

It is interesting to investigate some limiting cases. First, for $c = 0$, we have $D > 0$, $r = 1$ and recover the well-known homogeneous numerical flux for the advection-diffusion equation given by

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} (B(-P)\varphi_j - B(P)\varphi_{j+1}), \quad (12)$$

see [8]. Next, for $\varepsilon = 0$ equation (1) is an advection-reaction equation and we also have $D > 0$ and $r = 1$. The numerical flux (8) reduces to the upwind flux. Finally, for $u = 0$ equation (1) is a diffusion-reaction equation and we have

$P = 0$ and $D = -4\varepsilon c$. Consequently, we have to distinguish two different cases, i.e., $c < 0$ and $c > 0$. First, for $c < 0$ it is obvious that $D > 0$ and the numerical flux (8) reduces to

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} \frac{\varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_j}{\sinh c(\frac{1}{2}S)}. \quad (13)$$

Finally, for $c > 0$ and $D < 0$ the numerical flux (11) is given by

$$F_{j+1/2}^h = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta x} \frac{\varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_j}{\sinh c(\frac{1}{2}S)}, \quad (14)$$

provided $\frac{1}{2}S < \pi$. Both expressions are in fact the central difference approximation of the flux divided by the correction factor $\sinh c(\frac{1}{2}S)$ or $\sinh c(\frac{1}{2}S)$. Alternatively, we could have computed these numerical fluxes directly from the BVP (5) with $u = 0$.

3 Modification of the inhomogeneous flux

In this section we outline the modification of the inhomogeneous flux for equation (1); a more elaborate discussion will be presented elsewhere. To derive the integral representation for the inhomogeneous flux, it is convenient to reformulate equation (1) coupled with expression (2) for the flux as the first order ODE-system

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}, \quad x_j < x < x_{j+1}, \quad (15a)$$

$$\varphi(x_j) = \varphi_j, \quad \varphi(x_{j+1}) = \varphi_{j+1}, \quad (15b)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$, $\mathbf{A}$, and $\mathbf{b}$ are given by

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ f \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u}{\varepsilon} & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s(\varphi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15c)$$

This formulation is somewhat unusual, since the second component of $\mathbf{v}$ is the flux, for obvious reasons, instead of the derivative φ' . The fundamental matrix

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ f_1 & f_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

corresponding to (15) satisfies the BVP

$$\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}, \quad x_j < x < x_{j+1}, \quad (17a)$$

$$\varphi_1(x_j) = 1, \varphi_1(x_{j+1}) = 0, \quad \varphi_2(x_j) = 0, \varphi_2(x_{j+1}) = 1. \quad (17b)$$

Note that only boundary conditions for the unknown φ are specified. A straightforward derivation shows that for $D > 0$ the solutions $\varphi_1(x)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ are given by

$$\varphi_1(x) = e^{P\sigma(x)/2} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}Pr(1-\sigma(x))\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}Pr\right)}, \quad (18a)$$

$$\varphi_2(x) = e^{-P(1-\sigma(x))/2} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}Pr\sigma(x)\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}Pr\right)}, \quad (18b)$$

where $\sigma(x) = (x - x_j)/\Delta x$ is the normalized coordinate on (x_j, x_{j+1}) . The corresponding (homogeneous) fluxes $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ can be readily determined from (2). Similar expressions hold for $D = 0$ or $D < 0$.

Applying variation of constants, we can derive the following representation of the solution of (15), see also [1]:

$$\mathbf{v}(x) = \mathbf{V}(x)\mathbf{r} + \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} \mathbf{G}(x; y)\mathbf{b}(y) dy, \quad \mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_j \\ \varphi_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

with $\mathbf{G}(x; y)$ the Green's function given by

$$\mathbf{G}(x; y) = (\varepsilon W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(y))^{-1} \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_1(x)f_2(y) & \varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y) \\ -f_1(x)f_2(y) & f_1(x)\varphi_2(y) \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } x_j < y \leq x, \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi_2(x)f_1(y) & \varphi_2(x)\varphi_1(y) \\ -f_2(x)f_1(y) & f_2(x)\varphi_1(y) \end{pmatrix} & \text{for } x < y < x_{j+1}. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In (20), $W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is the Wronskian of φ_1 and φ_2 , which for $D > 0$ is given by

$$W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_1' & \varphi_2' \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \frac{e^{P(\sigma(x)-1/2)}}{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}Pr)}. \quad (21)$$

Note that the relations (19)-(21) define the complete solution, i.e., the unknown φ and the flux f , on the entire interval $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$. However, we are only interested in the flux at the interface $x = x_{j+1/2}$. The second component of the term $\mathbf{V}(x_{j+1/2})\mathbf{r}$ is the homogeneous flux $F_{j+1/2}^h$ as detailed in the previous section. The inhomogeneous flux $f^i(x_{j+1/2})$ is the second component of the inhomogeneous term in (19) evaluated at $x_{j+1/2}$ and reads

$$\begin{aligned} f^i(x_{j+1/2}) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F_{1,j+1/2}^h \int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1/2}} \frac{\varphi_2(x)s(x)}{W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F_{2,j+1/2}^h \int_{x_{j+1/2}}^{x_{j+1}} \frac{\varphi_1(x)s(x)}{W(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x)} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The flux values $F_{1,j+1/2}^h$ and $F_{2,j+1/2}^h$ correspond to $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ and follow readily from the expressions (8), (9) or (11) by substituting $\varphi_j = 1$, $\varphi_{j+1} = 0$ or $\varphi_j = 0$, $\varphi_{j+1} = 1$, respectively. Applying suitable quadrature rules, we can derive expressions for the numerical inhomogeneous flux $F_{j+1/2}^i$.

Fig. 1 Boundary layer solution: numerical solutions (left) and error plots (right). Parameter values are $u = -1$, $\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$, $c = 2$, and $\Delta x = 5 \times 10^{-2}$.

4 Numerical example

As an example we apply the modified homogeneous flux scheme to the following model problem

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(u\varphi - \varepsilon \frac{d\varphi}{dx} \right) = c\varphi, \quad 0 < x < L, \quad (23a)$$

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi_L, \quad \varphi(L) = \varphi_R. \quad (23b)$$

We consider two cases, viz. a boundary layer solution, characterized by dominant advection, and an oscillatory solution, for which the source term is dominant. For the first solution $D > 0$ and we employ the numerical flux (8), whereas for the second solution we apply the numerical flux (11) since $D < 0$.

To assess the (order) of accuracy of the modified scheme, we define the average discretization error $e(\Delta x) = \Delta x \|\varphi - \varphi^*\|_1$, with φ^* the exact solution of (23) restricted to the grid. A representative numerical solution and the average discretization error as function of the grid size are shown in the figures above. From the Figure 1, we conclude that for the boundary layer solution the modified homogeneous flux scheme is much more accurate than the standard scheme, although both schemes exhibit second order convergence. On the other hand, for the oscillatory solution, the modified scheme is slightly better, as is evident from Figure 2. Further research is needed to investigate this issue further.

5 Concluding remarks

In this contribution we derived a new complete flux approximation scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source. We included the linear source in the homogeneous differential operator to determine the homogeneous flux. The inhomogeneous flux contains the effect of the remaining (nonlinear) part of the source.

Fig. 2 Oscillatory solution: numerical solutions (left) and error plots (right). Parameter values are $u = 1$, $\varepsilon = 0.5$, and $c = 2 \times 10^2$.

In the derivation of the inhomogeneous flux, we reformulated the conservation law coupled with the expression for the flux as a first order ODE-system. First numerical results are encouraging, however, more testing is needed.

To be relevant for practical applications, the scheme should be extended to (at least) two-dimensional problems. This can be achieved if we include the cross-flux term as an additional source in the one-dimensional model BVP. To close the discretization, we employ the homogeneous flux scheme for the cross flux; see [8] where this idea is elaborated for the original CF scheme.

References

1. U.M. ASHER AND L.R. PETZOLD, *Computer Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations and Differential-Algebraic Equations*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1998.
2. R. EYMARD, T. GALLOUËT, AND R. HERBIN, *Finite volume methods*, in: Handbook of Numerical Analysis, Volume VII (P.G. CIARLET AND J.L. LIONS, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000, pp. 713-1020.
3. R. EYMARD, J. FUHRMANN, AND K. GÄRTNER, *A finite volume scheme for nonlinear parabolic equations derived from one-dimensional local Dirichlet problems*, Numer. Math. **102** (2006), 463–495.
4. A.M. IL'IN, *Differencing scheme for a differential equation with a small parameter affecting the highest derivative*, Math. Notes **6** (1969), 596–602.
5. C. LUO, B.Z. DLUGOGORSKI, B. MOGHTADERI, AND E.M. KENNEDY, *Modified exponential schemes for convection-diffusion problems*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **13** (2008), 369–379.
6. K.W. MORTON, *Numerical Solution of Convection-Diffusion Problems*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
7. S. SELBERHERR, *Analysis and simulation of semiconductor devices*, Springer, Vienna, 1984.
8. J.H.M. TEN THIJE BOONKKAMP AND M.J.H. ANTHONISSEN, *The finite volume-complete flux scheme for advection-diffusion-reaction equations*, J. Sci. Comput. **46** (2011), 47–70.

PREVIOUS PUBLICATIONS IN THIS SERIES:

Number	Author(s)	Title	Month
15-37	N. Kumar J.H.M. ten Thijs Boonkkamp B. Koren	Flux approximation scheme for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations using local boundary value problems	Dec. '15
15-38	C. Filosa J.H.M. ten Thijs Boonkkamp W.J. IJzerman	A new ray tracing method in phase space using α -shapes	Dec. '15
15-39	F.A. Radu K. Kumar J.M. Nordbotten I.S. Pop	A convergent mass conservative numerical scheme based on mixed finite elements for two-phase flow in porous media	Dec. '15
15-40	T.G.J. Beelen J.J. Dohmen	Parameter estimation for a generalized Gaussian distribution	Dec. '15
16-01	J.H.M. ten Thijs Boonkkamp B.V. Rathish Kumar S. Kumar M. Pargaei	Complete flux scheme for conservation laws containing a linear source	Febr. '15